## RESOLUTION NO. <br> $\qquad$

# A RESOLUTION AFFIRMING THE PLANNING COMMISSION DECISION ADOPTFD AS RESOLU'IION NO. 827 APPROVINGA CONDIIIONAL USE PERMIT FOR DEVELOPMENT OF A COSTCO WHOIFSALE MEMBERSHIP WAREHOUSE AND FUEI FACILITY ON 18.28 ACRES WITHIN 'THE M-1 ZONING DISTRICT APPELLANT MARTIN 

(File No: 15022)
WHEREAS, on February 2, 2016 the Planning Commission approved an application for a Conditional U'se Permit ("CUP") application for development of a Costco Wholesale membership warehouse on 18.28 acres in the M-1 zone;

WIIEREAS, on February 16, 2016, the City of Central Point received from L. Calvin Martin a timely appeal of the Planning Commission's decision to approve the CUP application. The appeal alleged that the Planning Commission erred in its approval of the application based on several issues related to land use, traffic and the City's Statement of Values for Growth;

WHEREAS, on March 10, 2016, after conducting a duly noticed public hearing, the City of Central Point City Council considered the issucs raised on appeal and heard testimony and comments on the appcal;

WHEREAS, Costco Wholcsale is a Membership Warehouse Club, a conditional use in the M-1 zone per Planning Commission Resolution No. 764 and City Council Resolution No. 1217;

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission's decision to approve of the Costco Wholesale CUP was based on the standards and criteria applicable to Conditional Use Permits set forth in Section 17.76.040 of the Central Point Municipal Code and written and oral testimony received by the City; and,

WHEREAS, after duly considering the appeal and the evidence in the record, the City Council found that there was substantial evidence in the record to affirm the Planning Commission Decision and that the Planning Commission did not err as a matter of law and, per a duly seconded motion, directed staff to prepare a final resolution and findings affirming the Planning Commission Decision adopted as Resolution No. 827 per the Staff Report dated March 10, 2016 and specifically including Attachments "A", "B," and "C-2" therein.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, The City of Central Point City Council affirms the Planning Commission Decision adopted as Resolution No. 827. This decision is based on the Council's determination that there was evidence in the record to approve the CLPP application and that the Planning Commission did not err as a matter of law and is supported by the evidence in the record, the findings attached hereto as Exhibit "A - City Council Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law," incorporated herein by reference, and Exhibit "B -

Staff Report dated March 10, 2016" including Attachments "A" and "B" and "C-2" incorporated herein by reference

PASSED by the City Council and signed by me in authentication of its passage this $24^{\text {th }}$ day of March, 2016.


Mayor Hank Williams


Approved by me this $24^{\text {th }}$ day of $M$ cerch 2016.


Mayor Hank Williams

# City Council Findings of Fact \& Conclusions of Law 

## Costco Wholesale Conditional Use Permit

File No. 15022
March 24, 2016

| Appellant: |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| L. Calvin Martin | ) |
| P.O. Box 442 | Findings of Fact |
| Jacksonville, OR 97530 | and |
|  | Conclusions of Law |

## Part 1 - Introduction

On February 2, 2016, the Planning Commission in accordance with CPMC 17.76 approved a Conditional Use Permit authorizing the development of a Costco Wholesale membership warehouse and fuel facility on 18.28 acres within the M-1 zoning district ("Costco Application"). The project site is located on the eastern edge of Central Point city limits at the southwest corner of Hamrick and Table Rock road. The site also has frontage on Federal Way, a local street. Surrounding properties include developed and undeveloped industrial lands, including the $\mathrm{M}-1$ and $\mathrm{M}-2$ zoning districts.

On February 16, 2016, L. Calvin Martin filcd an appeal contesting the Planning Commission's decision on the basis that the City of Central Point Planning Department and Planning Commission committed an error when approving the Conditional Lise Permit for the Costco Store ("Martin Appeal"). The Martin Appeal addressed several issucs that focused on legitimacy of a commercial/retail use in the M-1 \%one and traffic related concerns, which are addressed below.

The Council's scope of review on this appeal is limited to the issues and evidence presented in the record before the Planning Commission as per CPMC. 17.05.400(F)(3). As this appeal is on the record the City Council may not consider new evidence or issues that were not preserved in the record below. Council review is limited to determining whether there is substantial evidence in the record to support the findings of the Planning Commission; or whether errors of law were committed.

Membership warehouses are permitted as a conditional use per the City's 2009 similar use determination under CPMC 17.48.020(W) and 17.60.140, which was adopted by the Planning Commission as Resolution No.764, and affirmed by the Council on Appeal as Resolution No. 1217. The applicable approval criteria for a Conditional Use Permit are set forth under CPMC 17.76.040, Findings and Conditions for Conditional Use Permits.

## PART 2 - Appeal ISSUES

There were seventeen (17) issucs raised in the Martin $\wedge$ ppeal. Though some of these issues overlap, staff has attempted to lay each issue out separately for Council consideration. The following is a summary of each issue presented in the Martin Appeal including the draft findings and conclusion pertinent to each issue.

1. Planning Commission Abuse of Discretion. "The City Planning Commission has abused their discretion in allowing such a use in the industrial \%one and at this location."

Finding 1: Membership warchouses, per Section 17.48.020(W) and 17.60.140, are allowed as a conditional use in the M-1 district per Planning Commission Resolution No. 764 and City Council Resolution No. 1217. As such, the Planning Commission considered and approved the Costco Conditional Use Permit (CUP) application in accordance with the conditional use permit standards and criteria set forth in CPMC 17.76. Per the Applicant's Fïndings ("Applicant's Findings" and the Planning Department Supplemental Findings ("Supplemental Findings") and testimony provided in the record, evidence was submitted into the record as to each criterion under 17.76.040 and was found to comply with all such standards and criteria.

Conclusion 1: The Council concludes that the Planning Commission did not err in finding that membership warehouses are permitted subject to a conditional use application under CPMC 17.76 and/or that there was substantial evidence in the record to find that all such standards and criteria were met under 17.76.040..
2. Traffic Impacts. Traffic generated by Costco on a daily basis is substantially more than is generated by the entire Rogue Valley Mall.

Finding 2: The Applicant submitted a traffic impact analysis ("TIA") into the record prepared by Kittelson and Associates for the subject property. City staff reviewed the TIA and there is testimony in the record as to the substance of the TLA. No other traffic reports or analysis were prepared or submitted into the record by traffic engineers or other traffic experts. Testimony from opponents was limited to opinions as to the amount of traffic that would be generated by the subject application. There was no other traffic analysis in the record to contradict the Applicant's TlA, nor does the record contain any traffic analysis as to the amount of traffic at the Rogue Valley Mall.

Conclusion 2: The Council concludes there is not substantial evidence in the record to find that traffic at the Rogue Valley Mall is relevant to this application, $n$ or is there sufficient evidence in the record to substantiate the amount of traffic generated by the mall.
3. Development Ordinance. - "You are required to follow the rules laid out in your development ordinance when approving such an application."

Finding 3: The Planning Commission's considered the subject application as a CUIP under the City's authorization of membership warehouses as a conditional use in the M-I zone as discussed
in Finding I above, and Finding 17.48.040(A) of the Planning Department Supplemental Findings in the record. The Planning Commission considered and approved the Conditional Use Permit for Costco Wholesale based on the application's demonstrated compliance with the standards and criteria for conditional use permits per CPMC 17.76 as set forth in the Applicant's Findings and the Planning Department Supplemental Findings (See Resolution No. 827 and attachments thereto).

Conclusion 3: The Council concludes that there is substantial evidence in the record to find that the Planning Commission applied the relevant standards and criteria under Resolution Nos. 764 and 1217, and CPMC: 17.76 to approve a membership warehouse and fuel facility and applied the evidence in the record to find such standards and criteria were met.
4. Statement of Valucs. - "Your STATEMENT OF VALUES regarding growth...stated that, "We value planned growth that will retain our small town atmosphere." This project does not fit that statement."

Finding 4: In considering the Conditional Use Application for the proposed Membership Warehouse and fuel facility, the Planning Commission was required to render a decision based on the proposal's demonstrated compliance with Standards and Criteria for Conditional Use Permits set forth in Central Point Municipal Code Chapter 17.76.

Conclusion 4: The mission statement is not part of the Municipal Code nor Comprehensive Plan. It does not serve as a standard or criteria and is merely aspirational language under the City's general Mission Statement. The Council finds the Planning Commission did not err applying the Standurds and Criteria of CPMC 17.76.
5. Accessory Use. - "One of the issues is that the zone that the store is to be located in is Industrial. Ihe reason that retail/commercial activities are allowed in those zones with restrictions, and not outright, and that they go through the Conditional Use Permit process is that they are not the primary use but an accessory use to the primary."

Finding 5: The Ilanning Commission found that membership warehouses were adopted by the City as conditional uses in 2009 as set forth in Finding 17.48.040(A) of the Ilanning Department Supplemental Findings adopted by the Planning Commission. The Planning Commission found that as a result of the similar use determination, membership warehouses are not considered accessory uses hut are permitted subject to the standards and criteria for Conditional Use Permits per CPMC:17.76.

Conclusion 5: The Council concludes that the Planning Commission's consideration of membership warehouses as a conditional use and not as an accessory use is in conformance with the City's similar use authorization per CPMC 17.48.020(W) and CPMC'17.60.140. See also Planning Commission Resolution 764 and City Council Resolution 1217.
6. Semantics. - "When a store like Costco is placed in that rone you have to play, and indeed did so, a very significant semantic game with what you call the store. In calling it a warehouse store the project might just seem like a fit. With that said, it is certain that the management of Costco is under no illusions, whatsocver, that they are the largest retailer in the area."

Finding 6: The Planning Commission's consideration of the Costco CUP to develop a membership warehouse and fuel facility is based on the City's similar use authorization for membership warehouses in the M-I zoning district per Finding 17.48.040(A) in the Planning Department Supplemental Findings and Finding I above.

Conclusion 6: Membership warehouse clubs, such as Costco Wholesale, are allowed in the M-1 district subject to the standards and criteria set forth in CPMC 17.76, Conditional Use Permit.
7. Not a Fit in the Zonc. - "As I previously stated, Costco generates more traffic than the Rogue Valley Mall and they are about one fifth of their building footprint. Just consider the number of parking spaces that they are illustrating in their plan. It is obvious that they don't fit this zone. They are not a complimentary service and supply provider that is allowed in this zone. A store of this size and magnitude should not be in an industrial zone. If they are allowed to develop on this site it will become a traffic disaster."

Finding 7: As noted in findings 5 and 6, membership warehouses were approved in 2009 as a conditional use in the M-1 zone. With regard to traffic, CPMC 17.76 requires consideration of the following.
A. That the site for the proposed use is adequate in size and shape to accommodate the use and to meet all other development and lot requirements of the subject zoning district and all other provisions of this code;
B. That the site has adequate access to a public strect or highway and that the street or highway is adequate in size and condition to effectively accommodate the traffic that is expected to be generated by the proposed use;
C. That the proposed use will have no significant adverse effect on abutting property or the permitted use thereof. In making this detcrmination, the commission shall consider the proposed location of improvements on the site; vehicular ingress, egress and internal circulation; setbacks; height of buildings and structures; walls and fences; landscaping; outdoor lighting; and signs;
D. That the establishment, maintenance or operation of the use applied for will comply with local, state and federal health and safety regulations and therefore will not be detrimental to the health, safety or general welfare of persons residing or working in the surrounding neighborhoods and will not be detrimental or injurious to the property and improvements in the neighborhood or to the general welfare of the community based on the review of those factors listed in subsection $C$ of this section;
E. That any conditions required for approval of the permit are deemed necessary to protect the public health, safety and general welfare and may include:
2. Increasing strect widths, modifications in street designs or addition of strect signs or traffic signals to accommodate the traffic generated by the proposed use,
3. $\Lambda$ djustments to off-street parking requirements in accordance with any unique characteristics of the proposed use,
4. Regulation of points of vehicular ingress and egress,
***
11. Such other conditions that are found to be necessary to protect the public health, safety and general welfare,

The findings adopted by the Planning Commission reviewed the criteria for Conditional Use Permits set forth in CPMC 17.76 and made findings that the site is adequate in size and shape to accommodate the use; the site has adequate access to a public street or highway; the proposed use will not have adverse effects to abutting properties or permitted uses thereof; that the use will not be detrimental to the health safety or general welfare of persons residing or working in the surrounding area or the community; and that the conditions imposed are deemed necessary and sufficient to protect the public health, safety and general welfare. See the Supplemental and Applicant's findings in the record below.

More particularly, the only traffic impact analysis or other expert evidence submitted into the record is the TIA submitted by the Applicant, and comments from the City of Central Ioint Public Works Department, City of Medford, ODOT and the Jackson County Roads. The Planning Commission imposed conditions of approval pursuant to the TIA and comments from other jurisdictions for traffic impact mitigation. No expert testimony was received into the record countering the TIA or agency recommendations for traffic impact mitigations. (See Finding 2 addressing traffic generated by Costco compared to the Rogue Valley Mall). All other evidence as to traffic was conjecture or speculation.

As demonstrated in Finding $17.76 .040(\mathrm{C})$ in the record below, the Planning Commission considered the proposal's impacts to abutting properties, including an evaluation of the location of proposed site improvement; vehicular ingress, egress and internal circulation; setbacks; building height; walls and fences; landscaping; outdoor lighting and signs. The Planning Commission found that the proposed project is typical of site development within the M-I zone and that the site development standards for permitted uses in combination with the conditions of approval relative to ingress and egress per Finding $17.76 .040(B)$ in the record below are sufficient to avoid adverse impacts to abutting properties or permitted uses thereof.

As demonstrated in Finding 17.76.040(1) in the record below, the Planning Commission considered the issue of safety and found that there is sufficient evidence in the Applicant's
findings to demonstrate, in conjunction with the building code and fire district regulations, that the proposed use will comply with local, state and federal health and safety regulations and therefore not be a detriment to the health, safety and general welfare of the community or persons residing or working in the surrounding neighborhoods.

Conclusion 7: The proposed membership warehouse and fuel facility was evaluated against the standards and criteria for conditional use permits and found to comply. There is substantial evidence in the record for the Planning commission to find that the use is compatible with the zone.
8. Traffic Effects Far Reaching. "The traffic issues have far reaching effects of congestion and cost on Central Point, Jackson County, and the City of Mcdford as well as the Oregon Department of Iransportation. Of course, that means the tax payers who support those entities. In other words, all of us will be paying a huge price for the location of a store that is not an appropriate fit. It is not a code fit and it is not a sizc and traffic fit all in one. Once Costco goes in there will be no way to fix this problem."

Finding 8: Per the TIA, the proposed Costco Wholesale and fuel facility is expected to generate 10,670 new daily trips. Heavy vehicles were evaluated in the TMA and no problems were identified with the mix of light and heavy vehicles based on volume and impacts to LOS/VC. On the day of opening traffic impacts were identified at four (4) intersections: 1) Interstate 5 Northbound Off-Ramp; 2) Table Rock and Hamrick Road; 3) Table Rock and Airport Road; and 4) Airport and Biddle Road. Although not identified in the TIA, the City of Medford provided crash data and comments in a letter dated January 5, 2016 indicating that traffic generated by Costco would negatively impact the intersection of Table Rock Road and Morningside Street south of the project site. In accordance with the TIA and comments received from affected agencies, the Planning Commission imposed conditions of approval requiring mitigation of the traffic impacts caused by the proposed Costco Wholesale (See Table 1).

| Table 1. Traffic Impact Mitigation Summary |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interstate 5 NB Off-Ramp | Volume to Capacity (v/c) Ratio is exceeded. | Enter into a Cooperative Improvement Agreement with ODOT and the City to develop and construct dual right turn lanes per IAMP Project No. 9. | Prior to building permit issuance |
| Table <br> Rock/Hamrich <br> Road | Intersection Failure due to left turn delays | Construct center turn lane and refuge within existing Table Rock Road right-ofway at Hamrick Road. | Prior to certificate of occupancy. |
| Table <br> Rock/Airport <br> Road | Existing left turn delays cause the intersection to operate at a level of Service (LOS) $F$. The existing status is | Jackson County has funding to construct improvements on Table Rock Road that includes | Jackson <br> County Table Rock Road Improvement |


|  | aggravated by additional <br> traffic generated hy the <br> proposed use. | signalization of the <br> intersection. The County <br> has indicated that <br> construction of the <br> improvements will hegin in <br> 2017; therefore, no interim <br> mitigation is necessary. | Project <br> commences in <br> 2017. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Airport/Biddle <br> Road | Traffic generated by Costco <br> causes left turn delays which <br> results in a decline in the <br> LOS from C to E. | Per the City of Medford in <br> a letter dated January 5, <br> 2016, the applicant shall <br> contribute its pro-rata <br> share toward construction <br> of a signal at the <br> intersection. | Proof of <br> payment <br> prior to <br> building <br> permit <br> issuance. |
| Table Rock Road <br> at Morningside <br> Street | Traffic generated by Costco <br> aggravates an existing left <br> turn delay at the intersection. | Per the City of Medford in <br> aletter dated January 5 <br> 2016, the applicant shall <br> contribute its pro-rata <br> share toward construction <br> of a center left turn lane <br> and refuge on Table Rock <br> Road at Morningside Street | Proof of <br> payment <br> prior to <br> building <br> permit <br> issuance. |

As demonstrated in the Applicant's Findings and the Planning Department Findings, the Planning Commission found the project as conditioned is adequate to accommodate the use (See Planning Commission Findings for 17.76.040 in the record below).

