## RESOLUTION NO. <br> $\qquad$

# A RESOLUTION AFFIRMING THE PLANNING COMMISSION DECISION ADOP'TED AS RESOLUTION NO. 827 APPROVINGA CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT FOR DEVELOPMENT OF A COSTCO WHOLESALE MEMBERSHIP WAREHOUSE AND FUEL FACILITY ON 18.28 ACRES WITHIN THE M-1 ZONING DISTRICT <br> - APPELANT SMITH 

(File No: 15022)
WHEREAS, on February 2, 2016 the Planning Commission approved an application for a Conditional Use Pernit ("CUP") application for development of a Costco Wholesale membership warehouse on 18.28 acres in the M-1 zone;

WHEREAS, on February 16, 2016, the City of Central Point received from David J. Smith a timely appeal of the Planning Commission's decision to approve the CUP application. The appeal alleged that the Planning Commission erred in its approval of the application based on several issues related to the traffic impact analysis, traffic mitigation funding and timing, heavy vehicle conflicts and the City's Statement of Values for Growth;

WHEREAS, on March 10, 2016, after conducting a duly noticed public hearing, the City of Central Point City Council considered the issues raised on appeal and heard testimony and comments on the appeal;

WHEREAS, Costco Wholesale is a Membership Warchouse Club, a conditional use in the M-1 zone per Planning Commission Resolution No. 764 and City Council Resolution No. 1217;

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission's decision to approve of the Costco Wholesale CUP was based on the standards and criteria applicable to Conditional Use Permits set forth in Section 17.76.040 of the Central Point Municipal Code and written and oral testimony received by the City; and,

WHEREAS, after duly considering the appeal and the evidence in the record, the City Council found that there was substantial evidence in the record to affirm the Planning Commission Decision and that the Planning Commission did not err as a matter of law and, per a duly seconded motion, directed staff to prepare a final resolution and findings affirming the Planning Commission Decision adopted as Resolution No. 827 per the Staff Report dated March 10, 2016 and specifically including Attachments "A", "B," and "D-2" thercin.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, The City of Central Point City Council affirms the Planning Commission Decision adopted as Resolution No. 827. This decision is based on the Council's determination that there was evidence in the record to approve the CUP application and that the Planning Commission did not err as a matter of law and is supported by the evidence in the record, the findings attached hereto as Exhibit "A - City Council Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law," incorporated herein by reference, and Exhibit "B

Staff Report dated March 10, 2016" including Attachments "A" and "B" and "D-2" incorporated herein by reference

PASSED by the City Council and signed by me in authentication of its passage this $24^{\text {th }}$ day of March, 2016.


Mayor Hank Williams


Approved by me this 24 th day of IP bach, 2016.


# EXHIBIT "A" 

# City Council Findings of Fact \& Conclusions of Law <br> Costco Wholesale Conditional Cse Permit 

File No. 15022
March 24, 2016

Appellant:
David J. Smith )
241 Saginaw Drive )
Medford, OR 97504

) | Findings of Fact |
| :--- |
| and |

## Part 1 - Introduction

On February 2, 2016, the Planning Commission in accordance with CPMC 17.76 approved a Conditional Use Permit authorizing the development of a Costco Wholesale membership warchouse and fuel facility on 18.28 acres within the M-1 zoning district ("Costco $\Lambda$ pplication"). The project site is located on the eastern edge of Central Point city limits at the southwest corner of Hamrick and Table Rock road. The site also has frontage on Federal Way, a local street. Surrounding properties include developed and undeveloped industrial lands, including the $\mathrm{M}-1$ and $\mathrm{M}-2$ zoning districts.

On Fcbruary 16, 2016, David J. Smith filed an appeal ("Smith Appeal") contesting the Planning Commission's decision on grounds that the Planning Commission erred in approving the application as:

1. Costco's traffic study is flawed because it does not accurately identify trip distribution patterns.
2. Costco's traffic study does not indicate that the airport master plan has been taken into consideration.
3. Site access on an ODOT designated Fright Corridor will mix existing and future high volume truck traffic with Costco generated traffic.
4. There is nothing in the record to verify that ODOT' has funded and scheduled construction of the improvements relied upon to support the Costco application.
5. As a result of specific issues identified above, approval of the Costco CUP without further study will create immediate and future traffic congestion and hazards.
6. Approval of the CUP is contrary to the Central Point Statement of Valucs relative to growth and transportation.

The Council's scope of review on this appeal is limited to the issues and evidence presented in the record before the Planning Commission as per CPMC $17.05 .400(\mathrm{~F})(3)$. As this appeal is on the record the City Council may not consider new evidence or issues that were not preserved in the record below. Council review is limited to determining whether there is substantial evidence in the record to support the findings of the Planning Commission; or whether errors of law were committed.

Membership warehouses are permitted as a conditional use per the City's 2009 similar use determination under CPMC 17.48.020(W) and 17.60.140, which was adopted by the Planning Commission as Resolution No.764, and affirmed by the Council on Appeal as Resolution No. 1217. The applicable approval criteria for a Conditional Use Permit are set forth under CPMC 17.76.040, Findings and Conditions for Conditional Use Permits.

## Part 2 - Appeal Issles

There were six (6) issucs raised in the Smith Appeal. The following is a summary of each issue in the Smith Appeal, including the findings and conclusions pertinent to each issue.

1. Traffic Study Flawed. - "Costco's traffic study is flawed in that it does not identify the present traffic patterns for Costco's members to the present storc and, without that information, it is impossible to predict the route choices of Costco members to the proposed site. The use of gencral population statistics in inadequate to assign predicted distribution of the 10,670 daily trips by Costco Members."

Finding 1: The Applicant submitted a traffic impact analysis ("TlA") by Kittelson and Associates for the subject property into the record. City staff reviewed the TIA and there is testimony in the record as to the substance of the TIA from City staff. No other traffic reports or analysis were prepared or submitted into the record, by traffic engineers or other traffic experts. According to the TIA, "the trip distribution pattern for site generated trips was developed using zip code data from current memberships at the existing Costco warehouse located on OR 62 (Crater Lake Highway) in Medford, Oregon, as well as from the existing traffic patterns and major trip origins and destinations within the study area."' Trip distribution was verified by regional travel demand models provided hy ODOT for the base year (2006) and future year (2038). There was no traffic analysis supporting the allegation that the trip distribution methodology utilized in the TIA is flawed.

Conclusion 1: The City Council concludes there is substantial evidence in the record to find that the TIA is valid and contained adequate trip distribution methodology for the subject property.
2. Costco's traffic study indicates that there will be heavy traffic added to Biddle Road at the entrances to the Roguc Valley International-Medford Airport, but does not indicate that the airport master plan has been taken into consideration in the traffic study.

[^0]Finding 2: The Planning Commission's consideration of the Costco CUP application relied upon the Applicant's TIA and agency comments (i.e. the City of Medford) relative to the identified traffic impacts and mitigation measures at the intersection of Biddle Road and Airport Road (See Finding 17.76.040(B)(4) in the Ilanning Department Supplemental Findings ("Supplemental Findings" in the record below.) The airport was identified as an agency entitled to notice, but did not submit comments into the record requiring additional traffic mitigation. The TIA identified impacts to the intersection. The City of Medford recommended a condition of approval to include a median to resolve the impact. In a letter dated January 5, 2016, the City of Medford indicated that the airport was opposed to the proposed mitigation measure because it was in conflict with the airport master plan. As an alternative, the City of Medford requested a condition that requires the applicant to pay a proportional share toward a future traffic signal at this intersection in conformance with the airport master plan. The City of Medford indicated this contribution would be sufficient to mitigate the impacts of this project. The estimated project cost is $\$ 450,000$, including design, construction and inspection. Per the T1A, Costco contributes $10 \%$ of the traffic at this intersection. As conditioned, Costco shall provide evidence it has contributed its proportionate share of the construction of signalization improvements in an amount not to exceed $\$ 45,000$, which shall be payable to the City of Medford prior to building permit issuance. No other traffic reports or analysis were prepared or submitted into the record by traffic engineers or other traffic experts.

Conclusion 2: The City Council concludes there is substantial evidence in the record to find that, as conditioned, the application complies with CPMC 17.76 and that the applicant will have contributed its proportional share toward traffic mitigation to the intersection of Biddle Road and Airport Road at the time of development. The Council further concludes there is sufficient evidence in the record to determine that the airport did not request any mitigation for the airport master plan, but that consideration to such master plan was given.
3. The access points for the proposed Costco site are on roads which ODOT has master planned as a frcight corridor. ODOT's freight Profile identifies Table Rock Road as one of the local roads that "experience high volumes and of freight traffic." The proposed location is in the midst of existing freight terminals, with more planned for the future, which will mix high volume truck traffic with 10,670 Costco member daily automobile trips.

Finding 3: Heavy vehicles were evaluated in the Applicant's TIA at all study intersection and site driveways, including Table Rock Road. No problems were identified with the mix of light and heavy vehicte traffic based on volume and impacts to LOS/VC. An ODOT representative was present at the January 5, 2016 hearing and did not identify safety concerns during his testimony. (Audio Recording at 1:26).

The Planning Commission considered in Finding 17.76.040(B) and 17.76.040(C)(2) the operational and safety conditions of ingress and egress on Table Rock Road, as well as all study intersections. Per the TIA and agency comments, the Planning Commission imposed conditions of approval requiring access restriction and mitigation measures to resolve traffic impacts associated with ingress and egress on Table Rock Road. No other traffic reports or analysis was
prepared or submitted into the record by truffic engineers or other traffic experts.
Conclusion 3: The Council concludes there is sufficient evidence in the record for the Plonning Commission to find traffic mitigation required by this project has been satisfied as conditioned and that the mix of truck traffic with the proposed development will not create additional safety concerns requiring further mitigation, except at identified and conditioned herein.
4. There is nothing in the record to verify that ODOT has funded and scheduled construction of the improvements relied upon to support the Costco application. Without that confirmation that the improvements will be completed soon after the store opens is total speculation.

Finding 4: Evidence in the record addressing traffic impacts and the timing of the Interstate 5 Northbound Off-Ramp, Exit 33 Improvements, and the feasibility of imposing conditions for such traffic mitigation includes the TIA prepared by Kittelson \& Associates, as well as comments received from the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT). As conditioned, Costco will be required to enter into a Cooperative Improvement Agreement with ODOT and pay its proportional share of the improvement cost prior to building permit issuance (See Revised Public Works Staff Report dated January 5, 2016, Condition No. I). Evidence in the record estahlishes that the improvements are planned to be expedited such that they will be constructed as close to opening day of the subject development as possible:
a. The Revised Public Works Staff Report dated January 5, 2016 states that, "Per ODOT, construction will commence at the earliest possible date." (See Traffic Impacts and Mitigation Item No. 4)
b. During staff's presentation at the January 5, 2016 Planning Commission Meeting, during a discussion of traffic impacts and mitigation (26:05), staff stated that ODOT agreed to expedite construction of the off-ramp improvements as close to opening day as possible. Don Morehouse, ODOT Planner, concurred with the staff presentation and stated that he had nothing further to add. (Audio Recording. at 1:26:15).

Conclusion 4: There is substantial evidence in the record to support the Planning Commission's decision as to the feasibility and timing of the traffic mitigation conditions.
5. Without further study, Costco has the potential to create immediate and future traffic congestion and hazards.

Finding 5: Per the TIA, the proposed Costco Wholesale and fuel facility is expected to generate 10,670 new daily trips. Heavy vehicles were evaluated in the TIA and no problems were identified with the mix of light and heavy vehicles based on volume and impacts to LOS/VC. On the day of opening traffic impacts were identified at four (4) intersections: 1) Interstate 5 Northbound Off-Ramp; 2) Table Rock and Hamrick Road; 3) Table Reck and Airport Road; and 4) Airport and Biddle Road. Although not identified in the ThA, the City of Medford provided crash data and comments in a letter dated January 5, 2016 indicating that traffic generated by Costco would negatively impact the intersection of Table Rock Road and Morningside Street
south of the project site. In accordance with the TIA and comments received from affected agencies, the Planning Commission imposed conditions of approval requiring mitigation of the traffic impacts caused by the proposed Costco Wholesale (See Table I below).

| Table 1. Traffic Impact Mitigation Summary <br> Intersection <br> Impref <br> Mitizition |  |  | Timins |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interstate 5 NB Off-Ramp | Volume to Capacity (v/c) Ratio is exceeded. | Enter into a Cooperative Improvement Agreement with ODOT and the City to develop and construct dual right turn lanes per /AMP Project No. 9. | Prior to building permit issuance |
| Table <br> Rock/Iamrick <br> Road | Intersection Failure due to left turn delays | Construct center turn lane and refuge within existing Table Rock Road right-ofway at Hamrick Road. | Prior to certificate of occupancy. |
| Table <br> Rock/Airport <br> Road | Existing left turn delays cause the intersection to operate at a level of Service (LOS) $F$. The existing status is aggravated by additional traffic generated by the proposed use. | Jackson County has funding to construct improvements on Tahle Rock Road that includes signalization of the intersection. The County has indicated that construction of the improvements will begin in 2017; therefore, no interim miftigation is necessary. | Jackson <br> County Table <br> Rock Road <br> Improvement <br> Project <br> commences in 2017. |
| Airport/Biddle <br> Road | Traffic generated by Costco causes left turn delays which results in a decline in the LOS from C to $E$. | Per the City of Medford in a letter dated January 5 , 2016, the applicant shall contribute its pro-rata share toward construction of a signal at the intersection. | Pronfof payment prior to building permit issuance. |
| Table Rock Road at Morningside Street | Traffic generated by Costco aggravates an existing left turn delay at the intersection. | Per the City of Medford in a letter dated January 5, 2016, the applicant shall contribute its pro-rata share toward construction of a center left turn lane and refuge on Table Rock Road at Morningside Street | Pronf of payment prior to building permit issuance. |

Per the TIA, conditions of approval were established to address identified traffic impacts as set forth in Finding $17.76 .040(B), 17.76 .040(\mathrm{C})(2)$, and $17.76 .040(\mathrm{~F})$ (2) in the record below. No other traffic studies or testimony from a traffic engineer or other traffic expert was received as to the substance of the TIA.

As demonstrated in the Applicant 's Findings and the Planning Department Findings, the Planning Commission found the project as conditioned is adequate to accommodate the use (See Planning Commission Findings for 17.76 .040 in the record below).

Conclusion 5: The City Council concludes that there is substantial evidence in the record to support the Planning Commission's decision that, as conditioned, the project will mitigate the traffic impacts generated by this project.
6. The placement of Costco in the proposed location is contrary to the Central Point Statement of Values: "Growth: We Value planned growth that will retain our small town atmosphere," and "Transportation: We value a system of transportation and infrastructure that is modern, efficient and sensitive to the enviromment."

Finding 6: In considering the Conditional Use Application for the proposed Membership Warehouse and fuel facility, the Planning Commission was required to render a decision based on the proposal's demonstrated compliance with Standards and Criteria for Conditional Use Permits set forth in Central Point Municipal Code Chapter 17.76.

Conclusion 6: The mission statement is not part of the Municipal Code nor Comprehensive Plan. It does not serve as a standard or criteria and is merely aspirational language under the City's general Mission Statement. The Planning Commission did not err in applying the Standards and Criteria set forth in CPMC 17.76. t.

## PART 3 - SUMMARY CONCLUSION

Council has reviewed the evidence and issues in the record and the issues raised in the Smith appeal. The Council concludes that there was substantial evidence in the record for the Planning Commission to approve the application, and the Commission did not commit errors of law. This Conclusion is based upon the findings herein, and the evidence in the record including the Applicant's findings and the Planning Staff Supplemental Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law.

EXHIBIT "B"
Community Development
Tom Humphrey, AICP
Community Development Director

## STAFF REPORT

March 10, 2016

## ITEM

Consideration of a Conditional Use Permit application for the construction of a 161,992 square foot Costco membership warehouse and fuel facility on an 18.28 acre site at the southwest corner of Hamrick and Table Rock Road. The project site is within the Federal Way Business Park subdivision in the Industrial (M-1) zoning district, and is identified on the Jackson County Assessor's map as 37S 2W 12B, Tax Lots 213, 214, 215, and 216. Applicant: Costco Wholesale; Agent: Steve Bullock, MG2

STAFF SOURCE
Stephanie Holtey, Community Planner II

## BACKGROUND

In 2009, based on the authority for similar use authorizations provided in CPMC 17.48.020(W) and 17.60.140, the Planning Commission approved membership warehouse clubs, such as Costco Wholesale, as similar to other uses permitted in the M-I zone and approved such uses as conditional uses (Planning Commission Resolution No. 764). As a conditional use, the City has more discretion in determining the compatibility of the use with other permitted uses. Upon appeal, the City Council affirmed the Planning Commission's similar use determination and authorization of membership warehouse clubs as a conditional use (City Council Resolution No. 1217).

In 2015, Costco Wholesale submitted a Conditional Use Permit application to develop a membership warehouse and fuel facility on 18.28 acres in the M-1 zone (Attachment "A"). On February 2, 2016, the Planning Commission, in accordance with CPMC 17.76, approved the CUP application (Resolution No. 827). The Planning Commission's decision considered testimony received by the applicants, proponents and opponents at the January 5,2016 Planning Commission hearing, including the period that the record was left open (Attachment " $B$ ").

After the final decision, appeals were filed by L.. Calvin Martin ("Martin Appeal" - Attachment "C-1") and David I. Smith ("Smith Appeal" - Atlachment D-1") on February 16, 2016. The Martin Appeal and the Smith Appeal raise similar issucs alleging the Planning Commission erred in approving the application based upon the following issues which are summarized as follows:

- The use is not compatible;
- The Traffic Impact Analysis submitted by the Applicant is flawed;
- The use will gencrate significate traffic; and
- The decision conflicts with the City's Statement of Values for Growth and Transportation.

Upon appeal, the Council's consideration is based upon the evidence and issucs presented in the record before the Planning Commission. Based upon that record, the Council must deternine whether there is substantial evidence in
the record to support the Planning Commission's decision, or whether the Planning Commission erred as a matter of law.

Staff has reviewed the issucs raised in the Martin Appeal and the Smith Appeal and the evidence in the record in the context of the standards and criteria that apply to Conditional Use Permits per CPMC 17.76. There are no issues raised that have not already been addressed by the Planning Commission as evidenced in the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of law for the Martin Appeal (Attachment "C-2) and the Smith Appcal (Attachment "I)-2").

## COUNCIL OPTIONS

In an appeal of a Type III land use decision, the Council has four options:

1) Affirm the decision of the Planning Commission. If the Council does so, it must specify the basis for its decision;
2) Reverse the Decision of the Planning Commission. If the Council does so, it must specify the reasons for the reversal;
3) Modify the decision of the Planning Commission and specify the reasons for the modification; or
4) Remand the decision back to the Planning Commission with an explanation of the error and the action necessary to rectify the error. Given the constraints of the 120 -day rule, this is not an option unless the applicant concurs and agrecs to extend the 120 -day limit.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

There are two appeals before Council. Though the hearings have been consolidated, the Council will need to make separate motions as to each appeal at the close of the public hearing. With regard to each appeal:

## Martin Appeal:

Staff recommends the Council affirm the decision of the Planning Commission on the basis that there is substantial evidence in the record to find that the application complies with the Conditional Use Permit criteria in CPMC
17.76. Attached hereto as Exhibit C-2 are draft Findings of Facts and Conclusions of Law the Council may adopt in its motion to affirm the Planning Commission.

## Smith Appeal:

Staff recommends the Council affirm the decision of the Planning Commission on the basis that there is substantial evidence in the record to find that the application complies with the Conditional Use Permit criteria in CPMC
17.76. Attached hereto as Exhibit D-2 are draft Findings of Facts and Conclusions of Law the Council may adopt in its motion to affirm the Planning Commission.

## ATTACHMENTS

Attachment "A" - Planning Commission Resolution No. 827 including all exhibits thereto
Attachment "B" - Staff Report dated lecbruary 2, 2016 (with Exhibits $1-14$; Exhibit 15 is the Revised Staff Report dated January 5, 2016 included in Attachment " $A$ ")
Attachment "C-1" - Notice of Appeal - I. Calvin Martin datcd February 16, 2016
Attachment "C-2" - Draft Findings of Fact \& Conclusions of Law, Martin Appeal
Attachment "D-1" - Notice of Appeal - David J. Smith dated February 16, 2016
Attachment "D-2" - Draft Findings of Fact \& Conclusions of law, Smith Appeal

## ACTION

Consider the appeals of the Planning Commission's decision to approve the Conditional Use Permit allowing development of a membership warehouse and fucl facility on 18.28 acres within the M-1 zoning district and 1) affirm the decision; 2) modify the decision; 3) reverse the decision; or 4) remand the decision to take further action.

## RECOMMENDATION - SUGGESTED MOTION

## Martin Appeal:

1 move to affirm the Planning Commission Decision adopted as Resolution 827 and direct Staff to prepare a resolution approving the application for a Costco Wholesale Membership Warehouse and fuel facility on 18.28 acres within the $\mathrm{M}-1$ zoning district as per the staff reports at Exhibits A and B hercto and the draft findings at exhibit C-2 attached hereto, for the Council's next meeting on March 24, 2016.

## Smith Appeal:

I move to affirm the Planning Commission Decision adopted as Resolution 827 and direct Staff to prepare a resolution approving the application for a Costco Wholesale Membership Warehouse and fuel facility on 18.28 acres within the $\mathrm{M}-1$ zoning district as per the staff reports at Exhibits $\Lambda$ and B hercto and the draft findings at exhibit D-2 attached hereto, for the Council's next mecting on March 24, 2016.

## PLANNING COMMISSION RESOLUTION NO. 827

## A RI:SOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION APPROVING A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT FOR DEVELOPMENT OF A COSTCO WHOLESALE MEMBERSHIP WAREHOUSE AND FUEL FACILITY ON PROPERTY WITHIN THE M-1 ZONING DISTRICT

(File No: 15022)
WHEREAS, the City, by Planning Commission Resolution No. 764 and City Council Resolution No. 1217, determined that membership warehouses are a commercial use compatible with and closely related to permitted uses in the M-1, Industrial zone and therefore authorized then as a conditional use.

WHEREAS, the applicant submitted an application for a Conditional Use Permit to develop an 18.28 acre site within the M-1, Industrial Zone with a $161,992 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. Costco Wholesale membership warehouse and four (4) island fuel facility; and,

WHEREAS, on January 5, 2016, the Central Point Planning Commission conducted a dulynoticed public hearing on the application, at which time it reviewed the City staff report and heard testimony and comments on the application; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission's consideration of the application is based on the standards and criteria applicable to Conditional Use Permit section 17.76 of the Central Point Municipal code; and

WHEREAS, after duly considering the proposed use, it is the Planning Commission's determination that, subject to compliance with conditions as set forth in the Revised Staff Report (Exhibit "A") dated January 5, 2016, the application does comply with applicable standards and criteria for approval of a conditional use permit.

NOW TIIEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the City of Central Point Planning Commission, by this Resolution No. 827, does hereby approve the Conditional Use Permit for Costco Wholesale. This approval is based on Exhibit "A", the Planning Department Revised Staff Report dated January 5, 2016, including attachments incorporated herein by reference.

PASSED by the Planning Commission and signed by me in authentication of its passage this $2^{\text {nd }}$ day of February, 2016.


ATTEST:


Community Development Director

## REVISED STAFF REPORT

January 5, 2016

## ITEM (File No. 15022)

Consideration of a Conditional Use Permil application for the construction of a 161,992 square foot Costco membership warehouse and fuel facility on an 18.28 acre site at the southwest comer of Harrrick and Table Rock Road. The project sitc is within the Federal Way Business Park in the Industrial (M-1) zoning district, and is identified on the Jackson County Assessor's map as 37S 2W 1213, T'ax Lots 213, 214, 215, and 216. Applicant: Costco Wholesale; Agent: Steve Bullock, MG2.

## SOURCE

Stephanie Holtey, Community Planner II

## BACKGROUND

At this time Costco Wholesale ("Applicant") is requesting a Conditional Use Permit to construct a new membership warehouse and fuel facility. The 18.28 acre project site is located on four (4) lots within the Federal Way Business Park Subdivision with frontage on Table Rock Road (Jackson County), Hamrick Road (City of Central Point) and Federal Way (City of Central Point). I and east of the site is located in the City of Medford. It's the Applicant's intent to relocate its existing operation on Crater Lake Ifighway to Central Point with a scheduled opening date of Fall 2016. Achievement of this objective requires approval of the CUP, as well as a Site Plan and Architectural Review (File No. 15028) and Class "C" Variance to the M-1 sign area standard (File No. 15032) (Agenda Items VI, B and C).

## General Project Description:

Costco proposes to construct a 161,992 square foot membership warehouse located on the southwest site boundary north of the existing Fed Ex Distribution Facility (Attachment "A-3"). A total of 783 parking spaces arc proposed along with perimeter and interior landscape improvements.

Architecturally the proposed Costco will be a large metal building similar to industrial warchouses like the Fed Ex Distribution building adjacent to the project site. In this case the building design provides for variation in building materials and roof lines, as well as articulation and detailing around the main entrance canopy. The color palette is a blend of earth tones (brown, grey) with Costco ted and blue on the proposed signage.

According to the applicant's findings (Attachment " B ") the warehouse will be open to mernbers from 10 a.m. to 9 p.m. on weekdays and until 5 p.m. or 6 p.m. on weekends. Deliveries for the warehouse typically oceur between 3 a.m. and noon to minimize conflicts between large delivery trucks and Costco's members.

A four (4) island fuel facility is proposed on the southeast site boundary to the west of the existing Fed Ex Distribution Facility (Attachment "A-3"). Each island provides six (6) fuel dispensers and provides stacking for 10 cars. In total the fuel facility includes 24 fuel dispensers and provides stacking for 70 cars. A canopy will cover the fuel dispensers (Attachment "A-13"). The fuel facility will be open to members from 6 am to 10 pm daily. Depending on demand, fuel deliverics may occur multiple times per day.

The City has evaluated the proposed use and identified four (4) issues:

1. Traffic. On opening day it is estimated that Costco will generate an additional 10,670 new daily trips. Due to the large volume of estimated traffic for the proposed use, the applicant prepared a Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) based on input from affected agencies including the City of Central Point, Jackson County Roads and the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT). It should be noted that the City of Medford was invited to participate in developing the TIA scope of work on June 2, 2015 and August 13, 2015 , but no comments were received.

The TIA identified impacts to four (4) intersections at opening day (Table 1, Iterns 1-4) and one (1) intersection in 2020 (Table 1, Item 5). Additionally, impacts to the intersection of Table Rock Road and Momingside Street were identified and mitigation recommended in a reviscd letter from the City of Medford dated January 5, 2016 (Attachment "I-1").

| No. | interseation | Grovernmg Agency | Pratr <br> Frivicid | Current Conslitions 2015: |  | Euildi Year Conditums i2016i |  | Future Year Concitions 12030; |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Los | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Vif: } \\ & \text { Riale, } \end{aligned}$ | :08 | vic Ratis | LUS | VIC |
| 1 | NB 1-5 Off Ramp | ODOT | PM Peak | C | 0.61 |  | 0.77 |  | 0.84 |
|  |  |  | Midday Peak | B | 0.41 |  | 0.61 |  | 0.63 |
| 2 | Table Rock Road \& Hamrick Road | Jackson County | PM Peak | C | + | E | . | C | - |
|  |  |  | Midday Paak | B | - | $F$ | - | B | - |
| 3 | Table Rock Road \& Airport Road | Jackson County | PM Peak | F | - | $F$ | - | C | - |
|  |  |  | Midday Peak | C | . | E | . | B | - |
| 4 | Biddle Road \& Airport Road | City of Medford | FM Peak | c | - | $E$ | - | F | - |
|  |  |  | Midday Paak | B | - | C | . | F | - |
| 5 | Hamrick Road \& East Pine Street | City of Central Point, Jackson County | PM Peak <br> Midday <br> Peak | C | - | C <br> B |  | D <br> B |  |

It should be noted that one ycar after the scheduled date of opening for Costco, the County will begin construction of the Table Rock Road project. The project will widen Table Rock Road between Biddle and Airport Road to include four travel lanes, a center turn lane, bike lanes and sidewalks and intersection signalization at Table Rock and Airport Road. Complction of the Table Rock Road project resolves traffic impacts of the proposed use on infrastructure along Table Rock Road (i.e. Projects 2 and 3). A detailed summary of the traffic impacts and mitigation are set forth in the Revised Public Works Department Staff Report (Attachment "D").

Resolution: To assure timely completion of traffic mitigation measures relative to the day of opening for the proposed use, staff is recommending:
a. NB I-5 Off Ramp. Prior to building permit issuance, the applicant shall comply with the Oregon Department of Tratnsportation (ODOT) requirement to contribute toward the construction of dual
right turn lanes from the off-ramp to East Pine Street (IAMP Project No. 9). The estimated project cost is $\$ 1.3 \mathrm{M}$. Project cost sharing shall be as follows:

| ODOT: | $\$ 800,000$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Costco: | $\$ 377,000$ (Not to exceed) |
| City: | $\$ 123,000$ (Not to exceed) |

Per ODOT, construction will commence at the earliest possible date, which is necessary to prevent failure of the northbound off-ramp.
b. Table Rock Road and Hamrick Road. Prior to certificate of occupancy, the applicant will be required to provide the following temporary improvements on Table Rock Road per Jackson Courty Roads:
i. Construct median islands in front of the access drives on Table Ruck Road to limit movements to right-in/right-out; and,
ii. Construct a center left turn lanc and refuge within the existing Table Rock Road right-ofway at Hamrick Road to case left turn delays.
c. Table Rock Road at Aipport Road. Per Jackson County Roads, no mitigation measures are recommended since operational deficiencies will be resolved upon completion of the Table Rock Road widening project.
d. Biddle Road and Airport Road. Currently this intersection operates at a LOS C. According to the applicant's TIA, the intersection will operate at LOS E on the day of opening. Per the Revised City of Medford letter dated January 5, 2016 (Attachment "I-1"), the applicant will be required to contribute toward construction of a signal at the intersection (See Condition No. 3).
e. Table Rock Road at Morningside Street. Per the Revised City of Medford staff report dated Junuary 5, 2016 (Attachment " 1 -1"), the applicant shall be required to contribute toward construction of a left turn lane at the intersection of Table Rock Road and Morningside Street (Condition No. 4).
2. Parking. The applicant's parking plan proposes 783 parking spaces for warehouse members. The maximum parking spaces allowed based on the allocation of uses is 698 spaces. In accordance with CPMC $17.64 .040(B)(2)$, the applicant is requesting an adjustment to allow for the proposed increase in parking based on a parking demand analysis specific to Costco Wholesale operations in Oregon (Atlachment "C").

Resolution: The applicant's parking demand analysis tecommends a minimum parking tatio of 4.83 parking spaces per 1,000 s.f. of Gross Floor Area (GFA) to maintain a $90 \%$ utilization rate. According the Institule of Traffic Engineers (ITE) Parking Generation, $4^{\text {th }}$ Edition, when more than $90 \%$ of the parking spaces in a parking lot are occupied, there is an increase in illegal parking and repeating circulation. Costco's parking plan provides slightly more parking than the minimum recommendation to accommodate typical peak periods as well as provide additional spaces for scasonal peaks. Staff
recommends that the requested increase in parking is warranted.
3. Signage. The applicant's signage plan includes wall signs that are proportional to scale and size of the building. Although none of the proposed signs exceed $3.8 \%$ of the wall area on any elevation, they exceed the maximum sign area allowed in the $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{l}$ zone.

Resolution: Approval of the requested signage for the proposed use is subject to approval of a Class " C " Variance, which will be presented to the Planning Commission for consideration (File No. 15032, Agenda Item VI-C). Based on the applicant's proportionality rationale for the proposal, the variance request is deemed reasonable. However, if the variance is not approved, the applicant will be required to demonstrate compliance with the M-1 sign area standards prior to building permit issuance.
4. Lot Consolidation. The project site includes four (4) lots within the Federal Way Business Park Subdivision, Based on staff's evaluation of the lot dimensions and site plan, the proposed warchouse occupies three (3) of the existing lots. The applicant has indicated it is their intent to consolidate the lots.

Resolution: As a condition of approval, the lot consolidation must be completed prior to building permit issuance.

## FINDINGS

The Costco Wholesale Conditional Use Permit has been evaluated for compliance with the applicable Conditional Use Criteria set forth in CPMC 17.76 and found to comply as evidenced in the Planning Department Supplemental Findings (Attachment " 5 ").

## CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

1. Prior to building permit issuance for the four consolidated lots, a Subdivision Re-plat shall be prepared and recorded and a copy of the recorded Subdivision Re-plat and Deed provided to the City.
2. The applicant shall satisfy conditions as listed in the Revised Public Works Department Staff Report dated December 15, 2015 (Attachment "D").
3. Per Attachment " $\mathrm{I}-1$ ", prior to issuance of a building permit, the applicant shall provide evidence that it has contributed toward the construction of signalization improvements at the intersection of Airport and Biddle Road. The applicant's share of the signalization improvement shall not exceed $\$ 45,000$, which shall be payable to the City of Medford.
4. Per Attachment "I-1", prior to issuance of a building permit, the applicant shall provide evidence that it has contributed toward the construction of left turn lane improvements at the intersection of Table Rock Road and Morningside Street. The applicant's share of the left turn lane improvement shall not exceed $\$ 60,000$, which shall be payable to the City of Medford.
5. The applicant shall satisfy conditions as listed in the Roguc Valley Sewer Services Staff Report dated November 16, 2015 (Attachment " H ").
6. Prior to issuance of building permits for the proposed signage, the applicant shall either demonstrate compliance with the signage standards set forth in CPMC 17.48.080(A)(1) or receive a variance to the signage arca standard.

## ATTACHMENTS

```
Attachment "A-1" - Site Comparison
Attachment "A-2" - Site Circulation
Attachment "A-3" - Concept Site Plan
Attachment "A-4" - Central Point Costco Grading & Drainage
Attachment "A-5" - Central Point Costco Utilities
Attachment "A-6" - Preliminary Landscape Plan
Attachment "A-7" - Concept Floor Plan
Attachment "A-8" - Concept Exterior Elevations
Attachment "A-9" - Concept Elevations
Attachment "A-10" - Entry View
Attachment "A-11" . NW Comner View
Attachment "A-12" - East View
Attachment "A-13" - Concept Fuel Facility Plan
Attachment "A-14" - Concept Lighting Plan
Attachment "B" - Applicant's Findings
Attachment "C" . Traffic Impact Analysis
Attachment "D" - Revised Public Works Staff Rcport dated January 5, 2016
Attachunent "E" - Jackson County Roads Staff Report dated December 10, 2015
Attachment "F" - Oregon Department of Transportation Slaff Report dated December 14, 2015
Attachment "G" - City of Medford Planning Department Comments dated December 3, }201
Attachment "H" - Rogue Valley Sewer Services Staff Report dated November 16, 2015
Attachment "I-1" - Revised City of Medford Staff Report dated January 5, 2016
Attachment "I-2" - City of Medford Staff Report dated December 24, }201
Attachment "J" - Planning Department Supplemental Findings
Attachment "K" - Resolution No. }82
```


## ACTION

Consider the Conditional Use Application and either: 1) approve; 2) approve with modifications; or 3) deny the application.

## RECOMMENDATION

Approve the Conditional Use Permit for Costco Wholesale subject to the conditions of approval per the Revised Staff Report dated January 5, 2016.
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TO Central Point Land Use Parmits Review Staff<br>FROM Steve Bullock, MG2 and Costco cC

DATE 11.3 .15<br>PROJECT New Costco Warehouse Central Point<br>Table Rock \& Hamrick

PROJECT NUMEER 14-0393-01

RE Lend Use Applications for a new Costco Warnhoume In Central Polnt OR

## Project Description

Proposal: Costco is considaring buying some prapenty on the southwest corner of the Table Rack Rd and Hamrick Rd intersection that is 18.28 acres in size. Thelr desire and intent would be to build a new Coatco Warehouse (with a footprint of approximately $161,992 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$.) and a Fuel Facility ( 4 islands) together with all required parking and landscaping. In this case, the parking aree witl accommodate 783 parking gtalis. Currentiy the subject property is undeveloped industrial tand. Surrounding the property is a mix of developed and undeveloped industrial land with distribution and manufacturing facillies. T

Contco Bullding \& Bita Denign: With over 30 years of bullding mambershlp warehouses Costco has 686 warehouses worldwide. This experlence has allowed Costco to develop a carefully thought out progrem for constructing new facilities. Thls program includes: the iayout of the warehouse floor plan that most effectlveiy allows for the stocking and merchandising of products; the use of materials that are sustainable, long-lasting and ensorgy efficient; the layout of the site in a manner that provides for their parking and circulation needs; the improvements to adjacent pubilic infrastructure to minimize and mitigate for any impacts thay may create; the development of an attractlve, functional facility that the entire community views as an asset. The finat design solution for each of Costco's $600+$ sitce follows this program resulting in a unique solution that is tailored to the individual site, its environment and the community it is located in.

Caetco Operntions: Generally Costco's warehouses are open to the public from 10am9 pm . On the weekends they close a little aarlier ( 5 or 6 pm ). To avoid conflicts between their mombers and atocking the warehouse, deliveries are lypically received between 3am and Noon. This minimizes potential conflicts betwaen the large delivery trucks and Coatco's members.

The gas station le typically open from 6am - 10pm. Fuel deliveries can happen multiple time per day depending upan the demand.
425.463.2000
423.463 .2002
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## DEVELOPMENT CODE COMPLIANCE

The following sections of this narrative identify the appilcable sections of the Central Paint code and provide a reaponse and drawing reference that describes how our proposed site and bullding design complies with the Clty's Devalopment Codes.

## Chapter 17.48, M1, INDUSTRIAL DISTRICT

### 17.48.020 Pormitted uses.

The following uses and their accessory uses are permitted in an M1 district, subject to the limitations Imposed in Section 17.48.030:
A. Warehousing:
B. Storage and whotesaling of prepared or packaged merchandise;
W. Other uses not listed in this or any other district, If the planning commission finds them to be similar to those listed above and compatible with other permilted uses and with the Intent of the M1 district.
Response: Costco is a Wholasale Membership Club which has as their primary focus the sales of prepared or packaced merchendise to their mombers. City staff has further made us awere of a decision made by the City Councll related to Wholesale Membership Clubs in the M-t zore which allows them subject to a conditionel uae permit. This decision was appealed and confirmed in the Oragon Courte.

### 17.48.030 Standards for permitted uses.

All uses within the M1 districl shall be subject to the following condltions and standards:
A. All raw materials, finished producls, machinery and equipment, with the excaption of automobiles and trucks nomally used in the business, shall be stared within an entirely enclosed building or slght obscuring, non-pierced fence not less than aix toet in height;

> Response: With the exception of the Fuel Fecility, Cosfco's. normal oparation happent entirely within thelr wenehouse.

日. The facility shall be in compliance with all applicable siate and federah environmental, health and safety regulatons;

## Responsa. Costco will obtain all requirvd state and federal permits as woll as comply with all health and sifoty regulationt.

C. In any M1 district directly across a streat from any residental (R) distret, all outcoor parklng, loading or display areas shall be set back at loast ton feet from the public right-of-way and this sotback area shall be planted with trees empropriate for the neighbortood, ground cover or other landscaping materials that are consistent with the general exising character of the area, or that will establish a landscape theme for other deveiopments to follow. This setback and landscaping requiroment shall also apply to M1 lots fronting on any street designated in the compreienslye plan as a major arterial.

Responst: This section doess not apply in that there are no residentially zoned properties adjacent to or acroses the struet from the Cosfco proparty.

### 17.48.040 Condikional Uner.

The following uses and their accessory uses may be parmitted in an M1 district when authorized in mecordance with Chapter 17.76:
A. Business offces and commerclal uses that are compatible with and closely related in their nature of business to permitted uses in the M1 district, or that would be established to serve primarlly the uses, employees, or customars of the M1 district;
Response: As mentioned above, the City has determined that a Wholesale Mombership Ciub requires a Conditional Use Permit to operate In an M-1 zone. The last sectlon of this nerrative will go over In detail how Costco's proposed project complies with the Conditional Use Permit approval criteria.

### 17.48.050 Height Regulations.

Maximum height of any building or structure in an M1 district shall be sixty foet.
Response: Costco's warehouse la roughly 38' from finished grade to the highest point on the bulfoling, this includes the parapet walls extending above the roof around the perimeter of the buflding. Light poles in the perking lot ere roughly the same helght, 35' tall pole on a 2.5' concrete base. See the included elevations end sife lighting plan included in the drawing peckage.

### 17.48.060 Site Area Requirements.

There are no minimum site area requirements in the M1 dishrict, except as necessary to provide for required parking, loading and yard apaces.

Response: Costco is proposing ta build a warehouse heving roughly 163,000 sq. ft. For a warehouse of this size Costco has discovared through their experience from bullding over 600 warehousea that 800 parting sfalls ( $+/$ ) are needed to affectivaly handie the volume of mombers that use their fachitias. The size of the property under consideration, ebout 10.28 acres, is large enough to accommodate these improvements.

### 17.49.070 Yard Requirements.

The following measurements indicate minimum yard requirements in an M1 district:
A. Front Yard. The front yard shall be a minimum of twenty feet. (Also see Section $17.48 .030(\mathrm{C})$ ).
B. Side Yard. The slde yard shall be a minimum of ten feet except when the side lat iine is abutting a lot in any residentlal (R) district and then the side yard shall be a minimum of twenty foot and ahall be increased by one-half foot for each foot by which the building height exceeds twenty foet.
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New Costco Warehouse Central Point
C. Rear Yard. The rear yard shall be a minimum of ten feet except when the rear lot line is abutting a lal in any residential ( $R$ ) district and then the rear yard shall be a minimum of twenty feet and shall be increased by one-half foot for each foot by which the building heighl excoeds twenty feet.
D. Lot Coverage. No requirements.

Response: Cosico's proposad site plan (see the drawing package) shows that the sife fronts on three raads (Federal Wey to the west, Hamrick Rd to the north and Table Rock Rd to the eart). Of the three, only Teble Rock Rdis a Mofor Arterlal. Our astumption is that all three frontages will require 20' Front Yard Setback. Our internal lot lines, to the south of the warahouse and west of the fuel facillty will be side or near setbacks that are required to be 10 . The warehouse is at least 60' from all property linas and the fuel fachity and its ancillary structure are at Ieast 25' from all property lires. The proposed site plan complies with the City's ruquired yards.

### 17.48.080 Slgns.

Signs within the M1 district and be limited to the following:

1. Permitted signs shall contain not more than one hundred square feet of gurface anea on any one side, or an eggregate of two hundred square feet of surface on all sides which can be utilized for display purposes,
2. Lighted signa shall be indirectiy iluminated and non-flashing;
3. Idenlification signs shail be permitted within any required setback areas provided it dows not extend into or overhang any parking anea, sidewaik or other public right-ofway;
4. Signs located within vision clearance areas at intersections of streets shail conform to Section $\uparrow 7.80 .110$.

Response: Costco is proposing wall mounted signage that is proportional to the eize of their bullding. This results in signage thet is lergar than the standerd identillimd above. Further discussion of this and ratlonal for approval is Included in the Conditional Use portion of this nerrative.

All sign Illumination will be indiractly illuminated and non-fiashing.
Na Frestanding Signage is proposed so no sight or other obstructions will be cruated.
C. Signs in the M1 district shall be permitted and deslgned according to provisions of Chapter 15.24.
Response: Costco will fully comply with all the requirements of Central Point Municipal Code Chepter 15.24.
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## Chapter 17.64, OFF-STREET PARKING AND LOADING

17.84.030 Off-Streot Loteding.
A. in all districts for each use for which a buliding is to be eracted or structurally atered to the extent of increasing the floor area to equal the minimum floor area required to provide loading space and which will require the receipt or distribution of materiats or merchandibe by tuck or simitar vehicle, there shan be provided off-street loading space in accordance with the standards set forth in Tabla 17.64.01, Of-streat Leading Requirements.

TABLE 17.64.01 OFFSTREET LOADING REQUIREMENTS

| Use Categories | Of-Streat Loading Berth Requirement (fractons rounded up to the closest whole number) |
| :---: | :---: |
| RETAIL, RESTAURANTS, HOSPITALS, AND OTHER GOODS HANDLING |  |
| Sq. Ft. of Floor Area | No. of Loaxting Berths Requined |
| Over 100,000 | 3 plus 1 for each additional $\mathbf{8 0 , 0 0 0 ~ s q . ~} \mathrm{f}$. |

B. A loading berth shatl not be less than ten feet wide, (hirty-five foat long and have a height clearance of tweive feet. Whare the vehicles generally used for loseling and unloading exceed these dimensions, the required longth of these berths shall be incrassed.
C. If loading spece has been provided in connection with an existing use or is added to an existing une, the loading space shall not be eliminated if elimination would resuit in less space than is required to adequatoly meet the needs of the use.
D. Off-street parking areas used to futhit the requirements of this titie shall not be counted as required loading spaces and shall not be used for loading and unloading operations, except duing pertods of the day when not required to meat parking needs.
E. In no case shath any portion of a atreet or ailoy be counted as a part of the required parking or lowding space, and such spaces shall be deaigned and located as to avoid undue interference with the public use of streets or alleys.

> Responas: Cosfco provides for all their loading needs on site snd will not have any of thalr dellverias or dallvery tructer impact the pubilc use of struets or elfeys durling their foeding or unfoudine of product. In addition to the 4 dedicated alavated truck docks thers are 3 other on-site loading areas for tires and other smaller more local deliveries that can't use the elevafed truck dock. This cugeeds the 4 loading berthe requirad in Table 17.64 .01 (oxcerpt above).