Conclusion 8: There is substantial evidence in the record for the Planning Commission to find that as conditioned, the application complies with CPMC 17.76 and that traffic impacts from the project will funded or constructed at the time of development.
9. Heavy Vehiche Conflicts. - "Traffic congestion around the Costco store will be a mix of heavy trucks and light vehicles. Table Rock Road will see the bulk of traffic and the measures that have been suggested will only assist but not solve the problems related to this location."

Finding 9: The Council incorporates Finding 8 as if fully set forth herein
Conclusion 9: There is substantial evidence in the record for the Planning Commission to find traffic mitigation required by this project has been satisfied as conditioned.
10. Additional Traffic Impacts on Table Rock Road. - "Additional traffic on Table Rock Road will soon find that it is not designed to handle the load further south of this store and people will soon move their preferred approach to Biddle Road and Table Rock Road north of the site. They will start using the freeway to enter Central Point from the North and the South. This will add congestion on the freeway off-ramps north and south and a loading on Pine Strect all the way to the intersection with $I$ lamrick and Pine and Table Rock Road and Pine/Biddle Road."

Finding 10: The TIA did not identify any issues south of the project site on Table Rock Road. Although not identified in the TIA, the City of Medford submitted comments on December 24, 2016 and January 5, 2016 indicating that the intersection of Table Rock Road at Morningside Street would be adversely impacted due to left turn delays and associated safety concerns. Per the City of Medford's request, the Hlanning Commission imposed a condition requiring financial contribution for the applicant's proportional share of traffic mitigation to the intersection prior to building permit issuance.

Conclusion 10: There is substantial evidence in the record for the Planning Commission to find that the Applicant will improve, or make financial contributions toward traffic mitigation, that is roughly proportional to the impacts of this development.
11. Improvement Timing. - "The improvements required in the Traffic Impact Study indicate a resultant congestion from construction that is not to be completed for as much as two years. In reality, some of these items, such as an I-5 off-ramp improvement, are not scheduled by ODOT until 2023. There is no definitive evidence that the schedule has been modified. There are no engineering studies or drawings that would support a timely upgrade of that facility. There is no indication, other than verbal at the hearing of January $6^{\text {th }}, 2016$ that some concession might be made. There is no evidence that the improvements along Table Rock Road are funded or that the requisite imminent domain takings of additional land for widening and intersections have been done."

Finding 11: There was evidence in the record that identifies traffic impacts and mitigation measures and the feasibility of imposing conditions for such traffic mitigation which includes the TIA prepared by Kittelson \& Associates, as well as comments received from affected agencies, including the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT), Jackson County Roads, City of Central Point Public Works Department, and City of Medford. Evidence in the record addressing traffic impacts and the timing of improvements is as follows:

- Table Rock Road Improvement Project. The traffic impact analysis prepared by Kittelson \& Associates took into account planned roactway improvements, including the Jackson County Table Rock Road Improvement project, which is scheduled to be constructed in 2017 (See TIA, Page 32)
- Interstate 5 Northbound Off-Ramp, Exit 33 Improvements. As conditioned, Costco will be required to enter into a Cooperative Improvement Agreement with ODOT and pay its proportional share of the improvement cost prior to building permit issuance (See Revised lublic Works Staff Report dated January 5, 2016, Condition No. 1). Evidence in the record establishes that the improvements are planned to be expedited such that they will be constructed as close to opening day of the subject development as possible:
a. The Revised Iublic Works Staff Report dated January 5, 2016 states that, "Per ODOT, construction will commence at the earliest possible date." (See Traffic Impacts and

Mitigation Item No. 4)
b. During staff's presentation at the January 5, 2016 Planning Commission Meeting, during a discussion of traffic impacts and mitigation (26:05), staff stated that ODOT agreed to expedite construction of the off-ramp improvements as close to opening day as possible. Don Morehouse, ODOT Planner, concurred with the staff presentation and stated that he had nothing further to add. (Audio Recording. at 1:26:15).

Conclusion 11: There is substantial evidence in the record to support the Planning Commission's decision as to the feasibility and timing of the traffic mitigation conditions.
12. Biddle Road and Airport Road Intersection. - "The intersection of Biddle Road and Kirport Road has not been fully vetted. This intersection is imporlant for travelers using the airport. Congestion will create difficulties for them."

Finding 12: The applicant's TIA indicates that the westbound approach of Airport and Biddle Road exceeds the level of service standard for the City of Medford. In a letter dated January 5 , 2016, the City of Medford requested a condition that requires the developer to pay a proportional share toward a future traffic signal at this intersection. The estimated project cost is $\$ 450,000$, including design, construction and inspection. Per the TLA, Costco contributes 10\% of the traffic at this intersection. As conditioned, Costco shall provide evidence it has contributed its proportionate share of the construction of signalization improvements in an amount not to exceed \$45,000, which shall be payable to the City of Medford prior to building permit issuance.

Conclusion 12: As conditioned, facility adequacy at this intersection is met ty the Planning Commission's requirement that the Applicant contribute its pro rata share of the signalization improvements per the City of Medford Comments dated January 5, 2016.
13. Traffic Impacts Not Easily Solved, if at all. - "The impact on all these roads is significant and not easily solved if at all."

Finding 13: Traffic impacts and mitigations are identified in the TIA and by the City of Medford, ODOT relative to the intersections of Biddle and Airport Road and Table Rock and Morningside Street. The Planning Commission's decision to approve the CUP is subject to conditions of approval assuring timely completion of the mitigation actions outlined in the TIA and requested by the affected agencies. See also Finding 8 incorporated herein by reference.

Conclusion 13: There is substantial evidence in the record that as conditioned, the project will mitigate its proportionate traffic impacts caused by this project and that such conditions are feasible.
14. Cost of Improvements. - "The costs for all of the improvements needed to place this major retailer in an industrial zone along Table Rock Road more than eclipses the cost of the store itself by a factor of five. Fstimates for the widening of the freeway overpass on Table Rock Road
exceeds 20 million dollars. Further south of the overpass are single family residences that need to back out onto Table rock Road to exit their properties. The estimate for acquiring these properties for road widening does not exist, but would be very high."

Finding 14: There is no evidence in the record from affected agencies or traffic experts or engineers to demonstrate that the Interstate 5 overpass on Table Rock Road warrants replacement or that Table Rock Road improvements south of Interstate 5 are required, other than required mitigation at the intersection of Table Rock Road and Morningside Street, which was addressed. See also Finding No. 8, incorporated herein by reference.

Conclusion 14: There is substantial evidence in the record for the Planning Commission to find traffic mitigation required by this project has been satisfied as conditioned and that such conditions are feasible.
15. Frcight Route, Traflic Conflicts. - "Table Rock Road is designated as a freight route in the overall traffic master plan and this enormous retailer with its accompanying vehicle traffic is not an appropriate mix with the truck traffic in that area."

Finding 15: Heavy vehicle impacts were evaluated in the TIA and no problems were identified with the mix of light and heavy vehicles based on volume and LOS/VC ratios (See Synchro Reports in the TIA Appendices). No other expert testimony was presented as to the mix of vehicle traffic.

Conclusion 15: There is substantial evidence in the record for the Planning Commission to find that the mix of light and heavy vehicles does not create prohlems that would warrant further traffic mitigation or denial of this application.
16. Vehicle and Truck Accidents. - "Vehicle and truck accidents will undoubtedly increase and serious injuries will occur when Costco's large number of senior drivers are forced to mix with large truck traffic."

Finding 16: Heavy vehicle impacts were evaluated in the TIA and no problems were identified with the mix of light and heavy vehicles based on volume (See Synchro Reports in the TIIA Appendices) nor was there evidence in the record from experts as to the "large number of senior drivers."

Conclusion 16: There is substantial evidence in the record for the Planning Commission to find traffic mitigation required by this project has been satisfied as conditioned and safety concerns do not exist as a result of this project.
17. Vilas and Crater Lake Highway. - "The intersection of Vilas and Crater Lake Highway has been the scene of horrendous accidents and many deaths and this location and traffic load creates at least four intersections with that type of potential."

Finding 14: A crash analysis was conducted as part of the TIA at all study area intersections (IIA Page 28) to document crash types, trends and severity. The TIA found that there were no fatality crashes and the most common crashes were turning movement and rear-end crashes accounting for approximately $82 \%$ of all crashes. There is no expert evidence in the record substantiating this allegation.

Conclusion 14: There is substantial evidence in the record for the Planning Commission to find traffic mitigation required by this project has been satisfied as conditioned and safety concerns do not exist as a result of this project.

## Part 3 - Summary Conclusion

Council has reviewed the evidence and issues in the record and the issues raised in the Martin appeal. The Council concludes that there was substantial evidence in the record for the Planning Commission to approve the application, and the Commission did not commit errors of law. This Conclusion is based upon the findings herein, and the cvidence in the record including the Applicant's findings and the Planning Staff Supplemental lindings of Fact and Conclusions of Law.

EXHIBIT "B"
Community Development
Tom Humphrey, AICP
Community Development Director

STAFF REPORT<br>March 10, 2016

## ITEM

Consideration of a Conditional Usc Permit application for the construction of a 161,992 square foot Costco membership warehouse and fuel facility on an 18.28 acre site at the southwest corner of Hamrick and Table Rock Road. The project site is within the Federal Way Business Park subdivision in the Industrial (M-1) 7.0ning district, and is identified on the Jackson County Assessor's map as 37S 2W 12B, Tax Lots 213, 214, 215, and 216. Applicant: Costco Wholesale; Agent: Steve Bullock, MG2

## STAFF SOURCE

## Stephanie Holtey, Community Planner II

## BACKGROUND

In 2009, based on the authority for similar use authorizations provided in CPMC 17.48.020(W) and 17.60.140, the Planning Commission approved membership warchouse clubs, such as Costco Wholesale, as similar to other uses permitted in the $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{I}$ zone and approved such uses as conditional uses (Planning Commission Resolution No. 764). As a conditional use, the City has more discretion in determining the compatibility of the use with other permitted uses. Upon appeal, the City Council affirmed the Planning Commission's similar use determination and authorization of membership warehouse clubs as a conditional use (City Council Resolution No. 1217).

In 2015, Costco Wholcsale submitted a Conditional Use Permit application to develop a membership warehouse and fuel facility on 18.28 acres in the M-1 zone (Attachment "A"). On February 2, 2016, the Planning Commission, in accordance with CPMC 17.76, approved the CLP application (Resolution No. 827). The Planning Commission's decision considered testimony received by the applicants, proponents and opponents at the January 5, 2016 Planning Commission hearing, including the period that the record was left open (Attachment " $B$ ").

After the final decision, appeals were filed by L. Calvin Martin ("Martin Appeal" - Attachment "C-l") and David J. Smith ("Smith Appeal" - Attachment D-1") on February 16, 2016. The Martin Appeal and the Smith Appeal raise similar issucs alleging the Planning Commission erred in approving the application based upon the following issues which are summarized as follows:

- The use is not compatible;
- The Traffic Impact Analysis submitted by the Applicant is flawed;
- The use will generale significale traffic; and
- The decision conflicts with the City's Statement of Values for Growth and Transportation.

Upon appeal, the Council's consideration is based upon the evidence and issucs presented in the record before the Planning Commission. Based upon that record, the Council must determine whether there is substantial evidence in
the record to support the Planning Commission's decision, or whether the Planning Commission erred as a matter of law.

Staff has reviewed the issues raised in the Martin Appeal and the Smith Appeal and the evidence in the record in the context of the standards and criteria that apply to Conditional Use Permits per CPMC 17.76. There are no issues raised that have not already been addressed by the Planning Commission as evidenced in the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law for the Martin Appeal (Atachment "C-2) and the Smith Appeal (Attachment "D-2").

## COUNCIL OPTIONS

In an appeal of a Type III land use decision, the Council has four options:

1) Affirm the decision of the Planning Commission. If the Council does so, it must specify the basis for its decision;
2) Reverse the Decision of the Planning Commission. If the Council docs so, it must specify the reasons for the reversal;
3) Modify the decision of the Planning Commission and specify the reasons for the modification; or
4) Remand the decision back to the Planning Commission with an explanation of the error and the action necessary to rectify the error. Given the constraints of the 120 -day rulc, this is not an option unless the applicant concurs and agrecs to extend the 120 -day limit.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

There are two appeals before Council. Though the hearings have been consolidated, the Council will need to make separate motions as to each appeal at the close of the public hearing. With regard to cach appcal:

## Martin Appeal:

Staff recommends the Council affirm the decision of the Planning Commission on the basis that there is substantial evidence in the record to find that the application complies with the Conditional Use Permit criteria in CPMC
17.76. Attached hereto as Exhibit C-2 are draft Findings of Facts and Conclusions of Law the Council may adopt in its motion to affirm the Planning Commission.

## Smith Appeal:

Staff recommends the Council affirm the decision of the Planning Commission on the basis that there is substantial evidence in the record to find that the application complics with the Conditional Use Permit criteria in CPMC
17.76. Attached hereto as Fxhibit I)-2 are draft Findings of Facts and Conclusions of Law the Council may adopt in its motion to affirm the Planning Commission.

## AT'TACHMENTS

Attachment "A" - Planning Commission Resolution No. 827 including all exhibits thereto
Attachment "B" - Staff Report dated February 2, 2016 (with Exhibits 1-14; Exhibit 15 is the Revised Staff Report dated January 5, 2016 included in Attachment "A")
Attachment "C-l" - Notice of Appeal - L. Calvin Martin dated February 16, 2016
Attachment "C-2" - Draft Findings of Fact \& Conclusions of Law, Martin Appeal
Attachment "D-1" - Notice of Appeal David J. Smith dated February 16, 2016
Attachment "I)-2" - Draft Findings of Fact \& Conclusions of I aw, Smith Appeal

## ACTION

Consider the appeals of the Planning Commission's decision to approve the Conditional Use Permit allowing development of a membership warchouse and fuel facility on 18.28 acres within the M-1 zoning district and 1) affirm the decision; 2) modify the decision; 3) reverse the decision; or 4) remand the decision to take further action.

## RECOMMENDATION - SUGGESTED MOTION

## Martin Appeal:

I move to affirm the Planning Commission Decision adopted as Resolution 827 and dircct Staff to prepare a resolution approving the application for a Costco Wholesale Membership Warehouse and fuel facility on 18.28 acres within the M-1 zoning district as per the staff reports at Exhibits A and B hereto and the draft findings at exhibit C-2 attached hereto, for the Council's next meeting on March 24, 2016.

## Smith Appeal:

I move to affirm the Planning Commission Decision adopted as Resolution 827 and direct Staff to prepare a resolution approving the application for a Costco Wholesale Membership Warehouse and fuel facility on 18.28 acres within the $\mathrm{M}-1$ zoning district as per the staff reports at Exhibits A and B hereto and the draft findings at exhibit D-2 attached hereto, for the Council's next mecting on March 24, 2016.

## PLANNING COMMISSION RESOLUTION NO. 827

## A RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION APPROVING A CONDITIONAL USE PERMI'I FOR DEVELOPMENT OF A COSTCO WHOLESALE MEMBERSHIP WAREHOUSE AND FUEL FACILITY ON PROPERTY WITHIN THE M-1 ZONING DISTRICT

(File No: 15022)
Whereas, the City, by Planning Commission Resolution No. 764 and City Council Resolution No. 1217, determined that membership warehouses are a commercial use compatible with and closely related to permitted uses in the M-1, Industrial zone and therefore authorized them as a conditional use.

WHEREAS, the applicant submitted an application for a Conditional Use Perrnit to develop an 18.28 acre site within the M-1, Industrial Zone with a $161,992 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. Costco Wholesale membership warehouse and four (4) island fuel facility; and,

WHEREAS, on January 5, 2016, the Central Point Planning Commission conducted a dulynoticed public hearing on the application, at which time it reviewed the City staff report and heard testimony and comments on the application; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission's consideration of the application is based on the standards and criteria applicable to Conditional Use Permit section 17.76 of the Central Point Municipal code; and

WHEREAS, after duly considering the proposed use, it is the Planning Commission's determination that, subject to compliance with conditions as set forth in the Revised Staff Report (Exhibit "A") dated January 5, 2016, the application does comply with applicable standards and criteria for approval of a conditional use permit.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the City of Central Point Planning Commission, by this Resolution No. 827, does hereby approve the Conditional Use Permit for Costco Wholesale. This approval is based on Exhibit "A", the Planning Department Revised Staff Report dated January 5, 2016, including attachments incorporated herein by reference.

PASSED by the Planning Commission and signed by me in authentication of its passage this $2^{\text {nd }}$ day of February, 2016.