### 17.64.040 On-Street Parking Requirements

All usea shall comply with the number of ofl-street parking requirements identified in... Table 17.64.02B, Nor-Reeldential Off-Strest Parking Requirements. For non-residential uses the off-atruet parking requirements are presented in terms of boih minimum and maximum offstreat parking required. The number of oft-street parking epaces in Table 17.64.028, NonResidential Off-Streen Parking, may be reduced in accurdmen with subsection B of this esction, Adjuatments to Orl-Street Vehicke Parking.
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    TABLE 17.64.02B NON-RESIDENTIAL OFF-STREET PARKING REQUIREMENTS

| Use Categories | Minimum and Maximum Vehicle Parking Requirement <br> (frections rounded down to the closest whole number) |
| :--- | :--- |
| GENERAL COMMERCIAL |  |$\quad$| Retail Stores, Personal |
| :--- |
| Senvices | | 1 space per each 200 square feet of net floor area |
| :--- |
| (excluding storege and other nor-sales or nonedisplay |
| (reas). |

A. Celculation of Required Off-streat Parking. off-streat parking facility mequltements set forth In ... Table 17.64.02B, Nonreaidenilal On-streat Parking Requinements, shall be appliod as follows:

1. Where the application of the schedule reoults in a fractional requirement it shal? be rounded down to the lowest whole number.
2. For purposes of this chepter, groas fioor area shall not include enclosed or covered orvens used for off-street parking or loading, or bicycle facilities.
3. Where uses or activitiee subject to differing requirements are located in the same structure or on the same slte, or me intended to be served by a common facility, the total perking requirement shall be the sum of the requirements for each use or activity computed separately, except as adjusted through the site plan and architecturde reviow process under the provisions of subsection $(B)$ of this eection. The comminnly development director, when issuing a permit(s) for multiple uses on a eite, may restrict the hours of operation or place other conditions on the muttipla uses to that parking needs do not overlap and may then modify the total parking requirement to be based on the mast interte combination of usas at any one time.
4. Where requirements are establithed on the basls of teats or person capscity, the building regulations provisions appllcable at the time of determination shall be used to define capecity.
5. Where residential use is conducted together with or accessory to other permitted uses, emplicabte residential requirements shall apply in addition to other nonresiodentia: requirements.
6. The parking requitemenis outilnad in ...Table 17.64.028, Nonresidential Off-street Parking Requinements, include perking for handicapped persons shall be provided pursuant to the requirements of subsection C of this sectlon, Accesslbte Parking Requirements.
Fesponse: Per teble 17.84.028 Costco will be requirsd to provide not fass than 670 perking stal/e and not mort than 670 parking stalls ( 134,004 sf/ 200 af/stell $=670$ parking stalis). As montioned esrier in this narrative, through Costco's extensive experience buliding these warehoules around the United States the proposed warehouse will nead approximately 800 parking stalls to accommodate the demend. This requast wifl be eddressed In more detall both in our Parking Study and the Conditional Use Fermit Diecuszion.
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B. Adjustments to Non-residentlal Off-street Vehicie Parking. The off-street parking requirements in Tabla 17.64.028, Nonresidential Off-streat Parking Requirements, may te reduced, or increased in any commercial (C) or industrial (M) district as follows:

1. Reductions. The maximum off-street parking requirements may be reduced by no more than twenty percent.
2. Increases. The off-street parking requiremants may be increased based on a parking demand analysis prepared by the applicant as part of the site pian and architectural review process. The parking demand analysis shall demonstrate and document justification for the proposed increase.
Response: See our aubmitfed Parking Demand Anelysis which describes Costco's need for around 800 parking stalls.
C. Accesslble Parking Requirements. Where parking is provided accessory to a building, accessible parking shall be provided, constructed, striped, signed and maintained as required by ORS 447.233, and Section 1104 of the latest Oregon Structural Specialty Code as set forth in this saction.

## Response: Cosfco whll meet or exceed Central Points raquired Accesslble Ferking Requirvments.

I. Bicycie Parking. Bicycle parking shall be provided in accordance with Table 17.64.04, Blcycle Parking Requirements.

TABLE 17.64.04 BICYCLE PARKING REQUIREMENTS

| Land Use | Minimum Requinement | Minimum Covered |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial |  |  |
| Rotall Sales | 0.33 epaces per $1,000 \mathrm{sq}$. It. | 60\% |
| Warchousa | 0.1 spence/1.000 89.f.f. | 100\% |

Response: The 33 speces/t,000 sq. ft. results in 97 bike speces. Due to the nature of their business, Costco has found that blaycle trafic to their warahouses is rather limited. Some emplayees commute by bicycte, but vary faw customere do. For thet rasen, thay bolleva the Central Point's Bicycle Perking for Warehause standerd, which reltults In 16 blke stells, the most eppropriate for a Costco warthouse. We will addrese this in the CUP criterla as wall If it is datermined that this is another deviation from - standard.

## Chapter 17.72, SITE PLAN AND ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW

### 17.72.020 Applicability.

No permit required under Title 15, Buildings and Canstruction, shall be issued for a major or minor project, as deflned in thls section, unless an application for site plan and architectural reviaw is submitted and approved, or approved with condfions, as set forth in this chapter.
B. Major Projects. The following are "mejor projects" for the purposes of the site plan and arciltectural review process and are subject to Type 2 procedural requlrements as set forth in Chapter 17.05, Applications and Types of Review Procedures:

1. New construction, including private and public projects, that:

PROJECT Now Costco Warehouse Central Point
a. Includes a new building or building addition of five thousand square feet or more;
b. Inciudes the construction of a parking tot of ten or more parking spaces; or
c. Requises one or more varlances or conditional use permits and, in the judgment of the directar, will have a significant eftect upon the aesthetic cheracter of the city or the surrounding area;
Responae: The proposed Costco warthouse will be a Major Project and will go through the Site Pian and Architectural Review process.

### 17.72.040 site plan and architectural standards.

In approving, conditionally approving, or denying any site plan and architectural review application, the approving suthority shell base its decision on compliance with the following tandards:
A. Applicable site plan, landscaping, and architacturad des!gn standards as set forth in Chapter 17.75, Design and Develcpment Standards;
B. City of Central Point Department of Public Works Department Standard Specifications and Uniform Standard Details for Public Warks Construction;
C. Accossibility and sufficiency of finsfighting facillities to such a standard as to provide for the reasonable safety of life, limb and property, including, but not limited to, suitabla gates, access roads and fire lanes so that all bulldings on the premises are accessible to fire apparatus.
Response: Costco will demonstrate complince with each of these criterla through the drawing peckege submitted with this applicatlon and subsequent construction permit applications.

## Chaptor 17.75, Deslon and Development Standards

### 17.75.031 General connectivity, circulation and acceass atandards.

A. Streats and Utilitiles. The public sirreot and utility standards set forth in the City of Central Point Department of Public Works Standard Speciflcations and Uniform Standard Details for Public Works Construction shall apply to all development within the clty.
Response: Costco will comply with all the public streat and ufility
standards required by the City of Central Folnt.
B. Block Standards. The following block standards apply to all devalopment:

1. Block perimeters shall not excead two thousand feet measured along the public street right-ot-way, or outslde edges of access ways, or other acknowledged block boundary as described in subsection (B)(4) of this section.
2. Block lengths shall not exceed six hundred feet between through streets or pedestrian access ways, measured along street ingt-of-way, or the pedestrian access way. Block dimensions are measured from right-of-way to right-of-way along street frontages. A black's perimeter is the sum of all gildes.
3. Access ways or privateiretail streets may be used to meet the bleck length or perfmeter standards of this section, provided thay are destgned in accordance with this section and are open to the public at all times.

4. The standards for block perimeters and lengths may be modified to the minimum extent necessary based on written findings that compllance with the standards are not reasonably practicable or appropriate due to:
a. Topographic constraints;
b. Existing development pattems on abutting property which preclude the logical connection of atreats or access ways;
c. Major public facilltles abutting the property such as railroads and freeways;
d. Tratic safoty concems;
*. Functional and oparational needs to create large commercial building(s); or
f. Protection of significant natural resources.

Response: The surrounding existing roads together with Costco's
Internal drives comply with these requirements.
C. Driveway and Propenty Access Standards. Vehicular access to properties shall be located and constructed in accordance with the standards set forth in the City of Central Point Department of Pubicic Works Standerd Specificatlons and Unifortm Standard Detalls for Public Works Construction, Seclion 320.10.30, Dilveway and Property Access.

## Response: The Eubmitted site plan demonstrates compliance with this

 nequifement.D. Pedestrian Circulation. Altractive accesss routes for pedestrian travel shali be provided through the public sidewalk system, and where necessary supplemented through the use of pedestrian access ways as required to accompllsh the following:

1. Reducing distances between destinations or activity areas such as public sidewalks and building entrances;
2. Bridging across barriers and obstacies such as fragmented pathway systems, wide streats, heavy vehicular traflic, and changes in level by connecting pedestrian pathways with clearly marked croasings and inviting sidewalk design:
3. Integraing signage and lighting system which offers interest and safety for pedestrians;
4. Connecting parking areas and destinations with retall sterets or pedestrian acceas ways identifted through use of distinctive paving materials, pavement striping, grade eeparation, or landscaping.

Responsa: The submitted site plan and landscape plan demonstrate compliance with thil requirement.

### 17.75.039 Off-struet ParkIng Design And Dovelopment Standards.

A. Connectivity. Parking lots for now development shall be designed to provide vehicular and pedestrian connections to adjecent sites unless as a result of any of the following such connections are not possible:

1. Topagraphic constraints;
2. Existing development patterns on abutting property which praciude a logica! connection;

3. Treffic safety concems; or
4. Protection of significant natural resources.
fesponse: This requirement does not apply to Costco's devalopment in that rosds ring the site on three sides end there is no need to provide connections to adjacent sites.
B. Parking Stall Minimum Dimensions. Standard parking spaces shall conform to the following standards and the dimensions in Figure 17.75.03 and Table 17.75.02.
Response: As demonstrated in the Site Plan, Costco's perking fot complles with these standerds.
C. Access. There shall be adequate provision for ingress and egress to all parking spaces.

## Response: There is adequafe provision for ingrets and egreas fo all parking spaces and areas.

D. Driveways. Driveway width shall be measured at the driveway's narrowest point, including the curt cut. The design and consknuction of driveweys shall be as set forth in the Standard Specifications and Public Works Department Standards and Specifications.

## Response: Cosico will comply or exceed the City's minimum standards.

E. Improvernent of Parking Spaces.

1. When a concrete curb is used as a wheol stop, it may be placed within the parking space up to two foet from the front of a epace. In such cases, the area between the wheel atop and landscaping need not be paved, provided it is maintained with appropriate grourid cover, or walkway. In no ovent shall the placement of wheel stops reduce the minimum linndscepe or walkway width requirmente.
2. All sreas utilzed for ofletreet perking, wccess and maneuvering of vehicles shail be paved end striped to the standards of the city of Central Point for all-weather use and shall be adequately dreined, including prevention of the flow of runofl water across sidewalks or other pedestrian areas. Required perking areas shall be designed with painted striping or ather tapproved mathod of detineating the individuas spaces, with the excestion of lots containing single-family or two-family dwellings.
3. Parkling spacas for uses other than one and two formily dwollings shall be designed so that no backing movements or other maneuvering within a street or other public right-of-way shall be necessary.
4. Any lighting used to illuminate off-street parking or toading areas shall be so arranged as to reflact the light away from adjacent streets of properties.
5. Service dives shall have a minimum viaion clearance area formed by the intersection of the driveway centerline, the strvet nght-of-way line, and a straight line joining the lines through paints twenty feet from their Intersection.
6. Parking spaces located along the outer bcundaries of a parking lot shall be contained by a curb or a burnper rall so placed to prevent a motor vehicle from extending over an adjacent property ine, a public atreet, public sidewalk, or a required landscaping area.
7. Parking, loading, or vehicie maneuvering areas shall not ba located within the front yard area or side yard area of a comer lot abutting a street in any residential ( $R$ )
district, nor wilthin any portion of a slrest setback area that is required to be landscaped in any commercial (C) or industrat (M) district.
Responte: Costco's afte plen, sift lighting plan and landscape plan all demonstrefe compliance with theae atanderds.
F. Lirnitation on Use of Parking Areas. Required parking areas shall be used exclusively for vehicle parking in conjunction with a permitted use and shall not be reduced or encroached upon in any manner. The parking facilities shall be so designed and maintained as not to constitute a nuibence at any time, and shall be used in such a manner that no hazard to persons or property, or unreasonable impediment to traffic, will result.
Rasponse: Cosfco agrees with and will comply with this requirament.
G. Parking/Loading Faclity Landscaping and Screening. Parking lot landscaping shall be used to reinforce pedestrian and vehicular cifculation, including parking lot entries, pedestrian access ways, and parking sisles. To achieve this objectlve the following minimum standards shall apply; However, additional landecaping may be recommended during the site plan and architectural review process (Chapter t7.72). All parking lots shall be landscaped in accordance with the following standards:
8. Perimeter and Street Frontage Landacaping Requirements. The perimeter and street frontage for all parking facilities shall be landscaped according to the standards set forth in Table 17.75.03.

## Response: Costco's site plan and landscape plan demonitrate compliance with this requirement.

2. Terminal and Interior islands. For parking lots in excess of ten spaces ail rows of perking spances must provide torminal a minirnum of six feet in width to protect parked vehioles, provide visibility, confine traffic to aisles and driveways, and provide minimum of five feet of space for iandscaping. In wdiltion, when ten or more vehlcies would be parked aide-by-wide in an abulting configuration, interior landecaped istands a minimum of elght feet wide must be located within the parking row. For parking lots greater than fifty parking spaces, the location of interior landscape island shall be allowed to be consolidated for planting of large stands of trees to break up the scaie of the parklig lot. The number of trees required in the interlor landscape area shall bee dependent upon the location of the parking lot in relation to the building and public right-of-way:
a. Where the perking lot is located between the building and the pubilc right-of-way, ane trete for avery four apaces;
b. Where the parking lot is located to the side of the building and partially abuts the public right-of-way, one troe for every six spaces;
c. Where the parking lot is located bahind the building and la not vieblole from the public right-of-way, one tree for every eight spaces.
Response: The provided Iandscape pien demonstrates compliance with thase parking fot Iandecepe design criteris.
3. Blo-swales. The use of bioswales within parking lots is ancouraged and may be located wiltin landscape areas subject to aite plan and architectural review. The tree

planting standards may be reduced in areas dadicated to bioswales subject to site plan and architectural review.
Responae: As shown in our sife plen, landscope plan and civil plens large bio-swales are proposed elong the northern edge of the site. Costco is not proposing to reduce the tree pianting standards in these areas.
H. Bicycte Parking. The amount of bicycle parking shatl be providad in accordance with Section 17.64.040 and constructed in accordance with the following standards:
4. Location of Bicycle Parking. Required bicycle parking faciities shall be locatad onaite in well lighted, secure locationa within ffity feet of well used entrances and not farther from the entrance than the closest automobite parking space. Bicycle parking shall have drect eccess to both the public right-of-way and to a main entrance of the principal usa. Bicycle parking may also be provided inside a bullding in suitable, secure and accessibie locations. Blcycle parking for multiple uses (such as In a commercial conter) may to clustered in one or several locations.
5. Bicycle Parking Design Standards. All blcycle parking and maneuvering areas shan be constructed to the following minimum design standards:
6. Surfacing. Outdoor bicycle parking facilities shall be surfaced in the same manner as a motor vahicte parking area or with a minimum of a three inch thickness of hard surfacing (i.e., aspheit, concrete, pavers or similar mater[zil). This surface will be maintained in a smooth, durable and well drained condition.
b. Parking Space Oimension Standard. Bicycle parking spaces shall be at least six feet tong and two feet wide with minirnum overthead clearance of seven feet.
c. Lighting. Lighting shall be provided in a bicycle parking anea so that all facilities are thoroughly illuminated and visible from adjacent sidewalks or motor vehicle parking kete during all hours of use.
d. Aistes. A five-foot aisle for bicycie maneuvering shall be provided and maintained beside or between each row of blcycle parking
e. Signs. Where bicycle parking facilities are not dinectly visible from the public rights-of-wry, entry and directional signa shall be provided to direct bicycles from the public right-at-ways to the bicycle parking facility.

## Response: Costco will comply with Central Point's Bicycie otanderds.

### 17.75.043 Induatrial Bullding Design Standards.

Reserved. (Ord. 1946 (pert), 2011).
Response: Although thert are no specific Design Stenderds in the Industrial zones of Central Point, Costco bafievas the plans, alavations and perspective dravinges submitted demonstrate Costco's commitment to dovaloping a high qually bullding and site.

### 17.76.040 Conditional Use Permit - Findings and Conditions.

The planning commission in granting a conditional use permit shall find as follows:
A. That the site for the proposed use is adequate in tize and shape to accommodate the use and to meet ail other development and lol requiremente of the subject zoning district and all other provisions of this code:

Response: Costco believas they heve demonstrated through the submitled plans end drawings that the proposed 18.25 acress site is adequate in alze and shape to accommodate the proposed use and meet all the City's required stenctards.
B. That the site has adaquate access to a public street or highway and that the street or highway is adequatt in size and condifon to eftectively accommodate the traffic that is expected to be generated by the proposed use;
Response: The submitted Traffic Report indicates thet adequate access to public streeta will be provided. And the exinifing streets are or soon will be of adequate size and condition to offactively accommodate the traffic that is projacted to be gerteratad by Cosico.
C. That the proposed use will have no significicent adverse effect on abutting property or the permitted use thereof. In making this determination, the commission shall consider the proposed location of improvements on the alte; Vehicular ingress, egress and internal circulation; setbecks; Height of buildings and structures; Wails and fences; landscaping; Outdoor lighting; And signs;
Responas: The submitted plans, elovations, drawings and roports document that there will be no signifigant adverse effect on abutting propertias.
D. That the establishment, maintenance or operation of the use applied for will comply with local, state and federal health and safoty regulations and therefore wili not be detrimental to the health. safety or general weifare of persons residing or working in the surrounding neighbothoods and will not be detrimental or injurious to the property and improvernents in the neighborhood or to the genemat wetfare of the community based on the review of these factors listad in subsaction C of this section;

Response: Cosfco will with bath the construction and operation of their proposed wirehouse comply with all local, state and federni health and safaty regulatlons. Therefore, the proposed development whll not be detrimental to the health safoty or general welfare of parsons realding or working in the surrounding neighbortoods.
E. That any conditions required for approval of the permit are desmed necessary to protect the public health, safety and gereral welliare and may include:

1. Adjustments to lot size or yard wras as needed to best accommodate the proposed use; provided the lots or yard areas conform to the stated minimum dimensions for the subject zoning district, undess a variance is also granted as provided for in Chapter 17.13.

DATE
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New Costco Warehouse Central Point
14-0393-01

Response: Costgo does not beliove any adjuatments to requined yarde are neaded.
2. Increasing streat widths, modiflcations in street designs or addition of street signs or traffic signals to accommodate the traffic generated by the proposed use,
Responsa: Costco does not believe any modifications are needed to the surfounding roeds or the required improvements to those roads.
3. Adjustments to off-sireet parking requirements in accordance with any unique characteristics of the proposed use.

Response: Central Polnt's parking requirament for a rotail use, sfated as a minlmum and a muxhmum, is 1 parking stall for every 200 sf of net floor arta. In Costco's case, the net floor arma is 134,000 sq. ff. which requires 670 perting $\boldsymbol{s t a l l s}$. Our current proposal is to provide 783 parking atelis which our Parking Demand Study supporta.
4. Regulation of points of vehicular ingress and egress,

Rasponte: Costco believes ingress and egress points should be approved as submitted in the drawing package and no atditional ragulation should be required.
5. Requiring landscaping, irigation systems, lignting and a property maintenance program,
Response: Costco believes fandscepe and irrigation plans should be approvad as submitted in the drawing peckage and no additional regulation should be required.
6. Regulation of signs and their locations,

Response: Costco is proposing building mounted signage that is in excess of the standard permitied by code. For this reason Costco will be submitting a Ciass C Exception to the signage standerd descrlbed in CPMC 17.48.080(A)(1).

For background end context, Costco and their dasion team have designed a sign packege that is integrifed into the design of the building and ls proportioned to match the scale and size of the bullding. The signs art not too small or too large in comparison to the scale of the bullding tout they are subutentially larger than what is allowed es stendard in the industrial zone. The largest algns, which ere proposed on three of the four sides, are 381 sf. However, this is in relationship with a wall fagade that is over 16,000 af on the lang tide end over $10,000 \mathrm{zf}$ on the short side. In other words, the sign covers lass fhen $3.0 \%$ of the smallest wall of the warehouse. In total, including the signage an the Fuel Facility which hat a 21 gf sign on each side of the fuel canopy, the entire Costco site has 1,455 sf of mounted on thair bulldingts. For additional information see the black and white olevation drawing, DD31-01, for the bullding

## DATE 11.3.15

PROJECT New Costco Warehouse Central Point

mounted signs and the specific Fual Facility sheet, DD41-01, for the gas canopy signage.
7. Requiring fences, berms, walls, landscaping or other devices of organic or artificial composition to eliminate or reduce the effects of noiss, vibrations, odors, visual incompatibility or other undesirable effects on surrounding properties,
Responsa: Costco does nof balieve any additional measures to control nolse, vibretions, odors, visual incompaliblity or other undesirable effects are necessary.
8. Reguation of time of operations for certain types of uses if their operations may adversidy efiect privacy of sleep of persons residing nearby or otherwise conflict with other community or nelghtorthood functions,

Response: Nort needed.
9. Establish a time period within which the subject land use must be developed,

Responise: None reeded.
10. Requirement of a band or other adequate assurance within a specified period of time.
Response: None needed.
11. Such other conditions that are found to be necessary to protect the pubilc health, safety and general walfare,
Response: None needad.

## Conclusion

W/th the drawings and background information that has been aubmitted with this applicatlon we believe that the proposed Costco development is consistent with the required findings that need to be made to approve thls Development Permit application. Please feel fres to contact Costco or MulvannyG2 should you have any questions or need further clarification.

Thank you for your time, consideration and assistance in this matter.

Respectfully: Stwve Bullack, MG2
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## Section 1

## Executive Summary

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Costco Wholesale is proposine to develop a new warehouse and fuel station located station located in the southwest quadrant of the Table Rock Road/Hamrick Road intersection in Central Point, Oregon. This report summarizes the evaluation of the transportation impacts of the proposed development and provides recommended mitigation measures to accommodate its development.

The analysis and evaluation completed for the Central Point Costco development resulted in the following findings:

## Praject Description

- Costco Wholesale is proposing to develop a new warehouse and fuel staton lacated in the southwest quadrant of the Table Rock Road/Hamrick Road intersection in Central Point, Oregor.
- The development plan includes a 160,000 square-foot Costco warehouse and a 24 fueling position Costco Gasoline fuel station. This new Central Point Costco will replace the existing Medford Costco located at 3639 Crater Lake Hwy in Medford, Oregon.
- The parcels of land that in which the proposed Costco would occupy are zoned as M-1 (Industrial) which allows the development of the Costco warehouse and fuel station with a conditional use permit (no land use or zoning changes are required).
- In order to best evaluate the anticipated transportation characteristics of the proposed Central Point Costco development, it was agreed that the Costco-specific data be used to most accurately represent the anticipated traffic characteristics of the unique development typa.
- The proposed Costco development is estimated to generate a total of approximately 10,670 net new trips on a daily basis, 900 net new trip ends during the weekday p.m. peak hour and approximately 1,365 net new trip ends during the weekend midday peak hour.
- The distribution pattern for site generated trips was developed using zip code data from current memberships at the existing Costco warehouse located on OR 62 (Crater Lake Highway) in Medford, Oregon, as well as from the existing traffic patterns and major trip origing and destinations within the study area and the reglonal travel demand model.


## Existing Condfions

- The study evaluated 12 off site intersections in addition to site access points.
- The study evaluated two time periods for each evaluation scenario: weekday p.m. peak hour and weekend midday peak hour.
- Based on recent traffic counts collected in May and July 2015, all of the study Intersections were found to operate at acceptable operating standards during the existing weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hours except for the Table Rock Road/Airport Road intersection during weekday p.m. peak.
- The Table Rock Road/Alrport Road Intersection is stop controlied in the westhound direction. Under existing conditions in the weekday p.m. peak hour, there is high delay for the critical movement (westbound bett-turn) resulting in LOS F.
- Crash data the most recent five years (2009-2013) at all of the sturdy intersections was reviewed to identify historical safety trends.
- Turning movement and rear-end crashes were the most common crash type at the intersections, accounting for approximately $\mathbf{8 2 \%}$ of all crashes.
o There were no fatality crashes.
- Four study intersections were found to be in the 90th percentile and in compliance ODOT's SPIS: l-5 SB Ramps/E Pine Street, Table Rock Road/W Vilas Road, OR 62 (Crater Lake Highway)/W Vilas Road, and Table Rock Road/OR 99.


## Build Year 2016 Antarysis

- The transportation impact analysis evaluated two different future year scenarios: year 2016, the assumed build out year of the development, and year 2030 a lons-term planning year.
- The 2016 build-year background traffic analysis (without Inclusion of the project traffic) found that all of the study intersections are forecest to operate at acceptable levels of service and volume-to-capacity ratios during the weekdey p.m. and weekend midday peak hours except for the Table Rock Road/Airport Road intersectlon during weekday p.m. peak hour.
o As under existing conditions, during the weakday p.m. peak hour there is high delay for the critical movement (westhound left-turn) resulting in LOS F. In addition, the critical movement is also operating with a volume-to-capacity ratio of greater than 0.95 In the build year (2016) background conditions (with no traffic from the proposed Costco development).
- The build-year (2016) total traffic analysis (with inclusion of the groject traffic) found that all study intersections will continue to operate at acceptable leveis of service during the weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hours with the exception of:
o I-5 NB Ramps \& East Pine Street exceeds ODOT standards (lane group v/c rato s 0.85 ) with the northbound right-turn lane group's $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratlo of 0.87 during the weekday p.m. peak hour. The need for additional capacity for this northbound rightturn movement has been previousiy identifled in the Final Draft LAMP; Exit 33 study which calls for the widening of the $1-5$ northbound off-ramp to add a second rightturn lane at the northbound approach to East Pine Street. ODOT and the Clty of

Central Polnt are currently in discussions to determine Costco's appropriate proportional fair share contribution to this improvement as mitigation for the site generated trip impacts.

Table Rock Road \& Airport Road, as under existing and 2016 background conditions, continues to operate at a LOS F during the weekend p.m. peak hour. Improvements to the Table Rock Road/Airport Road intersection are scheduled in year 2017 as part of Table Rock Road widening and a signal will be added to the intersection. This intersection is an existing deficiency; however, given that this improvement is not currently scheduled until 2017, Jackson County and the City of Central Point are currently in discussions to determine an appropriate contribution to this improvement as mitigation in the interim for the Castco project.

- Biddle Road \& Airport Road experiences a higher delay for the critical movement of the westbound approach, dropping from LOS C to E during the weekday p.m. peak period due to site-genorated traffic. Even with the site generated traffic, the intersection is operating at a very low volume-to-capacity ratio of 0.45 in the weekday p.m. peak hour and 0.14 in the weekday midday peak hour.


## Site Accest Anolysis

- In the build year 2016 scenario, all stte access intersections are projected to operate at acceptable levels-of-service and volume-ta-capacity ratios during both the weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hours, with the exception of the Table Rock Road/Northeast access. Nate this is assuming this access is a full movement access and no improvements to Table Rock Road are completed. Under this scenario, the crltical easthound left-turn movements at the Table Rock Road/Northeast access is projected to operate at LoS F during the weekday p.m. peak hour, however, it is still projected to operate well under capacily and meet the County's operational standard.
- Even though the build year (2016) analysis showed that all of the site accesses will be able to operate as proposed upon site opening before the Table Rock Road improvements are constructed, an evaluation of access alternatives for Table Rock Road was also completed to compare how temparary improvements would impact the access operations in the interim.
- The access scenarlos compared were:
- Build Year (2016) Total Traffic Conditions (i.e., Full Access to Table Rock Road) with No Table Rock Road improvements (as summerized sbove)
- Bulld-Year (2016) Total Traffic Conditions with Temporary Table Rock Road Improvements (l.e., temporary widening of Table Rock Road along the site frontage to provide a center left-turn lane untll the ultimate widening project is constructed)
o Build-Year (2016) Total Traffic Conditions with Restricted Right-In/Right-Out Site Accesses (restrict Table Rack Road access to right-in/right-out onty until the uitimare widening project is constructed)
- The access alternatives evaluation found that:
a Assuming full movement access and no improvements to Table Rock Road, the eastbound lef-turns at the northeast access to Table Rock will experience relatively long delay (resulting in LOS F) but the access will still operate well under capacity and meet the Caunty's operational standard during the critical time period.
- Providing temporary widening along the site frontage to provide a temporary center turn lane will allow all Table Rock Road accesses to operate acceptably as full movements until the ultimate Table Rock Road widening improvements are constructed in 2017.
- Restricting the site's Table Rock Road accesses to right-in/right-out only will allow those accesses to operate at acceptable levels of service and volume-to-capacity ratios. However, it will add additional left-turn movements at the Table Rock Road/Hamrick hoad intersection thus resulting in over-capacity and LOS $F$ conditions at that location. This Impact could he reduced by adding temporary widening around the intersection to provide a northbound left-turn lane as well as a center refuge area north of Hamrick to allow vehicles turning left from Hamrick to make a two stage gap acceptance maneuver for the left-turn.
a Once the ultimate Table Rock Road widening improvement is constructed in 2017. all site accesses to Table Rock Road will operate a good levels of service (LOS C or better) and volume-to-capacity ratios (v/c=0.21 or better) during the peak hour perlods assuming they are full access movements.
- From a safety perspective, a predictive safety analysis found that:
- Providing full movement accasses to Table Rock Road in the near-term with its current two lane configuration shows the probability for 1.2 crashes per year to occur comblned at the two access points.
- If these were restricted to right-in/right-out only driveways, the safety prediction lowers to a probability of 0.83 crashes per year (about a $30 \%$ decrease in probabilityl.
- If temporary widening was prowided in the interim for a two-way left-turn lane along the site's frontage, the probability would lower to 0.76 crashes per year (about a $30 \%$ decrease in probability).
- The safety predictive analysis also shows that once the ultimate Table Rock Road widening improvements are in place the safety prediction lowers as well to 0.77 crashes per year even with maintaining fult movement accesses at both locations.


## Future Year 2030 Anolysis

- The future year (2030) background conditions analysis (without the project traffic) found that all study intersectlons will continue to operate at acceptable levels of service and volume-to-capacity ratios during the weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hours with the following exceptions:
o Hamrick Road \& East Pine Street operates with a v/c ratio of $>1.0$ during the weekday p.m. peak hour
- Biddle Road \& Airport Road (as under the build year conditions) has a critical movement which operates at LOS F during the weekday p.m. peak hour although the movement is still operating under capacity with a v/c ratio of 0.55
- The future year (2030) total traffic analysis (with the project traffic) found that the sitegenerated trips did not impact any study intarsections nat previously identifled in the 2030 background scenario.
- All of the proposed site accesses operate at acceptable levels of service during the weekday p.m. and weekend mildday peak hours under the future year 2030 total traffic scenario. Because of the planned roadway improvements alons Table Rock Road, there is a significant benefit io the traffic operations at the site accesses along Table Rock Road when compared to the build-year (2016) total traffic scenario.


## Parking Assessment

- City of Central Point Municipal Code directs that a parking supply of 670 parking spaces be provided for the Costco development (assuming retall land use).
- The project is proposing to provide a total of 782 parking spaces on site.
- As part of this report, a parking demand analysis was completed to demonstrate and documents justification for the proposed increase in parking supply.
- Actual parking supply and demand data from other Costco sites in Oregon indicates that a minimum parking ratlo of 4.71 spaces $/ 1,000$ sq-tt be provided in order to supply enough parking to meet Costco specific demands.
- Applying the demonstrated minimum parking supply of 4,71 spaces $/ 1,000$ sq-ft to the proposed Central Point Costco development equates to a minimum recommended parking supply of 753 spaces.
* This indicates that the proposed parking supply of 782 is slightiy higher than this minimum amount but within a reasonable range and will provide an appropriate parking supply to accommadate typlal peak periods as well as additional spaces for seasonal peaks as well.


## Section 2

 Introduction
## INTRODUCTION

Kittelson \& Associates, inc. (KA) has conducted a Transportation Impact Study (TIS) per requirements of City of Central Point's Zoning Code Section 17.05.900. The TIS examines the current transportation network and addresses the transportation impacts of the proposed Costco Wholesale development in Central Point, Oregon. The scope, methodology, and key assumptions within the TIS were reviewed and agreed upon by the City of Central Point, Jackson County, and the Oregon Department of Transportation. In addition, the City of Medford was given the opportunity to review and comment on these elements (although no comments were received).

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Costco Wholesale is proposing to develop a new warehouse and fuel station located roughly one mile southeast of the Interstate $5(1-5)$ \& Pine Street interchange in Central Point, Oregon. The site is located in the south-west quadrant of the Tuble Rock Road/Hamrick Road intersection. The development plan for the 18 -acre site includes a 160,000 square-foot Costco warehouse and a 24 fueling position Costco Gasoline fuel station. Currently, the site is undeveloped. The development is planned to be completed and operational by October 2016. This new Central Point Costco will replace the existing Medford Costco located at 3639 Crater Lake Hwy In Medford, Oregon. The project site plan with access drlveways to each of the bordering roadways is illustrated In Figure 2.

## Project Location

The proposed site is situated south of Hamrick Road between Table Rock Road and federal Way as illustrated in Figure 2 . Table Rock Road serves as the eastein boundary of the site. The property south of the site is currently owned and operated by FedEx Ground. The land use directly south, west and north of the site is designated as M-1 (Industrial) and M-2 (|ndustrial General) as referenced in Centrot Point Comprehensive Land Use Plan 2008-2030 (Reference 1). The Costco development is an allowed use under the industrial zone designation with a conditions use permit.

## Costco Trip Generation Characteristics

Before and after data from other comparable Costco sites was reviewed to determine a representative trip generation estimate for the development. Based on a 160,000 square foot warehouse and a 24 position gasoline faclilty, the proposed warehouse and fuel station is estimated to generate 10,670 net new daily trips. Of those trips, 900 net new ( 445 inbound, 455 outbound) trips and 1,365 net new ( 695 inbound, 670 outbound) trips are expected to occur during the weekday p.m, peak hour and weekend midday peak hour, respectively.
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## SCOPE OF THE REPORT

This report evaluates the following transportation issues:

- Exlsting roadway, land-use and transportation system conditlons within the site vicinity during the weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak periods;
- Planned developments and transportapion improvements for area surrounding Costco;
- Bulld-year 2016 background (existing traffic counts plus background growth) traffic conditions durins the weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak perlods;
- Costco trip generation, distribution and trip assignment estimates for the proposed development;
- Build-year 2016 total \{build-year backgraund plus site-generated trips) trafic conditions during the weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak periods;
- Guild-year 2016 mitigations to study intersections impacted by site-generated trips during the weekday p.m. peak hour and weekend midday peak hour:
- Future year 2030 background (build-year 2016 background plus 14 years of regional growth) traffic conditions during the weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak periods;
- Future yaar 2030 total (future year background plus ste-generated trips) iraffic conditions during the weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak periods;
- Future year 2030 mitigations to study intersections impacted by site-generated trips during the weekday p.m. peak hour and weekend midday peak hour;
- Operational and safety assessment of the proposed site accesses (including the Table Rock Road/Hamrick Road intersection) during the weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hours during build-year and future year total traffic conditions.
- Parking assessment for Costco site; and
- Conclusians and findings.


## EXISTING CONDITIONS

The existing conditions analysis identifies the current site conditions and operational and geometric characteristics of the roadways within the study area. These conditions will be compared with buildyear (2016) and future year (2030) conditions later in this report.

KAI staff visited and inventoried the proposed Central Point Costca development site and surrounding study area in May 2015. At that time, KAl collected information regarding site conditions, adjacent land uses, and transportation facilities in the study area. In addition, existing traffic counts at the study intersections were collected in May and July 2015.

## SITE CONDITIONS AND ADJACENT LAND USES

The proposed site is located roughly one mile southeast of the Interstate 5 ( $1-5$ ) \& Pine Street interchange in Central Point, Oregon. The land uses in the vicinity of the site are light industrial to the immediately west and south of the site, general industrial immediately north of the site and tourist and office professional, as well as low and medium density residential, north of E Pine Street/Biddle Road. The parcels of land that in which the proposed Costco would occupy are zoned as M-1 (Industrial). The M-1 zoning designation allows the development of the Costco warehouse and fuel station with a conditional use permit. No land use or zoning changes are requlred for the Costco warehouse and gas station at the proposed site.

## TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES

The transportation system inventory Identifies the current characteristics of roadways within the study area. Major rosdways within the study area were identified and catalogued. Table 1 provides a summary of the existing roadway facilities included in this study.

Tabia 2. Existing Study Tramportation Fecillties and Roedways

|  |  | '2itiras. <br> '夕! mb |  | -" ". |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-5 fomps | Rurill interstate | 2 | $30-45$ | No | No | No |
| Plne 5 t | Minor Arterial | 4 | 35.45 | Partial | Yes | No |
| Peninuer Ad | Major Collector | 2 | 25-30 | Partial | Yel | No |
| Hamrick Rd | Local | 2 | 30 | Partial | No | No |
| Federal Wry | Local | 2 | 30 | Ho | No | No |
| Table Rock Rd | Minar Arterlal | 2-4 | 30.45 | Partial | No | Partial |
| Eldate Ad | Minor Arteral | 4 | 45 | Partio! | Partal | No |
| Vlias Rd | Mlnor Arterial | 2 | 45 | Yes | No | No |
| Alrport Rd | Lacli | 2 | 35 | Partial | No | Partal |

Notes; 'Par OOOT TraisGIS; 'mph rapresents miles per haut

## Roadway Faclities

The roadway network in the study area is comprised of an extensive street system made up of arterial, collector, and local roads. The roadway facilities within the study area are described below:

- The I-5 Northbound and Southbound Ramps provide entry and exit accesses to/from the Interstate. Interstate 5 extends from Southern California to the Washington-Canada barder. The ramps provide access to Pine street in both directions on the west side of the study area.
- Pine Street-Biddle Road is a five lane roadway running east/west through the center of the study area. The roadway is named Pine Street west of Hamrick Road with a name change to Biddle Road east of Hamrick Road. Both segments are classified as minor arterials. The roadway is a five lane road, including two lanes in each direction and a center turn throughout the study area. There is no on-street parking on either side of the street. Bike lanes extend from the $1-5$ Southbound Ramp to Table Rock Road. The posted speed is 35 miles per hour between Hamrick Road and I-5 south ramp and $\mathbf{4 5}$ miles per hour between Hamrick Road and Airport Road.
- Peninger Road is a 2-lane, major coilector, serving as a frontage road running parallei to and on the east side of 1-5. The facility serves a variety of commercial and recreational businesses. There are blke lanes both north and south of the Peninger Road/Pine Street intersection and sidewalks south of the intersection. Northbound from the intersection the roadway has a posted speed of 30 miles per hour and 25 miles per hour in the southbound direction.
- Table Rock Raad ranges from 2-5 lanes and runs north/south throughout the study area. The roadway has two lanes sauth of Biddle Road, and is a five lane road with a center turn lane north of Biddle Road. Both segments of Table Rock Road are minor arteriais. The only on-btreet parkhis b provided un the east side uf the roadway for a 0.15 mile segment north of Alrport Road. The segment north of Biddle Road has sidewalks on both sides of the roadway until Vilas Road. The posted speed is 30 miles per hour between Alrport Road and Hamrick Road, and $\mathbf{4 5}$ miles per hour north of Hamrick Road.
- Hamrick Road is a 2-lane roadway that will service two Costco access driveways. Hamrick Road Is a local road providing access for Industrial companies such as Reddaway and Knife River Materials. There is no on street parkins or bike lanes, however there are gegments of sidewalk on both the north/south and east/west sections of the road. The posted speed is 30 miles per hour throughout the study area section. Directly north of the site, between Table Rock Road and Federal Way, the roadway consists of a 3 -lane cross section with a two-way median turn lane.
- Federal Way is a local road that currently serves Fedex Ground at the southern end of the roadway. There are two proposed access points along Federal Way. There is no posted speed sign on this segment, nor are there pedestrian or bicycle facilities.
- Airport Road is a local 2-lane road, servicing both commercial and industrial businesses. Airport Road does not have on-street parking, or bike lanes, however there is a sidewalk on the north side of the roadway.


## Transit Facilities

Rouge Valley Transportation District (RVTD) is a public transportation service provider, providing paratransit and fixed-route bus service within Jackson County. RVTD's central bus station is located in downtown Medford, providing eight fixed-route bus routes servicing the cities of Ashland, Central Point, Jacksonville, Medford, Pheenix. Talent, and White City. RVTD's Route 40 provides weekday service between Medford and Central Point with stops aiong East Pine Street west of l-5. However, Route 40 does not have any stops within the vicinity of the proposed Costco site. There are no fixed-bus routes or stops within the vicinity of the proposed site.

## STUDY AREA INTERSECTIONS

The City of Central Point has completed several studies of transportation needs in partnership with Jackson County and ODOT. The City of Central Point's 2030 Transportation System Plan (Reference 2) offers a comprehenslve assessment of long-term transportation needs within Central Point. In addition, ODOT recently completed an interchange Area Management Plan (IAMP) for the $1-5 / E a s t$ Pine Street interchange (Reference 3). In addition, the Jackson County TSP is currently belng updated (expected adoption in October or November 2015). Recognizing the long-term transportation needs, this TIA facuses on the analysis of study intersections within the site vicinity of the proposed Central Point Costco site. Based on knowledge of the transportation network within the site's vicinity and a previous coordination meeting with the City, County and ODOT, the following 12 study intersections were identifled for inclusion in this report:

1. 1-5 SB Ramp \& Enst Pine Street - (traffic signal)
2. 1-5 NB Ramp \& East Pine Street - (traffic signal)
3. Peninger Road \& East Pine Street - (traffic signal)
4. Hamrick Road \& East Pine Street - (trafilc signal)
5. Federal Way \& Mamrick Road - (ungignalized intersection)
6. Table Rock Road \& East Vilas Road - (traffic signal)
7. Table Rock Road \& Biddle Road - (traffle signal)
g. Table Rock Road \& Hamrick Road - (unsignalized intersection)
8. Table Rock Road \& Airport Road - (unsignalized intersection)
9. Biddle Road \& Airport Road - (unsignalized intersection)
10. Table Rock Road \& OR 99 (North Pacific Coast Highway) - (5ignalized intersection)
11. OR 62 (Crater Lake Mighway) \& E Vilas Road - \{signalized intersection)

The study intersections and their traffic control and lane configurations are illustrated in Figure 3.



Data collectlon at these twelve intersections included turning movement counts collected during a typical weekday (Tuesday through Thursday) p.m. peak period (4:00 p.m. - 7:00 p.m.), and weekend midday ( $12: 00 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m} .-3: 00 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. ) peak period. In addition, existing lane geometry was documented, including turn pocket lengths, as well as pedestrian and bicycle facilities and the presence of transit and transit amenities. For signalized intersections, KAI obtained traffic signal timings from ODOT and the City of Central Point in order to correctly model and analyze each intersection. Appendix " $A$ " includes the existing weekdoy p.m. peak period and weekend midday peak period counts at each of the study intersections.

In addition to analyzing the $\mathbf{1 2}$ study intersections, the proposed stte plan Includes six new driveways to access the site, each of which will be analyzed in accordance to the roadway jurisdiction it is located. As shown in Figure 1, the six proposed site access include:

- Northern full-access driveway located on Federal Way;
- Southern full-access driveway located on Federal Way;
- Eastern Hamrick Road driveway right-in/right-out access;
- Westem Hamrick Road driveway full-access (full access;;
- Northern full-access on Table Rock Road; and
- Southern full-access on Table Rock Road.

More information about the performance of these site accesses, as well as the assessment of access alternative scenarios, is provided later in this report.

## INTERSECTION OPERATING STANDARDS

The aperating standards of four jurisdictions were used to assess the operations of the 12 study intersectlons based on their respective location. The four Jurisdictions are: City of Central Point, City of Medford, Jackson County, and Oregon Department of Transportation.

## City of Central Point Operating Standards

Central Point uses performance standards based on level of service (LOS). All LOS analyses described in this report were performed in accordance with the procedures stated in the 2000 Highway Capacity Manual (HCM 2000) (Reference 4) as required by the City of Central Point's 2030 Tronsportation System Plon. HCM 2000 defines LOS as a quality measure describing operational conditions within a traffic stream, generaily in terms such as speed and travel time, freedom to maneuver, traffic Interruptions, and comfort and convenience. When analyzing traffic conditions, $L O S$ is used as a measure of performance (corresponding to delay) at an intersection with values ranging from LOS "A", indicating good operations and low vehicle delay, to LOS " $F^{\prime \prime}$, which indicates an intersection at, or over capacity with high vehicle delay. Table 2 provides the City of Central Point's LOS standards for signalized and unsignalized intersections. The City's policies require intersections to operate at LOS D or better. A description of level of service and its criteria is presented in Appendix " $B$ ".

Table 2. City of Central Point's Level of Service Standards


## Jackson County Operating Standards

The acceptable motor vehicle performance standard for signalized and unsignalized intersections per Jackson County Transportation System Plon (Reference 5) is a volume-to-capacity ratio (V/C Ratio) no greater than 0.95 within the boundary of the Metropolitan Planning Organization and 0.85 outside of the MPO boundary. Each study intersection is within the Rogue Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization (RVMPO) boundary. Therefore, intersections falling within the County's jurisdiction will be assessed assuming a V/C ratio standard of 0.95 .

## ODOT Operating Standards

OOOT operates and maintains the study intersections for the ramp termini of $i-5$. ODOT's operating standard for interchange ramps is a maximum V/C ratio for the ramp terminal that is more restrictive than the V/C ratio for the crossroad, or 0.85 as identified in the ODOT ORP Pollcy IF Revisions (Reference 6). For signalized intersections on arterial roads under ODOT jurisdiction, the V/C ratio must be no greater than 0.95. At intersections where one or more approaches is maintalned by a city or OOOT, the more restrictive of the agency's performance standard will be applied as stated in the Jackson County Tronsportation System Plon.

Intersections within the City of Central Point and the City of Medford limits will be assessed assuming ODOT operating standards must be met. Study intersections which have governing agencies for more than one approach include OR 99/Table Rock Road and OR 62 (Crater Lake Hwү)/East VIllas Road intersections. Based on the direction from the Jackson County Transportation System Plan, ODOT's operating standards will be applied when analyaing these locations.

Table 3 summarizes the intersection operational standards and jurisdiction administering assoclated with the existing study intersections. Central Point Street Jurisdiction Map (Reference 7) was used to determine the jurisdiction of each study intersection.

Tuble 3. Operntionel Standirds for Existing Study Intersections

| 1 |  | ' ' ' ' | -•' | '. . 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1-5 SB Ramp PEPline St | ODOT | Stenalized | Lene group V/C 50.85 |
| 2 | 1-5 NB Aamp ene Pine St | ODOT | Signaized | Lane croup V/C 50.85 |
| 3 | Peninger Rd \& E Pine St | 0007, County | Sianailued | V/C $\leq 0.95$ |
| 4 |  | County, Clty of Cantral Point | Stanalized | $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C} \leq 0.95$ and LOS D or better |
| 5 | Federal Way \& Hamild Rd | Country, Cty of Central Puint | Stop Control on Federal Way | V/C s 0.95 and LOS D ar better |
| 6 | Table Rock Rd \& E Vlins Rd | Counsy | Starailized | VIC $\leq 0.95$ |
| 7 | Table Rock Rd $\frac{1}{}$ Biddie Rd | County | Sly matiod | V/C 50.95 |
| B | Table Rock Ad \% Hamurick Rd | County | Stop Control on Hemrick | V/C $\leq 0.96$ |
| 9 |  | Countr, Clty of Central Paint | Stop Control on Alpart | V/C $\leq 0.55$ and LO5 0 or batter |
| 10 |  | Cty of Medford | Two-wny Stop | Los D ar beter |
| 11 | Tsale Rock Rd en OR 99 | ODCT, County | Slemalinid | V/Cs 0.95 |
| 12 | On 62 (Citer Lake Hwl) E EV\|as Rd | ODOT'. County | Storalzed | v/C |

## EXISTING PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

Existing peak hour traftic operations were analyzed for a typical weekday (Tuesday - Thursday) p.m. peak period $\{4: 00 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. to $7: 00 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. ) and a weekend midday (12:00 p.m. to $3: 00 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$.) peak period. Existing turning movement counts coilected in May and July 2015 were used in determining the existing operating conditions at each of the study intersections per jurisdictional standards.

Figure 4 provides the intersection turning movement counts and summarizes the Intersection operational results for the existing weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hour traffic conditioris. As shown in Figure 4 and in Table 4, all of the study intersections operate at acceptable operating standards during the existing conditions weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hours except for the Table Rock Road/Airport Road intersection during weekday p.m. peak. The Table Rock Road/Airport Road intersection is stop controlled in the westbound direction. Under existing conditions in the weekday p.m. peak hour, there is high delay for the critical movement (westbound left-turn) resulting in LOS F. Appendix " $C$ " includes the traffic operation worksheets for the existing traffic conditions scenarios.

Table 4. Existing PM and Midday Pank Hour Trafic Operations



 furlsoletion's stindards.



## SAFETY HISTORY ANALYSIS

Crash dats available for the most recent five years (2009-2013) at all of the study intersections was provided by ODOT. Crash data was analyzed to document recent crash types and severity at study intersections and identify crash irends if applicable. In addlition, study intersections were screened for compliance with ODOT's Safety Priority Index System (SPIS) and $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile rates using the HCM prediction madel. There were no reported crashes at the two of the study intersections:

- Federal Way \& Hamrick Road
- Table Rock Road \& Mamrlek Road

In total, there were 192 crashes between all of the study intersections within the five vear study period. Table 5 provides the reported crash type and severity at each of the study intersections. Appendix " $D$ " includes the five year summary of crash dota ot each of the study intersections.

Trble 5. Crash Type and Servily (2009-2013) ax Stuty Intmactions

|  |  | : $\cdot \cdot \cdot$ | .pr | : | t-.. | ' | $\cdot$ |  |  | - 1 , |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 7 | 0 | 15 |
| 2.1-5 nemomelt minst | 7 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | E | 15 | 0 | 4 |
| 3 Fatremenele fion it | 3 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 10 |
| 4. Howlek Rd/t Momst | 2 | 21 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 11 | 0 | 23 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 11 | 12 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 17 | 24 | 0 | 51 |
|  | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 10 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5. Then Mack M/Mrpart Fd | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 5 |
|  | 3 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 0 | $1)$ |
|  | 17 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 11 | 0 | 27 |
|  | 13 | 15 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 16 | 0 | E |
| Toul | 72 | E | 17 | 4 | 5 | 1 | $\bullet$ | 57 | 83 | 0 | 151 |

Notas: ${ }^{2} P D O=$ Property Dwnera Only; PI = Persunal indery
Turning movement and rear-end crashes were the most common crash type at the intersections, accounting for approximately $82 \%$ of all crashes. Roughly half of the reported crashes were injury crashes. There were no fatality crashes. Four study intersections were faund to be in the $90^{16}$ percentile and in compliance QDOT's 5PIS. The four intersections include:

- 1-5 SB Ramps/E Pine Street,
- Table Rock Road/W Vilas Road,
- OR 62 (Crater Lake Mighway)/W Vilas Road, and
- Table Rock Road/OA 99.

Section 4
Transportation Impact Analysis

## TRANSPORTATION IMPACT ANALYSIS

The transportation impact analysis identifles how the study area's transportation system will operate under build-year (2016) and future year (2030) conditions without and with the proposed Costco development in piace. The impact of traffic generated by the proposed Costco development during the typical weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hours was examined as follows:

- Other planned in-process developments and transportation improvements within the study area were documented;
- General background growth in the area was estimated;
- Project-generated trips were estimated for build-out of the project;
- Project trip-distribution patterns were derived from Costco membership data, exlsting traffic patterns, a region wide travel demand model and a select zone aralysis within Central Point were evaluated;
- Bulld-year (2016) and future year (2030) conditions were analyzed with the addition of sitegenerated traffic at each of the study intersections and site-wccess polnts during the weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hours;
- Operational and safety assessments were completed at each of the proposed site accesses and the intersection of Table Rock Road/Hamrick Road build-year plus project, and future vear plus project scenarios; and
- On-slte parking standards and proposed parking supply was evaluated.


## PLANNED ROADWAY IMPROVEMENTS

This section provides a summary of transportation improvements that are planned and can be assumed to be completed under the two future year scenarios (per agency direction). These transportation improvements have been identiffed by the City of Central Point, Jackson County, as well as ODOT and documented in the City of Central Point's 2030 Transportotion System Plan, Final Draft IAMP: i-5 Exit 33, and Rogue Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization's 2009-2034 Regionol Jransportation Plan (Reference 8).

Under the direction of the CIty of Central Point and ODOT, KAI has assumed the planned roadway improvements listed in the Final Droft IAMP; l-5 Exit 33 based on the year of estimated completion, as well is all Tier 1 improvements (within the site's vicinity) listed in the City of Central Point's 2030 Tronsportation System Plan. Tier 1 improvements have been defined as financially constrained projects that can be reasonably funded within the next twenty years. These improvements have been classified as either short (2008-2012), medium (2013-2017) or long-term (2018-2030) improvements.

Final Draft IAMP: I-5 Exit 33 Planned Improvements
The Oregon Department of Transportation and City of Central Point have identified and prioritized roadway improvements af and around the $1-5 /$ East Pine Street interchange. Based on the findings from the most recent Final Draft IAMP: 1-5 Exit 33 completed in May 2015 the following planned roadway improvements will be assumed.

- 1-5 Southbound On-Ramp: The description of the planned project includes widening East Pine Street beginning at the west end of the freeway overpass to add a second westbound left-turn lane with up to 200 feet of additional storage. This project includes the widening of the southbound on-ramp to create two receiving lanes that merge to a single lane. The estimated cost of the project is $\$ 1.7$ million and has been designeted as low to medium priarity, therefore this project wIII be included the future year (2030) scenarlos of this TIA.
- 1-5 Northbound Ramp Terminal: The description of the planned project includes widening the $1-5$ northbound off-ramp to add a second right-turn lane at the northbound approach to East Pine Street. The second turn lane would provide an additional 350 feet of storage for to manage queuing on the off-ramp that cannot be managed with signal timing. The estimated cost of the project is $\$ 1.3$ million and has been designated as low to medium priority, therefore this project will be included the future year (2030) scenarios of this $\tau 1 A$.
- East Pine Street at Hamrick Road: The study verifies and calls for the implementation of Central Point TSP Tler I Project \#216, which widens the west and north approaches to add a dual left-turn lane and second receiving lane.


## Central Point Transportation System Plan Planned Improvements

The planned transportation improvement program prioritized roadway improvement projects between 2008 and 2030. There was no Tier I short term (2008-2012) projects that occurred on the study roadways within the site's vicinity. Listed below are the Tier I roadway improvement projects that will be included in future (year 2030) analyses.

- Tier I Project \# 213 - Table Rock Road \& South Hamrick Road Intersection: Although the Clty's current TSP calls for a signal at the Table Rock Road/hamrick Road, discussions with Chty of Central Point and Jackson County Staff have Indicated this is no longer a planned or desired improvement. As such, no signal at the Intersection of Toble Rosk foad/Homrick Hoad has been assumed in the analyats.
- Tler I Project 算 216 - East Pine Street \& Hamrick Road: The project description Includes widening the west and north approaches In order to add a second eastbound left-turn lane and second receiving lane. The project also includes restriping the northbound approach to Include dual left-turns and a single through-shared-right turn lane, In addition, the project includes restriping the southbound approach to include a left-turn, through and exclusive right-turn lanes. Identified as a medium priority, this project will be inclurded in the future year (2030) scenarlos.
- Tier I Project \# 218 - East Pine Street \& Tabie Rock Road: The project description includes widening the west approach to add a second eastbaund left-turn lane to help reduce queuing and minimize delay at the intersection. The project has been identified as a longterm project and will be included in the future year (2030) scenarios.
- Tler I Project * 219 - Table Rock Road \& West Vilas Road: The project description includes widening to increase capacity by adding an eastbound lane and shared through-right turn movement. The project has been identified as a long-term project and will be included in the future year (2030) scenarios.


## RVMPO 2009 - 2034 Regional Transportation Plan Planned Improvements

- Table Rock Road Improvements: RVMPO, the City of Central Point, and Jackson County have identified significant capacity improvements to Table Rock Road between the l-5 overpass and Biddle Road. Under Project 821, Table Rock Road is schedule to be widened from a two lane cross section to four lanes and a continuous center turn lane, with blke lanes and sidewalks on both sides of the roadway from Biddle Rond to Airport Road. South of Airport Road, Table Rock Road will be widened to a three lane cross section with bike lares and sidewalks on both sides of the roadway cantinuing to the $1-5$ overpass. Currently, this project is scheduled to be constructed in 2017. The project will also include the signalization of the Table Rock Road/Airport Road intersection.
- Federal Way Extension: Federal Way is currently only accessible via Hamrick Roand and terminates just south of the FedEx Ground freight facility entrance. The City of Central Point Tronsportotion System Plan shows the potential for a future connection of Federal Way to tie into the future signalized intersection nt Table Rock Road/Airport Road. While the timing of the Federal Way connection has not been determined, the signalization the Table Rock Road/Airport Road Intersection will occur in 2017 w/th completion of the Table Rock Road widening. The extension of Federal Way will be included in the future year (2030) scenarios.
- OR 62: 1-5 to Dutton Road Planned Roadway improvement: Currently, OR 62 (Crater Lake Highway) exceeds capacity standards. ODOT and the RVMPO has completed the necessary studies to begin the Oregon 62 Expressway project, which is a multimodal soiution that will increase capacity and improve safety along the corridor, a critical business connection for freight, tourism and commuters (Reference 9]. The 4.5 mile project will run on the east side of the Medford Airport, parallel to Crater Lake Mighway, beginning at Whittle Avenue bypassing Commerce Drive, Coker Butte Road and Vilas Road before connecting back with OR 62 just north of Corey Road. The project is projected to begin construction in late fall 2016. For the purpose of this study, KAI has incorporated the change in travel patterns and growth based on the regional travel demand model for both future year (2030) background and total traffic scenarios. Based on the travel demand models, vehicular growth at the study intersection of OR 62/W Vllas Road will not experience growth in the northbound and southbound direction to and from OR 62 between the build-year (2016) and future year
(2030) background scenarios as northbound and southbound traffic shifts to the ORG2 Expressway upon completion.


## PLANNED IN-PROCESS DEVELOPMENTS

In-process development plans were obtained from the City of Central Point. The in-process developments to be assumed in this study include the approved residential development for White Hawk. This development includes apartments, duplexes, and a 5.5 acre city park at the intersection of Beebe Road and Gebhard Road. The project was granted approval in 2014 and has a design year of 2017. Site-generated trips and trip distribution information from this project was derived from the White Hawk Development Traffic Impact Analysis (Keference 10).

## BUILD-YEAR (2016) BACKGROUND TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

The build-year (2016) background scenario analyzed how the study area's transportation system will operate without the site-generated traftc in year 2016. Build-year background traffic conditions were analyzed for both the weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hours.

## Background Growth Rates

Traffic growth within the study area is expected to follow the trends adopted in the Final Draft IAMP: I5 Exit 33. The growth described in the IAMP used modeis prepared by ODOT's Transpartation Planning Analysis Unit (TPAU). In conjunction with the forecasted growth of households, population and employment, a base year 2006 and future year 2038 travel demand model were provided by ODOT. After review of the study area's model and previous studies a $2.0 \%$ annual growth rate was determined and agreed upan to be applied to existing turning movement counts collected at the study intersections.

## Traffic Volumes

The traffic volumes developed for the build-year (2016) background scenarlo reflect existing traffic counts plus one year of annual background srowth and in-process development traffic.

## Level of Service Analysis

As mentioned previously, all level of service analyses described in this section were performed in accordance with the procedures stated in the 2000 Highway Capacity Manual as required by the City of Central Point 2030 Transportation Systems Plan. Operating standards at the study intersections were assessed based on the jurisdiction in which the study intersection is located.

## Intersection Operations

Figure 5 presents the build-year (2016) background traffic volumes and operations results at each of the study intersections. As under existling conditions, the results of the build-year background traffic analysis indicate that all of the study intersections are forecast to operate at acceptable levels of service and volume-to-capacity ratios during the weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hours except for the Table Rock Road/Airport Road intersection during weekday p.m. peak hour.

The Table Rock Road/Alpport Road intersection is stop controled in the westbound direction. As under existing conditions, during the weekday p.m. peak hour there is high delay for the critical movement (westbound left-turn) resulting in LOS F. in addition, the critical movement is also operating with a volume-to-capacity ratio of greater than 0.95 In the build year (2016) background conditions (with no traffic from the proposed Castco development).

Appendix "E" contains the build-year (2016) background traffic operation worksheets.
Trble 3. Buidd-Yaer (2016) Eackground Truffic Operation Results

 Datioy is reportile in zeconds per wehkete; $V / C$ Ratu ty defined as vehide to-capacity ratio which catculabes the number of whictes divided by the
 jurisolktion's stander ds.



## COSTCO TRIP GENERATION DATABASE

For the past 15 years, KAI has maintained a database of traffic data and travel characteristics for Costco Wholesale. The database contains transportation information such as trlp rates, irip type percentages, and parking demand for Costco locations in the United States, as well as Canada and Mexico. A large portion of the data is from existing Costco sites in the Pacific Northwest. The data base Is updated and refined each time new Costco traffic counts or information become available to KAI. In order to best evaluate the anticlpated transportation characterlstics of the proposed Central Point Costco development, it was agreed that the Costco database information be used In this TIS since it provides use-specific data that most accurately represents the enticipated traffic characteristics of the unique development type.

Costco has invested significant effort into developing this site-specific trip generation database for both their warehouses and their fuel stations because of the unique characteristics of Costco customer travel that exists due to membership requirements and the nature of Costco sales. These unique elements apply to the trip generation and distribution for Costco warehouses, Costco Gasoline fuel stations, and the interaction of trips between the two.

## COSTCO TRIP GENERATION CHARACTERISTICS

The data collected at existing Costco developments in Oregon and Washington indicates the trip generation characteristics summarized in Table 7 including total irip ends as well as pass-by trips ends from the surrounding street systems. Generally, trip generation characteristics of Costco warehouses also Include diverted trips, however, due to the location of the proposed site and its distance from $1-5$, OR 62 (Crater Lake Highway) and other major facilities, it was agreed with the agencies that diverted trips would essentlally be considered new trips through the outlined study Intersections. Therefore, a speciflc diverted trip reduction was not applied in thls studty. In addition, the pass-by trip rates used in this study are significantly lower than those found at most Costco locations. Surveys at existing Costco sites typicaliy demonstrate pass-by rates in the range of $30-35 \%$ during the weekday and weekend peak hours. However, again due to the relatively low volumes currently on the adjacent streets to the site, pass-by trips were constrained to no more than $15 \%$ of the adjacent street volume thus resulting in pass-by rates of oniy 7-15\%.

Teble 7. Central Polnt Costco Development Trip Ceneration Estimata


As shown in Table 7, the proposed Costco development is estimated to generate a total of approximately 10,670 net new trips on a dally basis, 900 net new trip ends during the weekday p.m. peak hour and approximately 1,365 net new trip ends during the weekend midday peak hour.

## Pass-by Trips

A key trip characteristic considered was that of pass-by trip capture. Pass-by trips represent trips that are currently traveling on the surrounding street network for some other primary purpose (such as a trip from home to work) and stop into the site en route during their normal travel. As such, pass-by trips do not result in a net increase in traffic on the surrounding transportation system and, typically, their only effect occurs at the site driveways where they become turning movements. Again, based on existing traffic volumes on Table Rock Road and Hamrick Roed, the pass-by trip reduction has been reduced to a maximum of $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ of existing weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hour volumes along these roadways. This is compared to the $\mathbf{3 0 - 3 5 \%}$ pass-by rate documented from surveys at existing Costco developments. We believe this represents a very conservative but defensible approach to the trip generation analysis.

## TRIP DISTRIBUTION AND TRIP ASSIGNMENT

The trip distribution pattern for site generated trips was developed using zip code data from current memberships at the existing Costco warehouse located on OR 62 (Crater Lake Hishway) in Medford, Oregon, as well as from the existing trafic patterns and major trip origins and destinations within the study area. Localized trip routing through the study intersectlons was assessed based on the land use, traffic counts completed at the study imtersections, and general patterns in the site vicinity. Additionally, oDOT provided KAI with a base year (2006) and future year (2038) regional travel demand model, as well as a select zone analyals for the traffic analysis zone that the site will occupy. The models and select zone analysis verffied the trip distribution patterns and site-generated trip assignment for the proposed Costco warehouse and fueling station.

Figure 6 illustrates the trip distribution throughout the site's wicinity. Based on the trip distribution throughout the study area, Figure 7 and Figure 8 present the site-generated furning movement counts at each of the study intersections and site accesses for the weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hours to and fram the proposed Costco site. Appendix "F" includes the base year (2006) and future year (2038) regional trovel demand models, as well as the select zone analysis provided by OOOT.







## BUILD-YEAR (2016) TOTAL TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

The bulld-year (2016) total traffic scenario analyzed how the study area's transportation system will operate with the site-generated traffic of the proposed Costco development. Any impacts due to sitegenerated traffic will be documented and mitigations will be identified at the impacted study intersections.

## Traffic Volumes

Site-generated traffic volumes (shown in Figure 7 and Figure 8) were added to the build-year (2016) background traffic volumes for the weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hours (shown in figure 5) to arrive at the build-year (2016) total traffic conditions shown in Figure 9.

## Intersection Operatlons

Figure 9 also summarizes the intersection operations analysis for the build-year (2016) total trafic scenario. The build-year (2016) total traffic scenario Identified two additional intersections as not meeting operational standards compared to those not prevlously identifled in the build-year (2016) backfround scenario.

Table 6 also presents the bulld-year (2016) total traffic operation results at each of the study intersections. All of the study intersections operate at acceptable levels of service during the weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hours with the exception of:

- I-5 NB Ramps \& East Pine 5treat exceeds ODOT standards (lane group v/c ratio $\leq 0.85$ ) with the northbound right-turn lane group's $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio of 0.87 during the weekday p.m. peak hour.
- Table Rock Rowd a Alrport Foad, as under existing and 2026 background conditions, continues to operate at a LOS F during the weekend p.m. peak hour. However, delay at the intersection increases due to trips accessing Table Rock Road. During the weekend midday peak hour, site-generated traffic causes delay to increase by approximately 31 seconds, causing the level of service to drop from LOS C to LOS E .
- Biddle Roed A Airport Roed experiences a higher delay for the critical movement of the westhound approach, dropping from LOS C to E during the weekday p.m. peak perlod due to site-generated traffic. While no site-generated traffic is expected to be coming from the westbound approach, the delay Increases because of the amount of vehicles making the northbound left at the unsignaliaed intersection. Even with the site generated traffic, the intersection is operating at a very low volume-to-capacity ratlo of 0.45 in the weekday p.m. peak hour and 0.14 in the weekday midday peak hour.

Table 4．Build－Year（2016）Toted Traffic Conditions

|  |  | ，＇י．${ }^{\text {a }}$ ． |  | ． |  | $1^{\prime} \cdot, \cdot 4$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0007 | PM Peak |  | 8 | 9.6 | 0.5 |
|  |  | MID Pexk | － | 9 | 104 | 0.64 |
| 2．H5 NA Ramp R East Pine Street | ODOT | PMANT | $\cdot$ | $\underline{5}$ | 319 | 0.57 |
|  |  | M10Penk | ． | 5 | 22.6 | 0.61 |
| 3．Peninger Road \＆Enst Plite Street | ODOT， Coumty，ity | P犋 Pext |  | 5 | 21.6 | 0.74 |
|  |  | MDD Pent |  | $E$ | 20.2 | 0.56 |
| 4．Hommich Rodit meast Pine Straet | County，City | PMA Prat |  | $\underline{C}$ | 20.1 | 0.41 |
|  |  | MD Poik | － | B | 121 | 0.0 |
| 5．Fadaral Way 条 Hzmikk Hoad | Casenty，पity | FAP阿 | Narthbound | $\square$ | 10.9 | 0．12 |
|  |  | NHD Pent | Northbornd | B | 120 | 0.25 |
| 6，Tabler hock Rood A Vlas Road | Courty | FMM Prak | － | C | 329 | 0.84 |
|  |  | MID Feak | $\cdot$ | E | 210 | 0.67 |
| 7．Tatile fock fored in esdstin limad | Cotariy | PMPa＊ | ． | C | 35.9 | 0.82 |
|  |  |  | － | c | 24.3 | 0.65 |
| 8．Tixie Rock Rand A Hamrich Roard | Courrey | PMPre3＊ | Eathound Letr | E | 43.4 | 0．46 |
|  |  | Mro Pexth | Eastbound Left | C | 219 | 0.35 |
| 9．Tabie Rodi Roud ti Arport Roed | Colnty | P19 | － | F | $3{ }^{2188}$ | 312 |
|  |  | MVIPMer | Weveround | E | 47.8 | 0.77 |
|  | Chy of Medford | Whant | $\cdots-1$ | $\underline{\square}$ | 45 | 9414 |
|  |  | MHD Petik | Weestound | 5 | 165 | 0.14 |
| 11．Table Rock foad ${ }^{\text {R OR }} 99$ | CaOT， Counky |  |  | c | 281 | 0.5 |
|  |  | Men Prat |  | $\bar{C}$ |  | 0．65 |
| 12．On 628 Ent Vias fand | ODOT， <br> Courity |  |  | D | 51.1 | 0.94 |
|  |  | MPDPCam | － | C | 33.2 | 0.75 |



 jurienction＇s standeras．



## Site Access Operations

There are six proposed driveways accessing the Central Point Costco site, two on each of the site's bordering frontage roads. On the west side of the site, two full accesses are proposed with movements atcessible to northbound and southbound on Federal Way. A full access (closest to Federal Way) and right-in/right-out access (closest to Table Rock Road) are proposed on the north side of the site with access to and from Hamrick Road. Finaily, there are two full accesses proposed on along Table Rock Road. The southern-most driveway on Table Rock Road would be the primary access for vehicles to access the Costco Gasoline fuel station.

Table 9 presents the traffic operations at the proposed site accesses. The governing agency's standard is determined by the roadway in which the site access is located. Figure 10 also iltustrates the bulld-vear (2016) total traffic conditions at each of the proposed site access during the weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hour. Appendix "G" contains the bulld-year (2016) total traffic operation worksheets.

Table 9. Build-Yeer (2016) Total Traffic Conditions at Ste Accesses

|  | .. | $\cdots$ | , |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13. Federal Wmy A Northwest Dirwawiy | City of Cantral Point | PM Prok | Whilbound | ${ }^{1}$ | L.) | $0.0 \%$ |
|  |  | MID Pelk | Watbeund | A | 1.8 | 0.14 |
| 14. Federal Way \% Southwest Driveway | clity of Central Point | PMA Pan | Whathound | A | 17 | 0.01 |
|  |  | MID Peat | Wasthound | A | a.f | 产 |
| 15. West Hamnck inoed Oriveway B mimnct Road | CIEy of Comtral Fcint | PM Peak | Northbound Left | A | 8.9 | 0.12 |
|  |  |  | Nortitoound Letit | B | 10.2 | 0.19 |
| 25. East Hamick hoad (hight-In/R/ghtout E Hemrich Road | Cliy of Contral Polnt | M M P ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Northbound ${ }^{\text {Whent }}$ | A | 9.1 | 0.10 |
|  |  | MIOPPek | Nortsbound Ricter | A | 9.3 | 0.15 |
| 17. Tabla Rock Rored a Northeast Orivewry | jachorn Cqunty | PM Peat | Enathound Lett | F | 71.2 | $0.5 \overline{2}$ |
|  |  | M10 Pagk | Enetooundisf | E | 48.3 | 0.410 |
| 18. Tabla Fork Mued er Southeast Drlumay | backoon County | PMipor | Ensthound | 5 | 11.6 | 0.12 |
|  |  | MIP Penk | Eastorund | 5 | 15.4 | 0.12 |


 the roadwayintersection during the peak 15 minutes of tie peak hour; and beld med frails indicakes mintergection aperating below its Jurisdict|an's standards.

As can be seen from the table and figure, all of the site access intersections are projected to operate at acceptable leveis-of-service and volume-to-capacity ratios during both the weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hours, with the exception of the Table fock Road/Northeast access. Note this is assuming this access is a full movement access and no improvements to Table Rock Road are completed. Under this scenario, the critical easthound left-turn movements at the Table Rock Road/Northeast access is projected to operate at LOS F during the weekday p.m. peak hour, however, it is still projected to operate well under capacity and meet the County's operational standard with a volume-to-capacity ratio of 0.52 . This means that while drivers wishing to make a left-turn out of thls location will experlence delay, they will still be able to find sufficient gaps in the traffic flow along Table Rock Road to complete the turn. Again, this is a near-term scenario for the first year of opening of the Costco development before the Table Rock Road widening improvements are constructed in 2017.


## BUILD-YEAR (2016) MITIGATIONS

This section provides a discusslon on mitigations for the impacted intersections under build year (2016) total traffic conditions. As outlined above, the bulld year (2016) scenario identified two additional intersections as not meeting operational standards compared to those not previously identified in the build-vear (2016) background scenarlo: the l-5 NB Ramp/E Pine Street and Table Rock Road/Airport Aoad intersections. Mitigations for both these lacations have already been identified through previous planning efforts by the City of Central Point, Jackson County, and ODOT. These are discussed below. Appendix "H" contoins the build-year (2016) mitigated traffic operation worksheets for the intersections cutlined below.

## 1-5 Ne Ramp © East Pine Street Mhigatlon

Site-generated trips increase the northbound right-turn lane's v/c ratio by 2\% during the weekday p.m. peak hour, resulting in a $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio for the lane group of 0.87 . This is greater than ODOT's standard of a maximum $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ of 0.85 for each lane groups at a ramp interchange. The need for additional capacity for this northbound right-turn movement has been previously identified in the Finol Draft IAMP: Exit 33 gtudy which calls for the widening of the 1-5 northbound off-ramp to add a second right-turn lane at the northbound approach to East Pine Street. The second turn lane would provide an additional 350 feet of storage to manage queuing on the off-ramp that cannot be managed with signal timing. Based on the assumed parameters of the project, this project would have the following benefit at the l-5 NB OffAamp intersectlon:

- The northbound right-turn lane group would operate with a $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio of 0.49 in the bulidyear (2016) total traffic scenarlo during the p.m. peak hour with the proposed improvements stated in the Final Droft IAMP: Exit 33.

ODOT and the City of Central Point are currently in discussions to determine Costco's approprlate proportional fair share contribution to this improvement as mitigation for the site generated trip impacts.

## Table Rack faad E Airport Hoad Intersection

Improvements to the Table Rock Road/Airport Road intersection are scheduled in year 2017 as part of Table Rock Road widening. In addition to widening Table fock Road at the intersection, a signal will be added to the intersectlon. The details of the signalized intersection have not vet been finalized; therefore, mitigated assumptions were based on the project description of Project. $\mathbf{8 2 1}$ in the RVMPO RTP. The signalized intersection has the following impact:

* With the addition of a signal, the level of service and delay improves significantly durine both the weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hour. Based on a 60 second cycle length the Intersection operates at LOS A with an average delay of 9.7 seconds per vehicle and a $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio of 0.51 during the weekday $\mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$, peak hour.
n The westbound approach improves to a LOS B with an approach delay of 15.4 seconds per vehicle with the signal, compared to LOS F and an approach delay over 100 seconds without a slgnal during the weekday p.m. peak hour under bulld-year (2016) total traffic condtions.

This intersection is an existing deficiency; however, given that this improvement is not currently scheduled until 2017, Jackson County and the City of Central Point are currently in discussions to determine an appropriate contribution to this improvement as mitigation in the Interim for the Costco project.

## TABLE ROCK ROAD ACCESS ALTERNATIVES

Even though the build year (2016) analysis showed that all of the site accesses will be able to aperate as proposed upon site opening before the Table Rock Road Improvernents are constructed, an evaluation of access alternatives for Table Rock Road was also completed to compare how temporary improvements would impact the access operations in the interim until the Table Rock Road widening is completed in 2017. The eccess scenarios compared were:

- Build Year (2016) Total Traffic Conditions (l.e., full Access to Table Rock Road) with No Table Rock Road Improvements (as summarized above)
- Build-Year (2016) Total Traffic Conditions with Temporary Table Rock Road Improvements (l.e., temporary widening of Table Rock Road along the site frontage to provide a center leftturn lane untll the ultimate widening project is constructed)
- Build-Year (2016) Total Traffic Conditions with Restricted Right-In/Right-Out Site Accesses (restrict Table Rock Road access to right-in/right-out only until the ultimate widening project is constructed)


## Operational Comparison

Table 10 compares the access operational results for these three scenarios. Also included for comparison are the operational results for the Table Rock Road/Hamrick Road intersection which does change depending on how the site's Table Rock Road accesses are configured.

Table 10．Table Hock Roed Access Aiternative Compurison

| ．．． $1 \times 1$ |  | $\cdots$ |  | ，＇ 1 | ．＇ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9．Tobte Rack Read theminkk Road | netson <br> Courity | PMP鳥复 | Eighound Ver | E | 43.4 | 0.45 |
|  |  | MID Pealk | Eqriound Lef | C | 21.9 | 0.35 |
| 27．Table Rock Road E Northeart Dr4men | Jackson Coprity | PARPith | Exstogund Infr | 8 | 71.1 | 0.5 |
|  |  | M1DP ${ }^{\text {an }}$ | Equthound Lert | E | 41.3 | 0.40 |
| 1．Table Rock Road 4 Southeast Drivatiry | backson county | PM Penk | tienternd | C | 18，6 | 0.12 |
|  |  | MID Phat | Entbound | $C$ | 15．4 | 0.12 |
| $\cdots{ }^{-1}$ | ．． | 1 | $\therefore$ ， |  | $\cdot$ |  |
|  | lection County |  | CHthous Lit | C | 15.7 | 0.19 |
|  |  | M10 Pent | Enctround 17t | $\underline{1}$ | 134 | 0.71 |
| 17．Tabie hock Foad Whorthemt Drapmey | Jaderon Consty | PWM Pent | Enemund Lex | 6 | 20.3 | 0.5 |
|  |  | MlC Peok | Eastound Latit | C | 19.5 | 0．18 |
| ib．Trate Rock Foad ef Southenst Offeway | 敏ctison Counly | FMN Rem | Eaptiound | 5 | 25. | 0.0 |
|  |  | M1D Peak | Exthound | 8 | 13.4 | 0.30 |
| ，． $4 \cdot .$. | ＇．＇ | ． | ，．．$\cdot \cdots$ |  |  |  |
|  | Jeckson County | PM Pask | Entionund Left | F | 38.0 | 32.0 |
|  |  | MMD Pat | E＊theund ET | $F$ | $3 \times 5$ | ＞1．0 |
|  Drivativy | forckion Ccurny | PM Rom | E＂ound ver | E | 12年 | 0.12 |
|  |  | HWD Peatis | Exatound bett | \％ | 143 | 0.34 |
| 18．Tableh Reck Fowd a Southent Driveney | 土acteson Counivy | FMrat | T－Mpund | － | 14．6 | 者嚅 |
|  |  | NDPME | Embound | E | 13.1 | 0.03 |



 midday prak hour．

The following conclusions can be drawn from the comparison in Table 10：
－Assumins full movement access and no improvements to Table Rock Road，the eastbound keft－ turns at the northeast access to Table Rock will experience relatively long delay（resulting in LOS F）but the access will stll operate well under capacity and meet the County＇s operational standard with a volume－to－capaclity ratio of 0.52 during the critical time perlod．
－Providing temporary widening along the site frantage to provide a temporary center turn lane will allow all Table Rock Road accesses to operate acceptably as full movements until the ultimate Table Rock Road widening Improvements are constructed in 2017.
－Restricting the site＇s Table Rock Road accesses to rieht－in／right－out only will allow those accesses to operate at acceptable levels of service and volume－to－capacity ratios．However，it will add additional left－turn movements at the Table Rock Road／Hamrick Road Intersection thus resulting in over－capacity and LOS F conditions at that location．This impact could be reduced by adding temporary widening around the Intersection to provide a northbound left－turn lane as well as a center refuge area north of Hamrick to allow vehicles turning left from Hamrick to make a two stage gap acceptance maneuver for the left－turn（will improve operations to LOS $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.58 \mathrm{In}$ the weekday p．m．peak hour and $\operatorname{LOS} \mathrm{F}$ and $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.80$ in the weekend midday peak hour．

As requested by the City of Central Point, the operations of the site accesses to Table Rock Road in the year 2017 once the Table Rock Road widening improvements are constructed were also evaluated. These were evaluated to compare operations with the accesses as full movement accesses and as right-in/right-out only accesses. Table 11 summarizes the operations of the Table Rock Road site accesses in the year 2017 once the Table Rock Road improvements are in place.

Table 11. Tabive Rock Roed Accese Opermions in 2017


The following conclusions can be drawn from the comparison in Table 11:

- Once the ultimate Table Rock Road widening improvement is constructed in 2017, all site mccesses to Table Rock Road will opernte a good levels of service (LOS C or better) and volume-to-capacity ratios ( $v / c=0.21$ or better) during the peah hour periods assumiag they are full access movements.
- The accesses will also operate acceptably as right-in/right-out only accesses once the ultimate Table Rock Road improvements are constructed, however, restricting those access will add additional left-turn moverients at the Table Rock Road/Hamrick Road intersection. The additional left-turn demand will cause the critical eastbound lets-turn movernent to go from $\operatorname{LOS} C$ and $v / c=0.18$ to $\operatorname{LOS} D$ and $v / s=0.54$ in the weakday p.m. peak hour and LOS B and $v / c=0.21$ to $\operatorname{LOS} F$ and $v / c=0.75$ in the weekend midday peak hour.


## Safety Comparison

In addition to the access operations comparison outlined above, the predicted safety performance of the acceases under the various alternatives was reviewed. A safety analysis was performed for the Table Rack Road accesses using the predictive crash methodology from Chapter 12 of the Highway Safety Monual, with adjusted crash calibration factors from OOOT's, Callbrating the MIghway Safety Manual Predictive Methods for Oregon Highwavs. The accesses were evaluated as unsignalized intersections (since no specific safety predictive functions are provided for accesses). The analysis looked at five scenarios:

- Table Rock Road as Two Lanes with Full Movement Access
- Table Rock Road as Two Lanes with R1/RO Only Access
- Table Rock Road as Three Lanes with Full Movement Access
- Table Rock Road as Five Lanes with Full Movement Access
- Table Rock Road as Five Lanes with RI/RO Only Access

In order to predict crashes at right-in/right-out intersections, head-on collisions and angle crashes were oinitted from the prediction methodology to represent a RIRO driveway.

Table 12 summarizes the results of this evaluation and safety comparison.
Table 12. Table Rock Roed Acceas Pradletive Sufuny Compmison


Interpretation of the predictlve safety results is complex. These are not absolute numbers and instead represent more of the probability for crashes to occur. In addition, the egencies must weigh the results of the safety predictive results with those of the traffic operational results as there are tradeoffs to each.

Providing full movement accesses to Table Rock Road in the near-term with its current two lane configuration shows the probability for 1.2 crashes per year to occur combined at the two access points. If these were restricted to right-in/right-out only driveways, the safety prediction lowers to a probability of 0.83 crashes per year (about a $30 \%$ decrease in probability). If temporary widening was provided in the interim for a two-way left-turn lane along the site's frontage, the probability would lower to 0.76 crashes per year (about a $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ decrease in probability).

The safety predictive analysis also shows that once the ultimate Table Rock Road widening improvements are in place the safety prediction lowers as well to 0.77 crashes per year even with maintaining full movement accesses at both locations.

## FUTURE YEAR (2030) BACKGROUND TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

The future year (2030) background scenario analyzed how the study area's transportation system will operate without the site-generated traffic in year 2030, rapmesenting a $\mathbf{1 5}$ year lore-term future condition at the study Intersections. Future year traffic conditions were analyzed for both the weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hours. The future year (2030) background scenarlo includes the planned roadway improvements and land use developments previously mentioned for the build year as well as other planned improvements that are expected to be in plece by the year 2030 such as the Table Rock Road widening and the Table Rock Road/Airport Road intersection sienal. Appendix "i" contains the future year (2030) background traffic operotion worksheets for the intersections autined below.

## Traffic Volumes

The 2030 background traffic volumes reflect existing traffic counts plus 15 vears of annual background growth and in-process development traffic. Volumes along end accessing to and trom OR 62 (Crater Lake Highway) were not grown by the annual growth rate due to the expected completion of the OR 62 Expressway project. The future year (2038) model provided by ODOT shows that daily volumes along OR 62 da not increase when compared to the base year (2008) volumes. The 2030 background conditions trafic volumes are summarized in figure 11.


## Intersection Operations

Figure 11 and Table 13 present the future year (2030) background conditions operational results at each study intersection. All of study intersections operate at acceptable levels of service and volume-tocapaelty ratlos during the weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hours with the following exceptions:

- Harnrick Rowd 8 Enst Pine Strept operates with a v/c ratio of $>1.0$ during the weekday p.m. peak hour
- Uldella hoad Alrport Rod (as under the build year conditions) has a critical movement which operates at $L 05 \mathrm{~F}$ during the weckday p.m. peak hour although the movement is still operating under capacity with a v/c ratio of 0.55

Tabid 13. Future Yeer (2030) Eecheround Traric Operiexions
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## FUTURE YEAR (2030) TOTAL TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

The future year (2030) total traffic scenarlo analyzed how the study area's iransportation system will operate with Costco's site-generated trips in year 2030, representing a 15 year future condition with the addition of site-generated traffic at each of the study intersectlons. future year traffic conditions were analyzed for both the weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hours. The future year (2030) total scenaria also includes the planned roadway improvements and land use developments previously mentioned. Appendix "J" contains the future year (2030) total traffic operation worksheets for the intersections outl/ned below.

## Traffic Volumes

Traffic volumes for the future year (2030) total traffic scenario reflect the 2030 background scenario volumes plus the addrition of site generated trafic. The future vear 2030 total traffic volumes are summarized in Figure $\mathbf{1 2}$ for the off site study intersections.

## Intersection Operations

The intersection operations for the 2030 total traffic scenario are also summarized in Figure 12 and in Table 14. As can be seen from the figure and table, the future year (2030) total scenarlo determined that site-generated trips did not impact any study intersections not previously identified in the future year (2030) background scenario. As in the 2030 background scenario, the Hamrick Road/East Pine Street Intersection operates with a $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio of $>1.0$ during the weekday p.m. peak hour and the critical movement at the Biddle Road/Airport Road operates at LOS F during the weekday p.m. peak hour.


| Gentroi Point Costra Devetoparent | Praject 15096 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Transportotion import Anahysis | Octater 2015 |

rransportotion import Ananysis

Tabla 14. Future Year (2030) Total Trafic Operations
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## Year 2030 Site Access Operations

Figure 13 and Table 15 presents the year 2030 traffic conditions at each of the site accesses. All of the proposed site accesses operate at acceptable levels of service during the weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hours. Because of the planned roadway Improvements along Table Rock Road, there is a significant benefit to the traffic operations at the site accesses along Table Rock Road when compared to the bulld-year (2016) total traffic scenarlo.


Table 15. Future Year [2030] Total Tranic Operntiona at Site Accesses
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## FUTURE YEAR (2030) MITIGATIONS

This section includes the mitigations to the intersections identified as not meeting operational standards in the year 2030. As outiined previously, there are two locations found to not meet standards in the year 2030 background conditions. The additlonal of site generated trafflc did not trigger any additional locations to not meet standards in the year 2030 scenarlos. The two locations found to not meet standards in the year 2030 background conditions are:

- Harnrick Road \& East PIne
- Biddle Roed \& Airport Road

The mitigated result for each impacted intersection is outlined below. Appendix " $K$ " contains the future year (2050) mitigated traffic operation worksheets for the intersections outlined below.

## Hamrick Road \& East Pine Street Mitigotions

The intersection of Hamrick Road/East Pine Street experiences a heaw volume of vehicles maklng a southbound right-turn at the intersection, with a v/c ratio for that movement of above 1.0 during the p.m. peak hour of the future year (2030) background traffic conditlons. There have no improvements identified beyond Project \#216 stated in the City Central Point's transportation system plan. In order to mitigate the intersection, there are several options:

- The addition of a southbound right-turn lane wauld improve intersection operations to LOS $C$ with an overall v/c ratio of 0.76 and average delay of 25.2 seconds per vehicle. The $\mathbf{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio of the scuthbound right-turn movernent would decrease from 1.27 to 0.70 with the addition of an additional turn lane.
- The addition of a second eastbound left-turn lane would also mitigate the Intersection to a volume-to-capacity ratio of $<0.95$ (currently under review as one option in the dackson County TSP)
- The conversion of the intersection to a roundabout would also mitigate the intersection to a volume-to-capacity ratio of $<0.95$ (currently under review as one option in the Jackson County TSP]


## Siddle Rood E A/rport Road Mitigations

This intersection operates at LOS F during both the 2030 background and 2030 total traffic conditions. The project is not adding any traffic to the critical westbound approach. There are no knows plans for improvements at this location by the City of Medford but the need for mitlation is not triagered by the project.

## PARKING ASSESSMENT

City of Central Point Municipal Code 17.64 .040 states that all land uses shall comply with the number off-street parking requirements. These requirements for non-residential land uses are stated in Table 17,64.028. Retail store was assumed as the general commercial use for the proposed Costco development. This use states that no more and no less than 1 parking space per 200 square-feet of net floor area (excluding storase and other non-sales or non-display areas) be provided.

Based on the proposed 160,000 square-foot warehouse, of which 134,000 is usable sales space, this would equite to a minimum and maximum requirement of 570 parking spaces for the Costco development. Municipal Code $17.64 .040 . \mathrm{B} .2$ states that the off-street requirements may be increased based on a parking demand analysis prepared by the applicant as part of the stte plen and architectural review. The parking demand analysis shall demonstrate and documents justlfication for the proposed increase.

## Parking Demand Analysis

The proposed site plan as illustrated in Figure 1 provides a total of 782 parking spaces which is $30 \%$ more spaces than the maximum allowed based on Central Polnt's Municipal Code. Based on the nature of Costco sales and operations, the proposed parking has been carefully considered and is proposed given known parking demand characteristics for Contco sites. Costco is a unique use that demonstrates the need for a particular amount of parking to accommodate typical and peak demands. In fact, one of the reasons for relocating the existing Medford Costco to Central Point is to bulld on a site that can provide sufficient parking supply.

Table 16 provides a summary of the documented parking supply and demand at existing costco warehouses in Oregon (including the current Medford iocation)

Table 16. Typical Peat Parking Demand at Other Costco Warehouses in Oresion


As shown in Table 16, these three other Costco lacations demonstrate a typical peak parking demand of 4.24 spaces/1,000 sq-ft. Guidelines from the Institute of Transportation Engineer's Parking Generation, 4th Edition (Reference 11) recommend that users perceive a parking tot to be "full" once utilization reaches $90 \%$ of capacity, noting that increases in tllegal parking and repeating circulation occur beyond this level. Given this guidance, our recommendation is to provide sufficient parking to maintain a utllization of below $90 \%$ during the typical peak periods. Table 16 shows that, based on data from other Costco developments, the parking ratio required to maintain $90 \%$ utilization during the peak or less is a minimum of 4.71 spaces $/ 1,000 \mathrm{sq}-\mathrm{ft}$.

Applying the demonstrated minimum parking supply of 4.71 spaces $/ 1,000$ sq-ft to the proposed Central Point Costco development equates to a minimum recommended parking supply as summarized in Table 17.

Table 17. Centrial Point Costco Recommended Parkine Supply


The table shows that a minimum of 753 parking spaces should be supplied in order to provide sufficient capacity for the likely parking demand on site. This indicates that the proposed parking supply of 782 is stightly higher than this minimum amount but within a reasonable ranise and will provide an appropriate parking supply to accommodate typical peak periods as well as additional spaces for seasonal peaks as well.

In addition to parking space totals, accessible parking requirements are presented in Table 17.64 .03 of the City's Municipal Code. For land uses providing a total number of parking spaces between 501 and 1,000, which applies the proposed Central Paint Costca site, $2 \%$ of total parkine provided is required to be accessible. Costco has planned to Include approximately $\mathbf{2 . 2 \%}$ or 17 of its total parking spaces to be accesslble parkling, based on total parking gpaces equaling 782. The site plan shows that this requirement is being met.