ATTEST:


Planning Commission Resolution No. 827 (2/2/2016)

# REVISED STAFF REPORT 

January 5, 2016

## ITEM (File No. 15022)

Consideration of a Conditional Use Permit application for the construction of a 161,992 square foot Costco membership warehouse and fuel facility on an 18.28 acre site at the southwest comer of Hamrick and Table Rock Road. The project sitc is within the Federal Way Business Park in the Industrial (M-1) zoning district, and is identified on the Jackson County Assessor's map as 37S 2W 12B, Tax Lots 2.13, 214, 215, and 216. Applicant: Costeo Wholesale; Agent: Steve Bullock, MG2.

## SOCRCE:

Stephanie Holtey, Commanity Planner II

## BACKGROUND

At this time Costco Wholesale ("Applicant") is requesting a Conditional Use Permit to construct a new membership warehouse and fuel facility. The 18.28 acre project site is located on four (4) lots within the Federal Way Business Park Subdivision with frontage on Table Rock Road (Jackson County), Hamrick Road (City of Central Point) and Federal Way (City of Central Point). [and east of the site is located in the City of Medford. It's the Applicant's intent to relocate its existing operation on Crater Lake Highway to Central Point with a scheduled opening date of Fall 2016. Achievement of this objective requires approval of the CUP, as well as a Site Plan and Architectural Review (Filc No. 15028) and Class "C" Variance to the M-1 sign area standard (File No. 15032) (Agenda Items VI, B and C).

## General Project Description:

Costco proposes to construct a 161,992 square foot membership warehouse located on the southwest site boundary north of the existing Fed Ex Distribution Facility (Attachment "A-3"). A total of 783 parking spaces are proposed along with perimeter and interior landscape itnprovements.

Architecturally the proposed Costco will be a large metal building similat to industrial warchouses like the Fed Ex Distribution building adjacent to the project site. In this case the building design provides for variation in building materials and roof lines, as well as articulation and detailing around the main entrance canopy. The color palette is a blend of carth tones (brown, grey) with Costco red and blue on the proposed signage.

According to the applicant's findings (Attachment "B") the warehouse will be open to members from 10 a.m. to 9 p.m. on weekdays and until 5 p.m. or 6 p.m. on weekends. Deliveries for the warehouse typically occur between 3 a.m. and noon to minimize conflicts between large delivery trucks and Costco's members.

A four (4) island fuel facility is proposed on the southeast site boundary to the west of the existing Fed Ex Distribution Facility (Attachment "A-3"). Fach island provides six (6) fuel dispensers and provides stacking for 10 cars. In total the fuel facility includes 24 fuel dispensers and provides stacking for 70 cars. A canopy will cover the fuel dispensers (Attachment "A-13"). The fuel facility will be open to members from 6 am to 10 pm daily. Depending on demand, fuel deliveries may occur multiple times per day.

The City has evaluated the proposed use and identified four (4) issues;

1. Traffie. On opening day it is cstimated that Costco will generate an additional 10,670 new daily trips. Due to the large volume of estimated traffic for the proposed use, the applicant prepared a Traffic Inpact Analysis (1'LA) based on input from affected agencies including the City of Central Point, Jackson County Roads and the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT). It should be noted that the City of Medford was invited to participate in developing the TIA scope of work on June 2, 2015 and August 13, 2015 , but no comments were received.

The TIA identified impacts to four (4) intersections at opening day (Table 1, Items I-4) and one (1) intersection in 2020 (Table 1, Item 5). Additionally, impacts to the intersection of Table Rock Road and Morningside Street were identified and mitigation recommended in a revised letter from the City of Medford dated January 5, 2016 (Attachment "I-1").

| No. | intersestion | Gnverning Agency | PrakPericei | Current Conditors (2015) |  | Buikd Year Conditions i20161 |  | Fulure Year Condifions 12030; |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Los | VIS; <br> Rata, | LOS | Vic Ratio | LOS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VIC } \\ & \text { Ratio } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 | NB 1-5 Off Ramp |  | PM Peak | c | 0.61 |  | 0.77 |  | 0.84 |
|  |  | ODOT | Midday Peak | B | 0.41 |  | 0.61 |  | 0.63 |
| 2 | Table Rock Road \& Hamrick Road | Jackson County | PM Peak | c | - | E | - | C | - |
|  |  |  | Midday Paak | E | * | $F$ | . | B | . |
| 3 | Table Rock Road \& Airport Road | Jackson County | PM Peak | F | - | $F$ | - | C | - |
|  |  |  | Midday Peak | C | . | E | - | $B$ | - |
|  | Biddle Road \& Airport Road | City of Medford | PM Peak | c | - | $E$ | - | F | . |
| 4 |  |  | Midday Peak | $B$ | - | C | - | F | - |
| 5 | Hamrick Road \& East Pine Street | City of Central Point, Jackson County | PM Peak Midday Peak | C | - | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ |  | D <br> B |  |

It should be noted that one year after the scheduled date of opening for Costco, the County will begin construction of the Table Rock Road project. The project will widen Table Rock Road between Biddle and Aipport Road to include four travel lanes, a center turn lane, bike lanes and sidewalks and intersection signalization at Table Rock and Airport Road. Completion of the Table Rock Road project resolves traffic impacts of the proposed use on infrastructure along Table Rock Road (i,e. Projects 2 and 3). A detailed summary of the traffic impacts and mitigation are set forth in the Revised Public Works Department Staff Report (Attachment "D").

Resolution: To assure timely completion of traffic mitigation measures relative to the day of opening for the proposed use, staff is recommending:
a. NB I-5 Off Ramp. Prior to building permit issuance, the applicant shall comply with the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) requirement to contribule toward the construction of dual
tight turn lanes from the off-ramp to East Pine Strect (IAMP Project No. 9). The estimated project cost is $\$ 1.3 \mathrm{M}$. Project cost sharing shall be as follows:

| ODOT: | $\$ 800,000$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Costco: | $\$ 377,000$ (Not to exceed) |
| City: | $\$ 123,000$ (Not to excced) |

Pet ODOT, construction will commence at the earliest possible date, which is necessary to prevent failure of the northbound off-ramp.
b. Table Rock Road and Hamrick Road. Prior to certificate of occupancy, the applicant will be required to provide the following temporary improvements on Table Rock Road per Jackson County Roads:
i. Construct median islands in front of the access drives on Table Rock Road to limit movements to right-in/right-out; and,
ii. Construct a center left turn lane and refuge within the existing Table Rock Road right-ofway at Hamrick Road to ease left turn delays.
c. Table Rock Road at Airport Road. Per Jackson County Roads, no mitigation measures are recommended since operational deficiencies will be resolved upon completion of the Table Rock Road widening project.
d. Biddle Road and Airport Road. Currently this intersection operates at a LOS C. According to the applicant's TIA, the intersection will operate at LOS E on the day of opening. Per the Revised City of Medford letter dated January 5, 2016 (Attachment "I-1"), the applicant will be required to contribute toward construction of a signal at the intersection (See Condition No. 3).
e. Table Rock Road at Morningside Street. Per the Revised City of Medford staff report dated Jaruary 5, 2016 (Attachment "I-1"), the applicant shall be required to contribute toward construction of a left tum lane at the intersection of Table Rock Road and Morningside Street (Cundition No. 4).
2. Parking. The applicant's parking plan proposes 783 parking spaces for warehouse members. The maximum parking spaces allowed based on the allocation of uses is 698 spaces. In accordance with CPMC 17.64.040(B)(2), the applicant is requesting an adjustment to allow for the proposed increase in parking based on a parking demand analysis specific to Costco Wholesale operations in Oregon (Atlachment "C").

Resolution: The applicant's parking demand analysis recommends a minimum parking ratio of 4.8.3 parking spaces per 1,000 s.f. of Gross Floor Area (GFA) to maintain a $90 \%$ utilization rate. According the Institute of Traffic Enginecrs (ITE) Parking Generation, $4^{\text {th }}$ Edition, when more than $90 \%$ of the parking spaces in a parking lot are occupicd, there is an increase in illegal parking and repeating circulation. Costco's parking plan provides slightly more parking than the minimum recommendation to accommodate typical peak periods as well as provide additional spaces for seasonal peaks. Staff
recommends that the requested increase in parking is warranted.
3. Signage. The applicant's signage plan includes wall signs that are proportional to scale and size of the building. Although none of the proposed signs exceed $3.8 \%$ of the wall area on any elevation, they exceed the maximum sign area allowed in the M-1 zone.

Resolution: Approval of the requested signage for the proposed use is subject to approval of a Class " C " Variance, which will be presented to the Planning Commission for consideration (File No. 15032, Agenda Item VI-C). Based on the applicant's proportionality rationale for the proposal, the variance request is deemed reasonable. However, if the variance is not approved, the applicant will be required to demonstrate compliance with the M-1 sign area standards prior to building permit issuance.
4. Lot Consolidation. The project site includes four (4) lots within the Federal Way Business Park Subdivision. Based on staff's evaluation of the lot dimensions and site plan, the proposed warehouse occupies three (3) of the existing lots. The applicant has indicated it is their intent to consolidate the lots.

Resolution: As a condition of approval, the lot consolidation must be completed prior to building permit issuance.

## FINDINGS

The Costco Wholesale Conditional Use Permit has been evaluated for compliance with the applicable Conditional Use Criteria set forth in CPMC 17.76 and found to comply as evidenced in the Planning Department Supplemental Findings (Attachment " 5 ").

## CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

1. Prior to building permit issuance for the four consolidated lots, a Subdivision Re-plat shall be prepared and recorded and a copy of the recorded Subdivision Re-plat and Deed provided to the City.
2. The applicant shall satisfy conditions as listed in the Revised Public Works Department Staff Report dated December 15, 2015 (Attaclument "D").
3. Per Attachment " $\mathrm{T}-1$ ", prior to issuance of a building permit, the applicant shall provide evidence that it has contributed toward the construction of signalization improvements at the intersection of Airport and Biddle Road. The applicant's share of the signalization improvement shall not exceed $\$ 45,000$, which shall be payable to the City of Medford.
4. Per Attachment " $\mathrm{I}-1$ ", prior to issuance of a building permit, the applicant shall provide evidence that it has contributed toward the construction of left turn lane imptovements at the intersection of Table Rock Road and Morningside Street. The applicant's share of the left tum lane improvement shall not exceed $\$ 60,000$, which shall be payable to the City of Medford.
5. The applicant shall satisfy conditions as listed in the Rogue Valley Sewer Services Staff Report dated November 16, 2015 (Attachment "H").
6. Prior to issuance of building permits for the proposed signage, the applicant shall either demonstrate compliance with the signage standards set forth in CPMC 17.48.080(A)(1) or receive a variance to the signage area standard.

## ATTACHMENTS

Attachment "A-1" - Site Comparison<br>Attachment "A-2" - Site Circulation<br>Attachment " $A$-3" - Concept Site Plan<br>Attachment "A-4" Central Point Costco Grading \& Drainage<br>Attachment "A-5" .- Central Point Costco Utilities<br>Attachenent "A-6" - Preliminary Landscape Plan<br>Attachment "A-7" - Concept Floor Plan<br>Attachment "A-8" - Concept Exterior Elevations<br>Attachment "A-9" - Concept Llevations<br>Attachment "A-10" - Entry View<br>Attachment "A-11" - NW Corner View<br>Attachment "A-12" - East View<br>Attachment "A-13" Concept Fuel Facility Plan<br>Attachment "A-14" - Concept Lighting Plan<br>Attachment " 3 " - Applicant's Findings<br>Attachment "C" . Traffic Impact Analysis<br>Attachment "ID" - Revised Public Works Staff Report dated January 5, 2016<br>Attachunent "E" - Jackson County Roads Staff Report dated December 10, 2015<br>Attachment " F " - Oregon Department of Transportation Staff Report dated Deecmber 14, 2015<br>Attachment " $G$ " - City of Mcdford Planning Department Comments dated December 3, 2015<br>Attachment " H " - Rogue Valley Sewer Services Staff Report dated November 16, 2015<br>Attachment "I-1" - Revised City of Medford Staff Report dated January 5, 2016<br>Attachment "I-2" - City of Medford Staff Report dated December 24, 2015<br>Attachment "'J" • Planning Department Supplemental Findings<br>Attachment " K " Resolution No. 827<br>\section*{ACTION}

Consider the Conditional Use Application and either: 1) approve; 2) approve with modifications; or 3) deny the application.

## RECOMMENDATION

Approve the Conditional Use Pernit for Costco Wholesale subject to the conditions of approval per the Revised Staff Report dated January 5, 2016.
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## Project Description

Propoear: Costico is considaring buying some property on the southwest corner of the Table Rock Rd and Hamrick Rd Intersection that is 18.28 acres in size. Their desire and intent would be to build a new Costco Warehouse (with a footprint of approximately 161,992 sq. ft.) and a Fuel Fwcility ( 4 islands) together with all required parking and landscaping. In this case, the parking area will accommodate 783 parking stalis. Currentiy the mubject property is undeveloped industrial land. Surrounding the property is a mix of developed and undeveloped incustrial land with distribution and manufacturing facilities. T

Costca Bullding 2 8ite Deaign: With over 30 years of building membership warehouses Costco has 686 warchouses worldwide. This experience has allowed Costco to develop a carefully thought out program for constructing naw facilitios. This program includes: the tayout of the warehouse fioor pian that most effectlvely allows for the stocking and merchandising of products; the use of materials that are sustalnable, long-lasting and energy eficient; the layout of the site in a manner that provides for their parking and circulation needs; the improvements to adjacent public infrastructure to minimize and mitigate for any impacts they may create; the development of an attractive, functionai facility that the entire community views as an asset. The final design solution for each of Costco's 600+ nites follows this program resuiting in a unique solution that is tailored to the individual site, its environment and the community it is located in.

Costco Operations: Generally Costco's warehouses are open to the public from 10am9pm. On the weekends they close a little earlier ( 5 or 6 pm ). To avoid conflicts between thels membera and atockling the warehouse, deliveries are lypically received between 3arn and Noon. This minimizes potentiat conilicts betweer the large delivery trucks and Coatco's members.

The gas station is typically open from Bam - 10pm. Fuel deliverles can happen multipie time per day depending upon the demand.

## DEVELOPMENT CODE COMPLIANCE

The following sections of this narrative identify the applicable sections of the Central Point code and provide a response and drawing reference that describes how our proposed site and building design comples with the City's Development Codes.

## Chapter 17.48, M1, INDUSTRIAL DISTRICT

### 17.48.020 Permitted uses.

The following uses and their accessory uses are permitted in an M1 district, subject to the limitations Imposed in Section 17.48.030:
A. Warehousing;
B. Storage and whotesaling of prepared or packuged merchandise;
W. Other uses not listed in this or any other district, if the planning commission finds them to be similiar to those listed above and compatible with other permitted uses and with the intent of the M1 dlstrict.

Response: Costco is a Wholasale Membership Club which has as thoir primary focus the ssles of prepared or packuged merchandise to thair members. City stoff has further made us aware of a decision made by the City Councll related to Wholesale Membership Clubs in the M-1 zone which allows them subject to a conditional use permit. This deciaion was appealed and confirmed in the Oregon Courte.

### 17.43.030 Stendards for permitted usee.

All uses within the M1 district shall be subject to the following condilions and stendards:
A. All raw materials, finished products, machinery and equipment. with the exception of automobiles and trucks nomally used in the business, shall be stored within an emirwiy enclosed building or sight obscuring, non-piercad fence not feas than six teet in height;
Response: With the exception of the Fuel FecIIIty, Coatco's normel operation happent entinely within thelr warahoune.
B. The facility shall be in compliance wlth all applicable state and federol environmental, health and eafety regulations;

> Response: Costco will obtein all required state end federal permita as woll it comply with all health and sefoty regulations.
C. In any M1 district directly across a street from any residential (R) district, all outdoor perking, loading or display areas ahall be set back at least ton feet from the public right-of-way and this setback area shall be planted with trees mppropriate for the neighbortood, ground cover or other landscaping materials that ara consibtent with the general existing character of the area, or that will establish a landecape theme for other developments to follow. This selback and landscaping requirement shall also apply to M1 lots fronting on any street designated in the comprehenslve plan as a major arterial.

> Response: This section does not epply in that there are no residentially zoned properties adjacent to or across the struet from the Cosfco property.

### 17.48.040 Conditional Users.

The following usess and their accessory uses may be permitted in an Mi district when authorized in accordance with Chapter 17.76:
A. Business offices and commerclal uses that are compatible with and closely related in their nature of business to permitted uses in the M1 diatrict, or that woutd be established to serve primarity the uses, employees, or customers of the M1 district;
Response: Al muntioned above, the Clty hes defermined that a Wholesale Membership Club requiras a Conditional Use Permit to operats in an m-1 zone. The last uection of this narrative will go over in detall how Cosico's proposed project complies with the Conditional Use Permit approval criteria.

### 17.48.050 Height Regulations.

Maximum height of any buiding or structure in an M1 district shall be slxty feest.
Response: Costco's warehouse is roughly 38' from finished grade to the highast point on the bullding, this includas the parupet wills extending above the roof around the parimeter of the bullding. Light poles in the parking fot sie roughly the seme helght, 35' fall pole on a 2.5' concrete base. See the included elevations end site lighting plan inchuded in the drawing peckage.

### 17.48.080 Site Area Requiremonts.

There art no minimum site area requirements in the M1 district, except as necessary to provide for required parking, loading and yard spaces.