Section 5
Conclusions \& Findings

## CONCLUSIONS \& FINDINGS

The analysis and evaluation completed for the Central Point Costco development resulted in the following conclusions and findings:

## Project Description

- Costco Wholesale is proposing to deveiop a new warehouse and fuel station located in the southwest quadrant of the Table Rock Road/Hamrick Road intersection in Central point, Oregon.
- The development plan includes a 160,000 square-foot Costco warehouse and a 24 fuelling position Costco Gasoline fuel statlon. This new Central Point Costco will replace the existing Medford Costco located at 3639 Crater Lake Hwy in Medford, Oregon.
- The parcels of land that in which the proposed Costco would occupy are zoned as M-1 (Industrial) which allows the development of the Costco warehouse and fuel station with a conditional use permit (no land use or zoning charges are required).
- In order to best evaluate the anticipated transportation characteristics of the proposed Central Point Costco development, it was agreed that the Costco-specific data be used to most accurately represent the anticipated traffic characterlstics of the unique development type.
- The proposed Costco development is estimated to generate a total of approximateiy 10,670 net new trips on a daily basis, 900 net new trip ends during the weekday p.m. peak hour and a pproximately 1,365 net new trip ends during the weekend midday peak hour.
- The distribution pattern for site generated trips was developed using zip code data from current memberships at the existing Costco warehouse located on OR 62 (Crater Lake Highway) in Medford, Oregon, as well as from the existing traffic pattems and major trip origins and destinations within the study area and the regional travel demand model.


## Existing Conditions

- The siudy evaluated 12 off site Intersections in addition to site access points.
- The study evaluated two time periods for each evaluation scenarlo: weekday p.m. peak hour and weekend midday peak hour.
- Based on recent \{raffic counts collected in May and July 2015, all of the study intersections were found to operate at acceptable operating standards during the existing weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hours except for the Table Rock Road/Alrport Road intersection during weekday p.m. peak.
- The Table Rock Road/Airport Road intersection is stop controlled in the westbound direction. Under existing conditions in the weekday p.m. peak hour, there is high delay for the critical movement (westbound left-turn) resulting in LOS F.
- Crash data the most recent five years (2009 - 2013) at all of the study Intersectlons was reviewed to identify historical safety trends.
a Turning movement and rear-end crashes were the most common crash type at the intersections, accounting for approximately $82 \%$ of all crashes.
o There were no fatality crashes.
- Four study intersections were found to be in the goth percentile and in compliance ODOT's SPIS: $1-5$ SE Ramps/E Pine Street, Table Rock Road/W Vllas Road, OR 62 (Crater Lake Highway)/W vilas Road, and Table Rock Road/OR 99.


## Build Year 2016 Analysis

- The transportation impact analysis evaluated two different future vear scenarios: year 2016, the assumed build out year of the development, and year 2030 a long-term planning year.
- The 2016 butld-year background traffic analysis (without inciusion of the project traffl) found that all of the study intersections are forecast to operate at acceptable kevels of service and volume-to-capacity ratios during the weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hours except for the Table Rock Road/Airport Road intersection durlng weekday p.m. peak hour.
- As under existing conditions, during the weekday p.m. peak hour there is high delay for the critical movernent (westbound left-turn) resulting in LOS F. In addition, the critical movement is also operating with a volume-to-capacity ratio of greater than 0.95 in the build year (2016) background conditions (with no traffle from the proposed Costco development).
- The build-year (2016) total traffic analysis (with inclusion of the project traffic) found that all study intersections will continue to operate at acceptable levels of service during the weekday $p . m$. and weekend midday peak hours with the exception of:
- I-5 NB Ramps \& East Plne Street exceeds ODOT standards (lane group v/c ratio $\leq$ 0.85 ) with the northbound right-turn lane group's $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratlo of 0.87 during the weekday p.m. peak hour. The need for additional capacity for this northbound rightturn movement has been previously identified in the Final Draft IAMP; Exit 33 study which calls for the widening of the l-5 northbound aff-ramp to add a second rightturn lane at the northbound approach to East Pine Street. ODOT and the City of Central Point are currently in discussions to determine Costco's appropriate proportional fair share contributlon to this improvement as mitigation for the site generated trip impacts.
- Table Rock Road \& Airport Rad, as under existing and 2016 background conditions, continues to operate at a LDS F during the weekend p.m. peak hour. Improvements to the Tabie Rock Road/Airport Road intersection are scheduled in year 2017 as part of Table Rock Road widening and a signal will be added to the intersection. This Intersection is an existing deficiency; however, given that this improvement is not currently scheduied until 2017, Jackson County and the City of Central Point are currently in discussions to determine an appropriate contribution to this improvement as mitigation In the interim for the Costco project.
- Biddle Road \& Airport Road experiences a higher delay for the critical movement of the westbound approach, dropping from LOS C to $E$ during the weekday p.m. peak period due to site-generated traffic. Even with the site generated trafic, the intersection is operating at a very low volume-to-capacity ratio of 0.45 in the weekday p.m. peak hour and 0.14 in the weekday midday peak hour.


## Site Access Analysis

- In the build year 2016 scenario, all site access intersections are projected to operate at acceptable levels-of-service and volume-to-capacity ratios during both the weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hours, with the exception of the Table Rock Road/Northeast access. Note this is assumine this access is a full movement access and no Improvements to Table Rock Road are completed. Under this scenarlo, the critical eastbound left-turn movements at the Table Rack Road/Northeast access is projected to operate at LOS F during the weekday p.m. peak hour, however, it is still projected to operate well under capacity and meet the County's operational standard.
- Even though the build year (2016) analysis showed that all of the site arcesses will be able to operate as proposed upon site opening hefore the Table Rock Road improvements are constructed, an evaluation of access alternatives for Table Rock Road was also completed to compare how temporary improvements would Impact the access operations in the interim.
- The access scenarios compared were:
- Build Year (2016) Total Traftic Conditions (i.e., Futl Access to Table Rock Road) with No Table Rock Road Improvements (as summarized above)
o Build-Year (2016) Total Traffic Conditions with Temporary Table Rock Road Improvements (i.e., temporary widening of Table Rock Road along the site frontage to provide a center left-turn lane until the ultimate widening project is constructed)
- Bulid-Year (2016) Total Traffic Conditions with Restricted Right-In/Right-Out Site Accesses (restrict Table Rock Road access to right-in/right-out anly until the ultimate widening project is constructed)
- The access alternatives evaluation found that:
- Assuming fulf movement access and no improvements to Table Rock Road, the eastbound ieft-turns at the northeast access to Table Rock will experience relatively long delay (resulting in LOS F) but the access will stitl operate well under capacity and meet the County's operational standard during the critical time period.
- Providing temporary widening along the site frontage to provide a temporary center turn lane will allow all Table Rock Road accesses to operate acceptably as full movements until the uitimate Table Rock Road widening improvements are constructed in 2017.
o Restricting the site's Table Rack Road accesses to rlaght-in/right-out only will allow those accesses to operate at acceptable levels of service and volume-to-capacity ratios. However, it will add additional left-turn movements at the Table Rock Road/Hamrick Road intersection thus resulting in over-capacity and LOS F conditions at that location. This impact could be reduced by adding temporary widening around the intersection to provide a northbound left-turn lane as well as a center refuge area north of Harnick to allow vehickes turning left from Hamrick to make a two stage gap acceptance maneuver for the left-turn.
- Once the ultimate Table Rock Road widening improvement is constructed in 2017, all site accesses to Table Rock Road will operate a good levels of service (LOS C or better) and volume-to-capacity ratios ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.21$ or better) during the peak hour perlods assuming they are full access movements.
- From a safety perspective, a predictive safety analysis found that:
- Providing full movement accesses to Table Rock Road in the near-term with its current two lane configuration shows the probability for 1.2 crashes per year to occur combined at the two access points.
- If these were restricted to right-in/right-out only driveways, the safety prediction lowers to probablify of 0.83 crashes per year (about a $30 \%$ decrease in probabllity).
- If temporary widening was provided in the interim for a two-way left-turn lane along the site's frontage, the probabillity would lower to 0.76 crashes per year (about a $30 \%$ decrease in probabillty).
- The safety predictive analysis also shows that once the utimate Table Rock Road widening improvements are in place the safety prediction lowers as well to 0.77 crashes per year even with malntaining full movement accesses at both locations.


## Future Year 2030 Analysis

- The future year (2030) background conditions analysis (without the project traffic) found that all study intersections will continue to operate at acceptable levels of service and volume-to-capacity ratios during the weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hours with the following exceptions:
o Hamrick Road \& East Pine Street operates with av/c ratio of $>1.0$ during the weekday p.m. peak hour
- Biddle Road \& Airport Road (as under the build vear conditions) has a critical movement which operates at LOS F during the weekday p.m. peak hour although the movement is still operating under capacity with a $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio of 0.55
- The future year (2030) total traffic analysis (with the project traffic) found that the sitegenerated trips did not impact any study intersections not previously identified in the 2030 background scenario.
- All of the proposed site accesses operate at acceptabie levels of service during the weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hours under the future year 2030 total traffic scenario. Because of the planned roadway improvements along Table Rock Road, there is a significant benefit to the traffic operations at the site accesses along Table Rock Road when compared to the buld-year (2016) total traffic scenario.


## Parking Assessment

- City of Central Point Municipal Code directs that a parking supply of 670 parking spaces be provided far the Costco development \{assunning retail land use).
- The project is proposing to provide a total of 782 parking spaces on site.
- As part of this report, a parking demand analysis was completed to demonstrate and documents justification for the proposed increase in parking supply.
- Actual parking supply and demand data from other Costco sites in Oregon indicates that a minimum parking ratio of 4.71 spaces $/ 1,000 \mathrm{sq}$-ft be provided in order to supply enough parking to meet Costco specific demands.
- Applying the demonstrated minimum parking supply of 4.71 spaces $/ 1,000$ sq-ft to the proposed Central Point Costco development equates to a minimum recommended parking suppiy of 753 spaces.
- This indicates that the proposed parking supply of 782 is sightly higher than thls minmum amount but within a reasonable range and will provide an appropriate parking supply to accommodate typical peak perlods as well as additional spaces for seasonal peaks as well.
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## MEMORANDUM

| Date: | November 10, 2015 | Project \#: 19046.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| To. | Mr. Matt Sarnitore |  |
|  | City of Central Point |  |
|  | 140 South Third Street |  |
|  | Central Point, Oregon 97502 |  |
| From: | Brett Korporaal, julia Kuhn and Sonia Daleiden |  |
| Project: | Central Point Costco TIA |  |
| Subject: | Response to Comments - Central Point Costco TIA |  |

This memorandum responds to comments submitted by staff from the City of Central Point (via Southern Oregon Transportation Engineering, LLC], related to the Central Point Costco Transportation Impact Analysis (TIA). Each comment is summarized below followed by our response.

## COMMENT 1 - SUBMITTED BY CITY OF CENTRAL POINT

"Page 30 of the study in the last paragraph, KAI assumes that planned roadways in the IAMP as well as Tier 1 improvements listed in the City's TSP have been financially constrained and can be reasonably funded within the next twenty years. Many of the projects and/or improvements identified are not funded and there is no current mechanism for funding at this time. These include:

- Widening East Pine Street to add a second WBL and widening the f-5 SB on-ramp for two receiving lanes (\$1.7 million)
- Widening the I-5 NB off ramp at East Pine Street to include an additional NBR (\$1.3 milion)
- Widening west and north approaches at Hamrick/Pine Street intersection
- Widening to include a dual eastbound left at Table Rock/Biddle Road intersection"


## KAI RESPONSE

As part of the scoping process, KAl received confirmation from each of the jurisdictions that the Tier 1 projects identified in the IAMP and RVMPO's RTP should be included in the TIA analyses. As communicated in an email from Wei Wang, ODOT, on Thursday, July 2, 2015, "Page 8 of TIA, Planned Transportation tmprovements - This should reference the RVMPO RTP Tier 1 projects and also
consider improvements/mitigations identified in IAMP 33. It is possible that some of them could be triggered earlier or may by mitigation for this development. Please review the Interchange Area Management Plan I-S Exit 33 (IAMP 33). The proposed Costco TIA should be consistent with IAMP 33."

Based on this email, we submitted a "Scoping Memo Response to Comment" memorandum to the Crity of Central Point, Jackson County and ODOT that stated, "We will include any planned transportation improvements referenced in RVMPD RTP Tier 1 and IAMP 33 that will be completed during or prior to the proposed build out of the site."

Additionally, on Tuesday, September 29,2015 KAI held a telephone conference with representing members from each agency to review and discuss the initial findings from the TIA. During this call, we verified with agency staff the funded Tier 1 projects from the RTP and IAMP to include in our analyses.

Our TIA is consistent with all of our previous correspondences from staff. We are unclear as to the change in direction about those projects to inciude in the analyses and would appreciate additional insights from agency staff.

## COMMENT 2 - SUBMITTED BY CITY OF CENTRAL POINT

"The TIS doesn't include a queuing analysis, which is a requirement in the scoping letter. A queuing analysis should be performed in SimTraffic and follow the methodology outlined in ODOT's Analysis Procedures Manual (APM)."

## KAI RESPONSE 2

KAI analyzed queuing for all site access points, the I-5 NB Ramps/E Pine Street and Table Rock Road/Hamrick Road intersections based on scoping direction from the City, County, and ODOT. Queuing was reviewed for the impact of the site-generated trips on 95 th percentile queue lengths. Per the TIA, queues were calculated for the 2016 and 2030 scenarlos during the weekday p.m. and weekend mid-day peak hours. For comparison purposes, the build-year (2016) total scenarlo also identifies queues lengths assuming an interim three lane configuration along Table Rock Road. The 2030 scenario provides the queuing assuming the planned and programed Improvements to Table Rock Road are in place.

The queuing analysis was completed using SimTraffic within Synchro 8 software, which implements the 2000 Highway Capacity Manual methodology and is in compliance with ODOT's APM. In order to provide a conservative anzlysis and reflect the worst-case conditions, queues were reported for a peak 15-minute analysis. Vehicle queue lengths were rounded to the next $\mathbf{2 5}{ }^{\text {th }}$ foot (assuming $\mathbf{2 5}$-feet of storage per vehicle).

## Build-Year (2016) Total Trafic Condtion Queve Lengths

Table 1 presents the queue lengths for the build-year (2016) total traffic scenario. As documented in the TIA, the northbound right-turn at the l-S NB Ramps/E Pine Street intersection exceeds capacity with the inclusion of site-generated trips. With the inclusion of site-generated trips, the queue lengths increase from approximately 125 feet under background conditions to 350 feet under total conditions. However, with site-generated trips the queue is still maintained within the right-turn lane storage and does not spillback into deceleration area of the northbound off-ramp during the weekday p.m. peak hour.

No queueing impacts were identified at the other intersections analyzed. In addition, a three-lane roadway along Table Rock Road does not change the estimated queue kengths northbound and southbound. However, it is important to note that the absence of a left-turn lane can cause delays to through traveiers aiong Table Rock Road. Further, the absence of a left-turn lane aiso Increases queue lengths and delay for vehicles making left-turns out of the site. The Table Rock Road widening is completed in year 2017 and will provide benefits to the overall transportation system.

Table 1. 95th Percentle Queuing - 2016 Canditions


Notes; ' $95^{\prime \prime}$ percentlfe quave thngths have been rounded to the next $25^{\circ}$-foot, one veh|cle represent 25 feate of storage; 'Storage lengths wera reported where applicable ot the respective Intersection. Storage lanes for laft and right turns into the site are not included in the bulif-yaar (2016) totak scermio with the exception of the West Howrick Rd Dwy/H enrick Ad site atcess where there is presently a two-way iefe-turn lane. 'A two-way left-tum lane would be provider alone Table Rock Foad for access inta and of the site driveways; Bold Indicates s5 percent|le queues exceeding starage length.

## Future Year (2030) Total Traffic Condition Queue Lengths

Table presents queue lengths for the future (2030) total traffic scenario. As shown, all estimated queues can be accommodated within the storage provided during both peak hours analyzed.

Table 2. 95th Percentlle Queuing - 2030 Conditions

| ¢!t - - ! ! |  |  |  |  |  | $1 \%$ |  | $\stackrel{F}{\text { rin }}$ | ril |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1. ., t. 1.8its | - $\ddagger$ | , 45 | Ansts | $\because 1 ;$ | , 4. | ¢ ¢ i |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. 1-5 NB Ramps/E Pline $5 t$ | Stors, ${ }^{\text {ele Length }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | PM Pagk | 50 | - | . | 125 | 325 | 200 | - | - |
|  | MiD Peak | 25 | - | * | 200 | 150 | 150 | $\bullet$ | - |
| 8. Table Rock Ad/Homitick Ad | Steraga Lenth ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | PM Peak | 25 | 25 | - | * | 25 | - | - | 0 |
|  | M10 P昭k | 25 | 25 | - | - | 25 | - | - | $\underline{\square}$ |
| 13. Federal Way/Northwest DwY | Pfi Payk | . | . | 0 | 23 | . | 0 | 25 | - |
|  | MID Peat | - | - | 0 | 25 | . | 0 | 25 | 0 |
| 14. Federal Way/Southwest Dwy | PM Peak | - | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 25 | - |
|  | MID Pax | , | . | 25 | 0 | $\cdot$ | 0 | 25 | 0 |
| 15. West Hamtlek Rd Dwy/Harnrick Rd | PM Patk | $\cdot$ | 0 | 25 | . | 25 | 25 | - | - |
|  | MlD Peak | , | 0 | 25 | - | 75 | 25 | $\because$ | - |
| 16. East Hamrick Rd \{RiRO\} Swy Hamrick Rd | PM Peak | - | 0 | - | . | . | 25 | - | - |
|  | MID Peak | $\cdots$ | 0 | $\bullet$ | - | $\cdot$ | 25 | * | $\cdot$ |
| 17. Table Rock Rd/Northeast Dwy | PM Peak | 25 | 50 | $\because$ | $\pm$ | 50 | . | - | 0 |
|  | MiD Pesia | 25 | 50 | - | - | $5 \square$ | . | . | 0 |
| 16. Table Rock Rd/Southenst Dwy | PM Peak | 25 | 0 | - | - | 25 | * | * | 0 |
|  | MID Pank | 25 | 0 | - | * | 25 | - | - | 0 |

 reported where goplicable at the respective intersactian. Storage anes zlang Table Rack Rozd will be includes within the twa-way left-turn lane
 two-way left-turn lane. Future year scenarlo doas not include storage lanes to accesses the site on Federal Way because of low volume af trafic and turning mavements into and out of the site; Dodd Indicstes $95^{\text {th }}$ gercentile queues exceading storage length.

## COMMENT 3 - SUBMITTED BY CITY OF CENTRAL POINT

"If multiple access points are being proposed on Table Rock Road and S Hamrick Road then City and Caunty access spacing standards should be taken into consideration and shown to be in compliance or otherwise justified. ${ }^{\text { }}$

## KAI RESPONSE

The City's Transportation System Plan (TSP) identifies Table Rock Road as a major arterial. Based on Table 5.2 in Central Point's TSP a minimum spacing standard of 500 feet applies given the 45 mph posted speed. The Table Rock Road/Northeast Driveway is approximately 420 feet south of the Table Rock Road/S Hamrick Road unsignalized intersection. This driveway serves as the site's main driveway. The Table Rock Road/Solitheast Driveway is located at the very southern edge of the site boundary. The spacing between the two site driveways is 500 feet, meeting City access management standards.

Although distance between the maln driveway and the S Hamrick Road intersection does not meet the City's standards, there are no queue conflicts or operational issues associated with the spacing.

Further, we have worked with the project team to maximize the spacing of access points and to optimize internal circulation for both the warehouse and fuel station.

We can work with the City to seek a design exception to the 500 feet standard between the main driveway and S Hamrick Road intersection with Table Rock Road.

Per Table 5.2 of the TSP, the applicable access spacing standard along $\mathbf{S}$ Hamrick Road is 300 feet. The East Hamrick Road Driveway/S Hamrick Road site access meets the spacing requirement between the driveway and the unsignalized intersection of Table Rock Road/S Hamrick Road intersection. The distance between the west and east driveways along S Hamrick Road is roughly 520 feet, also meetine the City's access spacirg standards. The West Hamrick Road Driveway/5 Hamrick Road site access is located approximately 200 feet west of the Hamrick Road/Federal Way unsignalized intersection, not meeting the City's spacing guidelines. While the spacing does not meet City guidelines, our analyses demonstrated that no operational or queuing conflicts are anticipated between this driveway and the S Hamrick Road/Federal Way unsignalized intersection.

We will also work with City staff to seek a design exception for the spacing between the west driveway and the S Hamrick Road/Federal Way intersection.

## COMMENT 4 - SUBMITTED BY CITY OF CENTRAL POINT

"The proportional share for impacts to facilities such as the l-5 NB off ramp can be determined by a volume comparison. The 2016 no-build right turn volume is 310 PM trips. Proposed development in 2016 adds 90 PM trips. Adding 90 trips is approximately a $\mathbf{2 3 \%}$ impact. The same methodolory can be used for other facilities."

## KAI RESPONSE

Thank you for clarifying the applicable methodology for proportionate share impacts. We will work with Costco and the agencies in determining the proportional share for projects which Costco will be responsible based on feedback from the agencies.

|  | $\cdots$ |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 8 | \% | $\uparrow$ | \% |  |  |
| Volume (veht) | 71 | 79 | 18 | 484 | 569 | 26 |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Free | Free |  |  |
| Grada | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 77 | 86 | 21 | 526 | 618 | 28 |  |
| Pedestrians | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Whith (t) | 12.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (i/s) | 3.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Elockige | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (woh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Modkn type |  |  |  | WLTL | WLTL |  |  |
| Madian storege veh) |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |
| Upstremm signol (ti) |  |  |  |  | 1076 |  |  |
| pX, platoon unbiocked | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 |  |  |  |  |
| YC, cornicting volume | 1201 | 634 | 848 |  |  |  |  |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vod | 634 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| V2, 韨pe 2 conf vol | 567 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VCu , unblackex wof | 1075 | 268 | 288 |  |  |  |  |
| C, single (d) | 6.4 | 6.2 | 4.3 |  |  |  |  |
| (C, 2 stage (s) | 5.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F (s) | 3.5 | $3: 3$ | 2.4 |  |  |  |  |
| p0 quoue free \% | 81 | 84 | 87 |  |  |  |  |
| CM ceprecity (whel | 416. | 546 | 924 |  |  |  |  |
| $\square$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volinim | 7 | 788 | 3 | 328 | 847 |  |  |
| Ooluma let | 77 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 28 |  |  |
| SH | 415 | 545 | 824 | 1700 | 1700 |  |  |
| colume to Capecity | 0.19 | 0:16 | 0.03 | 0.31 | 0.38 |  |  |
| Queve Length 95th (f) | 17 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Control Deiay (e) | 15.7 | 12:8 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| and LOS | C | 8 | A |  |  |  |  |
| Pproach Dolay (3) | 14.2 |  | 0.4 |  | 0.0 |  |  |
| Approuch LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 9.8 |  |  |  |  |
| intoriction Cipacity Utll |  |  | 46\%\% | ICU Level ef enter |  |  | 4 |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |



HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis


Queuing and Blocking Report
BuildYear (Mitigated) Weekday PM Peak Hour
Intersection: 8: Table Rock Rd \& Hamrick Rd

| Dinctions Sorved | L | R | L |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Qubue ( ${ }_{\text {( }}$ ) | 180 | 77 | 65 |
| Average Queve (a) | 43 | 34 | 9 |
| 85th Quevs ( t ) | 93 | 57 | 37 |
| Link Distance (ti) |  | 248 |  |
| Upecream Bn Tine (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuting Pority (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bry Dist (f) | 160 |  | 150 |
| Storges alk Tinte (\%) | 0 |  |  |
| Oueuling Pendity (wht) | 0 |  |  |

Intersection: 17: Table Rock Rd \& Northeast Dwy

| Ofrections Sened | L | R | L | T | TR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum diove (h) | 74 | 92 | 248 | 173 | 50 |
| Avarape Quens ( n ) | 33 | 44 | 67 | 8 | 0 |
| goin Cumin (i) | 58 | 70 | 142 | 37 | 31 |
| Link Ditance (t) | 181 | 491 |  | 671 | 364 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quoung Pamity (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 150 |  |  |
| Storage sma Thne (\%) |  |  | 1 | 0 |  |
| Cusulin Peralk (\% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ) |  |  | 3 | 0 |  |

Intersection: 18: Table Rock Rd \& Southeast Dwy

| Directions Seved | LR | L | TR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Naximum nueve (in) | 5 | 53 | 19 |
| Average Quene (t) | 26 | 13 | 1 |
| geft Gute (t) | 44 | 40 | 6 |
| Lrik Dintince ( n ) | 141 |  | 871 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Quouing Ponalty (wat) |  |  |  |
|  |  | 10 |  |
| Slorege Blic Tirne (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queving forinitifuch) |  |  |  |

Zone Summary
Zone wate Queuing Penaity: 3

| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis <br> 8: Table Rock Rd \& Hamrick Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  | Central Point Costco TIA <br> Build Year (Mitigated) Weekend Midday Peak Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\lambda$ | , | 4 | 4 | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |  |
| Lane Configurations | \% | F | 1 | 1 | \% |  |  |
| Volume (whin) | 104 | 77 | 8 | 344 | 410 | 36 |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Frea | Free |  |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 092 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |
| Houly fow rate (vph) | 113 | 84 | 9 | 374 | 446 | 39 |  |
| Pedostrimes | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Whith (f) | 12.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (t/s) | 3.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockege | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right tum flars (weh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nedten type |  |  |  | TWLTL | WLTL |  |  |
| Modian stornge veh) |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |
| Upstream *iviel (it) |  |  |  |  | 1076 |  |  |
| pX, plawon unblocked | 0.89 | 0.81 | 0.81 |  |  |  |  |
| vC, connimiting volume | 858 | 406 | 486 |  |  |  |  |
| VC, stage 1 conf vol | 488 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C1, atace 2 conf vol | 301 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VCu, unlsacked vol | 705 | 222 | 248 |  |  |  |  |
| tC, single (s) | 6.4 | 6.2 | 4.3 |  |  |  |  |
| tC. 2 stage (s) | 5.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (F) (0) | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.4 |  |  |  |  |
| p0 queun free \% | 79 | 87 | 99 |  |  |  |  |
| cmecreacily (whh) | 543 | 665 | 883 |  |  |  |  |
| - ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Voliute Totar | 173 | 84 | 9 | 374 | 485 |  |  |
| Volume Lett | 113 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 39 |  |  |
| cSH | 543 | 665 | 983 | 1700 | 1700 |  |  |
| Volume to Capacty | 0.21 | 0.13 | 0.01 | 0.22 | $0: 20$ |  |  |
| Queva Length 95th (t) | 19 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Control Dalay (s) | 13.4 | 11.2 | 8.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Lane LOS | 8 | B | A |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Dalay (s) | 12.4 |  | 0.2 |  | 0.0 |  |  |
| Approseti LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Averega Delay |  |  | 2.4 |  |  |  |  |
| mitrosiction Capacity Ut |  |  | 38.7\% | $x$ | Lever | anter | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |


| HCM Unsignalize <br> 17: Table Rock R | ters <br> North |  | apacit <br> vy |  |  |  | Central Point Costco TIA <br> Build Year (Mitigated) Weekend Midday Peak Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | * | $\checkmark$ | 4 | 4 | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Lane Confloursions | \% | ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | \% |  | $\square$ |  |  |
| Volurn (inth) | 49 | 183 | 224 | 295 | 403 | 85 |  |
| sign Contrad | Stop |  |  | Fres | ${ }^{100}$ |  |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  |  | 0\% |  |  |
| Pook Hour Fictor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.82 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |
| Houlty fow rate (vph) | 53 | 198 | 243 | 321 | 438 | 92 |  |
| Pedestions | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lune Witht (n) | 12.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wodking Speod (tis) | 3.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Perceinitibetage | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right tum heve (wht) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Modionitpe |  |  |  | MTL | W.ts |  |  |
| Medion storage whil) |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Upetruanimaghid (i) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, plation unblecked w, contliding wowne | 1293 | 495 | 531 |  |  |  |  |
| C1, stime 1 conf vol | 485 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC2; stemot 2 conival | 800 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VCu, unbecked wol | $\dagger 293$ | 485 | 531 |  |  |  |  |
|  | BA | 6.2 | 4.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 16, 2 zapo ( s ) | 5.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (f) (i) | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.4 |  |  |  |  |
| po quane tra \% | 82 | 88 | 74 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 301 | \$8 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Voturty mix | 63 | 999 | 162 | 402 | 630 |  |  |
| Voture Lett | 53 | 0 | 182 | 81 | 0 |  |  |
| Vatime Pright | 0 | 199 | 0 | 0 | 92 |  |  |
| CSH | 301 | 685 | 946 | 946 | 1700 |  |  |
| Votuma to Cepedity | 0.18 | $0: 34$ | 0.26 | 026 | 0.31 |  |  |
| Queus Lengt 935\% (fi) | 18 | 37 | 28 | 26 | 0 |  |  |
| Control Distiy (s) | 19.5 | 14.3 | 10.1 | 4.6 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Lana Los | c | 9 | B | A |  |  |  |
| Appiticotilolay (3) | 45.4 |  | 6.2 |  | 0.0 |  |  |
| Approweh LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Averege Delay intersuction cipacity. U Anslyats Period (m/n) |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 5.5 \\ 588 \% \\ 15 \end{array}$ |  | U Low | Sentre | - |



Queuing and Blocking Report
Build Year (Mitifgated) Weekend Midday Peak Hour
Intersectlon: B: Table Rock Rd \& Hamrick Rd

| Dractions Sorved | L | R | L |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Cueue (t) | 93 | 74 | 51 |
| Average Quaut ( t ) | 40 | 37 | 9 |
| gith queus (b) | 70 | 55 | 36 |
| Link Diatemes ( n ) |  | 248 |  |
| Upatreamisik Trime (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queving Penally (veti) |  |  |  |
| Stordeg ${ }^{\text {Bay }}$ (6ter (t) | 160 |  | 450 |
| Stornge 哌Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Quauling Pensily (veh) |  |  |  |

Intersection: 17: Table Rock Rd \& Northeast Dwy

| Difectons Served | L | R | L | LT | TR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 74 | 119 | 93 | 312 | 22 |
| Avorage Cueus (tit) | 36 | 55 | 17 | 93 | 3 |
|  | 70 | 89 | 51 | 187 | 15 |
| Link Dititence (i) | 191 | 191 |  | 871 | 352 |
| Upiturixick 7 min (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quouting Penaky (wh) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 150 |  |  |
| Storepe Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 1 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 2 |  |

Intersection: 18: Table Rock Rd \& Southeast Dwy


Zone wide Quenta Ponely, 2

Queues
Central Point Costco TIA
2: I-5 NB Ramps \& E Pine St
Bulld Year (Total) Weekcay PM Poak Hour


* Fith percontille volisme exceeds cepadity, queue may be ionger. cuve ahown la maximum ather two cycies.

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
Central Point Costco TIA 8: Table Rock Rd \& Hamrick Rd

|  | $\rho$ |  | 9 | 1 | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lana Conflqurations | 1 | F |  | 4 | \% |  |  |
| Volume (whth) | 71 | 78 | 19 | 484 | 669 | 26 |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Free | Froe |  |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
| Pent Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.82 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |
| Hourty flow rato (yph) | 77 | 86 | 21 | 526 | 618 | 28 |  |
| Padestrans | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lene Width ( 4 ) | 12.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Waiking Speed (tis) | 3.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Persent 'liockegio | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right tum there (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medientype |  |  |  | None | Nore |  |  |
| Modien storege veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upormencidial (m) |  |  |  |  | 1076 |  |  |
| pX, piatoon unbiocked | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 |  |  |  |  |
| VC, confleting votume | 1201 | 634 | 648 |  |  |  |  |
| VC1, stage 1 canf vol VC2, teres 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VCu, unlblacked vod | 1075 | 268 | 288 |  |  |  |  |
| 1C, singo (s) | 6.4 | 6.2 | 4.3 |  |  |  |  |
| (C, 2 atage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IF ( ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ) | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.4 |  |  |  |  |
| p0 queus free \% | 54 | 84 | 97 |  |  |  |  |
| cha cepenty (wohM) | 188 | 545 | 824 |  |  |  |  |
| $\because$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Voluma Total | 7 | 88 | 547 | 647 |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 77 | 0 | 21 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Volume Rtight | 0 | 86 | 0 | 28 |  |  |  |
| cSH | 168 | 545 | 824 | 1700 |  |  |  |
| Vounera to Capecity | 0.46 | 0.18 | 0.03 | 0.38 |  |  |  |
| Queus Length 95in (t) | 54 | 14 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Control Dedey (s) | 43.4 | 12.8 | 0.7 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| Luns LOS | E | 8 | A |  |  |  |  |
| Approech Delay (s) | 27.3 |  | 0.7 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - ${ }^{\text {1 }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay Intarastiton Cepacity Utillzation Analysis Pariod (min) |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 3.6 \\ 55.3 \% \\ 15 \end{array}$ |  | ICU Lewl of Senke |  | B |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
Central Point Costco TIA 13: Federal Way \& Northwest Dwy Build Year (Total) Weekday PM Peak Hour


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analys/s
Central Point Costco TIA 14: Federal Wav \& Southwest Dwy


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis


| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis <br> 17: Table Rock Rd \& Northeast Dwy |  |  |  |  |  |  | Central Point Costco TIA <br> Build Year (Totall) Weekday PM Peak Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\lambda$ | 7 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |  |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $「$ |  | 4 | $\dagger$ |  |  |
| volume (vehth) | 50 | 141 | 161 | 453 | 576 | 72 |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Free | Free |  |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
| Paak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.32 | 0.92 |  |
| Hounly flow rate (yph) | 54 | 153 | 175 | 482 | 826 | 78 |  |
| Pedestrimas | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lene Whth (f) | 12.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speod (tis) | 3.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Brockage | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  | None | Nore |  |  |
| Medien storage vah) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream algnal (ti) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX , palumon untiocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC, cormiting volume | 1509 | 686 | 705 |  |  |  |  |
| Wi, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| W2, etage 2 coni vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu , unbiocked vol | 1509 | 686 | 705 |  |  |  |  |
| (C, slingle (s) | 6.4 | 6.2 | 4.3 |  |  |  |  |
| K. 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $f(8)$ | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.4 |  |  |  |  |
| po queue free \% | 48 | 67 | 78 |  |  |  |  |
| CM cepacty (yehh) | 105 | 462 | 810 |  |  |  |  |
| Volurne Total | 54 | 153 | 67 | 704 |  |  |  |
| Volume Let | 54 | 0 | 175 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 153 | 0 | 78 |  |  |  |
| cSH | 105 | 462 | 810 | 1700 |  |  |  |
| Voturne to Capecity | 0.52 | 0.33 | 0.22 | 0.41 |  |  |  |
| Queua Length 95th (it) | 59 | 36 | 20 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Control Doday (s) | 71.2 | 16.6 | 5.2 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | F | C | A |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Dulay (6) | 30.9 |  | 5.2 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| Approuch LOS | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | ${ }^{6.3}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capecily Uti |  |  | 68.6\% | 10 | Lew | antiel | E |
| Analysis Pariod (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |



Queuing and Blocking Report
Build Year (Total) Weekday PM Peak Hour

## Intersection: 2: I-5 NB Ramps \& E Pine St

| Dinctions Served | L | T | T | T | T | R | L | LT | $R$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maxjmum Queue (t) | 99 | 288 | 200 | 350 | 406 | 340 | 200 | 228 | 208 |
| Average Queve (t) | 32 | 96 | 82 | 199 | 202 | 81 | 125 | 166 | 38 |
| 95th Quaue ( f ) | 70 | 186 | 157 | 331 | 347 | 220 | 180 | 231 | 140 |
| Link Distance ( T ) Upstream Bik Thme (\%) |  | 1153 | 1153 | 503 | 503 |  |  | 682 | 682 |
| Qusuing Penchity (wah) Storago Eay Dist (倍) | 150 |  |  |  |  | 265 | 335 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 2 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penally (wh) |  | 1 |  |  | 11 |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 8: Table Rock Rd \& Hamrick Rd


Queuing and Blocking Report
Build Year (Total) Weekday PM Peak Hour
Intersection: 14: Federal Way \& Southwest Dwy

| Drtectiona Served | LR |
| :---: | :---: |
| Meximum aveie (i) | 30 |
| Averiget Queve (ti) | 2 |
| 96th Queva (t) | 14 |
| Link Ditumee (it) | 183 |
| Upatream Ek Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Punety (wh) |  |
| Stoniog Dey fen (n) |  |
| Storaqa Elk Tirme (\%) |  |
| Quewing Penstity (whit) |  |

Intersection: 15: West Hamrick Dwy \& Marmrick Rd

| Diruetions Served | L | L | $R$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hedmum Oume (il) | 50 | 81 | 88 |
| Averige Quens (ti) | 4 | 30 | 21 |
| Son Queue (i) | 26 | 44 | 48 |
| Link Distanca (ii) |  | 154 | 154 |
| Upatame ${ }_{\text {arem }}$ Tine (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penaly (wh) |  |  |  |
| Storiot Bey Din (i) | 150 |  |  |
| Sturape Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queving Panky (whi) |  |  |  |

Intersection: 16: East Hamrick Dwy (RIRO) \& Hamrick Rd

| Directions Served | R |
| :---: | :---: |
| Heximum Cupue (i) | 80 |
| Averapo Queva (in) | 35 |
| 95\% Guoue (in) | 58 |
| Link Ditance (i) | 112 |
| Upstromm Bam Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Pornty (wh) |  |
| Storipe Bey Olat (it) |  |
| Stornge Bik 71 mm (\%) |  |
| Qupuing Pondity (min) |  |

Queuing and Blocking Report
Build Year (Total) Weokday PM Peak Hour
Intersection: 17: Table Rock Rd \& Northeast Dwy

| Drections Served | L | R | LT | TR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Madmym Oume (n) | 162 | 78 | 285 | 41 |
| Averrge Quave (t) | 94 | 41 | 127 | 6 |
| 95th Gume (i) | 118 | 63 | 242 | 25 |
| Lint Dinemes ( t ) | 197 | 197 | 671 | 364 |
| Upritream Blk Tmen (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queving Ponaty (weh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storiga Buy Dist (in) |  |  |  |  |
| Stormge 日lk Tine (\%) Ouwing Panaty (weh) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 18: Table Rock Rd \& Southeast Dwy

| Dinctions Served <br> Mithen avin | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{LR} \\ & \hline 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{LT} \\ 179 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TR } \\ & 21 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Averepe Queve (it) | 20 | 4 | 1 |
| Son Cume (i) | 47 | 135 | 7 |
| Link Distenco (ti) | 162 | 682 | 871 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Porely (veh) |  |  |  |
| Steraye May Din (in) |  |  |  |
| Storaps E" Trim (\%) |  |  |  |

Zone Summary
Zone whe Quouing Fontily: 12

|  |  | $\rightarrow$ | 4 |  | $\uparrow$ |  | $P$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| lane Group Flow (wph) | 85 | 890 | 1027 | 297 | 118 | 117 | 351 |
| Wherdio | 0.20 | 0.45 | 0.52 | 0.30 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.84 |
| Control Delay | 0.2 | 8.2 | 22.1 | 10.0 | 31.8 | 31.7 | 40.2 |
| Oreus Dolay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 |
| Total Delay | 6.2 | 9.2 | 22.1 | 10.0 | 31.8 | 31.7 | 40.2 |
| Quene Length 50n ( t ) | 17 | 176 | 298 | 65 | 63 | 62 | 134 |
| Queve Length gren (ti) | 27 | 257 | 387 | m134 | 102 | 102 | 219 |
| inimat tindotat (n) |  | 1110 | 49 |  |  | 630 |  |
| Tum Ear Length (n) | 150 |  |  | 285 | 335 |  | 360 |
| Bue Capacity (voh) | 324 | 210 | 1804 | 680 | 468 | 481 | 4080 |
| Sivyilon Cap Reductn | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spllibmek Cop meductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storege Cep Reductr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced vio Preto | $0.4{ }^{\circ}$ | 046 | H0iva | 080 | 024 | 085 | '0\%\% |



|  | $\dagger$ | $\rangle$ | 9 | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lame Confgarations | \% | \% |  | - | t |  |  |
| Voume (win) | 104 | 77 | 8 | 34 | 410 | 36 |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Froa | Froe |  |  |
| Gride | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
| Puet Hout Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 092 |  |
| Houny hlow med (wh) | 113 | 84 | 8 | 374 | 46 | 39 |  |
| Pedestriens | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Whoth (m) | 12.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walling Speod (th/s) | 3.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peremit thockicip | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right tum fre (wh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#vider iype |  |  |  | None | Nons |  |  |
| Madien morrepe whi) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Uputeme |  |  |  |  | 1076 |  |  |
| px p platoon unblocked | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 |  |  |  |  |
| C, conflitilig vedime | 858 | 408 | 488 |  |  |  |  |
| VC1, stage 1 conf woi |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WC2, stae 2 confivol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WCu, untlocked vol | 705 | 222 | 248 |  |  |  |  |
| 16, inglie (0) | 64 | 6.2 | 4.3 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{stan}(8)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| [F] ${ }^{\text {( })}$ | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.4 |  |  |  |  |
| po queve fore \% | 65 | 87 | 99 |  |  |  |  |
| cM capeity (whti) | 325 | 665 | 983 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 113 | 8 | 333 | 485 |  |  |  |
| Vohume Let | 113 | 0 | 9 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Vokume Right | 0 | 4 | 0 | 39 |  |  |  |
| cSH | 325 | 685 | 983 | 1700 |  |  |  |
| Volume bo Capeoily | 0.35 | 0.13 | 0.01 | 0.29 |  |  |  |
| Qume Lenot 95m (t) | 38 | 11 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Control Dovaj (s) | 21.9 | 11.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| Leme LOS | c | 日 | A |  |  |  |  |
| Apprieath Doing (s) | 17.3 |  | 0.3 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| Approech LOS | c |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Averige Donay intercicition Cipipctly $U$ Analyeis Pariod (min) |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 3.3 \\ 39.84 \\ 45 \end{gathered}$ | ICU Levil of Borve |  |  | A |



HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
Central Point Costco TIA
14: Federal Way \& Southwest Dwy

|  | $r$ | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $P$ |  | $\downarrow$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| " |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configurations | Y |  | $F$ |  |  | 4 |  |
| Volume (weth) | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 35 | 1 |  |
| Sign Controi Grida | Stop 0\% |  | Froe $0 \%$ |  |  | Frea 0\% |  |
| Patk Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.82 | 0.92 | 0.82 |  |
| Houly flow rate (vph) | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 38 | 1 |  |
| Pedeotrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane With ( $n$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Waiking Speod (t/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ferceni Blockug* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fight turn fare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Modimin tye |  |  | None |  |  | None |  |
| Median worage veh\} |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upatremm migide (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark \mathrm{C}$, conficting volume | 78 | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| VC. , stege 1 conf vol C2, atione 2 comf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VCu, unblocked val | 78 | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| IC, singio (s) | 6.7 | 6.2 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1} 2$ 2tage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LF.(0) | 3.8 | 9.3 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| DO queve free \% | 100 | 100 |  |  | 98 |  |  |
| cheapecty (vehhi) | 833 | 1089 |  |  | 1633 |  |  |
|  | ; |  | ! |  |  |  |  |
| Volifine toxa | 4 | 2 | 38 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume lot | 2 | 0 | 38 |  |  |  |  |
| Volumie Right | 2 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 944 | 1700 | 1833 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Ceparcity | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 0 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Dodey (s) | 8.8 | 0.0 | 7.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Dodry (i) | 88 | 0.0 | 7.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Deliny Intersection Capacity Ltilization Analyeis Periad (n\|n) |  |  | 6.9 | reviomiof Sente |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 18.8\% |  |  |  | A |
|  |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |




| HCM Unsignaliz 17: Table Rock | Nort | ast | apacit wy | $y \text { Anal }$ |  |  | Central Point Costco TIA <br> Build Yabr (Total) Weekend Midday Peak Hou |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\prime$ | $\geqslant$ | 9 | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Lante Contiguraions | 4 | \% |  | * | F |  |  |
| Volume (whth) | 49 | 183 | 224 | 295 | 403 | ${ }^{5} 5$ |  |
| S/gn Control | Stop |  |  | Free | Fres |  |  |
| Grado | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
| Pook Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |
| Hourty how fim (uph) | 63 | 189 | 243 | 321 | 438 | 92 |  |
| Pedesutims | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lene WIdth (ti) | 12.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wuxdng Speod (tis) | 3.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pencerit Elackege | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right tum flare (voh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medien type |  |  |  | Hone | Nons |  |  |
| Medien storneo veht |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upitume topil (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, plataon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WC, connethat vatrse | 1208 | 485 | $\$ 1$ |  |  |  |  |
| VC1, stege 1 conf vol wC2 etrin 2 contuol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLu, unblicecked vol | 1293 | 485 | 531 |  |  |  |  |
| 1c, einste (0) | 6.4 | 6.2 | 4.3 |  |  |  |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{fF}^{\text {( }}$ (0) | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.4 |  |  |  |  |
| pa queve tro \% | 00 | 66 | 74 |  |  |  |  |
| axicmpenty (wih) | 138 | 585 | 08 |  |  |  |  |
| Votuminiol | 55 | 189 | \% | 630 |  |  |  |
| Volurne Loft | 53 | 0 | 243 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Volume Repht | 0 | 199 | 0 | 92 |  |  |  |
| cSH | 135 | 585 | M6 | 1700 |  |  |  |
| Volume to Orapecty | 0.40 | 0.34 | 0.28 | 0.31 |  |  |  |
| Quaun Length 95th (it) | 42 | 37 | 28 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Cointol Dider (3) | 48.3 | 14.3 | $6: 2$ | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| Luns LOS | E | B | A |  |  |  |  |
| Approint Dies (3) | 21.5 |  | 6:2 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| Approech LOS | c |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Averuge Delim |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inturimeno Coprcity |  |  | 72,3\% |  | ULew | 8mina | 6 |
| Andiryis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |


| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 18: Table Rock Rd \& Southeast Dwy |  |  |  |  |  |  | Central Point Costco TIA Build Year (Totala) Weekend Midday Peak Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\theta$ | $\geqslant$ | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Lane Conflgurations | Y |  |  | H | 5 |  |  |
| Volurne (whhl) | 5 | 39 | 75 | 514 | 545 | 41 |  |
| Sign Control | Stop 0\% |  |  | Fies $0 \%$ | Free $0 \%$ |  |  |
| Poak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |
| Hourly fiow rixa (yph) | 5 | 42 | 82 | 569 | 592 | 45 |  |
| Pedestriams | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (tis) | 3.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Eiockege | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right tum fere (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medien type |  |  |  | None | None |  |  |
| Madien storage weh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upetuem sifnel ( t ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| W, corfiliting valume | 1337 | 618 | 838 |  |  |  |  |
| vC1, stege 1 cont vol $\mathrm{VC2}$, beje 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VCu, unblucted vol | 1337 | 616 | 638 |  |  |  |  |
| C, stingin (0) | 8.4 | 6.2 | 4.3 |  |  |  |  |
| (C, 2 grope (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $f(\mathrm{~s})$ | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.4 |  |  |  |  |
| po queve frea \% | $\begin{array}{r} 86 \\ 154 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 91 \\ 44 \end{array}$ | $91$ $880$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Votincotal | 48 | 840 | 637 |  |  |  |  |
| Voluma Lett | 5 | 82 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 42 | 0 | 45 |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 395 | 860 | 1700 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Cepecity | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.37 |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 85th (t) | 10 | , | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Contiol Delly (c) | 95.4 | 2.4 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Lenaios | C | A |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approtich Deter (3) | 15.4 | 2.4 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Approsch LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay Inturaicition Cappacity Uutitzation Anslysis Poriod (min) |  |  |  | ICu Leval of Sentio |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1.7 \\ 81.1 \% \end{array}$ |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |

Queuing and Blocking Report
Build Year (Total) Weekend Midday Peak Hour
Intersection: 2: I-5 NB Ramps \& E Pine St

| Dirsections Servad | L | T | T | T | T | R | L | LT | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Heximum Qumue (i) | 73 | 244 | 180 | 221 | 200 | 81 | 148 | 184 | 235 |
| Average Queve ( f ) | 31 | 73 | 56 | 114 | 105 | 30 | 53 | 76 | 38 |
| 95th Queve (t) | 64 | 188 | 147 | 205 | 187 | 61 | 114 | 134 | 151 |
| Link Distance ( ${ }_{\text {it }}$ ) |  | 1153 | 1153 | 503 | 503 |  |  | 682 | 682 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penatly (wh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storagh'Buy Dist (m) | 150 |  |  |  |  | 265 | 336 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Ponity (vih) |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

intorsection: 8: Table Rock Rd \& Hamrick Rd

| Dincections Served | L | R | LT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximim Sueve (t) | 69 | 5 | 136 |
| Averape Cuves (n) | 40 | 34 | 5 |
|  | 63 | 58 | 48 |
| Lb* Dintunce (ti) |  | 248 | 364 |
| Upatain Eik Tima (\%) |  |  |  |
| Ounuing Penaty ( $\mathbf{w h}$ ) |  |  |  |
|  | 160 |  |  |
| Storme ERK Tinn (\%) <br> Quevitit Ponalty (whi) |  |  |  |

Intersection: 13: Federal Way \& Northwest Dwy

| Dractions Served | LR | LT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kobinum amin (m) | 82 | 31 |
| Average Queus (fi) | 36 | 1 |
|  | 57 | 10 |
| Link Distance (ti) | 150 | 177 |
|  |  |  |
| Oueuling Penalty (wen) |  |  |
| Storicesmay Din |  |  |
| Storeqe Bik Trine (\%) |  |  |
| Quoulig Penmily (whi) |  |  |

Queuing and Blocking Report
Build Year (Total) Weekend Midday Peak Hour
Intersection: 14: Federal Way \& Southwest Dwy

| Diractions Served | LR |
| :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Queve (i) | 67 |
| Average Queus (t) | 5 |
| 964 Queus ( t ) | 29 |
| Link Distanca ( ${ }^{\text {( })}$ | 463 |
| Upstream Blk Tine (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penaty (vah) |  |
| Stornge Baj Dist (tit |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (whi) |  |

Intersection: 15: West Hamrick Rd \& Hamrick Rd

| Drectione Senved | TR | L | L | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nuedimum Quene (ti) | 46 | 85 | 56 | 55 |
| Averege Quevo (ti) | 2 | 17 | 42 | 26 |
|  | 15 | 49 | 82 | 48 |
| Link Distence (ft) | 222 |  | 154 | 154 |
| Upstamem Bik 7 me (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penaty (wh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storcga'mey Dix (ti) |  | 150 |  |  |
| Storago Bik Tinn (\%) Queving Permily (wh) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 16: East Hamrick Rd (RIRO) \& Hamrick Rd

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Directions Surwa | R |
| Maximum Queve (t) | 107 |
| Average Quaue ( t ) | 38 |
| 85th Cinues (t) | 89 |
| Laik Diotamos (fi) | 112 |
| Upetreen 8 仿 Than (\%) | 0 |
| Queuing Penally (weh) | 0 |
| Storage bey Diw (fit) |  |
| Storage BR Thre (\%) Queuling Pentaly (veh) |  |

Queuing and Blacking Report
Build Year (Total) Weekend Midday Peak Hour
Intersection: 17: Table Rock Rd \& Northeast Dwy

| Directions Sarved | L | R | $\underset{X B}{L T}$ | $\underset{50}{T R}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average Queve (i) | 43 | 51 | 98 | 4 |
|  | 81 | 72 | 188 | 23 |
| Link Distence ( n ) | 197 | 197 | 671 | 364 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Quesing Pennly (wh) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Stornga Bik Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuling Peonity (wh) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 18: Table Rock Rd \& Southeast Dwy

| Ditections Served | LR | LT | TR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 31 | 168 | 22 |
| Averige Queus (t) | 28 | 39 | 1 |
| Prenchene (t) | 43 | 112 | 10 |
| Link Distence (ti) | 162 | 682 | 671 |
| Uparamen 0nme (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penally (wh) |  |  |  |
| Storage tey Dini(t) |  |  |  |
| Storcge B\% Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Evouing Panality (why |  |  |  |

Zone Summary

## 

Queues
2: I-5 NB Ramps \& EPine St Future Year (Total) Waekend Misdday Peak Hour

|  | * | $\rightarrow$ | 4 | 1 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $P$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group Fiow (vph) | 84 | 1289 | 1289 | 377 | 151 | 150 | 416 |
| vio Rabio | 0.30 | 0.54 | 0.80 | 0.35 | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.78 |
| Control Dolay | 4.3 | 6.7 | 20.2 | 7.4 | 45.7 | 45.4 | 31.9 |
| Qusue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Tolal Deray | 4.3 | 6.7 | 20.8 | 7.4 | 45.7 | 45.4 | 32.4 |
| Queve Length 50\%h (ti) | 11 | 223 | 373 | 83 | 89 | 88 | 84 |
| Queue Length 95th (ti) | m11 | 257 | m462 | m158 | 147 | 146 | 134 |
| Intwral Unk Dist ( (t) |  | 1110 | 494 |  |  | 650 |  |
| Tum Bay Length ( T ) | 150 |  |  | 265 | 335 |  | 380 |
| Bues Cepacity (yph) | 280 | 2376 | 2146 | 1071 | 340 | 341 | 678 |
| Starvation Cep Reductor | 0 | 0 | 488 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Splybuck Cap Reductn | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced wic Rato | 0.29 | 0.54 | 0.77 | 0.35 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.67 |

in Volume for 96th percentla quave is mitiond fy uporven demil.

|  | $\checkmark$ | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Laxe Conflgurations | 7 |  | $\ddagger$ |  |  | 4 |  |
| Vokume (vaht) | 2 | 134 | 0 | 2 | 35 | 36 |  |
| Sign Controi | Stop |  | Fros |  |  | Frow |  |
| Grit | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.82 | 0.92 | 0.82 | 0.92 | 0.82 | 0.92 |  |
| Hourly fow nute (yh) | 2 | 148 | 0 | 2 | 38 | 39 |  |
| Pedeatriens |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lere Widith (fi) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wuking Spend (做) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pertent Hiookegs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flight tum fiere (wh) |  |  | Nase |  |  | Nente |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Maden type } \\ & \text { Meding Morege vah) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Naw |  |  | Nine |  |
| Upikreomajatile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ox , pastoon unblocked | 118 | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| VC1, stage 1 conf vol | 110 | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| VC2, otege 2 comf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VCu, unblocked vol | 116 | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| K, elinge (3) | 8.7 | 6.2 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| CC, 2 stage (8) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{FF}(\mathrm{~b})$ | 3.8 | 3.3 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| p0 quaue free \% | 100 | 87 |  |  | 88 |  |  |
| cincepmecty (woht) | 792 | 1048 |  |  | 1633 |  |  |
|  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 148 | 2 | 7 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 2 | 0 | 38 |  |  |  |  |
| Volurine Right | 143 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 1083 | 1700 | 1633 |  |  |  |  |
| Vofuria to Cepecity | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |
| Queus Length 95th ( t ) | 12 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Deiay (s) | 8.8 | 0.0 | 3.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  | $\wedge$ |  |  |  |  |
| Approeech Dolay (s) | 8.8 | 0.0 | 3.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay Interseaction Gepecity Ut Andysis Poriod (min) |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 7.0 \\ 28.6 \% \\ 15 \end{array}$ | ICU Levil of Servies |  |  | A |




HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

| Lano Configurstions | ¢ |  |  | 1 |  | 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume (vehh) | 50 | 70 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 134 |  |
| Sign Controd Grade | Froe $0 \%$ |  |  | Free $0 \%$ | Stop $0 \%$ |  |  |
| Peak Hour Fector | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |
| Hounly flow rate (vph) | 54 | 76 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 146 |  |
| Podestions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lene Witth (i) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Spued ( ${ }_{\text {ds }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Elockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right tumf flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type | TWLTL |  |  | TWLTL |  |  |  |
| Muedten atorage veh) | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Upitrams sigral (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX , plaboon unbliocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC. conilleting volume |  |  | 130 |  | 142 | 92 |  |
| VC1, stage 1 conf wol |  |  |  |  | 92 |  |  |
| VC2, stage 2 conf val |  |  |  |  | 50 |  |  |
| VCu, unblecked vol |  |  | 130 |  | 142 | 92 |  |
| (C, single (s) |  |  | 4.4 |  | 6.4 | 6.2 |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  | 5.4 |  |  |
| f5 ( ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  | 2.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |
| p0 queve free \% |  |  | 100 |  | 100 | 85 |  |
| cth capecty (winh) |  |  | 1284 |  | 897 | 970 |  |
| Vatum Tokel | 130 | 50 | 148 |  |  |  |  |
| Votume Left | , | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Votume Right | 76 | 0 | 146 |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 1700 | 1700 | 970 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.15 |  |  |  |  |
| Queve Length 85th (ti) | 0 | 0 | 13 |  |  |  |  |
| Controi Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (6) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Averuge Doley |  |  | 4.2 |  |  |  |  |
| intersection Capacity ut |  |  | 23.2\% | ICU Ievel of Sonto |  |  | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
Central Point Costco TIA
17: Table Rock Rd \& Northeast Dwy

|  | $\theta$ | $\rangle$ | 9 | $\dagger$ | ! | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lene Confguratons | 7 | F | \% | 47 | $4{ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |
| Voume (neht) | 49 | 183 | 224 | 383 | 492 | 85 |  |  |
| Sign Contal | Stop |  |  | Froo | Froe |  |  |  |
| Graco | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |  |
| Poakk Hour Fuctor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |  |
| Hounty fow rat (wh) | 53 | 189 | 243 | 416 | 535 | 82 |  |  |
| Pedestrians | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leno Weth (ti) | 12.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Welling Speod (tis) | 3.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percenitiockeo | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rlift tum ilare (vah) |  |  |  | WLTL | WLTL |  |  |  |
| Mecten wornge veh) |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |
| Upockem spipil (in) pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vc, carllile the vowint | 127 | 315 | 628 |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC4, stega 1 conf vol | 582 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 895 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked val | 1277 | 315 | 628 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16.andere (t) | 6.8 | 8.8 | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| c, 2 2mag (s) | 5.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{FF}_{5}(8)$ | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| po queve toe \% | $\begin{gathered} 81 \\ 289 \end{gathered}$ | $81$ | $\begin{gathered} 71 \\ 83 i \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| undupity fainht) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vectinim Toter | 53 | 189 | 243 | 208 | 208 | 357 | 271 |  |
| Volume Leff | 53 | 0 | 243 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Volume Plight | 0 | 188 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 92 |  |
| CSH | 288 | 688 | 834 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 |  |
| Voturne to Crumbly | 0.99 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.21 | 0.18 |  |
| Cuveue Length 96th (ti) | 17 | 30 | 31 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | - |  |
| Control Dever (t) | 20.3 | 12.4 | 14.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Lume Los | c | B | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approent Datay (8) | 14.4 |  | 4.1 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |
| Appromet LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Avange Oatay <br> Inwiwction Eipactiy t <br> Anelysis Pariod (min) |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 4.1 \\ 44: 5 \% \\ 75 \end{array}$ | ICU Lave of Sernion |  |  |  | A |


| Kitheigon 4 Associates, Inc. | Synchro 8 Report |
| :--- | ---: |
| $11 / 12 / 2015$ | Page 6 |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

|  | $\lambda$ |  |  | 4 |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Confguratons | W |  | 7 | 44 | 4 F |  |  |
| Volume (wahh) | 5 | 39 | 75 | 601 | 634 | 41 |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Fow | Free |  |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |
| Hounty fiow reto (vph) | 6 | 42 | 82 | 653 | 689 | 45 |  |
| Pedestrims | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leno Width (ti) | 12.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (ths) | 3.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Biockuge | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right tum fiare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  | TW.TL | WLTL |  |  |
| Madian stornge vah) |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |
| Upatream signati (f) |  |  |  | 726 |  |  |  |
| pX, plitioun untlocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC, conflicting volume | 1202 | 368 | 735 |  |  |  |  |
| VC1, atege 1 conf vol | 712 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC2, stage 2 conf vol | 400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VCu, unblocked vol | 1202 | 368 | 735 |  |  |  |  |
| tC, angle (s) | 8.8 | 6.8 | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) | 5.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (f) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.4 |  |  |  |  |
| p0 queve fow \% | 99 | 93 | 69 |  |  |  |  |
| CM cepacily (whth) | 386 | 634 | 751 |  |  |  |  |
| $\cdot$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Tode | 48 | 82 | 327 | 327 | 459 | 274 |  |
| Volume Lett | 5 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Voiume Right | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 |  |
| SiH | 586 | 751 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 |  |
| Voiume to Capralty | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.18 | 0.19 | 0.27 | 0.16 |  |
| Oueve Length 95th (f) | 7 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Control Dalw (s) | 11.7 | 10.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Lant LOS | - | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Appromich Delay (s) | 11.7 | 1.2 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay Intersedion Capacity Utilization Analysls Period (min) |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 0.9 \\ 38.3 \% \end{array}$ | ICU Leve of Senter |  |  | A |
|  |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |

Queuing and Blocking Report
Future Year (Total) Weekend Midday Peak Hour
Intersection: 2: I-5 NB Ramps \& E Pine St

| Directions Seved | L | $\top$ | $\dagger$ | T | T | R | L | LT | R | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum queve (t) | 73 | 203 | 188 | 300 | 292 | 145 | 214 | 270 | 231 | 202 |
| Average Quave (it) | 35 | 71 | 101 | 190 | 174 | 73 | 84 | 124 | 100 | 23 |
| S5th Cuxus (n) | 67 | 153 | 185 | 303 | 288 | 131 | 176 | 219 | 235 | 120 |
| Link Dintence ( m ) |  | 1152 | 1152 | 486 | 488 |  |  | 676 | 676 |  |
| Uprumen Bk Thre (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penaly (whi) Stompe pan Dit (m) | 150 |  |  |  |  | 268 | 335 |  |  | 300 |
| Storcas Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queding Pentily (wh) |  | 0 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 13: Federal Way \& Northwest Dwy

| Dinatians Served | LR |
| :---: | :---: |
| Matimin Creio (ix) | 80 |
| Averse Cumene (t) | 40 |
| gen asmo (i) | 63 |
| Link Dinemee (fi) | 150 |
|  |  |
| Onouing Peraity (wh) |  |
|  |  |
| Storepe Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Ousing Pentily (bil) |  |

Intersection: 14: Federal Way \& Southwest Dwy

| Directions Servad | LR |
| :---: | :---: |
| Maxknum Queus (fit) | 68 |
| Averege Quous (it) | 6 |
| 8ith Quene (i) | 32 |
| Link Distance ( (t) | 162 |
| Uputioum 限 Thise (\%) |  |
| Cureuting Penolly (weh) |  |
|  |  |
| Storuga 8ik Thme (\%) Queving Penalty (vell) |  |

Queuing and Blocking Report
Future Year (Total) Weekend Midday Peak Hour
Intersection: 15: West Hamrick Rd \& Hamrick Rd

| Divections Sorved | TR | 1 | L | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maxinum Quave (in) | 40 | 70 | 120 | 30 |
| Averige Queve (t) | 1 | 12 | 43 | 24 |
| 95th Queve (ti) | 13 | 43 | 83 | 42 |
| Link Distence (ti) | 222 |  | 154 | 154 |
| Upstrem Bkin Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queving Pemaly (wh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storape Bay Dist (it) |  | 150 |  |  |

Storice B BK Time (\%)
Quouling Penaty (wh)
Intersection: 16: East Harmrick Rd (RIRO) \& Hamrick Rd


Intersection: 18: Table Rock Rd \& Southeast Dwy

| Directlone Sevred | LR | L | TR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Midxturfa duade (f) | 64 | 102 | 81 |
| Averago Queus (it) | 24 | 30 |  |
| 955 t Quave (il) | 47 | 72 | 17 |
| Link Distarces (ti) | 144 |  | 872 |
| Upstream Blik Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queving Penstly (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storsge Bay Dis (fi) |  | 200 |  |
| Storage Bik Then (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queving Pefraty (wh) |  |  |  |

## Zone Summary

Zone whtio Queulng Ponally: 2

|  | $\theta$ | $\rightarrow$ | ↔- | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $F$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group flow (vph) | 85 | 1317 | 1639 | 529 | 294 | 295 | 546 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.64 | 0.60 | 0.62 | 0.48 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.80 |
| Control Delay | 20.6 | 10.2 | 30.1 | 7.5 | 56.5 | 56.3 | 35.6 |
| Queve Dotay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 |
| Toter Delay | 20.8 | 10.2 | 58.2 | 7.7 | 56.5 | 56.3 | 37.9 |
| Queve Lengith 50th (it) | 19 | 205 | 567 | 101 | 175 | 175 | 131 |
| Queve Langin 95th (\%) | m30 | 328 | m624 | m121 | \#310 | *311 | 200 |
| Intumal Unk Diat (m) |  | 1110 | 49 |  |  | 850 |  |
| Tum Bay Length (t) | 150 |  |  | 265 | 335 |  | 380 |
| Band Capacity (yph) | 157 | 2179 | 1887 | 1079 | 374 | 376 | 715 |
| Starvetion Cap Reduetn | 0 | 0 | 430 | 104 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spluthem Cep Reduem | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Sturaje Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| fravied vic Ratio | 0.54 | 0.60 | $1: 06$ | 0.64 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.86 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |





| Kittelson \& Associates, Inc. | Synchro 8 Report |
| :--- | ---: |
| $11112 / 2015$ | Page 3 |




| Kittelson \& Associates, Inc. |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| $11 / 12 / 2015$ | Synchro 8 Report |
| Page 5 |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 16: East Hamrick Dwy (RIRO) 8 Hamrick Rd

| Lane Coningurations | F |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  | \% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume (weith) | 70 | 45 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 91 |  |
| Sigar Contol | Frea |  |  | Free | Stop |  |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
| Pask Hour Fextor | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 |  |
| Hourly fow rate (wht) | 88 | 56 | 0 | ${ }^{6}$ | 0 | 114 |  |
| Pedeestians I me With (i) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Waking Speed (t/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Promitiockege |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right tum fore (veh) Nadim lype | TWLTL |  |  | TWLTL |  |  |  |
| Median storme veh) | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Upurream alonas (i) DX, plation unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC. corflidithy woune |  |  | 14 |  | 181 | 116 |  |
| VC1, stage 1 conlvol |  |  |  |  | 118 |  |  |
| *C2, ulige 2 conf wol |  |  |  |  | 05 |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol |  |  | 144 |  | 181 | 116 |  |
| ti, wingh (i) |  |  | 4.4 |  | 8.4 | 8.2 |  |
| $\mathrm{C}, 2$ stage (s) |  |  |  |  | 5.4 |  |  |
| $f(\mathrm{f})$ |  |  | 2.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |
| po quave tree \% |  |  | 100 |  | 100 | 88 |  |
| con memety (whin) |  |  | 1200 |  | 872 | 42 |  |
| Volutim Total | 14 | 65 | 174 |  |  |  |  |
| Vourme Lott | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Volumeright | 58 | 0 | 144 |  |  |  |  |
| CSH | 1700 | 1700 | 942 |  |  |  |  |
| Vowme to Cupecty | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.12 |  |  |  |  |
| Queus Lengtt 95t (f) | - | 0 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Deity (b) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Approwit Delos ( a $^{\text {a }}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9,3 |  |  |  |  |
| Approch LOS |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay Interstiston Cepaciyy Ulilization Analygis Parlod (min) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 3.3 \\ 19.8 \% \\ 15 \end{array}$ |  | U Lew | 80xte | $A$ |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis


|  | $\bigcirc$ | $\rangle$ | 4 | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Coniligurations | 4 |  | 9 | 44 | $4{ }^{4}$ |  |  |
| voluma (vehn) | 5 | 29 | 50 | 745 | 838 | 29 |  |
| Slign Control | Stop |  |  | Fros | Froe |  |  |
| Grode | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
| Peak Hour Fatar | 0.82 | 082 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |
| Houly flow ram (Mah) | 5 | 32 | 54 | 810 | 811 | 32 |  |
| Padosatians | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane With (f) | 12.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Waking Spend (tig) | 3.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pexcent Blockepe | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right tum free (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Madan tye |  |  |  | wht | Whr |  |  |
| Median sporage veh) |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |
| Upstrasm sightal (i) |  |  |  | 726 |  |  |  |
| pX , platoon untloctiod |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WC, confiching woume | 144 | 472 | 24 |  |  |  |  |
| VC1, stage 1 conf vol | 928 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CC2, weteit 2 conf vod | 814 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VCL, unblicked wal | 1441 | 472 | 043 |  |  |  |  |
| IC, single (a) | 6.8 | 6.8 | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (FF) ${ }^{\text {( })}$ | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.4 |  |  |  |  |
| po queus frea \% | 98 | 84 | 91 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 201 | 543 | 816 |  |  |  |  |
| Volumis total | 37 | 3 | 45 | 406 | 607 | 335 |  |
| Votume Left | 5 | 54 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 |  |
| Volume Right | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 |  |
| cSH | 488 | 616 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 |  |
| Voturne to Cupucity | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.36 | 0.20 |  |
| Queve Length 95th (f) | 6 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Control Toliry (t) | 13.0 | 11.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Lane LOS | 日 | T |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approech Dolay (s) | 13.0 | 0.7 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |
| Approech LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay Internection © Analysis Period (mim) |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 0.8 \\ 42.8 \% \\ 15 \end{array}$ | ICN Lowd of Sentes |  |  | A |


| Kittesson \& Assoclates, Inc. | Synchro 8 Report |
| :--- | ---: |
| $11 / 12 / 2 \mathrm{C} 15$ | Pege 8 |

Queuing and Blocking Report
Future Year (Total) Weekday PM Peak Hour
Intersection: 2: 1-5 NB Ramps \& E Pine St

| Directions Sarved | L | T | T | T | $\top$ | R | L | LT | R | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Quave (it) | 96 | 191 | 239 | 37 | 378 | 340 | 410 | 536 | 582 | 230 |
| Average Queve (ti) | 47 | 108 | 126 | 245 | 235 | 123 | 239 | 293 | 179 | 81 |
| 95th Quave (ti) | 90 | 190 | 213 | 396 | 386 | 290 | 422 | 508 | 34 | 238 |
| Lrk Distence ( t ) Upstewn Blk Time (\%) |  | 1952 | 1152 | 486 | 186 |  |  | 676 | 876 |  |
| Queuing Penaty (weh) Storage Bay Das (ti) | 150 |  |  |  |  | 285 | 335 |  |  | 380 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 2 |  |  | 5 | 0 | 7 | 15 |  |  |
| Quauing Panalty (ven) |  | 2 |  |  | 24 | 0 | 18 | 40 |  |  |

Intersection: 8: Table Rock Rd \& Hamrick Rd

| Dinections Served | L | R | L | TR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mexinum queve (fi) | 92 | 69 | 53 | 22 |
| Average Queve (t) | 47 | 39 | 10 | 1 |
| 95\% Queve (f) | 88 | 58 | 38 | 10 |
| Link Distance ( l ) |  | 236 |  | 976 |
| Upstremen Brat Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Quwuing Penaty (wer) |  |  |  |  |
| Storapo Bay Dint (t) | 180 |  | 150 |  |
| Storaga 日lk Thme (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Quvuing Ponatly (veh) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 13: Federal Way \& Northwest Dwy

| Directions Served | LR |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mmodmum Quene (t) | 55 |
| Average Ouneve (k) | 32 |
| 950 Ch Quove ( n ) | 41 |
| Link Distance ( m ) | 150 |
| Upstreem Blk Tinu (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalit (veh) |  |
| Storngi Bry Otat (i) |  |
| Storaga Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuling Penatiy (whl) |  |

Queuing and Blocking Report
Future Year (Total) Weekday PM Peak Hour
Intersection: 14: Federal Way \& Southwest Dwy

| Dirsctions Served | LR | LT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Queve (m) | 30 | 31 |
| Average Quesia (f) | 1 | 1 |
| 9sth Ouno (t) | 20 | 10 |
| Limk Distance (t) | 162 | 514 |
| Upstioum Blk Then (\%) |  |  |
| Queung Penaly (wht) |  |  |
| Storice Bey Oita (t) |  |  |
| Storage Elk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Oueving Ponaty (wh) |  |  |

Intersection: 15: West Hamrick Dwy \& Hamrick Rd

| Dircetiona Served | L | 1 | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Neximum Cusue (i) | 68 | 70 | 51 |
| Averspe Queve (i) | 8 | 32 | 19 |
| Sthe Cuade ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ) | 36 | 48 | 44 |
| Link Divence (it) |  | 154 | 154 |
| Uparowin Ak Tin (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queving Peraty (wen) |  |  |  |
| Storuge bey Oin (f) | 150 |  |  |
| Starge Bik Tmu (\%) |  |  |  |
| Quautis Penity (weh) |  |  |  |

intersection: 16: East Hamrick Dwy (RIRO) \& Hamrick Rd

| Oreations Served | R |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mandminm Quave (it) | 54 |
| Averege Queve ( t ) | 33 |
| 95thtioun (t) | 44 |
| Link Distance (fi) | 112 |
| Upatrean Eut The (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penelity (veh) |  |
| Storage Buy Det (t) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) Ousiting Pencty (veh) |  |

Queuing and Blocking Report
Future Year (Total) Weekday PM Peak Hour
Intersection: 17: Table Rock Rd \& Northeast Dwy

| Directions Served | L | R | L | T | TR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Queva ( A ) | 74 | 97 | 154 | 22 | 22 |
| Averegs Queue (f) | 35 | 44 | 62 | 4 | 5 |
| 35th Queve (f) | 70 | 73 | 109 | 10 | 20 |
| Link Distance (fi) | 179 | 179 |  | 364 | 364 |
| Upstrewn B/k Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stornge Bey Ditw (i) |  |  | 200 |  |  |
| Storage BK Tline (\%) Quwuing Penathy (weh) |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 18: Table Rock Rd \& Southeast Dwy

| Directions Served | LR | L |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Medmum Ounus (in) | 52 | 79 |
| Avernge Queve (it) | 19 | 27 |
| grom cume (t) | 47 | 66 |
| Link Dithence (t) | 144 |  |
| Upatroam exk Tint (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penatily (wh) |  |  |
| Storaje Bey Dlat (it) |  | 250 |
| Storage Bk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuling Ponaty (vors) |  |  |
| Zone Summary |  |  |

Zow whid Oreving funaly: 83

Matt Samitore, Director

## REVISED PUBLIC WORKS STAFF REPORT

January 5, 2016

## AGENDA ITEM(S):

Costco Membership Warehouse and Four (4) Island Fuel Facility
Applicant: Costco Wholesale; Agent: Steve Buliock, MG2

## BACKGROUND:

The applicant is requesting a Conditional Use Permit (File No. 15022) and Site Plan \& Architectural Review (File No. 15028) approval for the construction of a Costco Wholesale membership warehouse, including a four (4) island fuel facility, with a scheduled opening date Fall 2016. The 18.28 acre project site is located on four (4) undeveloped lots within the Federal Way Business Park Subdivision. As a previously platted subdivision all utilities, with the exception of transportation infrastructure, are available and adequate to service the project.

The applicant has prepared a Transportation Impact Analysis (TIA) ${ }^{1}$ identifying and addressing transportation impacts and mitigation measures. The TLA was prepared in accordance with input from the City of Central Point, City of Medford, Jackson County and the Oregon Department of Transportation. The TIA took into account the County's Table Rock widening project (four travel lanes, a center turn lane, bike lanes and sidewalks, and signalization of Table Rock Road and Airport Road) scheduled to begin construction one year (2017) after the opening of the Costco project.

## EXISTING INFRASTRUCTURE:

Water: $\quad$ There are 8 -inch waterlines that exist in Hamrick Road and Federal Way.
Streets: Hamrick Road is a City Collector Street. The right-of-way in front of the subject property varies from 72-76 feet, which is adequate to serve the proposed project.
Stormwater: There is a 36 -inch storm line in Hamrick Road.

## TRAFFIC IMPACTS \& MITIGATION:

The TLA evaluated twelve (12) intersections deemed to be affected by the project. Four of the intersections have issues at the opening of Costco (Build Year Fall 2016). Those intersections are:

1. Table Rock Road \& Airport Road (Jackson County). Currently, this intersection operates at an unacceptable Level of Scrvice (LOS F). This status persists at Build Year and will be resolved upon completion of the Table Rock Road Improvement project in 2017. Because of the timing between Build Year and completion of the Table Rock Road project no mitigation has been proposed or required by the County.
2. Table Rock Road \& Hamrick Road (Jackson County/City of Central Point). The applicant has requested full access movements on the two access driveways on Table Rock Road. Per the County,

[^1]3. access on Table Rock Road will be limited as follows:
a. Prior to completion of the Table Rock Road project, both access drives will be limited to right-in/right-out movements. Median islands will need to be installed by the applicant to restrict access movements.
b. Prior to the completion of the lable Rock Road project, for the Table Rock Road/Hamrick Road intersection the applicant will be required to construct a center turn lane and refuge lane within the existing Table Rock Road right-of-way.
c. Upon completion of the Table Rock Road Improvement project, access movements will be limited to right-in/right-out, and left-in movements (no signalization) for the two access driveways on Table Rock Road.
4. Northbound I-5 Off-Ramp (ODOT). On the opening date for Costco, the NB I-5 off-ramp will exceed the allowable volume to capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ) ratio, triggering the need for dual right turn lanes (IAMP 33 Project No. 9). The estimated project cost is $\$ 1.3 \mathrm{M}$. The project cost sharing shall be as follows:

| ODOT: | $\$ 800,000$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Costco: | $\$ 377,000$ (Not to exceed) |
| City: | $\$ 123,000$ (Not to excced) |

Per ODOT, construction will commence at the earliest possible date. The applicant's proportional share will be payable to the City of Central Point prior to issuance of a building permit and is not SDC eligible.
5. Airport Road \& Biddle Road (City of Medford). The TIA indicates that the westbound approach of Airport and Biddle Road exceeds the level of service standard for the City of Medford. Mitigation measures were not addressed in the TIA. Based on comments from the City of Medford, the Rogue Valley International Airport is opposed to a median at the intersection of Biddle Rd and Airport Road. The City of Medford recommends a condition that requires the developer to pay a proportional share toward a future traffic signal at this intersection. The estimated project cost for a traffic signal at this location is $\$ 450,000$ including design, construction, and inspection. The development's contribution is $10 \%$ based on additional traffic at this intersection per the Traffic Impact Analysis, dated October 2015, prepared by Kittelson and Associates, Inc. This results in a $\$ 45,000$ contribution from the developer to this future project.
6. Table Rock Road \& Morningside Street (City of Medford). At the intersection of Table Rock Road and Morningside Street the City of Medford recommends a condition that requires the developer to pay a proportional share towards a future left turn lane at this intersection. Per the City of Medford letter dated December 24, 2015, this development will increase PM peak hour trips through the intersection by $20 \%$. The City of Medford estimates the total cost for a left turn lane at this location to be $\$ 300,000$ including design, construction and inspection. A $20 \%$ contribution would result in a $\$ 60,000$ contribution from the developer to this future project.

It should be noted that the TIA indicates that by 2020 additional lane configurations will be needed for the intersection of East Pine Strect/Hamrick Road. The City of Central Point is tentatively scheduled to complete these improvements by 2018, including improvements to the North-South Traffic to include a receiving lane, a thru lane, and designated right and left turn Ianes on Hamrick Road North and South of the intersection. No additional improvements will be made on E. Pine Street/Biddle Road as part of this improvement project.

## CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL:

1. Oregon Department of Transportation. Prior to issuance of a building permit Costco shall enter into a Cooperative Improvement Agreement with the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT), or a similar agreement acceptable to the City and ODOT ("Agreement"), to fund development and construction of a dual right turn lane at the I-5 Exit 33 northbound off-ramp. The estimated project cost is $\$ 1.3 \mathrm{M}$. The Agreement shall distribute costs as follows:

| ODOT | $\$ 800,000$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Costco: | $\$ 377,000$ (Not to exceed) |
| City: | $\$ 123,000$ (Not to exceed) |
| Total | $\$ 1,300,000$ |

Costco's contribution shall not exceed $\$ 377,000$ and shall be paid prior to issuance of a building permit.
2. Transportation Conditions, Jackson County Roads. The following addresses Jacksou County Roads conditions of development only. See Jackson County Roads memo for general comments not imposed as conditions of development.
A. Jackson County Roads, Condition 1 - Prior to issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, the applicant shall construct a left turn and left recciving lane on Table Rock at Hamrick Road. The turn and receiving lanes shall have adequate queuing to ensure safe and efficient operation of the intersection during the first year of opening. Applicants Engineers shall prepare plans identifying the length of improvements. Plans shall be approved by Jackson County Roads and City of Central Point prior to issuance of a building permit. This improvement is not System Development Charges (SDC) eligible as it is in exchange for the required frontage improvements. This work will require a Minor Road Improvement Permit from Jackson County.
B. Jackson County Roads, Condition 2 - Prior to issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy the applicant shall construct median islands in Table Rock Road in front of the two Table Rock Road approaches. Until completion of the County's Table Rock Road project these two Table Rock Road approaches will be limited to right-in/right-out. This work may be included in either the Minor Road Improvement Permit or the Commercial Approach Permit.
C. Jackson County Roads, Condition 3 - As part of the Table Rock Road Project, the Table Rock Road approaches will be constructed as right-in/left-in/right-out movements. The Table Rock Road Project will install the medians as part of the Table Rock Road Project's expenses.
D. Jackson County Roads, Condition 4 - At thc County's Table Rock Road Project's expense the County will install a new signal at Airport Road and Table Rock Road.
E. Jackson County Roads, Condition 9 - The applicant shall submit construction drawings to Jackson County Roads and obtain county permits as required.
F. Jackson County Roads, Condition 10 - Prior to the issuance of a Building Permit the applicant shall obtain Commercial Approach permits from Jackson County Roads for any new approaches or improved approaches to Hamrick Road and Table Rock Road. The paved approaches shall have a $30^{\prime}$ radii and a $40^{\prime}$ width. Jackson County Roads requires the removal of any existing driveways not being used on Hamrick Road and Table Rock Road.
G. Jackson County Roads, Condition 13 - Utility permits are required from Jackson County Roads for any utility work within the county road right-of-way.
H. Jackson County Roads, Condition 16 - Prior to issuance of a Building Permit if drainage is directed to Hamrick Road and/or Table Rock Road, plans shall be submitted to Jackson County Roads for review and comment on the hydraulic report including the calculations and drainage plan. Capacity improvements or on-site detention shall be installed at the expense of the applicant. Upon completion of the project the developer's congineer shall certify that construction of the drainage system was constructed per plan and a copy of the certification shall be sent to Jackson County Roads.

## 3. City of Central Point

A. Hamrick Road and Federal Way Improvements - Prior to Public Works Final Inspection, the applicant shall install sidewalks and street trees per the Public Works Department Standards and Specifications.
B. Public Works Standard Specifications - The applicant shall use the 2014 revised Public Works Standards and Specifications for all new construction drawings.

## 4. City of Medford

A. Per the City of Medford letter dated January 5, 2016, prior to issuance of a building permit, the applicant shall provide evidence that it has contributed toward the construction of signalization improvements at the intersection of Airport and Biddle Road. The applicant's share of the signalization improvement shall not exceed $\$ 45 \mathrm{~K}$, which shall be payable to the City of Medford.
B. Per the City of Medford letter dated January 5, 2016, prior to issuance of a building permit, the applicant shall provide evidence that it has contributed toward the construction of left turn lane improvements at the intersection of Table Rock Road and Morningside Street. The applicant's share of the left turn lane improvement shall not exceed $\$ 60 \mathrm{~K}$, which shall be payable to the City of Medford.


December 10, 2015

Roads ATTACHMENT "E" Engineering

Mike Kuniz, P.E.
County Enginecr
200 Artelope Rd. Whtle Cly, OR 97503 Fhond: (541)774-622 Fax: (541)7748295 kurtam@ackancoumy.org
www.juckroncjunly.org

Attention: Stephanis Holtey
City of Central Point Planning
140 South Third Street
Central Point, OR 97502
RE: Conditional Use Permit and Site Plan \& Architectural Review for construction of a 161,992 square foot membership warehouse and four island fuel facility, including 783 parking spaces and site landscaping off Hamrick Road and Table Rock Road - county-maintained roads.

Planning File: 15022 and 15028; 37-2W-12B Tax Lots 213, 214, 215, and 216,
Dear Stephanie:
Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this Conditional Use Permit and Site Plan \& Architectural Review for construction of a 161,992 square foot membership warehouse and four island fued facility, including 783 parking spaces and site landscaping on a 18.28 acre site in the Industrial M-1-zoning district. The project site is adjacent to Hamrick Road and Table Rock Road. Jackson County Roads has the following comments:

1. Prior to opening, Jackson County requests construction of a left turn and left receiving lane on Table Rock Road at Hamrick Road. The turn and receiving lanes shall have adequate queuing to ensure sefe and efficient operation of the intersectlon during the first year of opening. This work will require a Minor Road Improvement Permit from Jackson County.
2. Prior to opening, Jackson County requests construction of median islands in Table Rock Road in front of the wo Table Rock Road approaches. Until the County's Table Rock Road improvement project is complete, the Table Rock Road approaches will be limited to right-in/right-out. This work may be included in either the Minor Road Improvement Permit or the Commercial Approach Permit.
3. As part of the County's Table Rock Road Improvement Project, the Table Rock Road approaches wili be constructed as right-in/left-in/right-out movernents. The County's project will install these medians at the project's expense.
4. The County's Table Rock Road Improvement Project will install a new traffic signal at Airport Road at the project's expense.
5. The East Pine/Hamrick intersection will likely fail approximately one year after opening. Central Point should construct improvements to this intersection prior to failure.
6. Construction of the fourth leg of the Table Rock/Airport Road Intersection, with Airport Road Connecting to Federal Way, will signlficantly improve traffic circulation in the project area. Jackson County would support any efforts which facilitate this improvement.
7. Once the fourth leg of the Alrport intersection is complete and connected to Federal Way, the Federal Way access point will become a significant access for the project. The current site plan utilizes Table Rock and Hamrick Roads as the front of the project and for primary public access. Federal Way is primarily utilized for delivery access and as a minor public access. The site plan should perhaps be modified to make Hamrick Road and Federal Way the front of the project to recognize the long term circulation. Regardless of the final "front" of the project, the public access to Federal Way should receive a major upgrade to encourage public use of this access and improve long term circulation.
8. Jackson County estimates the value of the frontage improvements on Table Rock Road that will not be constructed by the applicent at $\$ 480,000$.
9. The applicant shall submit construction drawings to Jackson County Roads and obtain county permits if required.
10. The epplicant shall obtain Commercial Approach permits from Raads for any new or improved approaches to Hamrick Road and Table Rock Road. The paved approaches shall have $30^{\prime}$ radii and a $40^{\prime}$ width. Roads requests the removal of any existing driveways not being used on Hamrick Road and Table Rock Road.
11.The posted speed zone for Table Rock Road is 45 mph , requiring an approach sight distance minimum of 325'.
11. Hamrick Road is a Basic Speed Rule road. The required approach sight distance is 450'.
12. Utility Permits are required from Roads for any utility work within the county road right-of-way.
13. Please note Hamrick Road is a local road but the soon to be revised County TSP will designate it as a Minor Collector and is county-maintained with an Average Dally Traffic count of 799 as of $\mathbf{8 / 2 0 1 4}$, 150' west of Tabie Rock Road.
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15. Please note Table Rock Road is an Arterial Road with an Average Daily Traffic count of approximately $13,000 \mathrm{in}$ the project area.
16. If drainage is directed to Hamrick Road and/or Table Rock Road, Jackson County Roads would like to review and comment on the hydraulic report including the calculations and drainage plan. Capacity improvements or on site detention, if necessary, shall be installed at the expense of the applicant. Upon completion of the project, the developer's engineer shall certify that construction of the drainage system was constructed per plan and a copy of the certlifation shall be sant to Jackson County Roads.
17. We would like to be notified of future development proposals, as county permits may be required.
18. We concur with any right-of-way dedicated.

## Sincerely,



Mike Kuntz, P.E.
County Englnear

Kate Brown, Governor

December 14, 2015
STEPHANIE HOLTEY, PLANNER
CITY OF CENTRAL POINT PLANNING DEPARTMENT
140 SOUTH THIRD STREET
GENTRAL POINT, OR 97502

## Re: Costco Wholesate Conditional Use Permit: 15022 and Site Plan/Architectural Review: 15028.

Thank you for the opportunity to review the Conditional Use Permit (CUP) application, Site Plan/Architectural Review application and associated traffic impact analysis ('TIA) for the construction of a 161,992 square foot membership warehouse and four (4) island fuel facility, including 783 parking spaces and site landscaping. The 18,028 acre property is located at the southwest comer of the Table Rock Road and Hamrick Road intersection. 37-2W-12B Tax I ots 213, 214, 215, and 216.

ODOT is requesting that the City of Central Point include the following condition for CUP 15022:

- Costco shall enter into a Cooperative Improvement Agreement with the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) to fund development and construction of a dual right tum lane at the I-5 Exit 33 northhound off-ramp. Costco's share of the cstimated $\$ 1.3$ million improvement shall be limited to $\$ 500,000$, with ODOT funding the remaining cost of the improvement.

You may contact me at 541-774-6399 if you have any further questions or require additional information.
Thank you,


## Pon Morehause

Senior Trausportation Planner, Development Review
Cc: Ron Hughes, Michael Wang, Cathy Harshman, Jeremiah Griftin

Stepharie Holtey

| From: | Kelly A. Akin [Kelly.Akin@cityofmedford.org](mailto:Kelly.Akin@cityofmedford.org) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Thursday, December 03, 2015 12:11 PM |
| To: | Stephania Holtey |
| Subject: | RE: Action Needed: Request for Agency Comments on Land Use Applications |
|  |  |
| Stephanie - |  |

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Costco applications. The City of Medford Pianning Department has no comments.

## Kelly Akin

Principal Planner
City of Medford
Planning Department
$411 \mathrm{~W}^{8 \text { th }}$ Street
Medford OR 97501

tent: Manday, Novernber 16, 2015 3:51 PM
To: Kelly A. Akin
Subject: Action Needed: Request for Agency Comments on Land Use Applications
Importinces: High
Kelly,
The City has received the following applications for Costco Wholesale:

- Conditional Use Permit (File No. 15022)
- Site Plan \& Architectural Review (File No. 15028)

This request for agency comments (attached) was also sent to Alex Georgevitch in Public Works. Due to the size of the application, the site exhibits, findings and traffic information analysis have been posted on the City's website at the following location: http://www.centrapointoregon.gov/cd/project/costco-conditlonal-use-ermitsite-plan-architectural-review.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.
Sincerely,
Stephanie Holtoy, CFM
Community Pjanner II
City of Central Paint
140 South $3^{\text {rd }}$ Streat
Central Paint, OR 97502
Desk: (541) 664-7602, Ext. 244
Fax: (541) 664-6384
waw centralpointionegon.poy


## ROGUE VALLEY SEWER SERVICES

Location: I 38 Weat Vilas Road, Central Point, OR - Mailing Address: P.O. Box 3130, Centel Polet, OR 7502-0005 Tel. (541) 6646900. Fax (541) 664-7171 wwy.RVSS.us

November 16, 2015
Stephanie Holtey
City of Cental Point Planning Department
155 South Second Stteet
Central Point, Oregar 97502
Re: File 15022 CUP and 15028 SPR - Costco Whotesale, T'ax Loth 213, 214, 215, atad 216, Map 372W12B
Sanitaty sewer setvice to the proposed development can be had by connecting to the existing 8 inch sewer main on Federal W/ay. The connection caa be done either as a private service lateral or a public main line extension. There is an 8 inch pipe extended to the property at the Northwest comer that would faciitate this connection.

A private service lateral connection will require a pernit from RVSS, which will be issued upon payment of related development fees.

A public sewer extension must be designed by a hicensed engineer and constructed in accordance with RVSS standards.

The project is within the Phase 2 stormwater quality area and must comply with stormwater quality requirements outined in the Regional Stormwater Desigti Manual. The proposed development does not involve any sewet consthection.

IThe project does have stormwater quality impacts and must comply with the standards established in the regional Stormwater Quality Desiga Manual.