Responee: Costco is proposing to build ewarehouse having roughly 183,000 日q. H. For a warehouse of this sixe Costco has discovared through their experlence from bullding over 600 warehouses that 500 perking stalls (+/-) sre needed to offectively handle the volume of members that use their fucilities. The she of the property under consideration, about 18.28 acres, is lerge enough to accommodute these improvements.

### 17.46.070 Yard Requirements.

The following measurements indicate minimum yard requirernents in an M1 district:
A. Front Yard. The front yard shall be a minimum of twenty feet. (Also see Section $17.48 .030(\mathrm{C})$ ).
B. Side Yard. The stde yard shall be a minimum of ten feet except when the side lot line is abutting a lot in any residentlal (R) district and then the side yard shall be a minimum of twenty foet and shall be lncreased by one-half foot for each foot by which the bullding height exceeds twenty foek.

## DATE 11.3.15


C. Rear Yard. The rear yard shall be a minimum of ten feet exoept when the rear lot line is abutting a lot in any residential (R) district and then the rear yard shall be a minimum of twenty feet and shall be incressed by one-half foot for each foot by which the building height exceeds twenty feet.
D. Lot Coverage. No nequirements.

> Response: Costco's proposed site plan (see the drawing package) showr that the sife fronts on three roads (Federal Way to the wost, Hmmick Rd to the north end Teble Rock Rd to the east). Of the threle, only Table Rock Rdis a Major Arterial. Our assumption is that al/ three frontagas will require 20'Front Yard Satback. Our internaf Jot fines, to the south of the warchouse and west of the furf facility will be sidis or near setbacka thet are required to be 10'. The warehouse is at leat bo' from alf property Ilnes and the fuel facility and ifs ancillery structure are at Ionst 25" from all property lines. Tha proposed alta plen complias with the Cify'e required yllats.

### 17.48.080 Signs.

Signs whin the M1 district thall be limibed to the following:

1. Permitted signs shall contain not more than one hundred square feet of surface area on any on side, or an eggregate of two hundred square feet of surface on all sides which can be utilized for dieplay purposes;
2. Lighted signt shall be indirectly illuminated and non-flashing;
3. Identification signs shall be permitted within any required setback areas provided it does not pxtend into or overhang any parking area, sidewalk or other public right-ofway;
4. Signs locsted within vision clearance areas at intersections of streets shall confontr to Section 17.60.110.

Response: Costco is proposing will mounted signage that is proportional to the $\begin{gathered}\text { ize of thoir boilding. This rasufts in signage that }\end{gathered}$ is lergar than the atinderd identifled above. Further diecuseion of this and national for approvil is inchudad in the Gonditionaf Uso portion of this nitriative.

All sign IHuminition will be indingtly Jifuninated and non-fiashing.
No Freastending Signage is proposed so no sight or offer obstructions will be creatud.
C. Signs in the M1 disirict shall be permitted and designed according to provisions of Chapter 15.24.

## Response: Cosfco will fully comp/y with all the requiremente of Centrel Point Municipal Code Chepter 15.24.

## Chaptor 17.64, OFF-STREET PARKING AND LOADING <br> 17.64.030 Off-Street Loading.

A. In all districts for each use for which a building is to be erected or structurally altered to the extent of increasing the floor area to equal the minimum floor area required to provide loading space and which will require the receipt or distribution of materials or merchandise by truck or similar vehicle, there shall be provided off-street loading space in accordence with the standards set forth in Table 17.64.01, Off-street Loading Requirements.

TABLE 17.64.01 OFFSTREET LOADING REQUIREMENTS

| Use Categories | On-Street Loading Berth Requirement (fraclions <br> rounded up to the closest whole number) |
| :--- | :---: |
| RETAIL. RESTALURANTS, HOSPITALS, AND OTHER GCODS HANDLING |  |
| Sq. Ft. of Floor Area | No. of Loading Berths Required |
| Over 100,000 | 3 plus 1 for each addtional 80,000 sq. $\overline{\text { r. }}$ |

B. A loading berth shall not be less than ten foet wide, thirty-five feet long and have a height clearance of twelve feat. Where the vehiches generally used for loading and unloading exceed these dimensions, the required length of these berths shall be increased.
C. If loading space has been provided in connection with an existing use or is added to an existing uee, the loading space shall not be eliminated if elimination would result in less space than is required to adequately meet the needs of the use.
D. Off-street parking areas used to fulfill the requirements of this tifie shail not be counted as required loeding spaces and shall not be used for loading and unloading operations, excupt curing pertods of the day when not required to meet parking needs.
E. in no case shall any portion of a strvet or aliby be counted as a part of the required parking or loading space, and such spaces ahall be deaigned and located as to avoid undue interference with the putilc use of streets or alleys.
Response: Costco provides for all their loading needs on site and will not have any of their dellverias or delivery trucks impect the public use of atreete or alleya during their loading or unloading of product. In eddition to the 4 dedicated alevated truck docks there are 3 other on-site loading arees for tires and other smaller more local deliveries that can't use the elevated truck dock. This exceeds the 4 loading berthe required in reble 17.64.01 (excerpt ebove).

### 17.64.040 Off-Streat Parking Requirements

All uses shell comply with the number of off-atreet parking requirements identifled in... Table 17.64.02B, Nor-Remidential Off-Street Paking Requirementa. For non-rosidential ubes the off-utrest parking requirements ane presented in terms of both minimum and maximum offstreet parking required. The number of off-street parking spaces in Tatio 17.64.028, NorResidential Off-Streat Parking, may be reduced in accordance with aubsection B of this section, Adjustments to On-Streot Vehicte Parking.


TABLE 17.64.02B NON-RESIDENTIAL OFF-STREET PARKING REQU|REMENTS

| Use Categories | Minimum and Maximum Vehicle Parking Requirement <br> (fractions rounded down to the closest whole number) |
| :--- | :--- |
| GENERAL COMMERCIAL |  |
| Retail Stores, Personal <br> Services | 1 space per bach 200 square feet of net floor area <br> (excluding storage and other non-sales or non-display <br> ereas). |

A. Celculation of Requirad Of-streat Parking. off-streat perking facility requirments sot forth In ... Tatcle 17.64.02B, Nonreaidential Or-stree! Parking Requiraments, shall be applied wo follows:

1. Where the application of the schedule resuits in a fractlonal requirement it shall be rounted down to the lowest whole number.
2. For purposes of this chepter, groas floor area shall not include enclosed or covered areas usad for ofl-street parking or loading, or bicycle facilities.
3. Where uses or activities subject to differing requirements are located in the same structure or on the same site, or are intended to be served by a common facility, the total parking requirement shall be the sum of the requirements for each use or ectivity computed separately, excopt as adjusted through the site plan and architecture review process under the provisions of subsection $\langle\mathrm{B})$ of thls eection. The communlty development director, when issuing a parmil(s) for muttiple uses on a site, may rostrict the haurs of operation or place other conditions on the muttiple usies to that parking needs to not overkap and may then modity the total parking requirement to be based on the moat intense combination of uses at any one time.
4. Where requirements are eatablished on the basis of seals or person capacity, the building regulations provisions spplicable at the time of determination shall he used to define cepacity.
5. Where residential use is conducted together with or accessory to other permitted uses, mpplicabie residential requirements shall apply in eddilion to other nonredidantial requirements.
6. The perking requirements outined in ... Table 17.64.028, Nonresidential Oft-street Parking Requirements, incilude parking for hendicapped persona shall be provided pursuant to the requirements of subsection C of lhis section, Accessible Parking Requirements.
Response: Per table 17.04.025 Costco will be raquired to provide not foses than 670 parking stelle and not moru then 670 parking stells ( 134,004 sf/ 200 si/atall $=070$ paring stells). As mentioned enerier in this narrative, through Costco's extentive expertence buliding these warmouses around the Unifed Statee the proposed warthouse will need approximetoly 800 parking stalls to accommodate the domend. This requast will be eddressed In more detall both in our Parking Study and the Conditional Use Permit Discussion.
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B. Adjustments to Non-residential Off-street Vehicle Parking. The off-street parking requirements in Table 17.64.02B, Nonresidential Of-street Parking Requirements, may be reduced, or increased in any commercial (C) or industrial (M) district as follows:
9. Reductions. The maximum off-street parking requirements may be reduced by no mare than twenty percent.
2. Increases. The off-streat parking requirernents may be increased based on a parking damand analysis propared by the applicant as part of the site plan and architectural roview process. The parking demand analysis shall demonstrate and document justification for the proposed increase.
Response: See our aubmitted Parking Demand Analysis which describes Costco's need for around 800 parking stalis.
C. Accessible Parking Requirements. Where parking is provided accessory to a bullding, accesssible parking shatl be provided, constructed, striped, signed and maintained as required by ORS 447.233, and Section 1104 of the latest Oregon Structural Specialty Code as set forth in this saction.

## Response: Cosfco wh/I meet or exceed Central Points required Accessible Perking Requirements.

I. Bleycle Parking. Bicycle parking shall to provided in accordance with Table 17.64.04, Bicycle Parking Requiraments.

TABLE 17.64.04 BICYCLE PARKING REQUIREMENTS

| Land Use | Minimum Requinement | Minlmum Covered |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial - - - - - - |  |  |
| Retail Sales | 0.33 spaces per $1,0008 q$. ft. | 60\% |
| Warehouss. | 0.1 apacer1.000 sq.f. | 100\% |

Response: The .33 spaces/f,000 sq. ft. resufts in 57 bike spacas. Due to the nature of thelr business, Costco has found that blcycle traffic to their werehoutes is rether limited. Some emplayees commute by bicyele, but vary faw customers do. For that reeson, they believe the Central Point's Bicycie Ferking for Warehouse standerd, which rasults in 16 Dike stells, the most appropriate for a Costco warmouse. We will address this in the CUP criteria as well if it is determined that this is another deviation from a standara.

## Chapter 17.72, SITE PLAN AND ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW

17.72.020 Applicability.

No permit required under Tithe 15, Buildings and Construction, shall be issued for a major or minor project, as deffined in this section, uniess an application for site plan and architectural review is submitted and approved, or approved with conditians, as set forth in this chapter.
B. Major Projects. The following ara "major projects" for the purposes of the site plan and archltectural review process and are subject to Type 2 procedural requirements as set forth in Chapter 17.05, Applications and Types of Review Procedures:

1. New construction, including private and public projects, that:
a. Includas a new buldding or building addition of five thausand square feet or more;
b. Includes the construction of a parking lot of ten or more parking spaces; or
c. Requires one or more variances or conditional use permits and, in the judgment of the director, will have a significant effect upen the aesthetic character of the city or the surrounding area;
Response: The proposed Costco warehouse will be a Major Project and will go through the Site Plen and Architactural Review process.

### 17.72.040 3ite plan and archtectural standerds.

In approving, conditionally approving, or denying any site plan and archltecturai roview application, the approving euthority shall base its decision on compliance with the following standards:
A. Applicable site plan, landscaping, and architectural deslgn standards as set forth in Chapter 17.75, Design and Development Standards;
B. City of Central Point Department of Public Works Department Standard Specifications and Uniform Standard Deatalls for Public Works Construclion;
C. Accessibility and sutticiency of firefighting facilities to euch a standard as to provide for the reasonable asfety of life, timb and property, including, but not limited to, suitabla gates, accass roads and fire lanes so that all bulldings on the premises are accassible to firs apparatus.
Response: Costco will demonstrate compliance with each of these criteria through the drawing phekege submitted with this application and subsequent construction permit applications.

## Chapter 17.75, Deelen and Development Standards

17.75.031 Generil connectivity, circulation and access standards.
A. Streats and Utitites. The public streat and utlity standards set forth in the City of Central Point Department of Public Works Standard Specifications and Uniform Standard Details for Public Works Construclion shall apply io all development whin the city.

Response: Costco will comply with all the public struef and utilly standards required by the City of Cantral Point.
B. Block Standards. The following block standards apply to all devglopment:

1. Block perimoters shall not exceed two thousand feet measured along the pubilc street right-of-way, or outsids edges of access ways, or other acknowfedged block boundary as described in subsection ( $B$ )(4) of thls section.
2. Block lengths shall not excesd six hundred feet between through streets or pedestrian access ways, measured along street inght-of-way, or the pedestrian access way. Block dimensions are measured from right-of-way to right-of-way along street frontages. A block's perimeter is the sum of all sides.
3. Access ways or private/retail streets may be used to meet the block length or perimetar standards of this section, provided they are designed in accordence with this section and are open to the public at all times.
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4. The standards for block perimeters and lengths may be modified to the minimum extent necessary based on written findings that compliance with the standards are not reasonably practicable or appropriate due to:
a. Topographic constraints;
b. Existing devalopment pattems on abutting property which prectude the logical connection of streets or accoss ways;
c. Major public facilities abutting the property such as railroads and freeways;
d. Traftic safety concems;
B. Functional and operational needs to create large commercial building(s); or
f. Prolection of significant natural resources.

## Response: The surrounding existing roads logether with Costco's infernal drives comply with these requirements.

C. Driveway and Property Access Standards. Vehicular access to properties shall be located and constructed in eccordance with the standards sat forth in the City of Central Point Department of Public Works Standard Specifications and Uniform Standard Detalls for Public Works Construction, Secilon 320.10.30, Driveway and Property Access.
Response: The submitted site plan dumonstrates compliance with this nequirement.
D. Pedestrian Circulation. Altractive access routes for pedestrlan travel shall toe provided through the public sidewalk system, and where necesgary supplemented through the use of pedestrian access ways as required to accomplsh the following:

1. Reducing distances between destinalions or activity areas sucti as public sidewalks and building emrances;
2. Bridging across barriers and obstaclas such as fragmented pathway systems, wide streets, heavy vehicular trafic, and changes in lavel by connecting pedestrian pathways with clearly marked crossings and inviting sidewalk design;
3. Integrating signage and lighting system which offers interest and safety for pedestrians;
4. Connecting parking areas and deatinations with retall streats or pedestrian access ways identifled through use of distinctive paving materials, pavement striping, grade separation, or landscaping.
Response: The submitted site pian and fandscape plan demonstrate compliance with this requirentent.

### 17.75.039 Off-3trast Parking Design And Development Standards.

A. Connectivity. Parking lots for now devolopment shall be designed to provide vehicular ard pedestrian connections to adjucent sites unless as a result of any of the following such connections are not possible:

1. Topographic constraints;
2. Existing development pattems on abutting property which preciude a logical connection;

## DATE 19.3.15
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3. Trofilic anfety concerns; or
4. Protection of significant natural resources.

Fesponse: This requirement does not apply to Costco's development in that roads ring the sitw on three sides and there is no need to provide connections to adjacent situs.
B. Parking Stall Minimum Dimensions. Standard parking epacess shall conform to the following stendards and the dimensions in Figure 17.76.03 and Table 17.75.02.
Aespanse: As demonstrated in the Site Plen, Costco's parking Iot complhas with these standards.
C. Access. There shall be adequate provision for ingress and egress to all parking spaces.

Feaponse: Thure is adequafe provision for ingress and eyreas to all parking spaces and arses.
D. Drivaways. Driveway width shall be meansured at the driveway's narrowest point, ircluding the curb cut. The deaign and constuction of drivewhys shall be as set forth in the Standard Specifications and Public Works Depertment Standards and Specifications.
Reaponse: Costco will comply or exceed the City's m/nimum standerds.
E. Improvement of Parking Spacee.

1. When a concrete curt is used asa wheol stop, It may be placed within the perking space up to two foek from the front of a epace. In such casas, the area between the wheel skop and landscaping need not be paved, provded It is maintained with appropriate ground cover, or walkway. In no went shall the plecernent of wheel stops reduce the minimum landscerpe or walkway width requirments.
2. All areas utilzed for of-atreot parking, access and maneuvering of vehicles shall be paved and striped to the standarde of the city of Central Point for all-weather use and shall be adequately drained, including prevention of the flow of runoff water across sidewalks or other pedestrian areas. Required perking arvas shall be designed witt painted striping or other approved method of dellineating the individual spaces, with the exception of lots containing single-family or two-family awallings.
3. Parking spaceas for uses other than one and two family dweilings shall be designed so that no backing movements or other maneuvering within a street or other public right-of-way shall be necessary.
4. Any lighting used to illuminate off-atroet parking or loading areas shall be 30 arranged as to reflect the light away from edjacent streets or properties.
5. Service drives shall have a minimum vision clearance arva formed by the Intersection of the driveway centerine, the stroet right-of-way line, and a straight line joining the lines through points twenty feet from their Intersection.
6. Parking spaces located slong the outer boundariee of a parking lot shall be contained by a curb or a bumper rail so placed to provent a motor vehicle from extending over an adjacent property line, a pubilc street, public sidewalk, or a required landscaping area.
7. Parking, loading, or vehicle maneuvering areas shell not be located within tha front yard area or side yard area of a comer lot abutting a obeet in any residential (R)
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district, nor within any portion of a street setback area that is required to be landscaped in any commercial (C) or industrial (M) district.
Response: Costgo's alfe plen, site lighting plan and Iandscape plan all damonstrate compliance with these standerds.
F. LImitation on Use of Parking Areas. Required parking areas shall be used exclusively for vehicle parking in conjunction with a permitted use and shall not be reduced or encroached upon in any manner. The parking facilities shall be so dasigned and malntained as not to constitute a nuisance at any tims, and shall be used in such a manner that no hazard to persons or property, or unreasenable impediment to traffic, wilf result.