Rugue Valley Sewer Setvices requests that approval of dis development be subject to the followiug conditions:

1. Applicant must submit saritary sewer plans to RVSS for teview and approval demonstrating compliante with RVSS standards priot to the atatt of construction.
2. Applicant must submit a stomwater management plan demonstrating compliance with the regional Stormwater Design Manual rot review and approval by RVSS prioc to the statt of construction.
3. Applicant must obtain a construction site erosion and sediment control permit from RVSS prior to any ground distutbing activices.

Feel free to call tre if you have any questions.

## Cane Tappont

Carl Cappert, PE
Manage.

## K:DATA\AGENCIES\CENTPTYPLANNG\SITEPLANREVIEWL2015\15028_COSTCO WHOLESALEDOC



January 5, 2016
Stephanie Holtey
City of Central Point
Planning Department
140 So. Third St.
Central Point, OR. 97502
Dear Ms. Hoitey:
We have reviewed the Central Point Staff Report, dated January 5, 2016, for the proposed Costco Conditional Use Permit and have the following comments:

1. We understand the Rogue Valley International Airport is opposed to a median at the intersection of Biddle Rd and Airport Rd, as described in Condition No. 3. We recommend a condition that requires the developer to pay a proportional share towards a future traffic signal at this intersection. The City of Medford estimates the total cost for a traffic signal at this location to be $\$ 450,000$ including design, construction, and inspection. We estimate the development's contribution at 10\% from the additional traffic at this intersection shown in the Traffic Impact Analysis, dated October 2015, prepared by Kittelson and Associates, Inc. This results in a $\$ 45,000$ contribution from the developer to this future project.
2. At the intersection of Table Rack Rd. and Morningside St. we recommend a condition that requires the developer to pay a proportional share towards a future left turn lane at this intersection. See attached accident history showing an existing pattern of northbound rear-end collisions at this intersection. Per our December 24, 2015 letter, this development will increase PM peak hour trips through the intersection by 20\%. The City of Medford estimates the total cost for a left turn lane at this location to be $\$ 300,000$ including design, construction, and inspection. A $20 \%$ contribution would result in a $\$ 60,000$ contribution from the developer to this future project.

The City of Medford is open to discussing alternate mitigation designs, costs, and/or methodologies to calculate the developer's share of the cost of mitigating these traffic impacts to those as described above. These values are the best estimate we can make at this time with the information available. If you have questions, please contact me at (541) 774-2115.

Sincerely,


Karl MacNair, PE
Transportation Manager
CC: Alex Georgevitch File

## Morningside St \& Table Rock Rd 29 Accidents (rate:1.32) 01/01/10-12/04/14 <br> 



| SE | A12 | TMe | coste oid F | Steret 1 | STEET 2 | TYPE OF To | VRH 1 d |  | 1 MON | VEH 2 move | VEH 1 TYE | Et 2 TMPE | Mate mas | wner | sevemity | EATA | enfomicment | At fentr | SECCNSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01341 | 123/2010 | 5.26 | Ese: | tablemocx RD |  | Stimampe | Warth | Houth | Stray | Tuminaleh | Mownes der | Marrs 4 |  | a | 10 | 0 | Ofotiommy | ven 1 |  |
| 1001954 | 2/2/2010 | 16:22 | 0 | ABIE ROCX ${ }^{\text {do }}$ | morimeside st | fear end | North | Hohth | 5 trom | Straphe | Mowis Aat | Moving Acs |  | 2 | 21 | 1 | a Foilcoving too chese | Veh 1 |  |
| 10064 | 3/11/2019 | 17:11 | 5 sour | TAELE ROCX AD | MOMMUESLDE ST | Rew end | North | Homit | Straytu | Stopped in tial | Mavanemat | Masiong Aut |  |  | 0 O | - | 0 Followintio dose | Veh 1 |  |
| 1000ks | E427219 | $15: 3$ | 200 month | Tat | AKOPYMESSES ST | Resm mid | Sourt | Sounh | 5 trath | Tuming Lext | Mopring Aut | Mosimant |  |  | 0 - | - | a cantess Dituin! | Vhil | Did noten |
| 2as | 3/201 | 2 | S00 Marth | 74 | MOENWESIDE ST | Rowe end | South | Ssum | 5 trient | Strepend in Trat | Movinetut | Mering Aur |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 Dull Akahai | Veli 1 |  |
| 1097255 | 6/15/2010 | 1254 | 0 | table modx mp | MOPNWGSTIE 5 | Rew erd | Marth | Nornh | 5 ra (4) | Turakem | Mamine Aut | Mownd Aut |  |  | 0 - | 0 | 0 fodowns tooclase | Veh 1 |  |
| 1010062 | 7/1/210 | 9.37 | 0 | TABE AOCX RD | MOANTMGSIOEST | sidesw ${ }^{\text {Pr }}$ | Sooth | Sowth | Oretatins | Tursimy Apht | Mownet Aut | Moving Aut | Mpteshan |  | 0 a | a | 0 Improp Pasury | Veh 1 |  |
| 1551 | 10/12/2010 | 16:16 | 0 | MKIMUNGSLSEST | ran | Arofe | North | East | 51.0 | Stapped in Tref | Blorat | Movind Aut |  |  | 12 | 2 | 0 Carelens Bradis | veh 1 |  |
| 102men | 12/1/2010 | 0.44 | 1000 Mmath | TABİ Hock mb |  | sideswipe | Norsh | Somb | Srimbl | Stralyt | Neoring aut | Maviny aus | On Aozed R |  | 0 | 0 | - Lrul acather | Veny | anat fum |
| 1107065 | 4/19/2011 | 1636 | 0 | Thele mock mb | MOARNDISSIDES ST | Rear end | Heren | Hinth | Surimit | Tuminjsth | Movira mut | Novint Ace |  |  | 11 | 1 | B banger thoot of Stp/pik | veh 1 |  |
| 13ceat | 5/20/2011 | 1159 | 75 Morth | SAPLF BOCK AD | MOMNMEESILES 5 T | Near mad | North | Herth | Straght | Stappes in Trat | Mcorind axt | Montry Aux |  |  | 21 | 1 | 0 Following too dicse | veh | Animad |
| 1110451 | 6/13/2011 | 16.11 | $50.50 \times 1 /$ | TABLE MOCK MD | MOMMENESTES ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | Rear enc | North | Hosth | 5 trath | Stepperes $\mathrm{T}^{\text {Trat }}$ | Maving Ax | Mavins Aut |  |  | 11 | 1 1 | a Foitowing tac clow | voh! |  |
| 1110560 | 6/16/2011 | $19: 37$ | 56 saw |  | momintestes St | krar end | Norlh | Marth | Straits | scopped in Tra |  |  |  |  | 0 - | 0 | a Foilowine loa ciove | Voht | Volverd Tra |
| 1114895 | 8/2/2012 | 12031 | 0 | TABLE HOCK | MOESTMGSTEST | Head de | South |  | Tunhers Rhot | 5tationay | mavingaue | Oblect | Offotin |  | 0 O | 0 | a crackess diourt | ven 1 |  |
| 1119243 | 4746811 | 15:40 | 523 merth | TAXE MOCK | , | 5dermpe | South | Mort | Lentral in lef | Studr | mominaut | Mowng dat |  |  | 0 0 | 0 | - Corcless Driving | yeh 1 | no |
| 1116561 | 5/16/2012 | 17.17 | 30 Soueth | TAME nock | mommeneside 5 | Nare end | torth | Narth | Stradetr | 5toppeli in Trat |  |  |  |  | 0 0 | 0 | 0 Fellowiry tot thock | veh 1 |  |
| 111958 | 11/1/211 | 14.0\% | - | TABLE SDCOR | mommesios 5 | Mearend | Hort | Norh | Susimit | Tromes sett | Montra Aut | movirs Aust |  |  | 11 | 1 | 0 Nont | veth 2 | Mra and Aum |
| 12\%/76 | 1/28/2012 | 15.57 | 0 | ThELE ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | MOMumessibe | mure | Morth | Nomb | Striath | Stoppedion traf |  |  |  |  | 0 - | 0 | E Followty tro ctase | Went |  |
| 12098178 | 3/1/2092 | 14*47 | 40 Sosel | TABL | O | mar | Moreth | Nor | Streipht | Tumbetet | nowne Aut | Mownept |  |  | 1 | 1 | - following toa dose | ven 1 |  |
| 121243 | 7\%/8/812 | 15914 | 0 | TAEEACOX Mo | MOMUngsers | Ande | Narch | Nurth | Strusint | Tamingith | Morestat | Mowrenat |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | - Pathown too dove | Veh 1 | Oid rot mix |
| 112769 | 7/11/2022 | 13.2 | 0 | Thelf fick |  | Rande | E2 | Nent | Turwere left | Stratio | nowisy Aut | Mownink |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | Yeh 7 | dot c |
| 12158 | 6/21/2012 | 16.10 | ${ }^{\circ}$ |  | MOMHAMETOE ST | Rear mod | morth | Morth | Sxathe | Stopped in trat | Mating Aut | Mowiplaut |  |  | 0 | 0 | - Fajowind leo dose | Went |  |
| 12759 | 1215/2012 | 25:43 | 75 South | Tract nocr mp | MORNMESSIOES | Nontreslo | North | Nowth | 5 Sratitu | Stappes in time | Mavinulazt | Mowns Mut |  |  | 0 | - | 0 Fallirway trap dore | veh 1 |  |
| 138158 | 1/20/3013 | 7.54 | 500 North | 7AMF mokim | HOfunacsiot St | Swesulpe | Morth |  |  | Stamentry | Mowingat | Obyex | Of maxt 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 Nome | veh 1 | Heand tur |
| 1317715 1715082 | 7/12/8013 | 18.11 | 0 | MORwwerces | Tame mocy ma | ande | East | Honen | Tumbel lat | Turniog lak | Movinas | Mowntun |  |  | 11 | 1 | a Falito abey Srop sien | veh1 |  |
| 1315087 132137 | $9 / 80 / 8013$ | 11:11 | 38 Nomb | lable nock is | MDAMMESDEST | Rexered | South | Souta | Sorath | Stoppred in 7 at | Moxinesat | Manisant |  |  | 11 | 1 d | 0 Frallowina ldo chase | ven 1 | voluteditir |
| 1372137 | 12/1/2013 | 1644 | 1000 Nerth | thay mocx mo | MOnailicsuas st | mera end | Nuth | North | Straght | stopped in Tr + | Marving Aut | Mcoran Aut | Off houd |  | 0 | 0 | - Following tee dose | ven 1 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1324605 \\ & 1412050 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 / 9 / 2093 \\ & \text { 5/25/2094 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 *: 18 \\ & 16: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 30 \\ \hline 0.0 c t \end{gathered}$ | MOHRT\|MGSDE ST TAME TOCK RD |  MORMMGSHE 5 TI | Anse feler mad | South morth | East Henh | Turndua Fint Stration | Stropped in Tral | Morich Aut | Noshent |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 ORher | Veh! | wrather |
| 14.5000 | 6/8/403 | 1621 | 30 Socuh | TMent fock mo | MORNMGSLIE ST |  |  |  | Stration | srapped in Traf | Mberofetut | Mown $A$ |  |  | 2 | 1 | 0 Following toa d | Ven 1 |  |




Continuous Improvement Customer Service

December 24, 2015
Stephanie Holtey
City of Central Point
Planning Department
140 So. Third St.
Central Point, OR. 97502
Dear Ms. Holtey:
We have reviewed the Traffic Impact Analysis, dated October 2015, for the proposed Costco Conditional Use Permit and have the following comments:

1. Mitigation is required at the intersection of Biddle Rd and Airport Rd due to project traffic degrading the level of service on the westbound approach below acceptable standards. The increase in traffic volume will increase competition for gaps in traffic for permissive movements resulting in the acceptance of smaller gaps and increase collision potential at the intersection.
2. The intersection of Table Rock Rd. and Morningside St. needs to be studied to mitigate safety effects of project trips on a decrease in safety at the intersection. The proposed increase in traffic will increase rear end pressure on northbound left turning motorists and decrease available gaps in southbound traffic. This will induce them to choose smaller gaps and increase collision potential at the intersection. The 90 P.M. peak hour project trips each way north and southbound represent a $20 \%$ increase over the 450 peak hour through trips each way counted on Table Rock in 2015. The development should contribute to a project to construct a northbound left turn lane at Morningside St and Table Rock Rd.

If you have questions, please contact me at (541) 774-2121.
Sincerely,

Peter Mackprang
Associate Traffic Engineer
CC: Kim Parducci
Don Burt
Dan O'Connor

# FINDINGS OF FACT \& CONCLUSIONS OF LAW <br> Costco Wholesale Conditional Use Permit <br> File No. 15022 

January 5, 2016

Applicant:
Costco Wholesale
999 Lake Drive
Issaquah, WA 98027

Findings of Fact
and
Conclusion of Law

## PART 1 - INTRODUCTION

Costco Wholesale is requesting a Conditional Use Permit to develop 18.28 acres of vacant industrial land (M-1) zone with a membership warehouse and associated four (4) island fuel facility. The 161,992 square foot membership warchouse will be located on the southwest property boundary and the fuel facility on the southeast property boundary. It is the applicant's intent to relocate its existing facility to the proposed site with a scheduled opening date Fall 2016.

The project site is located on the eastern edge of the Central Point city limits at the southeast comer of Hamrick and Table Rock Road (Figure 1). The site also has frontage on Federal Way, a local street. Surrounding properties include developed and undeveloped industrial lands, including the $\mathrm{M}-1$ and $\mathrm{M}-2$ zoning districts.

In accordance with Table 17.05.01, the Costco Conditional Use Pernit application has been processed using Type III procedures as set forth in Section 17.05.400 of the Central Point Municipal Code.

Including this introduction, these findings will be presented in three (3) parts as follows:

1. Introduction
2. Section 17.76.040, Conditional Use Findings \& Conclusions
3. Summary Conclusion



## PART 2 - CONDITIONAL USE FINDINGS \& CONCLLSIONS

### 17.48.040 Conditional Uses.

The following uses and their accessory uses may be permitted in an M-1 district when authorized in accordance with Chapter 17.76:
A. Business offices and commercial uses that are compatible with and closely related in their nature of business to permitted uses in the M-1 district, or that would be established to serve primarily the uses, employees, or customers of the M-1 district;
B. Rail and trucking distribution facilities.

Finding 17.48.040(A): The City, by Planning Commission Resolution 764 and City Council Resolution $1217^{\prime}$, determined that membership warehouses are a commercial use compatible with and closely related to permitted uses in the $M-1$ zone.

Conclusion 17.48.040(A): Costco Wholesale, a membership warehouse that inclutes wholesale automobile fuel sales, is specifically allowed as a Conditional Use.

Finding 17.48.040(B): There are no rail or trucking distribution facilities associated with the proposed use.

Conclusion 17.48.040(B): Not applicable.

### 17.76.040 Fت̈ndings and Conditions

The planning commission in granting a conditional use permit shall find as follows:
A. That the site for the proposed use is adequate in size and shape to accommodate the use anc to meet all viher development and lot requirements of the subject zoning district and all other provisions of this code.

Finding 17.76.040(4): As evidenced in the applicant's site plan, the 18.28 acre project site is adequately sized to accommodate the proposed structures and off-street parking as follows:

1) Setback Requirements (CPMC'17.48.060). The proposed structures meet the setback requirements of the M-I zoning district as set forth in Table 1 below:

| Tathe 1 Prop Yari | irfi Sritharks <br> Mrrimum Sathar:k | Wrrethousp | Frat Cimony |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Front (North) | 20-ft | 275-ft | 950-ft |
| Side (Weat) | 10-ft | 60-ft, 3-in | 51-f |
| Side (East) | 10-ft | 395-ft | 35-ft |
| Rear (Šouth) | 10-ft | 60-ft. $10-\mathrm{in}$. | 160-ft 1-in. |

[^2]2) Off-Street Parking Requirements (CPMC 17.64.040). The applicant's parking plan proposes 783 parking spaces, which is 85 spaces in excess of the maximum 698 spaces allowed (Table 2).

| Table 2. Costco Parking Requirement |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proposed <br> Costco Floor <br> Area by Use | Building <br> Area <br> (Sa. Ft.) | Min./Max. <br> Parking <br> Standard | Parking <br> Supply <br> Ratio | Required <br> Parking <br> (No. <br> Spaces) | Proposed <br> Parking | Surplus/Deficit |
| Retail | 134,064 | $1 / 200$ s.f. | 5.00 | 670 | 783 | 113 |
| Warehouse | 27,928 | $1 / 1,000$ <br> s.f. | 1.00 | 28 |  | $(28)$ |
| TOTAL | 161,992 | $1 / 232$ s.f. | 4.31 | 698 | 783 | 85 |
| Proposed <br> Adjustment | $\mathbf{1 6 1 , 9 9 2}$ | $1 / 207$ s.f. | 4.83 | 783 | 783 | - |

In accordance with Section $17.64 .040(B)(2)$, the applicant is requesting an increase to the maximum parking standard for the proposed use. Table 3 summarizes the data provided in the applicant's parking demand analysis, which is based upon the following:

- Documented parking supply and demand at existing Costco Wholesale warehouses in Oregon; and.
- The Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Parking Generation, $4^{\text {th }}$ Edition recommendation to maintain a maximum parking utilization of $90 \%$ during the typical peak periods to avoid illegal parking and repeating circulation. ${ }^{2}$

| Costoo She Location | Frarneded se Sheo (sq. Ft.) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Piefling } \\ & \text { supply } \end{aligned}$ | Prent Porfod Pertang Domand | Parking Downand per 1,000 Sq. Ft . |  | Timimum Reoommend ed Porting Ame |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clackamas | 137,000 | 693 | 670 | 4.89 | 744 | 5.43 |
| Medford | 136,297 | 654 | 579 | 4.25 | 644 | 4.72 |
| Aloha | 148,030 | 682 | 528 | 3.57 | 587 | 3.96 |
| Average | 140,442 | 676 | 592 | 4.24 | 658 | 4.71 |
| Central Point, Proposed | 161.992 | 782 |  |  | 753 | 4.83 |

The applicant's parking proposal for the Central Point location is slightly higher than the average minimum recommended parking ratio (Table 3) at 4.83 parking spaces per 1,000 s.f. GFA. Since the difference between the minimum recommendation and the proposed adjustment is within the range of acceptable statistical error (less than $5 \%$ ) and is consistent with the ITE

[^3]recommendation to stay below $90 \%$ utilization for typical and seasonal peaks, the request to increase the parking standard is warranted and can be accommodated as demonstrated by the applicant's site plan.
3) Loading Requirements (Section 17.64.040). Lodding required for retail buildings greater than 100,000 s.f. GFA includes 3 bays plus 1 bay for each additional $80,000 \mathrm{~s}$. f. On this basis the proposed 161.992 s.f. warehouse requires four (4) loading bays, which are provided on applicant's site plan and architectural elevations (north and west elevations). Additionally, the plans show three (3) loading areas for smaller truck/van deliveries.

Conclusion 17.76.040(A): The site is sufficient in size and shape to accommodate the use and meet the development and lot requirements of the M-I zone.
B. That the site has adequate access to a public street or highway and that the street or highway is adequate in size and condition to effectively accommodate the traffic that is expected to be generated by the proposed use.

Finding 17.76.040(B): The proposed Castco membership warehouse and fuel facility will generate approximately 10,670 new daily trips. In accordance with Section 17.05.900(A)(2)(c), the applicant prepared a Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) for the proposed Costco membership warehouse and fuel facility. The scope of work for the TIA was based on scoping sessions held on June 2. 2015 and August 13, 2015 with uffected transportation agencies (i.e. Oregon Depurtment of Transportation, Juckson County, City of Medford's, and City of Central Point). It was agreed that the TIA vould evaluate twelve (12) intersections and all proposed site access driveways.

Per the TIA Costco membership data was utilized in conjunction with area-wide population, land use, employment and Iransportation information to determine how the transportation system will operate under build year (2016) and future year (2030) conditions with and without the proposed Costco development in place. The TLA accounted for Jackson County's Table Rock Road widening project, which is scheduled to begin construction one year (2017) after opening of the proposed Costco project. Upon completion of the project, Table Rock Road will include four travel lunes, continuous center turn lane, bicycle lanes and sidewalks on both sides of the roadway from Biddle Road to Airport Road. The roadway will then narrow to two (2) travel lanes with a continuous center turn lane, bicycle lanes and sidewalks on both sides of the roadway from Airport Road to the I-5 crossing. Signalization of the Table Rock/Airport Road intersection will be completed as part of this project. As a result of the planned improvements, traffic impacts on Table Rock Road (i.e. Intersections of Table Rock and IIamrick Road and Table Rock and Airport Road) will be resolved.

Based on the TIA and comments received from affected agencies, there are traffic impacts to the following six (6) roadways:

[^4]1. Northbound I-5 Off-Ramp (ODOT).
2. Table Rock Road and Hamrick Road Intersection (Jackson County).
3. Table Rock Road and Airport Road Intersection (Jackson County).
4. Airport Road and Biddle Road Intersection (City of Medford
5. IIamrick Road/East Pine Street/Biddle Road (City of Central Point).

Subsequent to completion of the TLA the City of Medford noted that a sixth intersection, the intersection of Table Rock Rnad and Morningside Street, would also be impacted by the project. The impatsts and proposed mitigation for each of the above intersections are:

1. Northbound 1-5 Off-Ramp. On the date of opening, the TTA indicates that the volume to capacity (v/c) ratio on the NB I-5 Off-Ramp will be exceeded trigging the need for implementation of IAMP 33 Project No. 9 (dual right turn lanes from the off-romp to East Pine Street). To mitigate this condition ODOT required that Project No. 9 of IAMP 33 be required as a condition of development. Prior to commencement of construction of the applicant's project ODOT's Project No. 9 must be fully funded and scheduled for construction. Recognizing that the applicant's project was not responsible for the total impact it vas agreed that the applicant will pay a prorated share of the costs.
2. Table Rock Road and Hamrick Rond Intersection. During the interim (period between completion of the applicant's project and completion of the County's Table Rock Road Project) site access on Table Rock Road will be limited to right-in/right-out. As a result of the access restrictions, left turn delays at Hamrick Road and Table Rock will resvilt unacceptable interim levels of service (LOS F). The TIA demonstrates that the identified interim impacts to the right-in/right-otit access restrictions on the Hamrick/Table Rock Road intersection (non-signalized) are resolved upon completion of the Table Rock Widening project. To limit access and resolve the identified interim impact to Hamrick/Tuble Rock Road, the County is requiring the following conditions:
a. Until the County's Table Rock Road project is complete, the private Table Rock Road approaches will be limited to right-in/right-out only. To assure this movement the applicant shall construct median islands in Table Rock Road in front of the two Table Rock Road private approaches.
b. Prior to certificate of occupancy, the applicant shall construct a left turn and left receiving lane on Tuble Rock Road at Hamrick Road. The turn and receiving lanes shall have adequate queuing to ensure sufe and efficient operation of the intersection during the first year of opening.
c. As part of the County's Tuble Rock Improvement Project, the Table Rock Road approaches will be constnucted as right-in/eft-in/right-out movements. The County's project will install these medians at the project's expense.
3. Table Rock ard Airport Road Intersection. This intersection is currently at LOS F. With the applicant's project and the pending improvements to the intersection scheduled for 2017 as part of the Table Rock Road Widening Project the level of service will be improved to LOS A. During the interim it is agreed that a lower level of service is acceptable.
4. Airport Road and Biddle Road. This intersection curpently operates at a IOS C. At build year, the intersection will operate at a LOS $E$. The City of Medford's review of the TIA, per a letter dated January 5, 2016 , inaticated that the preferred mitigation would be the eventual signalization of this intersection end recommended that the applicant pay their proportional share ( $10 \%$ ) of the fithure (no planned date) signalization cost prior to commencement of construction of the applicant's project.
5. Hamrick Read and East Pine Street Biddle Road Intersection. With completion of the appicant's project the intersection of Ifamrick Road and Fast Pine Street/Biddle Road is not expected to exceed LIOS D. However, the TIA confirmed that by 2020 the City's TS. P Projent $\# 213$ will be needed at this intersection to avoid an unacceptable level of senve. The city of Contral Point is tentatively scheduled to complete the necessary improvements as a Capital Improvement Project by 2018, inchding north-south traffic receiving lanes, a thru lane, and designated right and lefi turn lanes on Harnrick Road north and south of the intersection. The City is not requiring interim mitigation, since the identifted impacts do not occur at the build year.

Tabie Rock Road and Morningside Street Intersection. Although not studied in the TIA the City of Medford, in letters duted December 24, 2015 and January 5, 2016, stated that increased project related traffic volume on Table Rock Road wotld increase collision potential to turning movements at the intersection. The City of Medford recommends that prior to commencement of construction of the applicant's project that the applicant contribute its proportional share ( $20 \%$ ) toward future (no scheduled date) construction of left turn improvements at this intersection.

Conclusion 17.76.040(B): I'er the Applicant's THA and the recommendations of the affected agencies, traffic impacts of the proposed wse on public streets and highways have been identified and will be mitigated as noted in the above findings and cis conditioned in the Revised Siuff Report dated January 5, 2016.
C. That the proposed use will have no significant adverse eifect on abutting property or the permitted use thereor. In making this detemination, the commission shall consider the proposed location of improvements on the site; vehicular ingress, egress and internal circulation; setbacks; height of buildings and structures; walls and fences; landscaping; outdoor lighting; and signs.

Finding 17.76.040(C): The following characteristics were evalutated in consideration of the proposal's impacts to abutting properties:

1. Proposed Locotion of Site Improvements. As illustrated in the Site Plan. the location of the proposed warehouse, fuel facility, parking and landscape improvements are consistent with the site design and development requirements of the M-1 zoning district (See Finding 17.76.040(A)).
2. Vehicular Ingress, Egress and Internal Circulation. The project site proposes two access drives on each of the frontage roads (i.e. Federal Way, Hamrick Road and Table Rock Road). Per the TIA, accese restrictions to private approaches on Tahle Rock Road, prior to completion of the Table Rock Road widening project, cause operational and safety issues at Hamrick Road. As demonstrated in Finding 17.76.040(B), access restrictions and mitigation measures resolve traffic impucts associated with ingress and egress as conditioned per the Revised Staff Report dated January 5, 2016.
3. Setbacks. The applicant's site plan identifies the location of structures and off-street parking areas consistent with the setback requirements in the M-I zoning district (See Finding 17.76.040(A).
4. Building Ileight. Per the Architectural Elevations submitted by the applicant, the warehouse will have a varied roofline with a maximum height of 34 -ft at the top of the highest parapet. The proposed building height is typical of surrounding warehouse development and within the maximum 60 ft btulding height allowed in the $M-1$ zone. The top of the fiel canopy is 17 $f t$-inches within the maximum height requirements of the $M$ - 1 zone.
5. Walls and Fences.' Due to the nature of the proposed use as bulk retail sales, the applicant's proposal does not include site obscuring walls or fences. This proposal is typical of other commercial/retail development in the city, and is consistent with other permitted uses in the M-I zone. As such, the no adverse impacts to adjacent properties or their permitted wes will result from the absence of fences and walls.
6. Landscaping. The applicant's Landscape Plan illustrates proposed street frontage and offstreet parking area landscape improvements consistent with site development requirements in the M-I zone. This is considered to be adequate and effective in avoiding adverse visual imparts to adjoining properties.
7. Outdoor Lighting. The applicant submitted a Site Photometric Plan that shows perimeter and interior lighting throughout the site. Lighting is oriented toward the interior site and is not deemed to cause an adverse impact to adjoining properties.
8. Signs. The Applicant has submitted a Class "C" Variance (File No. 15032) from the sign area standard of CPMC' $17.48 .080(A)(1)$. The signage variance request yould allow wall signs that are proportional to the building scale and dimension consistent with signage permitted in other commercial $(C)$ districts in the City. Based upon the applicant's proportionality rationale for the proposal, the variance request is deemed reasonable. However, if the variance is not approved, the applicant will be required to demonstrate compliance with the $M-1$ sign area standards prior to building permit issuance.

Conclusion 17.76.040(C): The applicant's project is typical of site development within the M-I zone. As such, the site development standards for permitted uses in combination with the
conditions of approval relative to vehicle ingress and egress (Finding 17.76.040(B)) are deemed sufficient to avoid adverse impacts to abutting properties or permitted uses thereof.
D. That the cstablishment, maintenance or operation of the use applied for will comply with local, state and federal health and safety regulations and therefore will not be detrimental to the health, safety or general welfare of persons residing or working :n the surrounding neighborhoods and will not be detrimental or injurious to the property and improvements in the reighborhood or to the general welfare of the community based on the review of those factors listed in subsection $C$ of this section.

Finding 17.76.040(D): The issue of safety is regulated through the buiding code and in comjunction with the fire district. The proposed fieling station must be constructed and operated in compliance with all Federal. State and local regulation and shall be reviewed during the building permit process and prior to issuance of a building permit. The Applicant's findings affirm their commiment to complying with all Federal, State and local regulations.

Conclusion 17.76.040(D): The proposed Cosico Wholesale is consistent with this criterion.
F. That any conditions required for approval of the permit are deemed recessary to protect the public health, safety and general welfare and may include:

1. Adjustments to lot size or yard areas as needed to best accommodate the proposed use; prov:ded the lots or yard areas conform to the stated minimum dimensions for the subject zoning district, unless a variance is also granted as provided for in Chapter 17.13,

Finding 17.76.040(E)(1): The site is adequate to accommodate the proposed development as demonstrated in Finding 17.76.040(A). However. as a condition of approval, legal lot consolidation of the four (4) lots comprising the site will be required prior to building permiti isstance to eliminate property boundury conflicts with the proposed structures.

Conclusion 17.76.040(E)(I): As conditioned, the required lol consolidation is suffcient to resolve the identified property boundary conflicts with proposed structures.
2. Increasing street widths, modifications in street designs or addition of street signs or traffic signals to accommodate the traffic generated by the proposed use,

Finding 17.76.040(E)(2): See Finding 17.76.040(B).
ConcIusion 17.76.040(E)(2): As demonstrated in 17.76.040(B), the transportation system is sufficient to accommodate traffic generated by the proposed use as conditioned.
3. Adjustments to off-street parking requirements in accordance with any unique characteristics of the proposed use,

Finding 17.76.040(E)(3): Per the Parting Demand Assessment included in the Applicant's TIA, the propnsed use has parking demands, unique to Costco, that necessitate an increase in allowable parking The applicant has proposed an increase to the City's off-street parking standard to allow 783 parking spaces, which is consistent with the minimum recommended parking for Costco and maintains a utilization rate less $90 \%$ utilization per the ITE's recommendation for off-street parking areas.

Conclusion 17.76.040(E)(3): Per Finding 17.76.040(A), the requested parking increase for the proposed use is justified.
4. Regulation of points of vehicular ingress and egress,

Finding 17.76.040(E)(4): See Finding 17.76.040(C).
Conclusion 17.76.040(E)(4): Per Finding 17.76.040(C), the limitation of access and interim mitigation at the intersection of Table Rock Road is necessary to maintain operational standards and safety at the intersection.
5. Requiring landscaping, irrigation systems, lighting and a property maintenance program,

Finding 17.76.040(E)(5): The applicant's project is typical of other uses/structures permitted in the M-I district and as such the site development standards for permitted uses in the M-I zoning district are deemed adequate to integrate the applicant's project into the surrounding neighborhood. Based upon evaluation of other Costco Wholesale locations being in good condition, no additional conditions are deemed necessary relative to maintenance.

Conclusion 17.76.040(E)(5): Not applicable.
6. Regulation of signs and their locations,

Finding 17.76.040(E)(6): The applicant's proposal for signs includes wall signage that exceeds the maximum area allowable in the M-1 zone.

Conclusion 17.76.040(E)(6): As a condition of approval, the applicant's Class "C" Variance request (File No. 15032) shall be approved prior to issuance of a building permit. If the variance is not approved, the applicant will be required to demonstrate compliance with the M-1 sign area standards prior to building permit issuance.
7. Requiring fences, berms, walls, landscaping or other devices of organic or artificial composition to climinate or reduce the effects of noise, vibrations, odors, visual incompatibility or other undesirable effects on surrounding properlics,

Finding 17.76.040(E)(7): The project proposal is for bull retail sales. With the exception of the automobile fuel sales, an outright permitted use per CPMC 17.48.020(G), all business operations (i.e. retail sales, food preparation, tire installation) will occur within an entirely enclosed structure. Given the characteristics of the proposed use and the compatibility of the site develoument (See Finding 17.76.040(A) and $(C)$, there are no noises, odors, or other adverse impacts from the proposed structures or use that wonld necessitate fences, berms, walls or additional landscaping.

Conclusion 17.76.040(E)(7): Not applicable.
8. Regulation of time of operations for certain types of uses if their operations may adversely affect privacy of sleep of persons residing nearby or otherwise conflict with other community or neighborhood functions,

Finding 17.76.040(E)(B): The project site is within the M-1 industrial zone.
Surrounding properties are zoned M-I Industrial and M-2 Inthstrial General. Costco uses standard business hours, normally between 10 am and 9 pm Monday through Friday and 10 am to 5 pm or 6 pm on weekends, and its fuel station from 6 am to 10 pm daily.

Conclusion 17.76.040(E)(8): Based on the proposed operating hours and the zoning of surrounding properties no further regulation of operating hours is deemed necessary.
9. Establish a time period within which the subject land use musi be developed,

Finding 17.76.040(E)(9): Per Section 17.76.060 the applicant has one year to obtain a building permit and diligently pursue construction to completion. The scheduled opening dute for the proposed Costco Wholesale is Fatl 2016 per the Applicant's findings.

Conclusion 17.76.040(E)(9): Aside from the building permit requirement per Section 17.76.060, there are no issues with the proposed development timing.
10. Requirement of a bond or other adequate assurance within a specified period of time,

Finding 17.76.040(E)(10): Per the Applicant's TLA and the recommendations of the affected agencies, traffic impacts of the proposed use on public streets and highways have been identifted, will be mitigated, and applicant will be required to warrant improvements noted in the F'indings 17.76.040(B) and as conditioned in the Revised Staff Report dated January 5, 2016.

Conclusion 17.76.040(E)(10): As conditioned in the Revised Staff Report dated January 5. 2016, timely completion of warranted improvements is assured.
11. Such other conditions that are found to be necessary to protect the public health, safety and general welfare,

Finding 17.76.040(E)(11): Aside from the previously discussed conditions related to the development of a membership warehouse, there are no additional conditions.

Conclusion 17.76.040(E)(11): Not applicable.
12. In considering an appeal of an application for a conditional use permit for a home occupation, the planning commission shall review the criteria listed in Section 17.60 .190 .

Finding 17.76.040(E)(12): There is no home occupation associated with the proposed Costco Wholesale.

Conclusion 17.76.040(E)(12): Not applicable.

## PART 3 - SUMMARY CONCLUSION

As conditioned, the proposed Costco Wholesale has been found to comply with the criteria set forth in Section 17.76.040 for Conditional Use Permits.

## PLANNING COMMISSION RESOIUTION NO. 827

## A RESOLUTION APPROVING A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT FOR A COSTCO WHOLESALE ON LANDS WITHIN THE M-1, INDUSTRIAI. ZONE

(FILE NO. 15022)
WhEREAS, the City, by Planning Commission Resolution No. 764 and City Council Resolution No. 1217, determined that membership warehouses are a commercial use compatible with and closely related to permitted uses in the $\mathrm{M}-1$ zone and therefore authorized thern as a conditional use.

WHEREAS, the applicant has submitted an application for approval a Conditional Use Permit to develop an 18.28 acre site within the $\mathrm{M}-1$, Industrial zone with a 161,992 square foot Costco Wholesale membership warehouse and four (4) island fuel facility; and

WIIEREAS, on January 5, 2016, the City of Central Point Planning Cornmission conducted a dulynoticed public hearing on the application, at which time it reviewed the Staff Report and heard testimony and comments on the application; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission's consideration of the application is based on the standards and criteria applicable to Conditional Use Permits in accordance with Section 17.76 of the Central Point Municipal Code; and

WHEREAS, after duly considering the proposed use, it is the Planning Commission's determination that, subject to compliance with conditions as set forth in the Staff Report (Exbibit "A") dated January 5,2016 , the application does comply with applicable standards and criteria for approval of a conditional use permit.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the City of Central Point Planning Commission, by this Resolution No. 827, does hereby approve the Conditional Use Permit application for Costeo Wholcsale. This approval is based on the findings and conditions of approval as set forth on Exhibit "A", the Planning Department Staff Report dated January 5, 2016 and the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law as set forth in Exhibit "B, " including attachments incorporated herein by reference.

PASSED by the Planning Commission and signed by me in authentication of its passage this $5^{\text {th }}$ day of January, 2016.

Planning Commission Chair

## ATTEST:

## City Reprcsentative

## STAFF REPORT

February 2, 2016

## ITEM

Consideration of a Conditional Use Permit application for the construction of a 161,992 square foot Costco membership warehouse and fuel facility on an 18.28 acre site at the southwest comer of Hamrick and Table Rock Road. The project site is within the Federal Way Business Park subdivision in the Industrial (M-1) zoning district, and is identified on the Jackson County Assessor's map as 37S 2W 12B, Tax I ots 213, 214, 215, and 216. Applicant: Costco Wholesale; Agent: Steve Bullock, MG2

STAFF SOURCE

Stephanie Holtey, Community Planner II

## BACKGROUND

Costco Wholesale ("Applicant") is requesting a Conditional Use Permit to develop a 161,992 square foot membership warehouse on 18.28 acres in the M-1, Industrial zone.

The Conditional Use Permit application was considered at the January 5, 2016 Planning Commission meeting. At that time staff presented an evaluation of the proposal relative to its compliance with the conditional use approval criteria set forth in CPMC 17.76.040. Based on the evidence submitted, the proposal was found to comply with the applicable revicw criteria as conditioned.

The Planning Commission heard testimony from the applicant, and proponents and opponents of the application. Due to the timing of revised comments and conditions from the City of Medford on January 5, 2016, the applicant requested that the record remain open for seven (7) days following closure of the public hearing; including a seven (7) day rebuttal period. The public hearing was closed and, per a duly seconded motion, the request to leave the record open was granted with written comments to be submitted as follows:

- Open record period - January 12, 2016 at 4:30 p.m.;
- Applicant's rebuttal period - January 19, 2016 at 4:30 p.m.

On January 19, 2016 the applicant submitted their written rebuttal (Exhibit "14") to the City of Medford's January 5,2016 revised conditions and comments. Staff has reviewed the applicant's rebuttal and finds that the previously written conditions 3 and 4 in the Revised Staff Report dated January 5, 2016 adequately address the situation and do not need to be modified.

## ISSUES

During the open record period, thirteen (13) letters were received with six (6) in favor, five (5) in opposition, and
two (2) neutral. The applicant responded to opposing testimony with timely rebuttal. It should be noted that some of the issues were anecdotal and beyond the scope of the conditional use approval criteria in CPMC 17.76 .040 (i.e. Costco's business policies, impacts to local businesses and associated internship and scholarship opporlunities). A summary of the written comments reccived during the open record are:

1. Opposition. Testimony reccived in opposition to the proposed use primarily focused on traffic issues and includes three broad categorics: 1) concerns about the TIA assumptions; 2) opcrations/safety; and 3) mitigation sufficiency/cost allocation. Due to the volume of the traffic generated by Costeo, there is a perception that additional mitigation actions are neccssary to ease operational and safety concerns. Emphasis was given to Table Rock Road widening, impacts of heavy truck traffic, and the need for the applicant to bear the cost of improvements (Sce Exhibits " 1 " through " 5 ").

The Applicant's Rebuttal (Exhibit "14") and the TIA (Exhibit "15") address the testimony opposing the proposed use on the following basis:
a. The TIA was prepared and reviewed by affected agencies and Southern Oregon Transportation Engineers, LLC. The analysis relied on assumptions including Costco's market demographics, area-wide population, employment, land use, and transportation system information including heavy trucks/ vehicles (Sce TIA, Lxhibit " 15 ");
b. Per the conditions of approval, mitigation measure address safely and operational impacts nccessary to provide adequate transportation scrvices.
c. Costco's cost share for the improvements are proportional to the impact generated by the proposed use and therefore sufficient to mitigate the financial cost of off-site impacts.
2. Support. Testimony in support of the proposed use counters concerns about adverse impacts to local business and further asserted support for the proposed location duc to community benefits associated with cconomic growth stimulus and improved property values. It is further emphasized that traffic impacts have been adequately addressed and that the concerns about heavy truck traffic conflicts and congestion on Biddle/Pine Strcet have been adequately addressed and mitigated (See Fxhibits " 6 " through " 11 ").

Based on evidence in the record and the applicant's rebuttal, the testimony received during the open record period has been adequately addressed (See Exhibits " 14 " and " 15 ").

## EXIIIBITS

Exhibit "1" - L.etter from I.. Calvin Martin, received January 12, 2016
Exhibit " 2 " - Letter from David Smith, received January 12, 2016.
Exhibit "3" - Letter from Tanya Wilkerson, reccived January 12, 2016
Exhibit "4" - Lecter from Kathy and Ray Wilkerson
Exhibit " 5 " - Letter from Dennis Burt, received January 12, 2016
Exhibit " 6 " - Letter from Wayne and Hattie King, received January 12, 2016
Exhibit "7" - Letter from Kimberly Little, received January 11, 2016
Exhibit "8" - Letter from John Batzer, received January 11, 2016
Exhibit " 9 " - letter from Glen Finley, received January 11, 2016

Exhibit "10" - Letter from Laura Vaughn, received January 8, 2016
Exhibit " 11 " - Letter from Pulver \& Leever, received January 8, 2016
Exhibit " 12 " - Letter from Vic Agnifili, received January 12, 2016
Exhibit " 13 " - Letter from Vic Agnifili, received January 12, 2016
Exhibit " 14 " - Applicant's Rebuttal, received January 19, 2016
Exhibit "15" - Revised Staff Rcport dated January 5, 2016

## ACTION

Consider the Conditional Use Permit application and either 1) approve; 2) approve with conditions; or 3) deny the application.

RECOMMENDATION
Approve the Conditional Use Permit per the Revised Staff Report dated January 5, 2016 and all conditions therein.

# L Calvin Martin. 

P. O. Box 442,

Developer's Agent
(541) 778-6638 Office, (541) 227-4262 Cell
calmartin1@msncom
Design, Construction Management, Construction Cost Estimates, Contract Negotiations Feasibility Studies, Quantity Surveys, Contract Management, Contract Dispute Resolutions City and Regional Planning, Land Development, Lobbying: Wastewater Technologies

1/11/2016
Response to the Conditional Use-Permit Application of Costco to the City of Central Point, Oregon

City of Central Point Oregon<br>Planning Department and Planning Commission<br>155 South $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street<br>Central Point, Oregon<br>97502

Via Hand Delivery

Having listened to the applicant's presentation and their consultants, it became clear that no matter the difficulty with the site and its related traffic applicants would force a fit. Certainly the most significant problem relates to the traffic it generates and the assumptions made by the applicant's traffic consultants.

The traffic consultants were hired to make it work in whatever way can produce an approval. The consultants (Kittleson and Associates) are essentially a hired gun that represents the applicant and land owners, putting together a plan that they believe will convince the City to approve the plan. It is obvious that the City and Planning Commission look favorably on the locating of Costco in Central Point. Costco is an amazing retailer/wholesaler that is loved by its customers and admired by its competitors. The problem is that this site and this business are not an appropriate fit.

Costco is a "warehouse" sales/membership store. This is true but Costco generates more traffic to its "warehouse" of 130,000 square foot store in Medford than the nearly 700,000 square foot Rogue Valley Mall. Costco parking is almost always near full and traffic is very difficult and congested, hence the reason for their desire to build a new store. A leaking roof is not the reason for their move. The reason is that they are losing potential business due to older persons and busy people not being willing to fight the traffic and congestion. They are smart retailers and they need a larger store to service the market and loyal customers that they have.


Kittleson Consultants have made many assumptions that can and should be examined, at the very least, by a neutral consultant. Clearly without questioning deeply their assumptions the T.I.A. reveals many problems that require expensive and time consuming mitigation. The cost numbers are very liberal and favor the applicant. They desperately need to be reviewed in detail by another neutral consultant

The use of Table Rock Road is necessary and that arterial is scheduled for major improvements but the acquisition of land for widening and funding of the cost has not even started. Land must be acquired, engineering completed, bids advertised for and then, depending on weather, construction begun. Based on other projects the construction could easily take a year and the other issues could take that much time or even more.

The widening of Table Rock Road will only be done to just south of its intersection with Airport Road, The road to be effective needs to be widened all the way to its intersection with Merriman Road. The bridge crossing the I-S is old and very narrow. The increased traffic and stress on this "elderly" bridge will require that it be replaced with a stronger and wider (at least four lanes) bridge. The cost of this bridge is far more substantial than any of the other proposed mitigation measures and likely more than all of them combined.

Table Rock Road continues south into a fairly dense housing area with homes fronting directly onto the road and serves two large multifamily projects exiting only onto Table Rock Road.

The proposed location of Costco will create a very dangerous mix of large heavy trucks (including triples) from adjacent and nearby businesses (Knife River Concrete and Aggregates, FedEx Ground and others). Table Rock Road to the north of East Pine Strcet and Biddle Roads serves many trucking companies and they will mix with the Costco traffic. This will create a very high likelihood of accidents and driver stress for all of East Pine Street, Hamrick Road and Table Rock Road. There is no plan, apparent or in consideration, that will eliminate or diminish this condition.

If you consider the age make-up of Costco customers it becomes apparent that large portions are seniors. The mix of senior drivers with congestion and heavy trucks is not appropriate or desirable and could have disastrous results.

The land around the proposed Costco has not been developed to its potential, particularly to the North and West. When that land develops the intersections will be even more stressed. The mitigation measures proposed create difficult driving and congestion all through Central Point's downtown area as well.

Traffic to Costco from western Medford, western Central Point, Jacksonville and the Applegate Valley as well as Grants Pass, Rogue River and Gold Hill will pass through Central Point. The Jacksonville and Applegate Valley traffic will come all the way through the downtown area of Central Point. If that traffic, through Central Point, becomes a problem and it is somewhat congested even now, then the traffic will reroute to the Table Rock Road option as the route of choice. The problems with that option have been previously addressed.

The expenses, of this first set of mitigations, are likely to be much more than what has been projected by the applicant's consultant and others. There are no actual hard numbers for the projected work based on design drawings and completed land acquisition. The time frames for completing these improvements are very liberal and delays will add extra costs.