Response: Casfico egrees with and will comply whth this requirement.
G. Parking/Loading Focility Landscaping and Screening. Parking lot landscaping thail be used to reinforce pedestrian and vehicular circulation, including parking lot entries, pedestrian access ways, and parking aishes. To achieve this objectiva the following minimum standards shall apply; However, additional landscaping may be recomrnended during the site plan and archltectural review process (Chapter 77.72 ). All parking lots shall be landscaped in accordance with the following standards:

1. Perimeter and Street Frontage Landscaping Requirements. The perimeter and street frontage for all parking facilitiess shail be landscaped according to the standards set forth in Table 17.75.03.
Response: Costca's site pian and inndscape plan demonstrate compliance with this requirement.
2. Terminal and interior Islands. For parking lots in excess of ten spaces all rows of perking spaces must provide terminal a minimum of six feet in width to protect parked vehiotes, provide visibility, comfine traffic to aisles and driveways, and provide a minimum of five feat of space for Iandscaping. In addition, when ten or more venicles would be parked side-by-side in en abuting conflguretion, interior landecuped isiands a minimum of olght feot wide must be located within the parking row. For parking lots greater than fifty parking spaces, the lacation of interior landecepe island shall be ellowed to be consolidated for planting of large stands of trees to break up the acale of the perking lot. The number of trees required in the interior landscape area shall be dependent upon the location of the parking lot in reiation to the bullding and putlis right-of-way:
a. Where the parking lot is located between the building and the public inght-of-way, one tree for every four spaces;
b. Where the parking lot is iocated to the side of the building and partially abuts the public right-of-way, ons tree for every six spaces;
c. Where the parking lot is located buhind the bulding and la not visible from the public right-of-way, one trea for every enght spaces.
Response: The provided iandscape pien demonstrates complance with thase perking fot Iandecspe dasign critaria.
3. Bio-swales. The use of bioswales within parking lots is encouraged and may be located within landscape areas subject to site plan and architectural roviow. The tree

planling standards may be reduced in areas dedicated to bioswaies subject to sito plan and architectural review.
Responsa: As shown in our site plan, Iandsgape plan and civil plans large bio-swalas are proposed elong the northern edge of the site. Costco is not proposing to reduce the tree planting standards in these arass.
H. Bicycle Parking. The amount of bicycle perking shell be provided in accordance with Section 17.64.040 and constructed in accordance with the following standasds:
4. Location of Bicyche Parking. Required bicycle parking facilitien shall be located ongite in well lighted, secure locations within finty feet of well used entrances and not farther from the entrance than the closest automobile parking space. Blcycle parking shal have direct access to both the public right-of-wey and to a mair entrance of the principsl use. Blcycle parking may also be provided inside a building in suitable, secure and accossible locations. Bicycle parking for multiple uses (such as in a commerciel canter) may be clustered in one or several lacations.
5. Bicycle Parking Design Standerds, All bicycle parking and maneuvering areas shall be constructed to the following minimum design standards:
a. Surfacing. Outdoor blcyclo pawing facilities shall be surfaced in the same manner as a motor vehicte parking area or with a minimum of a tirree inch thickness of hard surfacing (ie., asphalt, concrete, pavers or similar materlad). This surface will be maintained in a smooth, đurable and well drained condifion.
b. Parking Space Dimension Standard. Bicycle perking spacses shall be at least six feet long and two feet wide with minimum overtiead clearences of seven feet.
c. Lighling. Lighting shall be provked in a bicycle parking arwa so that anl facilities are thoroughly illuminated and viaible from adjacent sidewaiks or motor vehicie parking lots during all hours of use.
d. Alsles. A five-foot aisle for bicycle maneuvering shall be provided and maintalned begida or between esch row of bicycle parking.
$\theta$. Signs. Where bicycle parking facilities are not directly visible from the pubilc rights-of-wity, entry and directional signs shall be provided to direct bicycles from the publice right-of-ways to the bicycle parking facility.

## Response: Costco will comply whth Central Point's Blcyche standards.

### 17.75.043 Industrial Bullding Deaign Standarde.

 Reserved. (Ord. 1946 (pert), 2011).Response: Although thert are no specific Design Stenderds in the Industrial zones of Centrel Point, Costco believas the planz, elevations and perspective drawings submittud demonstrate Costco's commitment to devaloping a high quality building and sita.
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### 17.76.040 Conditional Use Permit - Findings and Conditions.

The planning commission in granting a conditional use permit shall find as follows:
A. That the site for the proposed use is adequate in size and shape to accommodate the use and to meet all other development and lot reculrements of the subject zoning digtrict and all other provislons of this code;

Response: Costco believas they have demonstrated through the submitted plans end drawings that the proposed 48.25 acres sito is adequate in size and shape to accommodete the proposed use and meet atl the Cify's required sfendards.
B. That the site has edequate access to a public streat or highway and that the street or highway is adequate in size and condition to effectively accommodate the traffic that is expected to be generated by the proposed use;
Response: The submitted Traffic Report indicetes that adequate access to public streete will be provided. And the existing streets are or soon will be of adequate size and condition to effectively accommodate the traffic that is projected to be generated by Costco.
C. That the proposed use will have no significent adverse effect on abutting property or the permitted use theneof. In making this determination, the commission shall consider the propased lacation of improvements on the aite; Vehicular ingress, egress and interinal circulation; setbacks; Height of buildings and structures; Walls and fences; landscaping; Outdoor lighting; And signs;

Response: The submitted plans, elevitions, drawings and reports document that there wIII be no signillcent adverse effect on abutting properties.
D. That the establishment, maintenance or operation of the use applied for will comply with local, state and federal health and safety regulations and therefore will not be detrimental to the health, safety or general welfare of persons residing or working in the surrounding neighborfoods and will not be detrimental or injurious to the property and improvements in the neighborhood or to the general weffare of the community based on the review of those factors listad in subsaction C of this section;

Response: Cosfco will with both the construction and operation of their proposed warehouse comply with all local, sitite and federn/ health and sefoty regulations. Thereform, the proposed devolopment will not be detrimental to the health safety or genersl welfare of parsons rasiding or working in the surrounding neighbortoods.
E. That any conditions required for approval of the pernit are deemed necessary to protect the public heakth, safety and general wellare and may include:

1. Adjustments to lot size or yard areas as needed to best accommadate the proposed use; provided the lots or yard ameas conform to the stated minimum dimansions for the subject zoning district, unless a variance is also granted as provided for in Chapter 17.13,
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Response: Costco does not believe any adjustments fo required yarde ere needed.
2. Increasing streat widths, modificatlons in street designs or addition of street signs or trafic signals to accommodate the traific generated by the proposed use,

Response: Costco does nof bolieve any modifications are naeded to the surrounding roads or the required improvaments to those roads.
3. Adjustments to off-strent parking requirembents in accordance with any unique charscteristics of the proposed use.
Response: Central Point's parking requiromant for a rotall use, stated at a minimum and a maximum, is 1 parking stall for every 200 sf of net floor ereas. In Costco's case, the nat floor ared is $\mathbf{1 3 4}, 000 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. which requires 670 parting stalls. Our current proposel is to provide 783 parking stalls which our Parking Demend Study supports.
4. Regulation of points of vehicular ingress and egress,

Response: Cosfco believes ingress and egress points should be approved as submitted in the drawinc package and no additional reguiation should te required.
5. Requiring landscaping, irrigation systems, tighting and a property maintenance program,
Response: Costco believes iandecepe and irrigation plans shouid be approved as submitted in the drawing peckuge and no additional reguleflon shauld be required.
6. Regulation of aigns and their locations,

Response: Costco is proposing bulling mounted signage that is in excest of the standerd permitted by code. For this reason Costco will be submitting a Class C Exception to the signage standord dascrlbed in CPMC 17.48.010(A)(1).

For beckground and context, Costco and their design feam have designed a sign package that is integrated into the design of the bullding and is proportloned to match the scete and size of the bullding. The slgne are not too smell or too large in comperison to the scale of the bullding but they are substentially farger than what is allowed as standard in the Induatriaf zone. The largest signs, which are proposted on three of the four sides, are 381 af. Howevar, this is in retationship with a wall fagade that is over 14,000 af on the long slde and over 10,000 sf on the short aide. In other words, the sign covers feas than $3.8 \%$ of the smallagt wall of the warshouse. In fotol, including the signage on the Full Facilly which has a 21 af s/gn on each side of the fuel canopy, the entire Costico sits has 1,455 sf of mounted on thelr bulldings. For additional information see the black and white *evation drawing, DD3T-01, for the bullding
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mounted signs and the specific Fuel Facility sheet, D041-01, for the gas canopy signage.
7. Requiring fences, bems, walls, landscaping or other devices of organic or artificial composition to eliminate or reduce the effects of noise, vibrations, odors, visual incompatibility or other undesirable effects on surrounding properties,
Response: Costco does nat believe any additional measuras to control nolse, vibrations, odort, visuli incompefibility or other undesirable effects ara necessary.
B. Regulation of time of operations for certain typer of uses if thelr operations may adversely affect privacy of sleep of persona residing nearby or otherwise confict with other communlly or nelghborhood functions,

## Response: None needed.

9. Establish a time period within which the subject land use must be developed,

Response: Nore needed.
10. Requirement of a bond or other adequate assurance within a specified period of time.

Response: Nore nceded.
11. Such other conditions that are found to be necessary to protect the public health. safety and genseral welfare,
Responne; None needed.

## Conclusion

With the drawings and background information that has been submilted with this application we believe that the proposed Costco development is consistent with the required findings that need to be made to approve thls Development Permit application. Please feel fres to contact Costco or MulvannyG2 should you have any questions or need further clarfication.

Thank you for your time, consideration and assistance in thls matter.

Respectiully: Steve Bullock, MG2
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Section 1

\section*{Executive Summary}

\section*{EXECUTIVE SUMMARY}

Costco Wholesale is proposing to develop a new warehouse and fuel station located station located in the southwest quadrant of the Table Rock Road/Hamrick Road intersection in Central Point, Oregon. This report summarizes the evaluation of the transportation impacts of the proposed development and provides recommended mitigation measures to accommodate its development.

The analysis and evaluation completed for the Central Point Costco development resulted in the following findings:

\section*{Project Description}
- Costco Wholesale is proposing to develop a new warehouse and fuel station located in the southwest quadrant of the Table Rock Road/Hamrick Road intersection in Central Point, Oregon.
- The deveiopment plan includes a 160,000 square-foot Costco warehouse and a 24 fueling position Costco Gasoline fuel station. This new Central Paint Costco will replace the existing Medford Costco located at 3639 Crater Lake Hwy in Medford, Oregon.
- The parcels of land that in which the proposed Costco would occupy are zoned as M-1 (Industrial) which allows the development of the Costco warehouse and fuel station with a conditlonal use permit (no land use or zoning changes are required).
- In order to best evaluate the anticipated transportation characteristics of the proposed Central Point Costco development, it was wreed that the Costco-specific data be used to most accurately represent the anticipated traffic characteristics of the unique development type.
- The proposed Costco development is estimated to generate a total of approximately 10,670 net new trips on a daily basis, 900 net new trip ends during the weekday p.m. peak hour and approximateiy 1,365 net new trip ends during the weekend midday peak hour.
* The distribution pattern for site generated trips was developed using zip code data from current memberships at the existing Costco warehouse located on OR 62 (Crater Lake Hishway) in Medford, Oregon, as well as from the existing traffic patterns and major trip origins and destinatlons within the study area and the reglonal travel demand model.

\section*{Existing Conditions}
- The study evaluated 12 off site intersections in addition to site access points.
- The study evalusted two time periods for each evaluation scenario: weekday p.m. peak hour and weekend midday peak hour.
- gased on recent traffic counts collected in May and July 2015, all of the study Intersections were found to operate at acceptable operating standards during the existing weakday p.m. and weekend midday peak hours except for the Table Rock Road/Airport Road intersection during weekday p.m. peak.
- The Table Rock Road/Airport Road Intersection is stop contralied in the westbound direction. Under exlsting conditions in the weekday p.m. peak hour, there is high delay for the critical movement (westbound left-turn) resulting In LOS F.
- Crash data the most recent five years (2009-2013) at all of the study intersections was reviewed to identify historical safety trends.
- Turning movement and rear-end crashes were the most common crash type at the intersections, accounting for approximately \(82 \%\) of all crashes.
o There were no fatality crashes.
- Four study Intersections were found to be in the 90th percentile and in compliance ODOT's SPIS: I-5 53 Ramps/E Pine Street, Table Rock Road/W Vilas Road, OR 62 (Crater Lake Highway)/W VIlas Road, and Table Rock Romd/OR 99.

\section*{Build Year 2016 Anatysis}
- The transportation impact analysis evaluated two different future year scenarios: year 2016, the assumed build out year of the development, and year 2030 a lone-term planning year.
- The \(\mathbf{2 0 1 6}\) build-year background traffic analysis (without Inclusion of the project traffic) found that all of the study intersections are forecast to operate at acceptable levels of service and volume-to-capactity satios during the weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hours except for the Table Rock Road/Airport Road intersection during weekday p.m. peak hour.
- As under existing conditions, during the weekday p.m. peak hour there is high delay for the critical movement (westbound ieft-iurn) resulting in LOS F. In addition, the critical movement is also operating with a volume-to-capacity ratio of greater than 0.95 In the build year (2016) batckground conditions (with no traffic from the proposed Costco development).
- The bulld-year (2016) total trafic analysig (with Inclusion of the groject traffic) found that all study intersections will continue to operate at acceptable levels of service during the weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hours with the exception of:
- I-5 NB Ramps \& East Pine Street exceeds ODOT standards (lane group v/c ratio s 0.85 ] with the narthbound right-turn lane group's \(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}\) ratio of 0.87 during the weekday p.m. peak hour. The need for additional capacity for this northbound rightturn movement has been previously identified in the Final Draft IAMP: Exlt 33 study which cails for the widening of the \(1-5\) northbound off-ramp to add a second rightturn lane at the northbound approach to East Pine Street. ODOT and the Ctry of

Central Point are currently in discussions to determine Costco's appropriate proportional fair share contrlbution to this improvement as mitigation for the site generated trip impacts.
a Table Rock Road \& Airport Road, as under existing and 2016 background conditions, continuies to operate at a \(\operatorname{LOS}\) F during the weekend p.m. peak hour. Improvements to the Table Rock Road/Airport Road intersection are sctheduled in year 2017 as part of Table Rock Road widening and a signal will be added to the intersection. This intersection is an existing deflciency; however, given that this improvement is not currently scheduled until 2017, Jacksan Caunty and the City of Central Point are currently in discussions to determine an appropriate contribution to this Improvement as mitigation in the interim for the Costco project.
- Siddie Road \& Airport Road experiences a higher delay for the critical movement of the westhound approach, dropping from LOS C to E during the weekday p.m. peak perlod due to slte-generated traffic. Even with the site generated traffic, the intersection is operating at a very low volume-to-capacity ratlo of 0.45 in the weekday p.m. peak hour and 0.14 in the weekday midday penk hour.

\section*{Site Access Analysis}
- In the build year 2016 scenario, all site access intersections are projected to operate at acceptable levels-of-service and volume-to-capacity ratios during both the weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hours, with the exception of the Table Rock Road/Northeast access. Note this is assuming this access is a full movement access and no improvements to Table Rock Road are completed. Under this scenario, the critical eastbound left-turn movements at the Table Rock Road/Northeast access is projected to operate at LOS F during the weekday p.m. peak hour, however, it is still projected to operale well under capacity and meet the County's operational standard.
n Even though the build year (2016) analysis showed that all of the site accesses will be able to operate as proposed upon site opening before the Table Rock Road improvements are constructed, an evaluation of access alternatives for Table Rock Road was also completed to compare how temporary improvements would impact the access operations in the interim.
- The access scenarios compared were:
- Build Year (2016) Total Traffic Conditions (i.e., Full Access to Table Rock Road) with No Table Rock Rond Improvements (as summarized above)
- Build-Year (2016) Total Traffic Conditions with Temporary Table Rock Road Improvements (l.e., temporary widening of Table Rock Road along the site frontage to provide a center left-turn lane until the ultimate widening project is constructed)
- Build-Year (2016) Total Traffic Conditions with Restricted Right-In/Right-Out Site Accesses (restrict Table Rock Road access to right-in/right-out only until the ultimate widening project is canstructed)
- The access alternatives evaluation found that:
a Assuming full movement access and no improvements to Table Rock Road, the eastbound left-turns at the northeast access to Table Rack will experience relatively long delay (resulting in LOS F) but the access will still operate weil under capacity and meet the County's operational standard during the critical time period.
o Providing temporary widening along the site frontage to provide a temporary center turn lane will allow all Table Rock Road accesses to operate acceptably as full movements until the ultimate Table Rock Road widening improvements are constructed in 2017.

C Restricting the site's Table Rack Road accesses to right-in/right-out anly will allow those accemses to operate at acceptable levels of service and volume-to-capacity ratios. Mawever, It will add additional left-tum movements at the Table Rock Road/Hamrlck Road intersection thus resulting in over-capacity and LOS F conditions at that locatlon. This impact could be reduced by addine temporary widening around the intersection to provide a northbound left-turn lane as well as a center refuge area north of Hamrick to allow vehicles turning left from Hamrick to make a two stage gap acceptance maneuver for the left-turn.
o Once the ultimate Table Rock Road widening lmprovement is constructed in 2017, all site accesses to Table Rock Road will operate a good levels of service (LOS C or better) and volume-to-capacity ratios ( \(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.21\) or better) during the peak hour perlods assuming they are full access movements.
- From a safety perspective, a predictive safety analysis found that:
- Providing full movement accesses to Tabie Rock Road in the near-term with its current two lane configuration shows the probability for 1.2 crashes per year to occur combined at the two access points.
- If these were restricted to right-in/right-out oniy drivewarys, the safety prediction lowers to a probability of 0.83 crashes per year (about a \(30 \%\) decrease in probabillty).
- If temporary widening was provided in the interim for a two-way left-turn lane along the site's frontage, the probability would lower to 0.76 crashes per year (about a 30\% decrease in probabilityl.
- The safety predictive analysis also shows that once the uitimate Table Rock Road widening improvements are in place the safety prediction lowers as well to 0.77 crashes per year even with maintaining full movement accesses at both locations.

\section*{Future Year 2030 Anclysis}
- The future year (2030) background conditions analysis (without the project traffic) found that all study Intersections will continue to operate at acceptable levels of service and volume-to-capacity ratios during the weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hours with the following exceptions:
o Hamrick Road \& East Pine Street operates with a \(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}\) ratio of \(>1.0\) during the weekday p.m. peak hour
o Biddle Road \& Airport Road (as under the build year conditions) has a critical movernent which operates at LOS F during the weekday p.m. peak hour although the movement is still operating under capacity with a v/c ratio of 0.55
- The future year (2030) total traffic analysis (with the project traffic) found that the sitegenerated trips did not impact any study intersections not previously identifled in the 2030 background scenario.
- All of the proposed site accesses operate at acceptable levels of service during the weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hours under the future year 2030 total traffic scenario. Because of the planned roadway improvements along Table Rock Road, there is a significant benefit to the traffic operations at the site accesses along Table Rock Road when compared to the build-year (2016) total traffic scenario.

\section*{Parking Assersment}
- City of Central Point Municipal Code directs that a parking supply of 670 parking spaces be provided for the Costco development (assuming retail land use).
- The project is proposing to provide a total of 782 parking spaces on site.
- As part of this report, a parking demand analysis was completed to demonstrate and documents justfication for the proposed increase in parking supply.
- Actual parking supply and demand data from other Costco sites in Oregon inditates that a minimum parking ratio of 4.71 spaces \(/ 1,000\) sq-ft be provided in order to supply enough parkling to meet Costco specific demands.
- Applying the demonstrated minimum parking supply of 4,71 spaces \(/ 1,000\) sq-At to the proposed Central Point Costco development equates to a minimum recommended parking supply of 753 spaces.
- This Indicates that the proposed parking supply of 782 is sidgtly higher than this minimum amount but within a reasonable range and will provide an appropriate parking supply to accommodate typical peak periods as wetl as additional spaces for seasonal peaks as well.
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\section*{INTRODUCTION}

Kittelson \& Associates, inc. (KAI) has conducted a Transportation Impact Study (TIS) per requirements of City of Central Point's Zoning Code Section \(\mathbf{1 7 . 0 5} \mathbf{9 0 0}\). The TIS examines the current transportation network and addresses the transportation impacts of the proposed Costco Wholesale development in Central Point, Oregon. The scope, methodology, and key assumptions within the TIS were reviewed and agreed upon by the City of Central Point, Jackson County, and the Oregon Department of Transportation. In addition, the City of Medford was given the opportunity to review and comment on these elements (although no comments were received).

\section*{PROJECT DESCRIPTION}

Costco Wholesale is proposing to develop a new warehouse and fuel station located roughly one mile southeast of the Interstate \(5(1-5) \&\) Pine Street interchange in Central Point, Oregon. The site is located in the south-west quadrant of the Table Rock Road/Hamrick Road intersectlon. The development plan for the 18 -acre site includes a 160,000 square-foot Costco warehouse and a 24 fuelirg position Costco Gasoline fuel station. Currently, the site is undeveloped. The development is planned to be completed and operational by October 2016. This new Central Point Costco will replace the existing Medford Costco located at 3639 Crater Lake Hwy in Medford, Oregon. The project site plan with access driveways to each of the bordering roadways is illustrated in Figure 1.

\section*{Project Location}

The proposed site is situated south of Hamrick Road between Table Rock Road and Federal Way as illustrated in Figure 2 . Table Rack Road serves as the eastern boundary of the site. The property south of the site is currently owned and operated by Fedex Ground. The land use directly south, west and north of the slte is designated as \(\mathbf{M - 1}\) (industrial) and \(\mathbf{M - 2}\) (Industrial General) as referenced in Centrot Point Comprehensive Land Use Pian 2008-2030 (Reference 1). The Costco development is an allowed use under the industrial zone designation with a conditlons use permit.

\section*{Costco Trip Generation Characteristics}

Before and after data from other comparable Costco sites was reviewed to determine a representative trip generation estimate for the development. Based on a 160,000 square foot warehouse and a 24 position gasoline facility, the proposed warehouse and fuel station is estimated to generate 10,670 net new dally trips. of those trips, 900 net new ( 445 Inbound, 455 outbound) trips and 1,365 net new ( 695 inbound, 670 outbound) trips are axpected to occur during the weekday p.m. peak hour and weekend midday peak hour, respectively.
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\section*{SCOPE OF THE REPORT}

This report evaluates the following transpartation issues:
- Exlsting roadway, land-use and transportation system conditions within the site vicinity during the weekd̈day p.m. and weekend midday peak periods;
- Planned developments and transportation Improvements for area surrounding Costco;
- Buldd-year 2016 background (existing tratfic counts plus background growth) traffic conditions during the weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak periods;
- Costco trip generation, distribution and trip assignment estimates for the proposed development;
- Build-year 2016 total \{bulld-year background plus site-generated trips\} traffic conditions during the weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak periods;
- Build-year 2016 mitlations to study intersections impacted by site-fenersted trips during the weekday p.m. peak hour and weekend midday peak hour;
- Future year 2030 background (build-year 2016 backeround plus 14 years of regional growth) traftic conditions during the weekday p.m. and weakend midday peak periods;
- Future yaar 2030 total (future year backyround plus site-penerated trips) trafic conditions during the weekdey p.m. and weekend midday peak periods;
- Future year 2030 mitigations to study intersections impacted by site-generated trips durine the weekday p.m. peak hour and weekend midday peak hour;
- Operational and safety assessment of the proposed site accesses (including the Table Rock Road/Hamrick Road intersection) during the weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hours during bulld-year and future year total traftic conditions.
- Parking assessment for Costco site; and
- Conclusions and findings.
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\section*{EXISTING CONDITIONS}

The existing conditions analysis identifies the current site conditions and operational and geometric characteristics of the roadways within the study area. These conditions will be compared with buildyear (2016) and future year (2030) conditions later in this report.

KAI staff visited and inventoried the proposed Central Point Costco develapment site and surrounding study area in May 2015. At that time, KAl coliected information regarding site conditions, adjacent land uses, and transportation facilities in the study area. In addition, existing traffic counts at the study Intersections were collected in May and July 2015.

\section*{SITE CONDITIONS AND ADJACENT LAND USES}

The proposed site is located roughly one mile southeast of the Interstate 5 (1-5) \& Pine Street interchange in Central Point, Oregon. The land uses in the vicinity of the site are light industrial to the immediately west and south of the site, general industrial immediately north of the site and tourist and office profersional, as well as low and medium density residential, north of E Pine Street/Biddle Road. The parcels of land that in which the proposed Costco would occupy are zoned as M-I (Industrial). The M-1 zoning designation allows the development of the Costco warehouse and fuel station with a conditional use permit. No land use or zoning changes are requlred for the Costco warehouse and gas station at the proposed site.

\section*{TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES}

The transportation system inventory identifies the current characteristics of roadways within the study area. Major roadways within the gtudy area were ldentified and catalogued. Table 1 provides a summary of the existing roadway facilites included in this study.

Tabie 1. Existines Study Tronsportation Facilklea and Roadway!
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline K: , &  & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(2+16: 1111\). \\
.41.47)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
rit \\

\end{tabular} & " \(\%\) \% " &  & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { '1, '11 } \\
1 \\
1
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline 1-5 Remps & Aunil interstate & 2 & 30-45 & No & No & No \\
\hline Plne St & Minor Arterial & 4 & 35.45 & Partiol & Yes & No \\
\hline Peninger fid & Major Collector & 2 & 25-30 & Pratel & Yes & No \\
\hline Hemrick Rd & Local & 2 & 30 & Partial & No & No \\
\hline Fedaral Wiry & Local & 2 & 30 & Ho & No & No \\
\hline Tabie Rack Rd & Minor Arterial & 2-4 & 32-45 & Partlal & No & Partial \\
\hline Bldata 1 d & Minor Arteral & 4 & 45 & Partal & Partial & No \\
\hline vilus Rd & Minor Arter|al & 2 & 45 & Yes & No & No \\
\hline Alrpart Rd & Lacal & 2 & 35 & Partial & No & Pertial \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Roadway Facilities}

The roadway network in the study area is comprised of an extensive street system made up of arterial, collector, and local roads. The roadway facilities within the study area are described below:
- The 1-5 Northbound and Southbound Ramps provide entry and exit accesses to/from the Interstate. Interstate 5 extends from Southern California to the Washington-Canada barder. The ramps provide access to Pine Street in both directions on the west side of the study area.
- Pine Street-Biddle Road is a five lane roadway running east/west through the center of the study area. The roadway is named Pine Street west of Hamrick Road with a name change to Biddle Road east of Mamrick Road. Both segments are classified as minor arterials. The roadway is a five lane road, including two lanes in each direction and a center turn throughout the study area. There is no on-street parking on either side of the street. Bike lanes extend from the I-5 Southbound Ramp to Table Rock Road. The posted speed is 35 miles per hour between Hamrick Road and I-5 south ramp and 45 miles per hour between Hamrick Road and Airport Road.
- Peninger Road is a 2-lane, major collector, serving as a frontage rozd running parallel to and on the east side of 1.5 . The facility serves a variety of commerclal and recreational businesses. There are bike lanes both north and south of the Peninger Road/Pine Street intersection and sidewalks south of the intersection. Northbound from the intersection the roadway has a posted speed of 30 miles per hour and 25 miles per hour in the southbound direction.
- Table Rock Road ranges from 2-5 lanes and runs north/south throughout the study area. The roadway has two lanes south of Biddle Road, and is a five lane road with a center turn tane north of Biddle Road. Both segments of Table Rock Road are minor arterials. The only on-street parking spovided un the east side uf the roadway for a 0.15 mile segment north of Airport Road. The segment north of Bidde Road has sidewalks on both sides of the roadway until Vilas Road. The posted speed is 30 miles per hour between Airport Road and Hamrick Road, and 45 miles per hour north of Hamrick Road.
- Hamrick Road is a 2-lane roadway that will service two Costco access driveways. Hamrick Road is a local road providing access for industrial companies such as Reddaway and Kiife River Materials. There is no on street parking or bike lanes, however there are segments of sidewalk on both the north/south and east/west sections of the road. The posted speed is 30 miles per hour throughout the study area sectlon. Directly north of the site, between Table Rock Road and Federal Way, the roadway consists of a 3-lane cross section with a two-way median turn lane.
- Federal Way is a local road that currently serves FedEx Ground at the southern end of the roadway. There are two proposed access points along Federal Way. There is no posted speed sign on this segment, nor are there pedestrian or bicycle facilities.
- Airport Road is a local 2-lane road, servicing toth commercial and industrial businesses. Airport Road does not have on-street parking, or bike lanes, however there is a sidewalk on the north side of the roadway.

\section*{Transit Facilities}

Rauge Valley Transportation District (RVTD) is a public transportation service provider, providing paratransit and fixed-route bus service within Jackson County. RVTD's central bus station is located in downtown Medford, providing eight fixed-route bus routes servicing the cities of Ashland, Central Point, Jacksonville, Medford, Phoenix, Talent, and White City. RVTD's Route 40 provides weekday service between Medford and Central Point with stops along East Pine Sireet west of l-5. However, Route 40 does not have any stops within the vicinity of the proposed Costco site. There are no fixed-bus routes or stops within the vicinity of the proposed site.

\section*{STUDY AREA INTERSECTIONS}

The City of Central Point has completed several studies of transportation needs in partnership with Jackson County and ODOT. The City of Central Point's 2030 Transportation System Plon (Reference 2) offers a comprehensive assessment of long-term transportation needs within Central Point. In addition, OOOT recently completed an Interchange Area Management Plan (1AMP) for the l-5/East Pine Street interchange (Reference 3). In addition, the Jackson County TSP is currently belng updated (expected adoption in October or November 2015). Recognizing the long-term transportation needs, this TIA focuses on the analysis of study intersections within the site viclnity of the proposed Central Point Costco site. Based on knowiedge of the transportation network within the site's vicinity and a previous coordination meeting with the City, County and ODOT, the following 12 study Intersections were identified for inclusion in this report:
1. 1-5 SB Ramp \& East Pine Street - (traffic signal)
2. 1-5 NB Ramp \& East Pine Street - (traffic signal)
3. Peninger Road \& Esst Pine Street - (traffic signai)
4. Hamrick Road \& East Pine Street - (trafic slgnal)
5. Federal Way \& Mamrick Road - (unsignalized intersectlon)
6. Table Rock Road E East Vilas Road - (traffic signal)
7. Table Rock Road \& Biddle Road - (traffic signal)
8. Table Rock Road \& Hamrick Road - (unsignalized intersection)
9. Table Rock Road \& Airport Road - (Unsignalized intersection)
10. Biddle Road \& Airport Road - (unsignalized intersection)
11. Table Rock Road \& OR 99 (North Paclfic Coast Highway) - (signalized intersection)
12. OR 62 (Crater Lake Highway) \& E Vilas Road - (signalized intersection)

The study Intersections and their traffic control and lane configurations are illustrated in Figure 3.



Data collection at these twelve intersections included turning movement counts collected during a typical weekday (Tuesday through Thursday) p.m. peak period (4:00 p.m. - 7:00 p.m.), and weekend mldday (12:00 p.m. - 3:00 p.m.) peak perlod. In addition, existing lane geometry was documented, including turn pocket lengths, as well as pedestrian and bicycle facilites and the presence of transit and transit amenities. For signalized intersections, KAI obtained traffic signal timings from ODOT and the City of Central Point in order to correctly model and analyze each intersection. Appendix "A" includes the existing weekday p.m. peak period and weekend midday peak period counts of each of the study intersections.

In addition to analyzing the 12 study Intersections, the proposed site plan includes six new driveways to access the site, each of which will be analyzed in accordance to the roadway jurisdiction it is lacated. As shown in Figure 1, the six proposed site access include:
- Northern full-access driveway located on Federal Way;
- Southern full-access driveway located on Federal Way;
- Eastern Hamrick Road driveway right-In/right-out access;
- Western Hamrick Road driveway full-access (full access);
- Northern full-access on Table Rock Road; and
- Southern full-access on Table Rock Road.

More information about the performance of these site accesses, as well as the assessment of access alternative scenarios, is provided later in this report.

\section*{INTERSECTION OPERATING STANDARDS}

The operating standards of four jurisdictions were used to assess the operations of the 12 study Intersections based on their respective location. The four Jurisdictions are: City of Central Point, City of Medford, Jackson County, and Oregon Department of Transportation.

\section*{City of Central Polnt Operating Standards}

Central Point uses performance standards based on level of service (LOS). All LOS analyses described in this report were performed in accordance with the procedures stated in the \(\mathbf{2 0 0 0}\) Highway Capacity Monual (HCM 2000) (Reference 4) as required by the City of Central Point's 2030 Transportotion System plan. HCM 2000 defines LOS as a quality measure describing operational conditions within a traftic stream, generaly in terms such as speed and trivel time, freedom to maneuver, traffic Interruptions, and comfort and convenience. When analyzing tratile conditions, LOS is used as a measure of performance (corresponding to delay) at an intersection with values ranging from LOS " \(A\) ", indicating good operations and low vehicle delay, to LOS " \(F^{\prime \prime}\), which indicates an intersection at, or over capacity with high vehicle delay. Table 2 provides the City of Central Point's LOS standards for signalized and unsignalized intersections. The City's palicies require intersections to operate at LOS D or better. A description of level of service and its criterla is presented in Appendix " \(B\) ".