In summary, this proposed conditional use-permit application, though it can legally accommodate this facility, it is a very poor and troublesome location that will affect Central Point, Medford and Jackson County in a negative way both due to traffic and expense.

My clients would urge you to not approve this application or require, at the very least, another independent traffic study

Sincerely,

L.Calvin Martin, Agent

Cc. Garvey, Shubert, Barer Attorneys. Portland, Oregon

January 12, 2016
Summary of January 6,2016 comments on application of .Costco Wholesale


David Smith 241 Saginaw Drive, Medford, OR 97504

1. Co-owner of business on S. Front Street in Central Point and several apartments.
2. Long time member of Costco and shop at present store at least twice a week.
3. Research of official records of OOOT and Central Point reveal that the proposed site for Costco is located on an official freight route system within the city and in the midst of freight terminals.(Reddaway, Conway, Fed Ex.) One, Reddaway Trucking already account for 600 truck trips per day. According to ODOT materials Gordon Trucking owns a large parcel directly across from the proposed entrance to Costco, and intends to build a freight terminal, adding a significant increase in trucks using the area.

4 Costco's traffic study indicates its store will add 10,670 new trips per day, the majority of which will come from Medford on Biddle and Table Rock.
5. Costco traffic will add several thousand more cars per day using Biddle and adding congestion at the entrance to the airport.
6...ODOT's Freight Prafile identifies Table Rock Road as one of the local roads that "experience high volumes of freight traffic."
7. Costco's traffic study is flawed in that it does not identify the routes its members use to access the existing Medford store; information which is necessary to accurately predict how those members will reroute to the proposed location.
8. Costco tries to identify its operation as a warehouse, but indicates in its November 3, 20125 memo to the city staff (at page 14) that its Parking Demand Study requires 783 parking stalls which is more than the city requires for a retail store the size of the proposed Costco.

CONCLUSION: While the "member Warehouse" description of the Costco proposal may distinguish it from other retail stores as far as the variety of merchandise and profit margins, its impact on traffic will be greater than other retails stores of the same size. One need only note how fast the Costco parking lot fills up and remains full during store hours; much mare 50 than other retail stores which are open longer hours which results in less traffic in any given hour. Even the entire Medford Mall appears to have less parked cars. Costco and the city staff both indicate the intention to have the store open in 2016, before the widening project on Table Rock even begins. It makes no sense to add $\mathbf{1 0 , 6 7 0}$ more vehicles traveling through a construction zone, for an undetermined period, which will create an additional problem which has not been addressed.

January 12, 2016

City of Central Point
Attn: Commissioners and Planning Department
140 S. Front Street
Central Point, OR 97502

To Whom This May Concern:
I am writing this letter to share my strong desire to keep Central Point a small, family friendly town. I understand that bringing a large business, such as Costco would at first appear to increase revenue flow in the town of Central Point; however, I think upon Further examination, it would be detrimental to the efforts that: Mayor, Hank Williarns, has recently put into our town's downtown and local business appeal.

My thoughts go immediately to the small businesses that make up the backbone of this community businesses that would inevitably be losing some of their customer base. In turn, some local businesses ones that faithfully give back to our schools and community, would possibly be faced with the layoff of staff, or in extreme cases, closure of facilities. This could change the dynamics in Central Point affecting revenue, Crater Foundation Scholarships, local internships for high school students, and housing, People pay a prime price to live in Central Point, yet one has to wonder, if the traffic appeal is similar to that of Medford, if prices will eventually drop.

I am confident that when reviewing this proposal, you will realize the detriment effect of this decision. My hope is that Central Point will continue to be a little oasis in the valley, with local bus nesses that are booming, strong schools educating our chi dren, safe parks to play in, and a strong housing demand.

Thank you for your time.
Best Regards,


Tanya Wilkerson


## Dear Central Point Planning commission,

This is regarding the plans for the New Costco on Table Rock Rd. and Biddle.
I live at 2524 Beebe Rd. in Central Point and I am very concerned of the traffic around my home.
It's already bad to try to get out of my Central Point East subdivision.
This seems to be a pretty big building they are planning and with the Pilot close by with all the trucks already from that this will be a complete nightmare.
I assume that the roads a round it will be widened somehow and traffic lights will be added. Who will be paying for this? $I$ hope not us property tax players as it's already $\$ 3800$ a year which is higher than any city near Central Point, I am also concerned that my house value will go down in price with no one wanting to live next to this nightmare of traffic.
This will make it a low desirable area to live in we believe.

Thanks for your time
Kathy and Ray Wilkerson
5416640533
Central Point East

1/11/16

To whom it may concern:
This letter is in regards to the consideration of allowing Costco to build at the corner of Hamrick and Table Rock roads. My only request is that you read this letter and consider the negative impact that this would cause to the current families of Villas and Table Rock roads.

Three years ago my family moved to a home located on Table Rock Rd. near Ore Rd. At the time the traffic was heavy but bearable. Since then, a sizeable increase in traffic has made us regret our decision. This increase, in my opinion, was caused from the increase in business activity and growth on the Crater Lake Hwy such as the new Lithia Auto Mall. People, trying to avoid the traffic congestion on Crater Lake Hwy have begun to use alternate routes to get to the Freeway, Rogue Valley Mall, Central Point, and others via Table Rock Rd. as well as Villas Rd.

Several years ago, the Jackson County Roads Dept. did a traffic count study to determine the amount of traffic using Table Rock Rd. I don't have, nor remember, the exact numbers but it was somewhere in the realm of 13,000 cars a day, on average, were passing by the intersection of Wilson and Table Rock Rd. Based on several factors, one can assume that the number has significantly increased. Getting out of my driveway and on to Table Rock Rd. has become a nightmare. Crossing to get the mail, which lies on the opposite side of Table Rock Rd. is no longer a safe option. l've approached USPS, with the numbers and hazards, asking about having the mail boxes moved and was told to that it would not be a financially feasible decision. Thus not taking the safety of the residents in to account. Two people have died, within severai hundred yards of my home, within the last 3 years while walking or crossing Table Rock Rd. and countless accidents have happened, making it, in my opinion, one of the most dangerous roads in the county.

If you allow the placement of Costco, at the proposed site, Table Rock Rd. and Villas Rd. will become, more than ever, a safety and logistics nightmare for the people wha live there. Not to mention the possible devaluing of our property. People traveling from North of the current location will be forced to use Table Rock Rd. and Villas Rd to get to the new location. These two roads will be, undoubtedly, overwhelmed with traffic. Please give careful consideration to this when making your decision on the placement of the new Costco. Please don't be another USPS and discount the safety of the residents.

Sincerely,
Dennis Burt
5969 Table Rock Rd.
Central Point, OR 97502
541-226-6715



Central Point City Council
$1403^{\text {rd }}$ Sereet
Central Point, OR 97502

## Dear Members of the Clity Council,

With respect and appreciation for you as the council of Central Point, my wife and I submit to you for an approval of the proposed Costco store.

Weve been members of the Costco Stores since 1985. Their products are of high quality and within reason. Thelr attitude and help has always been above reproach. If you have a problem with their products, they are very quick to refund or replace the product with few exceptions (some electronic items).

As property owners in Jackson County and Curry County, Costco has always been an asset in helping us with our needs. Also, their assistance in helping small and large businesses in the Rogue Valley in so many ways. The number of jobs have increased in the surrounding area along with other businesses.

As retired teachers, we do encourage the approval of the Costco store in Central Point.

Thank you for your time.


1909 Regal Ave.
Medford. OR 97501a
P. S. Please don't forget the business coming in from Callfornia, Washington and other nelghboring states from I-5. This produces growth with jobs.

## Planning Commission

City of Central Point
140 S. $3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{St}$.
Central Point, OR 97502
January 7, 2016
To whom it may concern:
I am writing to express my support of the proposed location of a new Costco Wholesale store at the corner of Table Rock Rd. and Hamrick Rd. Although I live in Medford, my daily place of employment is very near this location.

I believe this proposed location has been well-chosen and would benefit the community of Central Point. It is just far enough away from the main part of town as to not affect traffic in the busiest areas, while at the same time, bringing people into the community who might not otherwise conduct their business in the area. I do not foresee any negative impact on traffic in the immediate area, when handled with proper planning for traffic control lights on the intersections around the facility.

Thank you for your consideration of this proposal, and I hope that you will be able to approve their request to build this new store.

Thank you,


Kimberly Little

# JOHN E. BATZER <br> P.O. BOX 970 <br> MEDFORD OR 97501 

January 7, 2016

City of Central Point
Planaing Cummission
140 S. Third Street
Certral Point, OR 97502

RE: CCSTCO Conditional Use Permit

Dear Plarning Commission.
1 , along with various partners, own property in the area that Costco proposes to re-locatc. We are all in Cavor of the Costco development.

This Costco development will help stimulate properties that have been stagnate since the recession and will give a needed hoost to the surrounding properties. It will help to get the necessary infrastructure needed to develop these properties. In addition, it will help the tax base.

The neighboring area was zoned so that it could be a focal point for commerce. We think Costco will be great for the neighborhood.

We hope the City will approve the Costco conditional use permit.


January 8, 2016

Costco comments
Central Point Planning Commission
140 S. $3^{\text {rd }}$ St
Central Point, OR 97502

To Whom It May Concern:
I am definitely in favor of approving the Costco store in Central Point near Table Rock Road and Hamrick.

I reside in the Central Point East neighborhoud, about 4 blocks north of the proposed Costco site. I am semi-retired and make numerous trips in the area that would be affected by traffic generated by the proposed Costco store. From my frequent observations, the comments I have heard aboul the truck traflic and other alleged problems on Biddle/Pinc must be from persons who have no direct knowledge of the situation or must have some other agenda. Traffic on Biddle/Pine between Table Rock and Hamrick is some of the lightest in the area.

Central Point needs more opportunities for shopping and employment. I think the opponents o: development in Central Foint, particularly in the area east of I-5, are not thinking of the best interests of the community as a whole.

I would be glad to give you any more information you may need in this matter.


358 Meadowbrook Dr.
Central Point, OR 97502
541-840-9484


January 8, 2016

City of Central Point
Planning Commission
140 South $3^{\text {rd }}$ Street
Central Point, OR 97502

Re: Planned Costco in Central Point

My name is Laura Vaughn; I live at 5085 Dobrot Way, Central Point, Oregon. I attended and spoke quickly to the meeting last week on January 5, 2016.

I am following up my nervous statements with the following:

- I have been a member of Costco, Execut ie Member, since 1993. I am a loyal and avid fan of the quality/price of items they offer to members.
- I have lived in the Rogue Valley since 1996 - I have been at my present location in Central Point since 2001. I do not plan on moving anytime in the foreseeable future. I love Central Point.
- I have been at just about all the Costco locations up and down l-5 from Fresno, California all the way to Abbottsford, British Columbia, Canada....including the original location in Kirkland, Washington.
- All Costco locations generate a buzz and demand - As a traveler, you search Costco out for the best gas prices! I can truthfully say the only Costco that is a bear to get in and out of is the one in Eugene on Cobourg road - did it stop me from visiting it - NO! \am aware that to shop at Costco means you need to have patience. And with the eugene location - it is very similar to the proposed area - you go a half mile north and it's country roads. $\qquad$
- I am in favor of relocating the Medford Costco warehouse and fuel facility to the proposed location on Hamirck and Table Rock Roads in Central Point.
- I will look forward to being able to avoid the tangle and mess of highway 62 and Delta Waters Roads in Medford. As stated, the new locations will be within bicycling distance for us, but we will bring the car for the days when we stock up........Ray's and Albertson's will still be our local grocery stores for the quick in and out purchases!

As I finished my nervous statement I merit, tioned - IT IS ONLY THROUGH CHANGE THAT YOU GROW....Any change is well worth the hassle and delays that the construction will cause.... Lo y al Costco members will appreciate a new facility, larger parking lot, larger warehouse and of course, more lines for the fueling station. The yearly property taxes received from Costco will be a boost to Central Point's operating budget, as well as the prospect of the additional jobs that Central Point residents could apply for $\qquad$
Thank you for letting me put in writing what I was too excited to say in person at your meeting. PLEASE APPROVE THIS CONSTRUCTION AND LET COSTCO BEGIN TO HELP CENTRAL POINT GROW!! COSTCO WILL RELOCATE, PLEASE LET IT BE HERE AT HAMIRCK AND TABLE ROCK ROAD!


Re: COSTCO Conditional Use Permit

## Dear Sirs:

A number of us own properties in the vicinity of the proposed development and are in favor of your approval of this development.

This area of Central Point has been stagnate for almost 10 years since the beginning of the recession and needs a shot in the arm to get it going again. We think the Costco development will provide that stimulus and will be beneficial to surrounding commercial and industrial properties. It will also enable some of the infrastructure improvements to get completed which would be necessary for other development.

This area of the valley was once characterized as the logistical center of commerce. This is probably why it was chosen by COSTCO. We think a good choice.

We hope the City will work hard ta make the proposed development a reality.
Sincerely,


Dear Puaning commiss/On,

AS A CONDITIN POR APPROVOL, Costco SHOULD SHOLNGASE NO Less THAN $\mathbb{Z}$ LOCAL SKLCS lour of 3,500, Roubtey). A8P1 70,2 puer ANY bocAl REGongl BuSCNESS
 \&ESSION WITH THS CEnTRAL Point costco.

NTHIS . SHOULD BE PONE ON THE 1. Bots . Ther Costeo SIGNIFConNCY "Olspupts cocac. supply Denorv, iANP TAHUS SHOUCD MAAKE REASOutra Accomvunfoligns -To $\therefore$ COMPENSiAE OFFSET THिS Piter onienon. Costcodsons Sotupies with consicurinnts vefipres ThES ilseuptoin to Locitil Alppecos.
\&opipechalyo.
$\therefore 2 \mathrm{~m}$

Dear Peanniane

COSTCO HAS ITS SHORTEST Sundor.
ver lTS HIGHEST PER HOUR SQU of ANY DAY. COSTCO INHERGUTL RECO WNZES "TITE IMPRTAN
Yes, cos,
PROMOTVNG THE UAST MIA TORLTV IOF COMM unitu MBnBEES THAgfocus on sundoty ofteations. As A CONDITON POR APPROOAL
AND TO BE PAR TO ALC CENTOA POLNT CON MUNITY MEMBERS, pespe afpucey sub6est <ntift cospco Mave iNATH M A MESSA 6 BOAR AT THE EKTT No LESS THE $5^{\prime} \times 5^{\prime}$ ROR MANLY SUNRAY OPEPAN Busipesses to peomote -ifierp bus WHCH ARE ALMOST ALWGYS TLBE of CHARGE. Feqreotruily fostor zire

January 19, 2016
Planning Commission
City of Central Point
155 S. 2nd Street
Central Point, OR 97502

## RE: Conditional Use Permit - Costco Rebuttal

Dear Chairman Piland and members of the Planning Commission:
Costco would like to thank you for your thoughtful consideration of our Conditional Use Permit application.

As you are aware, holding the public record open for an additional week to allow Costco to review comments submitted by the City of Medford on the day of our hearing has allowed some additional comments to be submitted into the record. Aside from the letters of support from the community for our proposed move, the overwhelming majority of testimony received at the hearing and subsequently submitted in written comments are related to traffic. Costco's traffic consultants, Kittelson \& Associates, Inc., were directed to collaborate with all the agencies. Additional traffic counts and intersections were collected and analyzed to ensure the project could operate at acceptable levels of service and any safety issues could be mitigated. We are pleased to report that ODOT, Jackson Co. Roads and the City of Medford have reviewed and are in agreement with Costco's Conditional Use Permit as conditioned in the staff report.

The attached letter from Kittelson \& Associates is our response to each of the traffic related comments. It is our belief that the analysis provided adequately addresses the issues raised in the hearing and in written comments. Thank you for your consideration.

Costco Vice-President of Real Estate

Planning Commission
City of Central Point
155 S. 2nd Street
Central Point, OR 97502

## RE: Conditional Use Permit Application

Dear Chairman Piland and members of the Planning Commission:

This letter responds to transportation-related issues raised in written comments submitted to the City of Central Point on or before January 12, 2016 and referencing the Conditional Use Permit Application by Costco Wholesale for a warehouse and fuel facility near the Hamrick Road/Table Rock Road intersection in Central Point, Oregon.

1. Comment: "Costco's traffic study is flawed in that it does not identify the routes its members use to access the existing Medford store; information which is necessary to accurately predict how those members will re-route to the proposed location." January 12, 2016 letter from David Smith.
Response: To assure that the traffic analysis was based on reasonably worst-case conditions, none of the traffic associated with the existing Costco warehouse on Crater Lake Highway was subtracted from the estimated existing and future background traffic volumes, nor was any of it re-routed to the proposed new warehouse location. instead, current market demographics were used along with area-wide population, employment, land use, and transportation system information to estimate the likely trip distribution and routing characteristics of the entirely new vehicle traffic that was assumed to be generated by the proposed new Costco warehouse. This additional traffic was then superimposed on existing and anticipated future background traffic volumes, resulting in a conservatively high estimate of the total amount of traffic the transportation system will need to be able to accommodate at all key intersections.
2. Comment: "It makes no sense to add 10,670 mare vehicles traveling through a construction zone, for on undetermined period, which will create an additional problem which has not been addressed." January 12, 2016 letter from David Smith.
Response: As is normal practice in almost all road construction projects, a traffic management plan will be established and implemented for the duration of the road construction period for the benefit of both vehicles and workers.
3. Comment: "Costco generates more traffic to its 'warehouse' of 130,000 square foot store in Medford than the nearly 700,000 square foot Rogue Valley Mall." January 11, 2016 letter from L. Calvin Martin.

Response: We are not aware of recent traffic counts at the Rogue Valley Mall. However, a traffic analysis of a shopping center containing 700,000 square feet would be based on an assumption of near-full occupancy and would result in an estimate of about 25,000 average weekday trip ends.
4. Comment: "[sic] Kittleson Consultants have made many assumptions that can and should be examined, at the very least, by a neutral consultant." January 11, 2016 letter from L. Calvin Martin.
Response: The traffic analysis and associated report that was initially prepared by Kittelson \& Associates has been critically reviewed by transportation planning and engineering staff at the City of Central Point; Jackson County; City of Medford; and Oregon Department of Transportation. Additionally, the City of Central Point hired Southern Oregon Transportation Engineers, LLC to conduct a thorough review and provide comments of the transportation impact analysis. Staff from the public review agencies also participated from the outset in defining the scope and scale of the traffic analysis that was ultimately performed. The results of the critical reviews conducted by these agencies have been incorporated into the final report and the followup letters and technical memoranda that are part of the record.
5. Comment: "Table Rock Road...needs to be widened all the way to its intersection with Merriman Road." January 11, 2016 letter from L. Calvin Martin.
Response: Jackson County has jurisdiction over Table Rock Road in this area and has made the decision on the begin and end points of this particular widening project based on a multitude of factors that fall beyond the scope of this Conditional Use Permit Application. With respect to the adequacy of the transportation system to accommodate the proposed new Costco warehouse, the traffic analysis demonstrates that adequate transportation services can be provided without extending the Table Rock Road improvement project beyond its current boundary limits.
6. Comment: "The bridge crossing the l-5 [on Toble Rock Road] is old and very narrow. The increased traffic... will require that it be replaced with a stronger and wider (at least four lanes) bridge." January 11, 2016 letter from L. Calvin Martin.
Response: The proposed new Costco warehouse does not cause the need for this bridge to be replaced or widened in order to maintain adequate transportation services.
7. Comment: "The proposed locotion of Costco will create a very dangerous mix of large heavy trucks (including triples) from adjacent and nearby businesses (Knife River Concrete and Aggregates, FedEx Ground and others)."January 11, 2016 letter from L. Calvin Martin.
Response: The traffic analysis conducted by Kittelson \& Associates was reviewed by appropriate City, County, and State agencies and explicitly considered the safety as well as the operational effects of the proposed new Costco warehouse. The mitigation measures incorporated into the conditions of approval have been found sufficient to maintain adequate transportation services with respect to both operations and safety.
8. Comment: "The mix of senior drivers with congestion and heavy trucks is not appropriate or desirable and could have disastrous results." lanuary 11, 2016 letter from L. Calvin Martin. Response: The traffic analysis conducted by Kittelson \& Associates was reviewed by appropriate City, County, and State agencies and explicitly considered the safety as well as the operational effects of the proposed new Costco warehouse. The mitigation measures incorporated into the conditions of approval have been found sufficient to maintain adequate transportation services with respect to both operations and safety.
9. Comment: "The land around the proposed Costco has not been developed to its potential, particularly to the North and West. When that land develops the intersections will be even more stressed." January 11, 2016 letter from L. Calvin Martin.
Response: The projected future background traffic volumes used in the traffic analysis are based on estimates of future population and employment for Central Point and the surrounding urban areas, and therefore anticipate that growth will continue to occur throughout the urban area. Additionally, separate traffic analyses will be required of all nearby specific development applications that are expected to generate significant volumes of additional vehicular traffic. All such development applications will need to provide, as part of their own approval process, whatever mitigation is identified as being necessary to assure continued adequate transportation services in the area.
10. Comment: "The mitigation measures proposed create difficult driving and congestion all through Central Point's downtown area." January 11, 2016 letter from L. Calvin Martin.
Response: The mitigation measures set forth as conditions of approval were developed in collaboration with City, County, and State engineers and planners. They have been found to adequately mitigate the off-site transportation impacts associated with the proposed new Costco warehouse without creating unacceptable side-effects elsewhere.
11. Comment: "The expenses, of this first set of mitigations, are likely to be much more than what has been projected by the applicant's consultant and others." January 11, 2016 letter from L. Calvin Martin.
Response: The construction cost estimates associated with each condition of approval were developed and reviewed by registered professional engineers and are based on the most recent available unit prices from similar and/or nearby construction projects.
12. Comment: "I assume that the roads around it will be widened somehow and traffic lights will be added. Who will be paying for this?" January 12, 2016 printed email letter from Kathy and Ray Wilkerson.
Response: Costco has agreed to pay its proportionate share of each mitigation measure identified in the conditions of approval, which means it will fully mitigate the financial costs of the off-site impacts associated with the proposed new Costco warehouse. See also the response to Comment \#1 above: the fact that Costco's traffic analysis has conservatively overestimated the
facility's off-site traffic impacts gives further assurance that Costco is fully contributing its proportionate financial share of each mitigation measure identified in the conditions of approval.
13. Comment: "I don't have, nor remember, the exact numbers but it was somewhere in the realm of 13,000 cars a day, on average, were passing by the intersection of Wilson and Table Rock Road". January 11, 2016 letter from Dennis Burt.
Response: Traffic data available from Jackson County indicates that in 2008 the average daily traffic volume on Table Rock Road in the vicinity of Wilson Road was about 17,000 vehicles per day. The traffic analysis estimates the proposed new Costco warehouse will generate about 90 additional vehicle trips (45 in each direction) on Table Rock Road in the vicinity of Wilson Road during a typical weekday evening peak hour.
14. Comment: "Table Rock Rd, and Villas Rd. will become, mare than ever, a safety and logistics nightmare for the people who live there." January 11, 2016 letter from Dennis Burt.
Response: The traffic analysis conducted by Kittelson \& Associates was reviewed by appropriate City, County, and State agencies and explicitly considered the safety as well as the operational effects of the proposed new Costco warehouse. The mitigation measures incorporated into the conditions of approval have been found sufficient to maintain adequate transportation services with respect to both operations and safety.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these responses.

Sincerely,

Wayne Kittelson, P.E.
Principal


Brett Korporaal
Associate

## MEMORANDUM

| Date: | January 19, 2016 | Project \#: 19046.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| To: | Stephanie Holtey |  |
|  | City of Central Point |  |
|  | 140 South Third Street |  |
|  | Central Point, Oregon 97502 |  |
| From: | Brett Korporal and Wayne Kittelson, PE |  |
| Project: | Central Point Costco TIA |  |
| Subject: | Response to City of Medford Comments dated January 5, 2015 |  |

This memorandum responds to comments submitted by staff from the City of Medford related to the Central Point Costco Transportation Impact Analysis (TIA). Each comment from the letter dated January 5, 2016 is summarized below and then foliowed by Kittelson \& Associates, Inc.'s (KAl) response.

## COMMENT 1 FROM CITY OF MEDFORD

We understand the Rogue Valley International Airport is opposed to a median at the intersection of Biddle Rd and Airport Rd, as described in Condition No. 3. We recommend a condition that requires the developer to pay a proportional share towards a future traffic signal at this intersection. The City of Medford estimates the total cost for a traffic sign at this location to be $\$ 450,000$ including design, construction, and inspection. We estimate the development's contribution at $10 \%$ from the additional traffic at this intersection shown in the Traffic Impact Analysis, dated October 2015, prepared by Kittelson and Associates, Inc. This results in a $\$ 45,000$ contribution from the developer to this future project.

## RESPONSE 1 FROM KAI

Costco Wholesale and the traffic engineers it has retained respectfully disagree that signalization is the most appropriate mitigation at the Airport Road/Biddle Road intersection. We believe there are good reasons to route trucks to the airport and Airport Road via Biddle Road. Biddle Road is currently a five lane road with a jug handle connection from Interstate 5, SR 62, SR 99, and SR 238 to the south of the airport. Biddle Road also provides a direct connection to E Pine Street, which provides access to Table Rock Road and I-5 for trucks access north of the airport. KAl would need truck origin and
destination data to determine optimum truck routing into and out of the airport. However, it is clear from information already available that a raised median can be constructed that will still allow trucks to make left- and right-turns onto Airport Road via Biddle Road. With a median at the Airport Road/Biddle Road intersection trucks would be able to make right-outs onto Biddle Road or a leftturn out of the airport can be accommodated at the O'Hare Parkway/Biddle Road intersection, which is roughly a quarter-mile south of the Airport Road/Biddle Road intersection and therefore does not require out-of-direction travel.

Based on the low volumes on Airport Road, Costco's traffic engineers also question whether a traffic signal would be able to meet official installation warrants. A traffic signal will disrupt and add delay to all vehicles approaching the intersection whereas a raised median will re-route only a small number of vehicles - and it will re-route them in a way that does not add travel distance or create additional safety or operational problems. For these reasons, we believe that a raised median is the most effective and most appropriate measure to mitigate the effects of the proposed new Costco warehouse at this location. A planning level cost estimate has been prepared for a raised median at this intersection, resulting in an estimated total construction cost of $\$ 35,000$. Attachment A contains KAl's planning level cost estimation spreadsheet for a raised median at the Airport Road/Biddle Road intersection.

## COMMENT 2 FROM CITY OF MEDFORD

At the intersection of Table Rock Rd and Morningside St we recommend a condition that requires the developer to pay a proportional share towards a future left turn lane at this intersection. See attached accident history showing an existing pattern of northbound rear-end collisions at this intersection. Per our December 24, 2015 letter, this development will increase PM peak hour trips through the intersection by $20 \%$. The City of Medford estimates the total cost for a left-turn lane at this location to be $\$ 300,000$ including design, construction, and inspection. A $20 \%$ contribution would result in a $\$ 60,000$ contribution from the developer to this future project.

## RESPONSE 2 FROM KAI

The City of Medford provided KAI with crash data at the Table Rock Road/Morningside Street intersection dated 2010 through 2014. In the City of Medford's letter to the City of Central Point dated December 24, 2015, the noted concerns were focused specifically on northbound rear-end crashes. However, a review of the crash data provided by the City reveals that the total number of reported crashes has declined each year since 2010, and only one rear-end northbound crash has been reported in the past three years at this location. These observations cause KAl to question whether there is an ongoing significant northbound rear-end crash problem that warrants mitigation.

Even if the City of Medford believes an intersection improvement is needed at this location, neither KAI nor Costco sees a nexus between the relatively small amount of net new site-generated traffic expected to travel through the intersection and the concern about northbound rear-end crashes:

- To the extent a problem requiring correction exists, it was created by a combination of traffic volume, roadway design, and environmental factors that Costco did not affect.
- To the extent a problem requiring correction exists at this intersection, it is created by northbound vehicles on Table Rock Road turning left onto Morningside Street, and this is a movement to which Costco is expected to add no additional traffic.
- The City of Medford has thus far provided insufficient information to confirm the northbound rear-end crash problem, to the extent such crashes are an ongoing significant problem, can be attributed primarily to the volume of through traffic on Table Rock Road. More specifically, it is impossible at this time to rule out the possibilities that approach speed and/or intersection visibility are the primary contributing factors to a northbound rear-end crash problem.
- Given that the vehicle fleet is rapidly evolving into vehicles with forward-looking radar and automated braking capabilities, it is likely that the rear-end crash problem at this intersection (to the extent it exists) will naturally decline in significance and incidence over time, simply because of the new vehicle technology that is already being deployed.

The City of Medford states that Costco's proportional share for constructing a separate left turn lane should be $20 \%$, based on the volume of additional traffic that is expected to pass through the intersection because of the presence of the Costco warehouse during a typical weekday evening peak hour. However, the City's computations do not recognize that when analyzing crash data transportation engineers use average daily traffic (ADT) data on the approaches at the intersection rather than peak hour volumes. The City of Medford provided 2014 ADT data at this location, which totals 15,416 vehicles per day. Daily site-generated trips accessing the proposed site via Table Rock Road from the south is estimated to equal $20 \%$ of total site-generated traffic. This would add an additional 2,134 daily trips to Table Rock Road south of the site for a total of 17,550 ADT when the site opens in 2016. When performed on the basis of average daily traffic, therefore, the City's computations would result in a proportionate share estimate of $12 \%$ and not $20 \%$.

In summary, neither Costco nor the traffic engineers it has retained sees a nexus between the site's generated trips and the possible need for a northbound left-turn lane on Table Rock Road at its intersection with Morningside Street. As well, Costco and its traffic engineers believe the need for and appropriateness of a northbound left-turn lane at this intersection has not yet been established, and that further investigation could reasonably conclude that traffic volume on Table Rock Road, while a contributing factor, is not the primory or the only factor contributing to northbound rear-end crashes at this intersection. Attachment $B$ includes the crash data summary and ADT at the Table Rock Road/Morningside Road intersection.

## SUMMARY

Costco Wholesale believes that a contribution of $\$ 35,000$ will allow construction and implementation of roadway improvements in Medford appropriate to mitigate the off-site transportation impacts anticipated within the City of Medford and attributable to the proposed new Central Point Costco warehouse. Even so, Costco Wholesale is prepared to contribute up to $\$ 70,000$ to the City of Medford in order to assure that sufficient funds are provided to fully mitigate the proposed Costco warehouse's off-site transportation impacts within the Medford's jurisdictional boundaries.

## Attachment A Raised Median Cost Estimate at Airport Rd/Biddle Rd

## Central Point Costco Public Improvements

Airport Rd. \& Biddle Rd. Intersection
Costco Wholesale
Engineer's Estimate - Conceptual



## Central Point Costco Public Improvements

Airport Rd. \& Biddfe Rd. Intersection
KITTELSON \& ASSOCIATES. INC Costco Wholesale

Engineer's Estimate - Conceptual

| Prepared By: tred Wismer, PE \& Charles Radosta, PF |  | Date: Ianuary 11, 2016 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | This Estimate has a Roting of: | 3 C | (See rating scole quide below.] |  |
| ITEM | UNIT | TOTAL. GUANTITY | UNIT PRICE | TOTAL cost |

## Scope Accuracy

Level 1: Project scope wall understond and well defined.
Leval 2: Project scope conceptual. Scope lacks detail due to potential permit requirements; Unknown project conditions; limited knowiedge of external impacts
Level 3: Project scope is a "vision" with limited detail

## Engineering Effort

Level A: Preliminary engineering perfarmed Technical information is available, engineering calculations have been performed; clear understanding of the materials size and quantities needed to execute jab. Schedule understood; staff and permitting is fairly clear, (however this alement may still need refining). Praject Development \& Construction Contingencies ranges between $10 \%-20 \%$.

Level B: Conceptual engineering perfarmed. Technical information is available, rough engineering calculations may have been performed. or similar information from previaus similar work is compared and used. Project Development Contingencies ranges between $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ to $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ and Constructian Contingencies ranges between $20 \%$ to $30 \%$.
Level C: No engineering performed. Educated guesstimating Limited technical information available and/or analysis perfarmed. Praject Development and Construction Contingencies should be selected appropriately by Project Manager Contingency may range up ta $50 \%$.

## Attachment B Crash Data Summary \& ADT at Table Rock Rd/Morningside St

## Morningside St \& Table Rock Rd 29 Accidents (rate:1.32) 01/01/10-12/04/14



City of Medord, OR 01/05/2016
Acrident [isting
1/01/2010-12/04/2014
Morningslide St \& Tabie Rod Ad
SOITE DV COATE:TME;ACCH

| CASE IO | Date | TME | DSTA DIR $F$ | StPEET 1 | STREET 2 | THPE ar coul | VEH 3 | VEH | VEH 1 MOVE | ven 2 Move | VEH 1 TYPE | VEH 2 TYPE | lane pos | WURYY | ERTTY | fatal | Enfortement | AT FA, | SEC Causi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1001341 | 1/23/2010 | 15:26 | D East | TABLE ROCKK RD | MORMINGSIDE ST | Sderswipe | North | North | Straight | Tuming Lutt | Mowing Aut | Maxing aut |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | veh 1 |  |
| 1001958 | 2/2/2010 | 16:22 | 0 | Jable rock ro | mornancsidest | Rear end | Noren | North | Stralgh | Stridith | Mkroing A.st | Mining Aut |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 Following too chase | Veh 1 |  |
| 1004406 | 3/18/2010 | 17:21 | 75 Sourth | TABLE ROCK R | mganingside st | Hear mend | North | North | $5 \mathrm{traj} \mathrm{I}^{\text {d }}$ | Stoppedin Tra | Mroving Aut | Mowing Aut |  | D | 0 |  | 0 Foil owing 100 close | Veh 1 |  |
| 1008465 | 6/2/2010 | 15:23 | 200 North | TABLE ROCK RD | morningside st | Rear end | South | 5outh | Strajght | Turming letr | Masring Aus | Meraing Aut |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 Caretess Diviors | Veh 1 | Did not comp |
| 1009541 | 6/3/2010 | 20-02 | 500 Narth | tame mock ro | MORNINGSIOEST | mear end | South | South | Straight | Stapped in Trat | Maring Aut | Moving Aur |  | 0 | 0 | - 0 | 0 DUH Amohal | Veh 1 |  |
| 1009155 | 6/15/2010 | 12:54 | 0 | tagle rock ro | MORNINGSEEST | hear end | Nerth | North | Straight | Tumber lett | Maving Aut | Mowing Aull |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 Following 100 dose | Veht |  |
| 1000082 | 7/1/2010 | 9:37 | 0 | TABAF ROCK RD | MDRNINGSCE ST | Sdeswipe | 5 outh | South | Derertakng | Turring Right | Nioving Aut | Moring Aut | Right Shoul | 0 | 0 |  | 0 Improp Passing | Veh 1 |  |
| 101650 | 10/18/2010 | 16:26 | 0 | mabningsidet | table riocrat | Andr | North | East | 5 traight | Stopped in Trs! | biyde | Maving Aut |  | 1 | 2 |  | 0 Gureas Diving | Veb1 |  |
| 1018998 | 12/2/2010 | 0:44 | 1000 Horth | ThBLE ROCK mD | mormingside tt | Siderwipe | Nerth | South | Straight | Straight | Moving Aut | Stoving Aut | Off Road R | 0 | 0 | - 0 | 0 Drill Akohol | Yeh 1 | Hit and Aum |
| 1107265 | 4/19/2011 | 16:36 | 0 | TABLE ROCK RD | moneingside St | Rear end | North | North | Straight | Tuming left | Maving Aut | Maving Aut |  | 1 | 1 |  | O Daser Move of Stp/Pit ve | Veh 1 |  |
| 1108914 | 5/20/2011 | 1159 | 75 North | TABLE ROCK RD | MORNINGSIDE ST | Rearend | North | North | Strayth | Stopped in Tral | Moring Aut | Mowing Aut |  | 2 | 21 | 1 | 0 Fothawing toa ciase | Yeh 1 | Animal |
| 1210451 | 6/13/2011 | 16.11 | 505 senth | TABLE ROCK RD | MORNDRGSIDE ST | Rear end | Nurth | North | 5 5raictu | Stopped in Tral | Maving aut | Mominy Aut |  | 2 | 1 |  | O Foikwing loo rlose | Veh 1 |  |
| 1110650 | 6/16/2011 | 19:37 | 50 South | table rock rd | morninaside st | Hearend | North | Marth | 5 traige | Stopped in Trat |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | , | 0 Folliming too close | Veh 1 | Viokated Tra |
| 1114896 | 8/21/2011 | 10:31 | 0 | tasle rock ro | MORNINGSIDE ST | Head on | South |  | Tumling Neght | 5tationary | Mosung Aut | Object | Of Road A | 0 | 0 |  | - Careess Oriving | veh 1 |  |
| 1115263 | 8/25/2011 | 16:4D | 528 Narth | TAGLE ROCS R | MORNINGSHEES | Spdeswape | Sauth | North | Leay tral tr Lef | Straight | Moving Aut | Mowng dut |  | 0 | 0 | - 0 | 0 Carelear Oriving | Veh 1 | Did not comp |
| 1116641 | 9/16/2011 | 17:12 | 30 South | table rock rd | mdrningsiof | Rear end | orth | North | 5 traqut | Stopped in Traf |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | - | 0 following too dose | Veh 1 |  |
| 1115563 | 11/1/2111 | 14:00 | 0 | TABLE ADCX AD | MORMHESSIES ST | Reazend | North | North | 54 rumht | Turning teft | Mosing Aut | Moving Aut |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 Norie | Yeht 1 | Hit and Pum |
| 1203776 | 2/24/2012 | 15:57 | 0 | TABLE ROCX RD | MORNINGSIDE ST | Rear end | North | North | 5 raight | 5 topped in Traf |  |  |  | 0 | - |  | 0 Following too dose | Veh 1 |  |
| 1203878 | 3/1/2012 | 14:47 | 40 South | K | dorningside st | Rear and | Neorth | North | Straight | Tuming left | Moving Aut | Moving Aus |  | 1 | 11 | 10 | 0 Follawing too clase | Veh 1 |  |
| 1212435 | 7/6/2022 | 16:14 | $\square$ | table fock RD | MOPNWGGSEEST | Angle | North | North | Stralmat | Tuming left | Mersing Aut | Marving Aut |  | 0 | 0 | - | - Follawing too dose | Veh1 | Oid not comm |
| 1217695 | 7/10/2012 | 13:29 | 0 | TABLE ROCK ${ }^{\text {fo }}$ | MOANMEGSIDES | Andit | East | North | Tument left | Slright | Moving Aut | Moving Aut |  | 1 | 11 | 1 | O Falto deey STCP SIGN | Veh 1 | ind not comm |
| 1215893 | 8/21/2012 | 16.10 | 0 | TABLE ROCK RD | morningside st | Resprend | North | North | 5 Statate | Stopped in 7 raf | Mavine Aut | M Moring Aut |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | - Forlowing too close | veh 1 |  |
| 1221590 | 11/5/2012 | 15:43 | 75 South | table hock ro | MORALHESIDE ST | Hencolitiso | North | Abrth | 5trayde | Stopped in Traf | Moving Abt | Mowng Aut |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 Fodraming too rlose | Veh 1 |  |
| 1301650 | 1/26/2013 | 7:54 | 500 North | TABLE HOCK RD | MORNINGSIEST | Stdeswipe | Nerth |  | Leay Tratertet | Stationary | Mowing Aut | Object | Off Road L | 0 | 0 | 0 | O None | Veht 1 | HR and Rans |
| 1313715 | 7/12/2013 | 11:11 | 0 | MORNDAGSIDEST | TABLE ROCK RD | Angle | Exs | North | Tuming Left | Tumina Left | Mavins Aut | Mhown fut |  | 1 | 11 | 1 | - Fail to dbey STCP STKN | Veh 1 |  |
| 1319092 | 9/20/2013 | 11:11 | 30 North | table rock rd | MDRARGGSTDES 5 | Arar end | 5outh | Scouth | $5 t$ raght | Stopped in Trat | Mexins Aut | : Movires Aut |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 Foilowing liou dose | Veh 1 | Violated Trimer |
| 1322177 | 11/1/2019 | 14:44 | 1000 North | TABLE ROCK RD | MORNINGSTEE ST | Rear end | Nerth | North | Straight | Stopped in Traf | Merving Aurt | Moving Aut | Off Raad R | 0 | 0 | 0 O | 0 Fothowing too dose | Veh 1 |  |
| 1374605 | 12/9/2013 | 14:18 | 0 | MORNWGSIDEST | TABle ROCK AD | Angle | South | East | Turning light | Stopped bo Traf | Moving dut | Moving Aut |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 Ofher | Veh 1 | Weather |
| 1423090 | 5/25/2034 | 6:23 | 30 South | TAPLE ROCK RD | MOANWGESILEST | Rear end | Herrh | Nerth | Straight | stopped in Traf | Moving Aut | Moving aut |  | 2 | 2 | 1 | O Following exo dose | Veh 1 |  |

TRAFFIC ENGINEERING DIVISION
24 Hr Average Weekday
TRAFFIC VOLUME

Latitude: $0^{\prime} 0.0000$ South

| Start | 28-Jul-14 |  | Tue |  | Wed |  | Thu |  | Fri |  | Sat |  | Sun |  | Week Average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Direction 1 | Direction | Direction | Direction | Direction | Direction | Direction | Direction | Direction | Direction | Direction | Direction | Direction | Direction | Direction | Direction |
| 12:00 AM | - | - | 33 | 52 | 31 | 69 | * | - | $\pm$ | * | Dirtor | * | , | - | 32 | 60 |
| 01:00 | - | - | 30 | 50 | 26 | 37 | * | * | - | * | * | * | * | * | 28 | 44 |
| 02:00 | * | - | 16 | 19 | 13 | 39 | , | - | - | * | * | * | + | * | 14 | 29 |
| 03:00 | * | - | 53 | 34 | 40 | 32 | * | - | * | * | * | * | - | " | 46 | 33 |
| 04:00 | - | * | 136 | 33 | 127 | 54 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 132 | 44 |
| 05:00 | * | - | 258 | 118 | 208 | 177 | * | * | - | * | * | * | - | * | 233 | 148 |
| 06:00 | - | * | 287 | 197 | 199 | 280 | * | - | * | * | * | * | - | - | 243 | 238 |
| 07:00 | * | * | 414 | 244 | 253 | 407 | * | - | - | * | * | * | * | * | 334 | 326 |
| $08: 00$ | ${ }^{*}$ | $\stackrel{*}{*}$ | 323 | 280 | 194 | 400 | * | - | * | * | * | - | * | - | 258 | 340 |
| 09:00 | 252 | 277 | 284 | 273 | 188 | 337 | * | * | - | * | * | - | - | * | 241 | 296 |
| 10:00 | 318 | 271 | 259 | 310 | 194 | 399 | * | - | * | * | * | - | * | * | 257 | 327 |
| 11:00 | 275 | 332 | 314 | 357 | 186 | 363 | - | * | * | * | * | * | * | - | 258 | 351 |
| 12:00 PM | 293 | 368 | 367 | 402 | , | , | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 330 | 385 |
| 01:00 | 364 | 387 | 456 | 439 | * | * | - | - | - | * | * | * | * | - | 410 | 413 |
| 02:00 | 311 | 417 | 345 | 476 | * | , | * | * | , | * | * | - | - | * | 328 | 446 |
| 03:00 | 376 | 519 | 295 | 569 | * | - | * | * | * | * | * | - | - | * | 336 | 544 |
| 04:00 | 372 | 542 | 259 | 625 | * | * | - | * | * | * | * | * | - |  | 316 | 584 |
| 05:00 | 370 | 520 | 298 | 587 | * | * | - | - | * | - | * | - | - | * | 334 | 554 |
| 06:00 | 295 | 304 | 205 | 341 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | - | - | * | 250 | 322 |
| 07:00 | 197 | 249 | 159 | 260 | * | * | * | - | * | * | * | $\cdot$ | * | * | 178 | 254 |
| 08:00 | 176 | 180 | 115 | 232 | * | - | - | - | * | * | * | - | * | * | 146 | 206 |
| 09:00 | 142 | 175 | 111 | 205 | * | - | * | * | * | * | * | - | * | * | 126 | 190 |
| 10:00 | 100 | 135 | 84 | 134 | * | * | * | $\cdots$ | * | * | * | * | * | * | 92 | 134 |
| 11:00 | 46 | 71 | 58 | 104 | * | - | - | -1 | * | * | * | * | - | * | 52 | 86 |
| Lane | 3887 | 4747 | $5159$ | 6338 | $1659$ | 2594 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4974 | 6354 |
| Day | 863 |  | 114 |  | $42$ |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 113 |  |
| AM Peak | 10:00 | 11:00 | 07:00 | 11:00 | 07:00 | 07:00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $07: 00$ | 11:00 |
| Vol. | 318 | 332 | 414 | 357 | 253 | 407 | $\bullet$ | - | - | , | - | - | - | - | 334 | 351 |
| PM Peak | 15:00 | 16:00 | 13:00 | 16:00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | . | - | - | 13:00 | 16:00 |
| Vol. | 376 | 542 | 456 | 625 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 410 | 584 |
| Comb. Total | 863 |  |  | 497 |  | 253 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 1328 |
| ADT | ADT | 11,327 | AAD | 11:327 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TRAFFIC ENGINEERING DIVISION
24 Hr Average Weekday
TRAFFIC VOLUME

Latitude: $0^{\prime} 0.0000$ South


# L. Calvin Martin, Consultant 

P. O. Box 442

Jacksonville, Oregon, 97530

# Appeal to the City Council of Central Point, Oregon 

## Regarding: Costco Store Conditional-Use Permit your file \#15022

## This appeal is pursuant to your Municipal Code Section 17.05.400(F)

Members of the Council,
I am submitting this appeal in an effort demonstrate to you that the City of Central Point Planning Dept. and Planning Commission have committed an error in their approval of the Conditional-Use Permit for the Costco Store to be located in the City of Central Point in the Table Rock Road industrial Park. I have standing in that I spoke at the original hearing on January $6^{\text {th }}, 2016$ and appealed the decision to the Planning Commission subsequently.

It is easy to understand the city's desire to have such a vibrant retail store in your City. Costco is an amazing marketer of goods and services. They have a loyal customer base and provide terrific products to their customers. The City Planning Commission has abused their discretion in allowing such a use in the industrial zone and at this location.

In my previous appeal to the Planning Commission I discussed the impact of the traffic that Costco generates at any location. I demonstrated the traffic generated on a day to day basis is substantially more than is generated by the entire Rogue Valley Mall in Medford. This fact should be an occasion for pause and contemplation. You are required to follow the rules laid out in your Development Ordinance when approving such an application. It is interesting that in your STATEMENT OF VALUES regarding growth it is stated that "We value planned growth that will retain our small town atmosphere". This project does not fit that statement.

One of the issues is that the zone, that the store is to be located in, is industrial. The areas all around the store are zoned industrial and much is heavy industrial. The reason that retail/commercial activities are allowed in those zones with restrictions, and not outright, and that they go through the ConditionaiUse permit process is that they are not the primary use but an accessory use to the primary. It is the intent that these ancillary and non-primary uses are to allow for complimentary services and uses in the zone. These non-primary uses are allowed for convenience and efficiency. Uses such as restaurants and supply stores are allowed to keep people from leaving the general area to obtain needed services

When a store like Costco is placed in that zone you have to play, and indeed did so, a very significant semantic game with what you call the store. In calling it a warehouse store the project might just seem like a fit. With that said, it is certain that the management of Costco is under no illusions, whatsoever,
that they are the largest retailer in the area. As I previously stated, Costco generates more traffic than the Rogue Valley Mall and they are about one fifth of their building footprint. Just consider the number of parking spaces that they are illustrating in their plan. It is obvious that they don't fit in this zone. They are not the complimentary service and supply provider that is aliowed in the zone. A store of this size and magnitude should not be in an industrial zone. If they are allowed to develop on this site it will become a traffic disaster.

The traffic issues have far reaching effects of congestion and cost on Central Point, Jackson County, and the City of Medford as well as the Oregon Department of Transportation. Of course, that means the tax payers who support those entities. In other words, all of us will be paying a huge price for the location of a store that is not an appropriate fit. It is not a code fit and it is not a size and traffic fit all in one. Once Costco goes in there will be no way to fix this problem.

The area of this store and the road servicing it are plan designated as a Freight Traffic Route. It currently experiences very heavy truck traffic and will experience more as the balance of the lands near and adjacent to the Costco site develop. It is unwise and dangerous to mix high volume heavy truck traffic with a daily vehicle traffic load approaching $11,000$.

Traffic congestion around the Costco store will be a mix of heavy trucks and light vehicles. Table Rock Road will see the bulk of the traffic and the measures that have been suggested will only assist but not solve the problems related to this location. The additional traffic on Table Rock Road will soon find that it is not designed to handle the load further south of this store and people will soon move their preferred approach to Biddle Road and Table Rock Road north of the site. They will start using the freeway to enter Central Point from the North and the South. This will add congestion on the freeway off-ramps north and south and a loading on Pine Street all the way to the intersection with Hamrick and Pine and Table Rock Road and Pine/Biddle Road. Anyone who travels these roads now, knows that congestion in the morning and afternoon is already critical. Many people going to Costco do sa on their way home. It will definitely be more of snarl than it already is.

The improvements required in the Traffic Impact Study indicate a resultant congestion from construction that is not to be completed for as much as two vears. In reality, some of these items, such as an l-5 off-ramp improvement, are not scheduled by ODOT until 2023. There is no definitive evidence that the schedule has been modified. There are no engineering studies or drawings that would support a timely upgrade of that facility. There is no indication, other than verbal at the hearing of January $6^{\text {th }}$, 2016 that some concession might be made. There is no evidence that the improvements along Table Rock Road are funded or that the requisite imminent domain takings of additional land for widening and intersections have been done. There have been no bids advertised for and only estimates by the applicants traffic consultants. In fact, all of the items that should be in place for public safety and efficient road service are only ideas and suggestions at this point. It is possible that some may be completed within two years but at this point it is far from certain.

An additional issue that has not been fully vetted is the intersection of Biddle and Airport Road. This intersection is important as persons traveling to the airport are often on short time frames and congestion creates difficulties for them. Considering the fact that Table Rock Road to the south of the site will not be able to handle the traffic

The impact on all of these roads is significant and not easily solved if at all. The argument can be made that the costs for all of the improvements needed to place this major retailer in an industrial zone along Table Rock Road more than eclipses the cost of the store itself by a factor of five. Estimates for the widening of the freeway overpass on Table Rock Road exceed 20 million dollars. Further south of the overpass are single family residences that need to back out onto Table Rock Road to exit their properties. The estimate for acquiring these properties for road widening does not exist, but would be very high.

Table Rock Road is designated as a freight route in the overall traffic master plan and this enormous retailer with its accompanying vehicle traffic is not an appropriate mix with the truck traffic in that area. This traffic is well documented and I am certain that all of you are more than aware of the significant truck traffic that occurs on Table Rock Road to the North and South of this site and the truck traffic that enters and exits Central Paint onto Pine Street from the Interstate 5. This type of traffic mix is difficult in small amounts that will always be present but to introduce vehicle traffic that is more than the Rogue Valley Mall to these roads even with the proposed improvements should give you pause. Vehicle and truck accidents will undoubtedly increase and serious injuries will occur when Castco's large number of senior drivers are forced to mix with large truck traffic. The intersection of Vilas Road and Crater Lake Highway has been the scene of horrendous accidents and many deaths and this location and traffic load creates at least four intersections with that type of potential.

It was not long ago that the City of Centra! Point decided to pass on a "big box" store proposed by Walmart in this general vicinity. In fact, Walmart's proposed site created fewer problems than this site. Some of the same reasons that are expressed here were used to discourage the development of the Walmart store. It should be noted that the super-sized Walmart does not generate anything close to the traffic that this Costco site will generate on a day to day / hour to hour basis. If it was not a fit for Waimart at a more appropriate site, then this location and store is certainly not a fit.

I am urging you to reconsider and overturn the decision of the Planning Commission on merit.
Thank you for your time.
Respectfully submitted this $16^{\text {th }}$ day of February, 2016

L. Calvin Martin

# Costco Wiiolesale Conditional Use Permit Draft Findings of Fact \& Conclusions or Law 

L. Calvin Martin<br>Filing Date: February 16, 2016<br>File No. 15022

City Council Appeal Ifearing<br>March 10, 2016

## PART 1 - Introduction

On February 2, 2016, the Planning Commission in accordance with CPMC 17.76 approved a Conditional Use Permit authorizing the development of a Costco Wholesale membership warehouse and fuel facility on 18.28 acres within the M-1 zoning district ("Costco Application"). The project site is located on the eastern edge of Central Point city limits at the southwest corner of Hamrick and Table Rock road. The site also has frontage on Federal Way, a local street. Surrounding properties include developed and undeveloped industrial lands, including the $\mathrm{M}-1$ and $\mathrm{M}-2$ zoning districts.

On February 16, 2016, I.. Calvin Martin filed an appeal contesting the Planning Commission's decision on the basis that the City of Central Point Planning Department and Planning Commission committed an error when approving the Conditional Lse Permit for the Costco Store ("Martin Appeal"). The Martin Appeal addressed several issues that focused on legitimacy of a commercial/retail use in the M-1 zone and traffic related concerns, which are addressed below.

The Council's scope of review on this appeal is limited to the issues and evidence presented in the record before the Planning Commission as per CPMC. 17.05.400(F)(3). As this appeal is on the record the City Council may not consider new evidence or issues that were not preserved in the record below. Council review is limited to determining whether there is substantial cvidence in the record to support the findings of the Planning Commission; or whether errors of law were committed.

Membership warehouses are permitted as a conditional use per the City's 2009 similar use determination under CPMC 17.48.020(W) and 17.60.140, which was adopted by the Planning Commission as Resolution No.764, and affirmed by the Council on Appeal as Resolution No. 1217. The applicable approval criteria for a Conditional L'se Permit are set forth under CPMC 17.76.040, Findings and Conditions for Conditional Use Permits.

## Part 2 - Appeal Issues

There were seventeen (17) issucs raised in the Martin Appeal. Though some of these issues overlap, staff has attempted to lay each issue out separately for Council consideration. The following is a summary of each issuc presented in the Martin Appeal including the draft findings and conclusion pertinent to each issuc.

1. Planning Commission Abuse of Discretion. "The City Planning Commission has abused their discretion in allowing such a use in the industrial zone and at this location."

Finding 1: Membership warehouses, per Section 17.48.020(W) and 17.60.140, are allowed as a conditional use in the M-I district per Planning Commission Resolution No. 764 and City Council Resolution No. 1217. As such, the Planning Commission considered and approved the Costco Conditional Use Permit (CUP) application in accordance with the conditional use permit standards and criteria set forth in CPMC 17.76. Per the Applicant's Findings ("Applicant's Findings" and the Planning Department Supplemental Findings ("Supplemental Findings") and testimony provided in the record, evidence was submitted into the record as to each criterion under 17.76.040 and was found to comply with all such standards and criteria.

Conclusion 1: The Council concludes that the Planning Commission did not err in finding that membership warehouses are permitted subject to a conditional use application under CPMC 17.76 and/or that there was substantial evidence in the record to find that all such standards and criteria were met under 17.76.040..
2. Traffic Impacts. Traffic generated by Costco on a daily basis is substantially more than is generated by the entire Rogue Valley Mall.

Finding 2: The Applicant submitted a traffic impact analysis ("TlA") into the record prepared by Kittelson and Associates for the subject property. City staff reviewed the TIA and there is testimony in the record as to the substance of the TIA. No other traffic reports or analysis were prepared or submitted into the record by traffic engineers or other traffic experts. Testimony from opponents was limited to opinions as to the amount of traffic that would be generated by the subject application. There was no other traffic analysis in the record to contradict the Applicant's TLA, nor does the record contain any traffic analysis as to the amount of traffic at the Rogue Valley Mall.

Conclusion 2: The Council concludes there is not substantial evidence in the record to find that traffic at the Rogue Valley Mall is relevant to this application, $n$ or is there sufficient evidence in the record to substantiate the amount of traffic generated by the mall.
3. Development Ordinance. "You are required to follow the rules laid out in your development ordinance when approving such an application."

Finding 3: The Planning Commission's considered the subject application as a CUP under the City's authorization of membership warehouses as a conditional use in the M-1 zone as discussed in Finding I above, and Finding 17.48.040(A) of the Planning Department Supplemental Findings in the record. The Planning Commission considered and approved the Conditional Use Permit for Costco Wholesale hased on the application's demonstrated compliance with the standards and criteria for conditional use permits per CPMC 17.76 as set forth in the Applicant's Findings and the Planning Department Supplemental Findings (See Resolution No. 827 and attachments thereto).

Conclusion 3: The Council concludes that there is substantial evidence in the record to find that the Planning Commission applied the relevant standards and criteria under Resolution Nos. 764 and 1217, and CPMC 17.76 to approve a membership warehouse and fuel facility and applied the evidence in the record to find such standards and criteria were met.
4. Statement of Values. - "Your STA'EMEN' OF VALUES regarding growth...stated that, "We value planned growth that will retain our small town atmosphere." This project does not fit that statement."

Finding 4: In considering the Conditional Use Application for the proposed Membership Warchouse and fuel facility, the Planning Commission was required to render a decision based on the proposal's demonstrated compliance with Standards and Criteria for Conditional Use Permits set forth in Central Point Municipal Code Chapter 17.76.

Conclusion 4: The mission statement is not part of the Municipal Code nor Comprehensive Plan. It does not serve as a standard or criteria and is merely aspirational language under the City's general Mission Statement. The Council finds the Planning Commission did not err applying the Standards and Criteria of CPMC 17.76.
5. Accessory Usc. "One of the issues is that the zone that the store is to be located in is Industrial. The reason that retail/commercial activities are allowed in those eones with restrictions, and not outright, and that they go through the Conditional Use Permit process is that they are not the primary use but an accessory use to the primary."

Finding 5: The Planning Commission found that membership warehouses were adopted by the City as conditional uses in 2009 as set forth in Finding 17.48.040(A) of the Planning Department Supplemental Findings adopted by the Planning Commission. The Ilanning Commission found that as a result of the similar use determination, membership warehouses are not considered accessory uses but are permitted subject to the standards and criteria for Conditional Use Permits per CPMC 17.76.

Conclusion 5: The Council concludes that the Planning Commission's consideration of membership warehouses as a conditional use and not as an accessory use is in conformance with the City's similar use authorization per CPMC $17.48 .020(\mathrm{~W})$ and CPMC 17.60.140. See also Planning Commission Resolution 764 and City Council Resolution 1217.
6. Semantics. - "When a store like Costco is placed in that zone you have to play, and indeed did so, a very significant semantic game with what you call the store. In calling it a warehouse store the project might just seem like a fit. With that said, it is certain that the management of Costco is under no illusions, whatsoever, that they are the largest retailer in the area."

Finding 6: The Planning Commission's consideration of the Costco CUP to develop a membership warehouse and fuel facility is based on the City's similar use authorization for
membership warehouses in the M-1 zoning district per Finding 17.48.040(A) in the Planning Department Supplemental Findings and Finding I above.

Conclusion 6: Membership warehouse clubs, such as Costco Wholesale, are allowed in the M-1 district subject to the standards and criteria set forth in CPMC 17.76, Conditional lise Permit.
7. Not a Fit in the \%onc. "As I previously stated, Costco gencrates more traffic than the Rogue Valley Mall and they are about one fifth of their building footprint. Just consider the number of parking spaces that they are illustrating in their plan. It is obvious that they don't fit this zonc. They are not a complimentary service and supply provider that is allowed in this zone. A store of this size and magnitude should not be in an industrial zone. If they are allowed to develop on this site it will become a traffic disaster."

Finding 7: As noted in findings 5 and 6, membership warehouses were approved in 2009 as a conditional use in the M-1 zone. With regard to traffic, CPMC 17.76 requires consideration of the following:
A. That the site for the proposed use is adequate in size and shape to accommodate the use and to meet all other development and lot requirements of the subject \%oning district and all other provisions of this code;
B. That the site has adequate access to a public street or highway and that the street or highway is adequate in size and condition to effectively accommodate the traffic that is expected to be generated by the proposed use;
C. That the proposed use will have no significant adverse effect on abutting property or the permitted use thereof. In making this determination, the commission shall consider the proposed location of improvements on the site; vehicular ingress, cgress and internal circulation; setbacks; height of buildings and structures; walls and fences; landscaping; outdoor lighting; and signs;
D. That the establishment, maintenance or operation of the use applied for will comply with local, state and federal health and safety regulations and therefore will not be detrimental to the health, safety or general welfare of persons residing or working in the surrounding neighborhoods and will not be detrimental or injurious to the property and improvements in the neighborhood or to the gencral welfare of the community based on the review of those factors listed in subsection C of this section;
E. That any conditions required for approval of the permit are deemed necessary to protect the public health, safcty and general welfare and may include:
2. Increasing street widths, modifications in street designs or addition of street signs or traffic signals to accommodate the traffic generated by the proposed use,
3. Adjustments to off-street parking requirements in accordance with any unique characteristics of the proposed use,
4. Regulation of points of vehicular ingress and egress,

## ***

11. Such other conditions that are found to be necessary to protect the public health, safety and general welfare,

The findings adopted by the Planning Commission reviewed the criteria for Conditional Use Permits set forth in CPMC 17.76 and made findings that the site is adequate in size and shape to accommodate the use; the site has adequate access to a public street or highway; the proposed use will not have adverse effects to abutting properties or permitted uses thereof; that the use will not be detrimental to the health safety or general welfare of persons residing or working in the surrounding area or the community; and that the conditions imposed are deemed necessary and sufficient to protect the public health, safety and general welfare. See the Supplemental and Applicant's findings in the record below.

More particularly, the only traffic impact analysis or other expert evidence submitted into the record is the TIA submitted by the Applicant, and comments from the City, City of Medford, ODOT and the Airport. The Planning Commission imposed conditions of approval pursuant to the TMA and comments from other jurisdictions for traffic impact mittgation. No expert testimony was received into the record countering the TIA or agency recommendations for traffic impact mitigations. (See Finding 2 addressing traffic generated hy Costco compared to the Rogue Valley Mall). All other evidence as to traffic was conjecture or speculation.

As demonstrated in Finding 17.76.040(C) in the record below, the Planning Commission considered the proposal's impacts to abutting properties, including an evaluation of the location of proposed site improvement; vehicular ingress, egress and internal circulation; sethacks; building height; walls and fences; landscaping; outdoor lighting and signs. The Planning Commission found that the proposed project is typical of site development within the M-I zone and that the site development standards for permitted uses in combination with the conditions of approval relative to ingress and egress per Finding 17.76.040(B) in the record helow are sufficient to avoid adverse impacts to abutting properties or permitted uses thercof.

As demonstrated in Finding 17.76.040(D) in the record below, the Planning Commission considered the issue of safety and found that there is sufficient evidence in the Applicant's findings to demonstrate, in conjunction with the building code and fire district regulations, that the proposed use will comply with local, state and federal health and safety regulations and therefore not be a detriment to the health, safety and general welfare of the community or persons residing or working in the surrounding neighborhoods.

Conclusion 7: The proposed membership warehouse and fuel facility was evaluated against the standards and criteria for conditional use permits and found to comply. There is substantial
evidence in the record for the Planning commission to find that the use is compatible with the zone.
8. I'raffic Effects Far Reaching. - "The traffic issues have far reaching effects of congestion and cost on Central Point, Jackson County, and the City of Medford as well as the Oregon Department of Transportation. Of course, that means the tax payers who support those entities. In other words, all of us will be paying a huge price for the location of a store that is not an appropriate fit. It is not a code fit and it is not a size and traffic fit all in one. Once Costco goes in there will be no way to fix this problem."

Finding 8: Per the T1A, the proposed Costco Wholesale and fuel facility is expected to generate 10,670 new daily trips. Heavy vehicles were evaluated in the TIA and no problems were identified with the mix of light and heavy vehicles based on volume and impacts to LOS/VC. On the day of opening traffic impacts were identified at four (4) intersections: 1) Interstate 5 Northbound Off-Rump; 2) Table Rock and Hamrick Road; 3) Table Rock and Airport Rood; and 4) Airport and Biddle Road. Although not identified in the TIA, the City of Medford provided crash data and comments in a letter dated January 5, 2016 indicating that traffic generated by Costco would negatively impact the intersection of Table Rock Road and Morningside Street south of the project site. In accordance with the TIA and comments received from affected agencies, the Planning Commission imposed conditions of approval requiring mitigation of the traffic impacts caused by the proposed Costco Wholesale (See Table l).

| Table 1. Traffic Impact Mitization Summary <br> Intersection <br> Impact <br> Vivigution |  |  | Timing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interstate 5 NB Off-Ramp | Volume to Capacity (v/c) Ratio is exceeded. | Enter into a Cooperative Improvement Agreement with ODOT and the City to develop and construct dual right turn lanes per IAMP Project No. 9. | Prior to building permit issuance |
| Table <br> Rock/IIamrick <br> Road | Intersection Failure due to left turn delays | Construct center turn lane and refuge within existing Table Rock Road right-ofwav at Hamrick Road. | Prior to certificate of occupancy. |
| Table <br> Rock/Airport <br> Road | Existing left turn delays cause the intersection to operate at a Level of Service (LOS) $F$. The existing status is aggravated by additional traffic generated by the proposed use. | Jackson County has funding to construct improvements on Table Rock Road that includes signalization of the intersection. The County has indicated that construction of the improvements will begin in 2017; therefore, no interim mitigation is necessary. | Jackson <br> County Table <br> Rock Road <br> Improvement <br> Project <br> commences in 2017. |
| Airport/Biddle <br> Roud | Traffic generated by Costco causes left turn delays which | Per the City of Medford in a letter dated January 5. | Proof of payment |


|  | results in a decline in the <br> LOS from C to E. | 2016, the applicant shall <br> contribute its pro-rata <br> share toward construction <br> of a siynal at the <br> intersection. | prior to <br> building <br> permit <br> issuance. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Table Rock Road <br> at Morningside <br> Street | Traffic generated by Costco <br> aggravates an existing left <br> turn delay at the intersection. | Per the City of Medford in <br> aletter dated January 5. <br> 2016, the applicant shall <br> contribute its pro-rata <br> share toward construction <br> of a center left turn lane <br> and refuge on Table Rock <br> Road at Morningside Street | Proof of <br> payment <br> prior to <br> building <br> permit <br> issuance. |

As demonstrated in the Applicant's Findings and the Planning Department Findings, the Planning Commission found the project as conditioned is adequate to accommodate the use (See Planning Commission Findings for 17.76 .040 in the record below).

Conclusion 8: There is substantial evidence in the record for the Planning Commission to find that as conditioned, the application complies with CPMC 17.76 and that traffic impacts from the project will funded or constructed at the time of development.
9. Heavy Vehicle Conflicts. - "Traffic congestion around the Costco store will be a mix of heavy trucks and light vehicles. Table Rock Road will see the bulk of traffic and the measures that have been suggested will only assist but not solve the problems related to this location."

Finding 9: The Council incorporates Finding 8 as if fully set forth herein.
Conclusion 9: There is substantial evidence in the record for the Planning Commission to find traffic mitigation required by this project has been satisfied as conditioned.
10. Additional Traffic Impacts on Table Rock Road. - " $\Lambda$ dditional traffic on Table Rock Road will soon find that it is not designed to handle the load further south of this store and people will soon move their preferred approach to Biddle Road and Table Rock Road north of the site. They will start using the freeway to enter Central Point from the North and the South. This will add congestion on the freeway off-ramps north and south and a loading on Pine Strect all the way to the intersection with Hamrick and Pine and Table Rock Road and Pine/Biddle Road."

Finding 10: The TIA did not identify any issues south of the project site on Table Rock Road. Although not identijied in the TIA, the City of Medford submitted comments on December 24, 2016 and January 5, 2016 indicating that the intersection of Table Rock Road at Morningside Street would be adversely impacted due to left turn delays and associated safety concerns. Per the City of Medford's request, the Planning Commission imposed a condition requiring financial contribution for the applicant's proportional share of traffic mitigation to the intersection prior to building permit issuance.

Conclusion 10: There is substantial evidence in the record for the Planning Commission to find that the Applicant will improve, or make financial contributions toward traffic mitigation, that is roughly proportional to the impacts of this development.
11. Improvement Timing. - "The improvements required in the Traflic Impact Study indicate a resultant congestion from construction that is not to be completed for as much as two years. In reality, some of these items, such as an I-5 off-ramp improvement, are not scheduled by ODOT until 2023. There is no definitive evidence that the schedule has been modificd. There are no engincering studies or drawings that would support a timely upgrade of that facility. There is no indication, other than verbal at the hearing of January $6^{\text {th }}, 2016$ that some concession might be made. There is no evidence that the improvements along Table Rock Road are funded or that the requisite imminent domain takings of additional land for widening and intersections have been done."

Finding 11: There was evidence in the record that identifies traffic impacts and mitigation measures and the feasibility of imposing conditions for such traffic mitigation which includes the TIA prepared by Kittelson \& Associates, as well as comments received from affected agencies, including the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT), Jackson County Roads, City of Central Point Public Works Department, and City of Medford. Evidence in the record addressing traffic impacts and the timing of improvements is as follows:

- Table Rock Road Improvement Project. The traffic impact analysis prepared hy Kittelson \& Associates took into account planned roadway improvements, including the Jackson County Table Rock Road Improvement project, which is scheduled to be constructed in 2017 (See TIA, Page 32).
- Interstate 5 Northbound Off-Ramp, Exit 33 Improvements. As conditioned, Costco will be required to enter into a Cooperative Improvement Agreement with ODOT and pay its proportional share of the improvement cost prior to building permit issuance (See Revised Public Works Staff Report dated January 5, 2016, Condition No. I). Evidence in the record establishes that the improvements are planned to be expedited such that they will be constructed as close to opening day of the subject development as possible:
a. The Revised P'ublic Works Staff Report dated January 5, 2016 states that, "Ier ODOT, construction will commence at the earliest possible date." (See Traffic Impacts and Mitigation Item No. 4)
b. During staff's presentation at the January 5, 2016 Planning Commission Meeting, during a discussion of traffic impacts and mitigation (26:05), staff stated that ODOT agreed to expedite construction of the off-ramp improvements as close to opening day as possible. Don Morehouse, ODOT Planner, concurred with the staff presentation and stated that he had nothing further to add. (Audio Recording. at 1:26:15).

Conclusion 11: There is substantial evidence in the record to support the Planning Commission's decision as to the feasibility and timing of the traffic mitigation conditions.
12. Biddle Road and Airport Road Intersection. - "The intersection of Biddle Road and Airport Road has not been fully vetted. This intersection is important for travelers using the airport. Congestion will create difficulties for them."

Finding 12: The applicant's TIA indicates that the westbound approach of Airport and Biddle Road exceeds the level of service standard for the City of Medford. In a letter dated. January 5, 2016, the City of Medford requested a condition that requires the developer to pay a proportional share toward a future traffic signal at this intersection. The estimated project cost is $\$ 450,000$, including design, construction and inspection. Per the 71A, Costco contributes $10 \%$ of the traffic at this intersection. As conditioned, Costco shall provide evidence it has contributed its proportionate share of the construction of signalization improvements in an amount not to exceed $\$ 45,000$, which shall be payable to the City of Medford prior to building permit issuance.

Conclusion 12: As conditioned, facility adequacy at this intersection is met by the Planning Commission's requirement that the Applicant contribute its pro rata share of the signalization improvements per the City of Medford Comments dated January 5, 2016.
13. Traffic Impacts Not Easily Solved, if at all. - "The impact on all these roads is significant and not easily solved if at all."

Finding 13: Traffic impacts and mitigations are identified in the TLA and by the City of Medford. ODOT relative to the intersections of Biddle and Airport Road and Table Rock and Morningside Street. The Planning Commission's decision to approve the CUP is subject to conditions of approval assuring timely completion of the mitigation actions outlined in the TIA and requested by the affected agencies. See also Finding 8 incorporated herein by reference.

Conclusion 13: There is substantial evidence in the record that as conditioned, the project will mitigate its proportionate traffic impacts caused by this project and that such conditions are feasible.
14. Cost of Improvements. - "The costs for all of the improvements needed to place this major retailer in an industrial zone along Table Rock Road more than eclipses the cost of the store itsclf by a factor of five. Estimates for the widening of the freeway overpass on Table Rock Road exceeds 20 million dollars. Further south of the overpass are single family residences that need to back out onto Table rock Road to exit their properties. The estimate for acquiring these properties for road widening does not exist, but would be very high."

Finding 14: There is no evidence in the record from affected agencies or traffic experts or engineers to demonstrate that the Interstate 5 overpass on Table Rock Road warrants replacement or that Table Rock Road improvements south of Interstate 5 are required, other than
required mitigation at the intersection of Table Rock Rosad and Morningside Street, which was addressed. See also Finding No. 8, incorporated herein by reference.

Conclusion 14: There is substantial evidence in the record for the Planning Commission to find traffic mitigation required by this project has been satisfied as conditioned and that such conditions are feasible.
15. Freight Route, Traffic Conflicts. - "Table Rock Road is designated as a freight route in the overall traffic master plan and this enormous retailer with its accompanying vehicle traffic is not an appropriate mix with the truck traffic in that area."

Finding 15: Heavy vehicle impacts were evaluated in the TIA and no problems were identified with the mix of light and heavy vehicles hased on volume and LOS/VC ratios (See Synchro Reports in the TIA Appendices). No other expert testimony was presented as to the mix of vehicle traffic.

Conclusion 15: There is substantial evidence in the record for the Planning Commission to find that the mix of light and heavy vehicles does not create problems that would warrant further traffic mitigation or denial of this application.
16. Vehicle and Truck Accidents. - "Vehicle and truck accidents will undoubtedly increase and serious injuries will occur when Costco's large number of senior drivers are forced to mix with large truck traffic."

Finding 16: Heavy vehicle impacts were evaluated in the TIA and no problems were identified with the mix of light and heavy vehicles based on volume (See Synchro Reports in the TIA Appendices) nor was there evidence in the record from experts as to the "large number of senior drivers. "

Conclusion 16: There is substantial evidence in the record for the Planning Commission to find traffic mitigation required by this project has been satisfied as conditioned and safety concerns do not exist as a result of this project.
17. Vilas and Crater I ake Highway. - "The intersection of Vilas and Crater Lake Highway has been the scene of horrendous accidents and many deaths and this location and traffic load creates at least four intersections with that type of potential."

Finding 14: A crash analysis was conducted as part of the TIA at all study area intersections (IIA I'age 28) to document crash types, trends and severity. The TIA found that there were no fatality crashes and the most common crashes were turning movement and rear-end crashes accounting for approximately $82 \%$ of all crashes. There is no expert evidence in the record substantiating this allegation.

Conclusion 14: There is substantial evidence in the record for the Planning Commission to find
traffic mitigation required by this project has been satisfied as conditioned and safety concerns do not exist as a result of this project.

## Part 3 - Slmmary Conclusion

Council has reviewed the evidence and issucs in the record and the issues raised in the Martin appeal. The Council concludes that there was substantial evidence in the record for the Planning Commission to approve the application, and the Commission did not commit errors of law. This Conclusion is based upon the findings herein, and the evidence in the record including the Applicant's findings and the Planning Staff Supplemental Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law.

## BEFORE THE CITY COUNCIL. FOR THE CITY OF CENTRAL POINT, OREGON

## NOTICE OF APPEAL

Re: Costco Conditional Use Permit (File NO. 15022)
Date of Decision: February 2, 2016
Pursuant to Section 17.05.400(F) of the Central Point Municipal Code David J. Smith files this Notice of Appeal and states the following:

Appellant has standing to bring this appeal in that he appeared and testified before the Central Point Planning Commission on January 6, 2016 and filed written comments on January 12, 2016 within the comment period (written comments are attached hereto).

The specific issues raised on appeal which were raised during the comment period are as follows:

1. Costco's traffic study is flawed in that it does not identify the present traffic patterns for Costco's members to the present store, and, without that information it is impossible to predict the route choices of Costco members to the proposed site. The use of general population statistics is inadequate to assign predicted distribution of the 10,670 daily trips by Costco members.
2. Costco's traffic study indicates that there will be heavy traffic added to Biddle Road at the entrances to the Rogue Valley International-Medford Airport, but does not indicate that the airport master plan has been taken into consideration in the traffic study.
3. The access points for the proposed Costco site are on roads which ODOT has master planned as a Freight corridor.. OOOT's Freight Profile identifies Table Rock Road as one of the local roads that "experience high volumes and of freight traffic". The proposed location is in the midst of existing freight terminals, with more planned for the future, which will mix high volume truck traffic with 10,670 Costco member daily automobile trips.
4. There is nothing in the record to verify that ODOT has funded and scheduled construction of the improvements relied upon to support the Costco application. Without that confirmation that the improvements will be completed soon after the store opens is total speculation.

Considering the above issues the approval of the conditional use permit without further study has the potential to create immediate and future traffic congestion and hazards, and, the placement of Costco in the proposed location is contrary to (the attached) Central Point Statement of Values: "Growth: We value planned growth that will retain our small town atmosphere", and, "Transportation: We value a system of transportation and infrastructure that is modern, efficient and sensitive to the environment."

Respectfully submitted this 16th day of February, 2016.


Summary of January 6,2016 comments on application of .Costco Wholesale


David Smith 241 Saginaw Drive, Medford, OR 97504

1. Co-owner of business on S. Front Street in Central Point and several apartments.
2. Long time member of Costco and shop at present store at least twice a week.
3. Research of official records of ODOT and Central Point reveal that the proposed site for Costco is located on an officlal freight route system within the city and in the midst of freight terminals.(Reddaway, Conway, Fed Ex.) One, Reddaway Trucking already account for 600 truck trips per day. According to ODOT materials Gordon Trucking owns a large parcel directly across from the proposed entrance to Costco, and Intends to build a freight terminal, adding a significant increase in trucks using the area.

4 Costco's traffic study indicates its store will add 10,670 new trips per day, the majority of which will come from Medford on Biddle and Table Rock.
5. Costco traffic will add several thousand more cars per day using Biddle and adding congestion at the entrance to the airport.
6...ODOT's Freight Profile ident|fles Table Rock Road as one of the local roads that "experience high volumes of freight traffic."
7. Costco's traffic study is flawed in that it does not identify the routes its members use to access the existing Medford store; information which is necessary to accurately predict how those members will reroute to the proposed location.
8. Costco tries to identify its operation as a warehouse, but indicates in its November 3, 20t memo to the city staff (at page 14) that its Parking Demand Study requires 783 parking stalis which is more than the city requires for a retail store the size of the proposed Costco.

CONCLUSION: While the "member Warehouse" description of the Castco proposal may distinguish it from other retail stores as far as the variety of merchandise and profit margins, its impact on traffic will be greater than other retails stores of the same size. One need only note how fast the Costco parking jot fills up and remains full during store hours; much more so than other retail stores which are open longer hours which results in less traffic in any given hour. Even the entlre Medford Mall appears to have less parked cars. Costco and the city staff both indicate the intention to have the store open in 2016, before the widening project on Table Rock even begins. It makes no sense to add 10,670 more vehicles traveling through a construction zone, for an undetermined period, which will create an additlonal problem which has not been addressed.


It is the mission of the City of Central Poment
livable community by wor Cental Point to bulld and maintain a highly partnership with all the members of harmony and being a catalyst for

## Statement of Values

Growth: We value planned growth that will retain our small town atmosphere.
Public Safety: We value a professional, service-oriented public safety policy that promotes a sense of safety and security in our city.
Transportation: We value a system of transportation and infrastructure
that is modern, efficient and sensitive to the environment.
Community: We value a clean and attractive city with parks, open space and recreational opportunities.
Service: We provide the highest level of service possible in the most efficient and responsible manner.

## City Hall

140 S. $3^{\text {rd }}$ Street Central Point, OR 97502
(541) 664-3321

# Costco Wholesale Conditional Use Permit Draft Findings of fact and conclusions of law 

Appellant: David J. Smith

Notice of Appeal Date: February 16, 2016

File No. 15022

City Council Appeal Hearing

March 10, 2016

## Part 1 - Introduction

On February 2, 2016, the Planning Commission in accordance with CPMC 17.76 approved a Conditional Use Permit authorizing the development of a Costco Wholesale membership warehouse and fuel facility on 18.28 acres within the M-1 zoning district ("Costco Application"). The project site is located on the castern edge of Central Point city limits at the southwest corner of Hamrick and Table Rock road. The site also has frontage on Federal Way, a local street. Surrounding properties include developed and undeveloped industrial lands, including the $\mathrm{M}-1$ and $\mathrm{M}-2$ zoning districts.

On February 16, 2016, David J. Smith filed an appeal ("Smith Appeal") contesting the Planning Commission's decision on grounds that the Planning Commission erred in approving the application as:

1. Costco's traffic study is flawed because it does not accurately identify trip distribution patterns.
2. Costco's traffic study does not indicate that the airport master plan has been taken into consideration.
3. Site access on an ODOT designated Fright Corridor will mix existing and future high volume truck traffic with Costco generated traffic.
4. There is nothing in the record to verify that ODOT has funded and scheduled construction of the improvements relied upon to support the Costco application.
5. As a result of specific issucs identified above, approval of the Costco CUP without further study will create immediate and future traffic congestion and hazards.
6. Approval of the CUP is contrary to the Central Point Statement of Values relative to growth and transportation.

The Council's scope of review on this appeal is limited to the issues and evidence presented in the record before the Planning Commission as per CPMC 17.05.400(F)(3). As this appeal is on the record the City Council may not consider new evidence or issucs that were not preserved in the record below. Council
review is limited to determining whether there is substantial evidence in the record to support the findings of the Planning Commission; or whether errors of law were committed.

Membership warehouses are permitted as a conditional use per the City's 2009 similar use determination under CPMC 17.48.020(W) and 17.60.140, which was adopted by the Planning Commission as Resolution No.764, and affirmed by the Council on Appeal as Resolution No. 1217. The applicable approval criteria for a Conditional Use Permit are set forth under CPMC 17.76.040, Findings and Conditions for Conditional Use Permits.

## Part 2 - Appeal Issues

There were six (6) issucs raised in the Smith Appeal. The following is a summary of each issuc in the Smith Appeal, including the findings and conclusions pertinent to cach issue.

1. Traffic Study Flawed. - "Costco's traffic study is flawed in that it does not identify the present traffic patterns for Costco's members to the present store and, without that information, it is impossible to predict the route choices of Costeo members to the proposed site. The use of general population statistics in inadequate to assign predicted distribution of the 10,670 daily trips by Costco Mcmbers."

Finding 1: The Applicant submitted a traffic impact analysis ("TIA") by Kittelson and Associates for the subject property into the record. City staff reviewed the TIA and there is testimony in the record as to the substance of the TIA from City staff. No other traffic reports or analysis were prepared or submitted into the record, by traffic engineers or other traffic experts. According to the TLA, "the trip distribution pattern for site generated trips was developed using zip code data from current memberships at the existing Costco warehouse located on OR 62 (Crater Lake Highway) in Medford, Oregon, as well as from the existing traffic patterns and major trip origins and destinations within the study area."' Trip distribution was verified by regional travel demand models provided by ODOT for the base year (2006) and future year (2038). There was no traffic analysis supporting the allegation that the trip distribution methodology utilized in the TlA is flowed.

Conclusion 1: The City Council concludes there is substantial evidence in the record to find that the TIA is valid and contained adequate trip distribution methodology for the subject property.
2. Costco's traffic study indicates that there will be heavy traffic added to Biddle Road at the entrances to the Rogue Valley International-Medford Airport, but docs not indicate that the airport master plan has been taken into consideration in the traffic study.

Finding 2: The Planning Commission's consideration of the Costco CuP application relied upon the Applicant's TIA and agency comments (i.e. the City of Medford) relative to the identified traffic impacts and mitigation measures at the intersection of Biddle Road and Airport Road (See

[^5]Finding 17.76.040(B)(4) in the Planning Department Supplemental Findings ("Supplemental Findings" in the record below.) The airport was identified as an agency entitled to notice, but did not submit comments into the record requiring additional traffic mitigation. The TIA identified impacts to the intersection. The City of Medford recommended a condition of approval to include a median to resolve the impact. In a letter dated January 5, 2016, the City of Medford indicated that the airport was opposed to the proposed mittgation measure because it was in conflict with the airport master plan. As an alternative, the City of Medford requested a condition that requires the applicant to pay a proportional share toward a future traffic signal at this intersection in conformance with the airport master plan. The City of Medford indicated this contribution would be sufficient to mitigate the impacts of this project. The estimated project cost is $\$ 450,000$, including design, construction and inspection. Per the TlA, Costco contributes $10 \%$ of the traffic at this intersection. As conditioned, Costco shall provide evidence it has contributed its proportionate share of the construction of signalization improvements in an amount not to exceed $\$ 45,000$, which shall be payable to the City of Medford prior to building permit issuance. No other traffic reports or analysis were prepared or submitted into the record by traffic engineers or other traffic experts.

Conclusion 2: The City Council concludes there is substantial evidence in the record to find that, as conditioned, the application complies with CPMC 17.76 and that the applicant will have contributed its proportional share toward traffic mitigation to the intersection of Biddle Road and Airport Road at the time of development. The Council further concludes there is sufficient evidence in the record to determine that the airport did not request any mitigation for the airport master plan, but that consideration to such master plan was given.
3. The access points for the proposed Costco site are on roads which ODOT has master planned as a frcight corridor. ODOT's freight Profile identifies Table Rock Road as one of the local roads that "experience high volumes and of freight traffic." The proposed location is in the midst of existing freight terminals, with more planned for the future, which will mix high volume truck traffic with 10,670 Costco member daily automobile trips.

Finding 3: Heavy vehicles were evaluated in the Applicant's TLA at all study intersection and site driveways, including Table Rock Road. No problems were identified with the mix of light and heavy vehicle traffic based on volume and impacts to LOS/VC. An ODOT representative was present at the January 5, 2016 hearing and did not identify safety concerns during his testimony. (Audio Recording at 1:26).

The Planning Commission considered in Finding 17.76.040(B) and 17.76.040(C)(2) the operational and safety conditions of ingress and egress on Table Rock Road, as well as all study intersections. Per the TIA and agency comments, the Planning Commission imposed conditions of approval requiring access restriction and mitigation measures to resolve traffic impacts associated with ingress and egress on Table Rock Road. No other traffic reports or analysis was prepared or submitted into the record by traffic engineers or other traffic experts.

Conclusion 3: The Council concludes there is sufficient evidence in the record for the Planning

Commission to find traffic mitigation required by this project has been satisfied as conditioned and that the mix of truck traffic with the proposed development will not create additional safety concerns requiring further mitigation, except at identified and conditioned herein.
4. There is nothing in the record to verify that OIDOI has funded and scheduled construction of the improvements relied upon to support the Costes application. Without that confirmation that the improvements will be completed soon after the store opens is total speculation.

Finding 4: Evidence in the record addressing traffic impacts and the timing of the Interstate 5 Northbound Off-Ramp, Exit 33 Improvements, and the feasibility of imposing conditions for such traffic mitigation includes the 7MA prepared by Kittelson \& Associates, as well as comments received from the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT). As conditioned, Costco will be required to enter into a Cooperative Improvement Agreement with ODOT and pay its proportional share of the improvement cost prior to building permit issuance (See Revised Public Works Staff Report dated January 5, 2016. Condition No. 1). Evidence in the record establishes that the improvements are planned to be expedited such that they will be constructed as close to opening day of the subject development as possible:
a. The Revised Public Works Staff Report dated January 5, 2016 states that, "Per ODOT, construction will commence at the earliest possible date." (See Traffic Impacts and Mitigation Item No. 4)
b. During staff's presentation at the January 5, 2016 Planning Commission Meeting, during a discussion of traffic impacts and mitigation (26:05), staff stated that ODOT agreed to expedite construction of the off-ramp improvements as close to opening day as possible. Don Morehouse, ODOT Planner, concurred with the staff presentation and stated that he had nothing further to add. (Audio Recording. at 1:26:15).

Conclusion 4: There is substantial evidence in the record to support the Planning Commission's decision as to the feasibility and timing of the traffic mitigation conditions.
5. Without further study, Costco has the potential to create immediate and future traffic congestion and hazards.

Finding 5: Per the TMA, the proposed Costco Wholesale and fuel facility is expected to generate 10,670 new daily trips. Heavy vehicles were evaluated in the TIA and no problems were identified with the mix of light and heavy vehicles based on volume and impacts to $L O S / V C$. On the day of opening traffic impacts were identified at four (4) intersections: I) Interstate 5 Northbound Off-Ramp; 2) Table Rock and Hamrick Road; 3; Table Rock and Airport Road; and 4) Airport and Biddle Road. Although not identified in the TlA, the City of Medford provided crash data and comments in a letter dated January 5, 2016 indicating that traffic generated by Costco would negatively impact the intersection of Table Rock Road and Morningside Street south of the project site. In accordance with the TIA and comments received from affected agencies, the Planning Commission imposed conditions of approval requiring mitigation of the traffic impacts caused by the proposed Costco Wholesale (See Table I below).

| Table l. Truff Intersicction | ct Mitigation St Impuct | Mirizationt | Tining |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interstate 5 NB Off-Ramp | Volume to Capacity (v/c) Ratio is exceeded. | Enter into a Cooperative Improvement Agreement with ODOT and the City to develop and construct dual right turn lanes per IAMP Project No. 9. | Prior to building permit issuance |
| Table <br> Rock/Hamrick <br> Road | Intersection Failure due to left turn delays | Construct center turn lane and refuge within existing Table Rock Road right-ofway at IIamrick Road. | Prior to certificate of occupancy. |
| Table <br> Rock/Airport <br> Road | Existing left turn delays cause the intersection to operate at a Level of Service (LOS) $F$. The existing status is aggravated by additional traffic generated by the proposed use. | Jackson County has funding to construct improvements on Table Rock Road that includes signalization of the intersection. The County has indicated that construction of the improvements will begin in 2017; therefore, no interim mitigation is necessary. | Jackson County Table Rock Road Improvement Project commences in 2017. |
| Airport/Biddle Road | Traffic generated by Costco causes left turn delays which results in a decline in the LOS from C $10 E$. | Per the City of Medford in a letter dated January 5, 2016, the applicant shall contribute its pro-rata share toward construction of a signal at the intersection. | Proof of payment prior to building permit issuance. |
| Table Rock Road at Morningside Street | Traffic generated by Costco aggravates an existing left turn delay at the intersection. | Per the City of Medford in a letter dated January 5 , 2016, the applicant shall contribute its pro-rata share toward construction of a center left turn lane and refuge on Table Rock Road at Morningside Street | Proof of payment prior to building permit issuance. |

Per the TIA, conditions of approval were established to address identified traffic impacts as set forth in Finding 17.76.040(B), 17.76.040(C)(2), and 17.76.040(E)(2) in the record below. No other traffic studies or testimony from a traffic engineer or other traffic expert was received as to the substance of the TIA.

As demonstrated in the Applicant's Findings and the Planning Department Findings, the Planning Commission found the project as conditioned is adequate to accommodate the use (See Planning Commission Findings for 17.76.040 in the record below).

Conclusion 5: The City Council concludes that there is substantial evidence in the record to support the Planning Commission's decision that, as conditioned, the project will mitigate the traffic impacts generated by this project.
6. The placement of Costco in the proposed location is contrary to the Central Point Statement of Values: "Growth: We Value planned growth that will retain our small town atmosphere," and "Transportation: We value a system of transportation and infrastructure that is modern, efficient and sensitive to the environment."

Finding 6: In considering the Conditional Use Application for the proposed Membership Warehouse and fuel facility, the Planning Commission was required to render a decision based on the proposal's demonstrated compliance with Standards and Criteria for Conditional Use Permits set forth in Central Point Municipal Code Chapter 17.76.

Conclusion 6: The mission statement is not part of the Municipal Code nor Comprehensive Plan. It does not serve as a standard or criteria and is merely aspirational language under the City's general Mission Statement. The Planning Commission did not err in applying the Standards and Criteria set forth in CPMC 17.76. t.

## PART 3 - Summary conclusion

Council has reviewed the evidence and issucs in the record and the issues raised in the Smith appeal. The Council concludes that there was substantial evidence in the record for the Planning Commission to approve the application, and the Commission did not commit errors of law. This Conclusion is based upon the findings herein, and the evidence in the record including the Applicant's findings and the Planning Staff Supplemental Findings of Fact and Conclusions of law.
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