Table 2. Cly of Central Point's Level of Service Standards


\section*{Jackson County Operating Standards}

The acceptable motor vehicle performance standard for signaiized and unsignalized intersections per Jackson County Transportation System Plon (Reference 5) is a volume-to-caparity ratio (V/C Ratio) no greater than 0.95 within the boundary of the Metropolitan Planning Organization and 0.85 outside of the MPO boundary. Each study intersection is within the Rogue Valiey Metropolitan Planning Organization (RVMPO) boundary. Therefore, intersections falling within the County's jurisdictian will be assessed assuming a V/C ratio standard of 0.95 .

\section*{ODOT Operating Standards}

ODOT operates and maintains the study intersections for the ramp termini of \(1-5\). ODOT's operating standard for interchange ramps is a maximum \(V / C\) ratio for the ramp terminal that is more restrictive than the V/C ratio for the crossroad, or 0.85 as identified in the ODOT OHP Policy if Revigions (Reference 6). For signalized Intersections on arterial roads under ODOT jurisdiction, the V/C ratio must be no greater than 0.95. At intersections where one or more approaches is maintained by a city or ODOT, the more restrictive of the agency's performance standard will be applied as stated in the Jackson County Transportation System Plan.

Intersectlons within the Clty of Central Point and the City of Medford limits will be assessed assuming ODOT operating standards must be met. Study intersectlons which have governing agencies for more than one approach include OR 99/Table Rock Road and OR 62 (Crater Lake Hwy)/East Villas Road intersections. Based on the direction from the Jackson County Transportotion System Plon, ODOT's operating standards will be applied when analyzing these locations.

Table 3 summarizes the intersection operational standards and jurisdiction administering assoclated with the existing study Intersections. Central Point Street Jurisdiction Map (Reference 7) was used to determine the jurisdiction of each stucty intersection.

Tabie 3. Operatlanel Standards for Existing Study intersections
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 4 & - \({ }^{\text {a }}\), 1 & -... & .. & . \(\quad\). \\
\hline 1 & 1-5 SB Remp \& E Pine 5t & 0001 & Signalizod & Lne group V/C \(\leq 0.15\) \\
\hline 2 & 1-5 NB Ramp at Prine 5 t & ODOT & Stunalzed & Leve proup V/C \(\leq 0.05\) \\
\hline 3 & Peninger Rd \& E Pline St & OOOT, County & Spenallied & \(\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C} \leq 0.95\) \\
\hline 4 & Hamick fed \({ }^{\text {a E Pim St }}\) & County, chy of Cantral Point & Stanalized & V/C \(\leq 0.95\) and LOS D or better \\
\hline 5 & Federai Way S Hamrid R R & Country, Cly of Contral Polint & Stop Control on Federal Way & v/C \(\leq 0.96\) and LOS 0 or better \\
\hline 6 & Tekle Rech Rd M E Viles Rd & County & Stanalzed & \(v / C \leq 0.95\) \\
\hline 7 & Tatere Rock Rd E Biddle Fd & County & Stimaliad & \(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{C} \leq 0.95\) \\
\hline - & Tuble Mock hd a Famrick fid & County & Stap Contrai on Harnick & \(\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C} \leq 0.56\) \\
\hline 9 & Table Rack \({ }^{\text {d }}\) W Alrport Rd & county, city of Central Point & Stap Contral on Alrport & V/Cs 0.55 mos LO5 0 er batter \\
\hline 10 & Blddie Pd a \(A\) almoit tod & Caty of Medford & Twowny Stap & LOS D or Detter \\
\hline 11 & Table Rack Rd \& OR 99 & 020T, County & Sprallatd & V/cs 0.95 \\
\hline 12 & Oh 62 (Crater Laka Hmon) E Evins hid & OXOT. County & Siprulzed & v/C 50.96 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{EXISTING PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC CONDITIONS}

Existing peak hour traffic operations were analyzed for a typical weekday (Tuesday - Thursday) p.m. peak period (4:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m.) and a weekend midday (12:00 p.m. to 3:00 p.m.) peak perlod. Existing turning movement counts collected in May and July 2015 were used in determining the existing operating conditions at each of the study intersections per jurisdictional standards.

Figure 4 provides the intersection turning movement counts and summarizes the intersection operational results for the existing weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hour traffic conditluris. As shown in Figure 4 and in Table 4, all of the study intersections operate at acceptable operating standards during the existing conditions weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hours except for the Table Rock Road/Airport Road intersection during weekday p.m. peak. The Table Rock Road/Airport Road intersection is stop controlled in the westbound direction. Under existins conditions in the weekdry p.m. peak hour, there is high delay for the critical movement (westbound left-turn) resulting in LOS F. Appendix "C" incluces the traffic operation warksheets for the existing traffic conditions scenarios.

Table 4. Exlsting PM and Midday Poak Hour Traflic Operations



 juplodetion's silandards.



\section*{SAFETY HISTORY ANALYSIS}

Crash data avallable for the most recent five years (2009-2013) at all of the study intersections was provided ty ODOT. Crash data was analyzed to document recent crash types and severity at study intersections and identify crash trends if applicable. In addition, study intersections were screened for compliance with ODOT's Safety Priority Index System (SPIS) and \(90^{\text {th }}\) percentile rates using the HCM prediction model. There were no reported crashes at the two of the study intersections:
- Federal Way \& Hamrick Road
- Table Rock Road \& Hamrick Road

In total, there were 192 crashes between all of the study intersections within the five year study period. Table 5 provides the reported crash type and severity at each of the study intersections. Appendix " \(D\) " includes the five year summary of crash data ot each of the study intersections.

Thbie 5. Crash Type and 5everity (2009-2013) at Study Intariections
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  & & \%. . ....' & ir &  & c- & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \cdot \\
& \cdot . .
\end{aligned}
\] & ' \(\cdot\) & ' \(\cdot\) & & - . \({ }^{\text {- }}\), & \\
\hline  & 6 & 6 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 & a & 7 & 0 & 15 \\
\hline  & 7 & 11 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 8 & 15 & 0 & 24 \\
\hline 3. Paminer melar man st & 3 & 6 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 6 & 0 & 10 \\
\hline 4. Mowkk Rd/te Minst & 2 & 21 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 14 & 11 & 0 & 23 \\
\hline  & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline  & 11 & 12 & 5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 17 & 14 & 0 & 32 \\
\hline  & 7 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 5 & 0 & 10 \\
\hline  & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline  & 3 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 2 & 0 & 5 \\
\hline 10. Onat Rd/Annort Na & 3 & 9 & 5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & \(\bigcirc\) & 0 & 19 \\
\hline 14. Tim fuch Ru/on si & 17 & 4 & 2 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 16 & 11 & 0 & 17 \\
\hline  & 13 & 15 & 3 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 19 & 16 & 0 & 53 \\
\hline Tovel & 72 & 8 & 17 & 4 & 5 & 1 & 1 & 97 & 58 & \(\pm\) & 192 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Turning movement and rear-end crashes were the most common crash type at the intersections, accounting for approximately \(82 \%\) of all crashes. Roughly half of the reported crashes were injury crashes. There were no fatality crashes. Four study intersections were found to be in the \(90^{\text {th }}\) percentle and in compliance ODOT's SPIS. The four intersections include:
- l-5 SB Ramps/E PIne Street,
- Table Rock Road/W Vilas Road,
- OR 62 (Crater Lake Mighway)/W Vilas Road, and
- Table Rock Road/On 99.

Section 4
Transportation Impact Analysis

\section*{TRANSPORTATION IMPACT ANALYSIS}

The transportation impact analysis identifies how the study area's transportation system will operate under build-year (2016) and future year (2030) conditions without and with the proposed Costco development in place. The impact of traffic generated by the proposed Costco development during the typical weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hours was examined as follows:
- Other planned in-process developments and transportation improvements within the study area were documented;
- General background growth in the area was estimated;
- Project-generated trips were estimated for build-out of the project;
- Project trip-distribution patterns were derived from Costco membership data, exlsting traffic patterns, a reglon wide travel demand model and a select zone analysis within Central Point were evaluated;
- Build-year (2016) and future year (2030) conditions were analyzed with the addition of sitegenerated traffic at each of the study intersectlons and site-access points during the weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hours;
- Operational and safety assessments were completed at each of the proposed site accesses and the intersection of Table Rock Road/Hamrick Road buld-year plus proiect, and future year plus project scenarios; and
- On-site parking standards and proposed parking supply was evaluated.

\section*{PLANNED ROADWAY IMPROVEMENTS}

This section provides a summary of transportation improvements that are planned and can be assumed to be completed under the iwo future year scenarios (per agency direction). These transportation improvements have been Identifled by the City of Central Point, Jackson County, as weil as ODOT and documented in the City of Central Point's 2030 Transportation System Plan, Finol Draft IAMP: I-5 Exit 33, and Rogue Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization's 2009-2034 Regjonal Iransportation Plan (Reference 8).

Under the direction of the City of Central Paint and ODOT, KAl has assumed the planned roadway improvements listed in the Final Draft IAMP; I-5 Exit 33 based on the year of estimated completion, as well as all Tier 1 improvements (whthin the site's vicinity) listed in the Cify of Central Point's 2030 Transportation System Plan. Tier 1 impravements have been defined as financialy constrained projects that can be reasonably funded within the next twenty years. These improvements have been classified as either short (2008-2012), medium (2013-2017) or long-term (2018-2030) improvements.

Final Draft IAMP: I-5 Exit 33 Planned Improvements
The Oregon Department of Transportation and City of Central Point have identified and prioritized roadway improvements at and around the \(1-5 /\) East Pine Street interchange. Based on the findings from the most recent Final Draft IAMP: \(1-5\) Exit 33 completed in May 2015 the following planned roadway improvements will be assumed.
- 1-5 Southbound On-Ramp: The description of the planned project includes widening East Pine Street beginning at the west end of the freeway overpass to add a second westbound left-turn lane with up to 200 feet of additional storage. This project Includes the widening of the southbound an-ramp to create two receiving lanes that merse to a single lane. The estimated cost of the project is \(\$ 1.7\) million and has been designated as low to medium priority, therefore this project will be included the future year (2030) scenarlos of this TIA.
- 1-5 Northbound Ramp Terminal: The description of the planned project inciudes widening the \(1-5\) northbound off-ramp to add a second right-turn lane at the northbound approach to East Pine Street. The second turn lane would provide an additional 350 feet of storage for to manage queuing on the off-ramp that cannot be managed with signal timing. The estimated cost of the project is \(\$ 1.3\) million and has been designated as low to medum priority, therefore this project will be included the future year (2030) scenarios of this TIA.
- East Pine Street at Hamrick Road: The study verifies and calls for the implementation of Central Point TSP Tier I Project \$216, which widens the west and narth approaches to add a dual left-turn lane and second receiving tane.

\section*{Central Point Transportation System Plan Planned Improvements}

The planned transportation improvement program prioritized roadway improvement projects between 2008 and 2030. There was no Tier I short term (2008 - 2012) projects that occurred on the study roadways within the site's vicinity. Listed below are the Tier i roadway improvement projects that will be included in future (year 2030) enelyses.
- Tier I Project \# 213 - Table Rock Road \& South Hamrick Road Intersection: Although the Clity's current TSP calls for a signal at the Table Rock Road/Hamrick Rcad, discussions with City of Central Point and Jackson County Staff have indicated this is no longer a pianned or desired improvement. As such, no slgnal at the intersection of Tatie Roak Hoad/Homrick foad has been cosumed in the enalysts.
- Tier I Project \({ }^{\boldsymbol{*}} \mathbf{2 1 6}\) - East Pine Street \& Hamrlck Road: The project description includes widening the west and north approaches in order to add a second eastbound leth-turn lane and second receiving lane. The project also includes restriping the northbound approach to include dual left-turns and a single through-shared-right turn lane. In addition, the project includes restriping the southbound approach to include a left-turn, through and exclusive right-turn lanes. Identified as a mediutn priority, this project wili be included in the future year (2030) scenarlos.
- Tier I Project \# 218 - East Pine Street \& Tabie Rock Road: The project description includes widening the west approach to add a second eastbound left-turn lane to heip reduce queuing and minimize delay at the intersection. The project has been identified as a longterm project and will be included in the future year (2030) scenarios.
- Tier I Project \# 219 - Table Rock Road \& West Vilas Road: The project description includes widening to increase capacity by adding an eastbound lane and shared through-right turn movement. The project has been identifed as a long-term praject and will be included in the future year (2030) scenarios.

\section*{RVMPO 2009-2034 Regional Transportation Plan Planned Improvements}
- Table Rock Road Improvements: RVMPO, the Clty of Central Point, and Jackson County have identified significant capacity improvements to Table Rock Road between the \(1-5\) overpass and Biddle Road. Under Project 821, Table Rock Road is schedule to be widened from a two lane cross section to four lanes and a continuous center turn lane, with blke lanes and sidewalks on both sides of the roadway from Biddle Road to Airport Road. South of Airport Road, Table Rock Road will be widened to a three lane cross section with bike lares and sidewalks on both sides of the roadway continuing to the \(1-5\) overpass. Currently, this project is scheduled to be constructed in 2017. The project will also include the signalization of the Table Rock Road/Alrport Road intersection.
- Federal Way Extension: Federal Way is currently only accessible via Hamrick Road and terminates just south of the FedEx Ground freight facility entrance. The City of Central Point Tronsportotion System P/an shows the potential for a future connection of Federal Way ta tie into the future signalized intersection at Table Rock Road/Airport Road. While the timing of the Federal Way connection has not been determined, the signalization the Table Rock Road/Airport Road intersection will occur in 2017 with completion of the Table Rock Road widening. The extension of Federal Way will be included in the future year (2030) scenarias.
- OR 62: 1-5 to Dutton Road Planned Roadway improvement: Currently, OR 62 (Crater Lake Highwayl exceeds capacity standards. ODOT and the RVMPO has completed the necessary studies to begin the Oregon 62 Expressway project, which is a multimodal soiution that will increase capacity and improve safety along the corridor, a critical business connection for Preight, tourism and commuters 〈Reference 9 ]. The 4.5 mile project will run on the east side of the Medford Alport, parallel to Crater Lake Highway, beginning at Whittle Avenue bypassing Commerce Drive, Coker Butte Road and Vilas Road before connecting back with OR 62 just north of Corey Road. The project is projected to begin construction in late fall 2016. For the purpose of this study, KAl has incorporated the charge in trivel patterns and -rowth based on the regional travel demand model for both future year (2030) background and total traffic scenarios. Based on the travel demand models, vehicular growth at the study intersection of OR 62/W Vilas Road will not experience growth in the northbound and southbound direction to and from OR 62 between the build-year (2016) and future year
(2030) background scenarios as northbound and southbound traffic shifts to the OR62 Expressway upon completion.

\section*{PLANNED IN-PROCESS DEVELOPMENTS}

In-process development plans were obtained from the City of Central Point. The in-process developments to be assumed in this study include the approved residential development for White Hawk. This development includes apartments, duplexes, and a 5.5 acre city park at the intersection of Beebe Road and Gebhard Road. The project was granted approval in 2014 and has a design year of 2017. Site-generated trips and trip distribution information from this project was derived from the White Hawk Development Traffic Impact Analysis (Reference 10].

\section*{BUILD-YEAR (2016) BACKGROUND TRAFFIC CONDITIONS}

The build-year (2016) background scenario analyzed how the study area's transportation system will operate without the site-generated traffic In year 2016. Build-year background traffic conditions were analyzed for both the weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hours.

\section*{Background Growth Rates}

Traffic growth within the study area is expected to follow the trends adopted In the Final Draft IAMP: I5 Exit 33. The growth described in the IAMP used models prepared by ODOT's Transportation Planning Analysis Unit (TPAU). In conjunction with the forecasted growth of households, population and employment, a base year 2006 and future year 2038 travel demand model were provided by O00T. After review of the study area's model and previous studies a \(2.0 \%\) annual growth rate was determined and agreed upon to be applied to existing turning movement counts collected at the study intersections.

\section*{Traffic Volumes}

The traffic volumes developed for the build-year (2016) background scenario reflect existing traffic counts plus one year of annual background srowth and in-process development traffic.

\section*{Level of Service Analysis}

As mentloned previously, all level of service analyses described in this section were performed in accordance with the procedures stated in the 2000 Highway Capacity Monual as required by the City of Central Point 2030 Transportation Systems Plan. Operating standards at the study intersections were assessed based on the jurisdiction In which the study intersectlon is located.

\section*{Intersection Operations}

Figure 5 presents the build－year（2016）background traffic volumes and operations results at each of the study intersections．As under existing conditians，the results of the build－year tackground traffic analysis indicate that all of the study intersections are forecast to operate at acceptable levels of service and volume－to－capacity ratios during the weekday p．m．and weekend midday peak hours except for the Table Rock Road／Airport Road intersection during weekday p．m．peak hour．

The Table Rock Road／Airport Road intersection is stop controiled in the westbound direction．As under existing conditions，during the weekday p．m．peak hour there is high delay for the critical movement （westbound left－turn）resulting in LOS F，in addition，the critical moverment is also operating with a volume－to－capacity ratio of greater than 0.95 in the build year（2016）background conditions（with no traffic from the proposed Costco development）．

Appendix＂E＂contoins the build－year（2016）background traffic operation worksheets．
Trabim 3．Bulld－Yaer（2016）Bechaground Traffic Opermion Results
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  &  & ，＇• &  & 1 &  &  \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{ODOT} & PM P6ath & － & A & 8 A & 0.59 \\
\hline & & M10 Pedi & ＊ & B & 10.5 & 0.44 \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{0005} & PM Perk & ． & C & 25.2 & 0.63 \\
\hline & & NatD Perak & － & B & 15.1 & 0.42 \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{3．Peninger flowd 8 Eest Pine 5truet} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { OUOणं, } \\
\text { county, aty }
\end{gathered}
\]} & 阿 Prak & \(\bullet\) & E & 19.6 & 或通 \\
\hline & & MOPAK & － & E & 17.6 & 0.54 \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{4．Hamrlak fiord a East Pine Street \(^{\text {a }}\)} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Cownty，Oly} & FM Peen & ＊ & － & \(3 \mathbf{0} .4\) & 0.91 \\
\hline & & MID Pank & ＊ & A & 8.6 & 0.56 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{5．Fucmrai Wuy M Hemrick Road} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{County，City} & P禹 Pratice & Northbound & A & 日．\({ }^{\text {E }}\) & 0.02 \\
\hline & & Mib Pank & Wentound & 4 & 7.2 & 0.01 \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{G．Table Rock foad a Vllos Rond} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{County} & PM Paty & － & C & \(31 / 4\) & O．\(\overline{\text { W }}\) \\
\hline & & MLD Pank & ＊ & 日 & 200 & 0.54 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{7．Tathe Rexk Rcut in biddle Rond} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{County} & PM Pant & \(\bullet\) & 5 &  & 0.75 \\
\hline & & MID Pank & － & B & 192 & 0．3 \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{8．Table Rock Road M Hamrich foud} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{County} & FMTM Ped & Enstorand Let & t & 22.1 & ¢0．0z \\
\hline & & M10 Peak & Esthoust left & B & 13.7 & 0.01 \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{8．Table Rock hasd memport Road} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{County} & FAn－1 & Wretern & \(F\) & 100 & 0.3 \\
\hline & & MID Peak & Writeund & \(\underline{C}\) & 16.6 & 222 \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{10．Blddie Rowd A Arpurt Rasd} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Cry of Medford} & FNM Prak & Wetbound & 5 & 22． & 0.27 \\
\hline & & MIJ Patk & Mastbound & D & 12.5 & 0.20 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{11．Tabil fock Rord m OR 99} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
ODOT． \\
Caunty
\end{tabular}} & FW Pidk & ＊ & C & 26．1 & 0.73 \\
\hline & & M10 Penk & － & E & 27.4 & 0.62 \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{12．OR 62 C Eart wilas Romd} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
CDOT， \\
County
\end{tabular}} & PM Prak & － & D & 48.4 & 0.92 \\
\hline & & Mild Peak & ＊ & C & 324 & 0.73 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Notes；＇The critcal mavement is reported for ali unfignalixed fita
 capacity of the rosdway／ntargection during the peak 15 minutes of the peak hour；and Bold am heves indicates an intersection operitint below itu Jurisciktlaris stand



\section*{COSTCO TRIP GENERATION DATABASE}

For the past 15 years, KAl has maintained a database of traffic data and travel characteristics for Costco Wholesale. The database contains transportation information such as trlp rates, trip type percentages, and parking demand for Costco locations in the United States, as well as Canada and Mexico. A large portion of the data is from existing Costco sites in the Pacific Northwest. The data base is updated and refined each time new Costco traffic counts or information become available to KAI. In order to best evaluate the anticipated transportation characteristics of the proposed Central Point Costco development, it was agreed that the Costco database information be used in this TIS since it provides use-specific data that most accurately represents the anticipated trafic characteristics of the unique development type.

Costco has invested significant effort into developing this site-specific trip generation database for both their warehouses and their fuel stations because of the unique characteristics of Costco custorner travel that exists due to membership requirements and the nature of Costco sales. These unique elements apply to the trip generation and distribution for Costco warehouses, Costco Gascline fuel stations, and the interaction of trips between the two.

\section*{COSTCO TRIP GENERATION CHARACTERISTICS}

The data collected at existing Costco developments in Oregon and Washington indicates the trip generation characteristics summarized in Table 7 including total trip ends as well as pass-by trips ends from the surrounding street systems. Generally, trip generation characteristics of Costco warehouses also include diverted trips, however, due to the location of the proposed site and lts distance from l-5, OR 52 (Crater Lake Highway) and other major facilities, it was agreed with the agencies that diverted trips would essentially be considered new trips through the outlined study intersections. Therefore, a specific diverted trip reduction was not applied in this study, in addition, the pass-by trip rates used in this study are significantly lower than those found at most Costco locatlons. Surveys at existing Costco sites typically demonstrate pass-by rates in the ranse of \(30-35 \%\) during the weekday and weekend peak hours. However, again due to the relatively low volumes currently on the adjacent streets to the site, pass-by trips were constrained to no more than \(15 \%\) of the adjacent street volume thus resulting in pass-by rates of oniy 7-15\%.

Table 1. Central Point Costco Development Trip Gemeration Eitimate


As shown in Table 7, the proposed Costco development is estimated to generate a total of a oproximately 10,670 net new trips on a daliy hasis, 900 net new trip ends during the weekday p.m. peak hour and approximately 1,365 net new trip ends during the weekend midday peak hour.

\section*{Pass-by Trips}

A key trip characteristic considered was that of pass-by trip capture. Pass-by trips represent trips that are currently traveling on the surrounding street network for some other primary purpose (such as a trip from home to work] and stop into the site en route during their normal travel. As such, pass-by trips do not resuit in a net increase in traffic on the surrounding transportation system and, typically, their only effect occurs at the site driveways where they become turning movements. Again, based on existing traffic volumes on Table Rock Road and Hamrick Road, the pass-by trip reduction has been reduced to maximum of \(15 \%\) of existing weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hour volumes along these roadways. This is compared to the \(30-35 \%\) pass-by rate documented from surveys at existing Costco developments. We believe this represents a very conservative but defensible approach to the trip seneration analysis.

\section*{TRIP DISTRIBUTION AND TRIP ASSIGNMENT}

The trip distribution pattern for site generated trips was developed using zip code data from current memberships at the existing Costco warehouse located on OR 62 (Crater Lake Highway) in Medford, Oregon, as well as from the existing traffic patterns and major trip origins and destinations within the study area. Localized trip routing through the study intersectlons was assessed based on the land use, traffic counts completed at the study intersections, and general pattems in the site vicinity. Additionally, ODOT proylded KAI with a base year (2006) and future year (2038) regional travel demand model, as well as a select zone analysls fur the traffic analysis zone that the site will occupy. The models and select zone analysis verlifed the trip distribution patterns and site-generated trip asslgnment for the proposed Costco warehouse and fueling station.

Figure 6 illustrates the trip distribution throughout the site's vicinity. Based on the trip distribution throughout the study area, Figure 7 and figure 8 present the site-generated turning movement counts at each of the study intersections and site accesses for the weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hours to and from the proposed Costco site. Appendix " \(F\) " includes the base year (2006) and future year (2038) regional travel demand models, as well as the select zone analysls provided by ODOT.
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\section*{BUILD-YEAR \{2016\} TOTAL TRAFFIC CONDITIONS}

The build-year (2016) total traffic scenario analyzed how the study area's transportation system will operate with the site-generated traffic of the proposed Costco development. Any impacts due to sitegenerated traffic will be documented and mitigations will be identified at the impacted study intersections.

\section*{Traffic Volumes}

Site-generated traffic volumes (shown in Figure 7 and Figure 8) were added to the build-year (2016) background traffic volumes for the weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hours (shown in Figure 5) to arrlve at the build-year (2016) total traffic conditions shown in Figure 9.

\section*{Intersection Operations}

Figure 9 also summarizes the intersection operations analysis for the build-vear (2016) total traffic scenario. The build-year (2016) total traffic scenario identfied two additional intersections as not meeting operational standards compared to those not previously identified in the build-year (2016) background scenario.

Table 6 also presents the build-year (2016) total traffic operation results at each of the study intersections. All of the study intersections operate at acceptable levels of service during the weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hours with the exception of:
- I-5 NE Remps \& East Pine Street exceeds ODOT standards (lane group v/c ratio \(\leq 0.85\) ) with the northbound right-turn lane group's \(\mathbf{v} / \mathrm{c}\) ratio of 0.87 during the weekday p.m. peak hour.
- Table Rock Road a Airport Road, as under existing and 2016 background conditions, continues to operate at a LOS F during the weekend p.m. peak hour. However, delay at the intersection increases due to trips accessing Table Rock Road. Ouring the weekend midday peak hour, site-generated traffic causes delay to increase by appraximately 31 seconds, causing the level of service to drop fram LOS C to LOS E.
- Biddio Rowd Airport Roed experiences a higher delay for the critical movement of the westbound approach, dropping from LOS C to E durlng the weekday p.m. peak period due to site-generated traffic. While no site-generated traffic is expected to be coming from the westbound approach, the delay increases because of the amount of vehicles making the northbound left at the unsignalized intersection. Even with the site generated traffic, the intersection \(/ \mathbf{s}\) operating at a very low volume-to-capacity ratio of 0.45 in the weekday p.m. peak hour and 0.14 in the weekday midday peak hour.

Table 4. Euild-Year (2016) Toted Traftic Conditions
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline :1.... . ...... .t.rr &  & - An ....* &  & \(1 \cdot\) &  &  \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1. 1-5 SB Ammp E Enst Plad Strait} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{ODOT} & PMPeak & & 8 & 9.6 & C. 67 \\
\hline & & Nilo Peak & - & 8 & 10.4 & 0.64 \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{2, H5 NB Ramp E East Pine Stred} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{ODOT} & PWM Ma-d & - & c & \(2 \overline{5}\) & 0.77 \\
\hline & & MID Perk & & \(\bar{C}\) & 22.5 & 0.61 \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{3. Poninger foad ti Enit Plne Street} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
0007. \\
Coumty, Civy
\end{tabular}} & PM Peme & & 5 & 21.6 & 0.74 \\
\hline & & MID Peak & & E & 20.2 & 0.56 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{4. Harrich moad A Enst Pline Streot} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{County, Cly} & PWM Res & & C & 20.1 & 0.41 \\
\hline & & MDO Peak & - & B & 13.1 & 0.6 \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{5. Frdarai Why thatirkk Forad} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Coumtra Qty} & PM Peet & Naptibound & B & 10.9 & 0.18 \\
\hline & & MID Peak & Morthbaund & B & 12.0 & 0.25 \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Courty} & PMA Patk & - & 5 & 32.9 & 084 \\
\hline & & MID Pata & - & C & 21.0 & 0.77 \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{7. Table Rock Rowis Eidole Ford} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Countir} & Mar Prin & & C & 353 & 0.82 \\
\hline & & MODPat & \(\cdots\) & C & 24.3 & 0.65 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{8. Table Hack Rand it Hamrick Road} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{County} & PMPad & Easthound Left & \(E\) & 434 & 0.41 \\
\hline & & MID Peak & Eastiound Let? & c & 219 & 0,35 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{9. Table Rock Rouf EAMport Rand} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{County} &  &  & F & 28 & 21, \\
\hline & & MND Past & Werthourd & \(\underline{5}\) & 47.8 & 0.77 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{10. Budale Road A Alrport Mood} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Ciny of Medford} &  & - & 4 & - & 480 \\
\hline & & M1O Pedk & Weatiound & \(C\) & 155 & 0.14 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{11. Yaldie Paeck Pload \& OR 99} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{CNOT, Courty} & PMPeak & & C & 2 EL & 0.5 \\
\hline & & MID Prate & - & C & 25 & 0.68 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{12. On 62 A Exat Mias ford} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
CDOT, \\
County
\end{tabular}} & MM Prax & & 0 & 51,1 & 0.94 \\
\hline & & MiPPats & - & C & 33.2 & 0.75 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


 furrishetion's standaras.



\section*{Site Access Operations}

There are six proposed driveways accessing the Central Point Costco site, two on each of the site's bordering frontage roads. On the west side of the site, two full accesses are proposed with movements accessible to northbound and southbound on Federal Way. A full access (elosest to Federal Way) and right-in/right-aut access (closest to Table Rock Road) are proposed on the north side of the site with access to and from Hamrick Road. Finaily, there are two full accesses proposed on along Table Rock Road, the southern-most driveway on Table Rock Road would be the primary access for vehicles to access the Costco Gasoline fuel station.

Tabie 9 presents the tratfic operations at the proposed site accesses. The governing agency's standard is determined by the roadway in which the site access is located. Figure 10 also illustrates the build-year (2016) total traffic conditions at each of the proposed site access during the weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hour. Appendix " \(G\) " contains the build-year (2016) total traffic operation worksheets.

Table 9. Auld-Year (2016) Yotal Treffic Canditions at Ste Accesses
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline , \(\because \cdot \therefore 1\) &  & & \(\because\) & . \(\cdot\). & '. & , \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{23. Federal Way a Northwast Diveswly} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Clity of Cantral Polnt} & PM Frak & Wethound & A & 1.7 & 0.00 \\
\hline & & Mfid Peak & Weatbound & A & 8.8 & 0.14 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{14. Federal Woy \% Southwert Diveway} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{city of Central Point} & MM Pank & Werthound & A & 1.7 & 0.01 \\
\hline & & M|D Peit & Wethound & A & \% \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & 员.012 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{15. West Hamrick Reod Lurweway \& Mmenck Road} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{City of Cantral Polnt} & PM Peak & Nortibound Lett & A & 9.9 & 0.12 \\
\hline & & MID Prak & Northtaund Left & B & 10.2 & 0.19 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{ outil Hemrick Road} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Clty of Central Point} & PMMPak & Northbound Dimet. & A & 9.1 & 0.10 \\
\hline & & M10 Pank & Northbound R19yt & A & 9.3 & 0.15 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{17. Table Rock Road \% Noftheast Drivewny} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Jeckion Countv} & PMM Peek & Ensthound Left & F & 71.2 & 0.52 \\
\hline & & MlO Pıat & Eastround let & E & 48.3 & 0.48 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{18. Tuble Fock Road a 5cutheast Drivewny} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Jackson County} & PM Peak & Esktound & c & 18.6 & 0.12 \\
\hline & & MIDPeak & Enikgaund & c & 15.4 & 0.12 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
 reported in seconds per vaitale; "V/C Ratio is defined as wehicie-to-capscity ratio which calculates the number af weticles duided by the capadty of the roadwayintersection during the peak 15 minutes of the peak hour; and Bolk and haks indicakes mon Intersection apanating below its puriadictlar's stenderids.

As can be seen from the table and figure, a'l of the site access intersections are projected to operate at acceptable levels-of-service and volume-to-capacity ratios during both the weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hours, with the exception of the Table Rock Road/Northeast access. Note thls is assuming this access is a full movement access and no improvements to Table Rock Road are completed. Under this scenario, the critical eastbound left-turn movements at the Table Rock Road/Northeast access is projected to operate at LOS F during the weekday p.m. peak hour, however, it is still projected to operate well under capacity and meet the County's operational standard with a volume-to-capacity ratio of 0.52 . This means that while drivers wishing to make a left-turn out of this location will experience delay, they will still be able to find sufficient gaps in the traffic flow along Table Rock Road to complete the turn. Again, this is a rear-term scenario for the first year of opening of the Costco development before the Table Rock Road widening improvements are constructed in 2017.



\section*{BUILD-YEAR (2016) MITIGATIONS}

This section provides a discussion on mitigations for the impacted intersections under build year (2016) total traffic conditions. As outlined above, the bulld year (2016) scenario identified two additional intersections as not meeting operational standards compared to those not previously identified in the bulld-year (2016) background scenarlo: the l-5 NB Ramp/E Pine Street and Table Rock Road/Airport Road intersections. Mitigations for both these locations have already been identified through prevlous planning efforts by the City of Central Point, Jackson County, and ODOT. These are discussed below. Appendix "H" contains the build-year (2016) mitigated traffic operation worksheets for the intersections outlined below.

\section*{- -5 NB Ramp A East Pine Street Mhigetion}

Site-generated trips increase the northbound right-turn lane's v/c ratio by 2\% during the weekday p.m. peak hour, resulting in a \(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}\) ratio for the lane group of 0.87 . This is greater than ODOT's standard of a maximum \(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}\) of 0.85 for each lane groups at a ramp interchange. The need for additional capacity for this narthbound right-turn movement has been previously identifled in the final Draft IAMP: Exit 33 study which calls for the widening of the \(!-5\) northbound off-ramp to add a second right-turn lane at the northbound approach to East Pine Street. The second turn lane would provide an additional 350 feet of storage to manage queuing on the off-ramp that cannot be managed with signal timing. Based on the assumed parameters of the project, this project would have the following benefit at the \(\mathbf{t - 5} \mathrm{NB}\) OffRamp intersection:
- The northbound right-turn lane group would operate with a v/c ratia of 0.49 in the buildyear (2016) total trafic scenario during the p.m. peak hour with the proposed improvements stated in the Final Droft IAMP: Exit 33.

ODOT and the City of Central Point are currently in discussions to determine Costco's appropriate proportional fair share contribution to this improvement as mitigation for the site generated trip impacts.

\section*{Table Rock Road \& Alrport Road Intersection}

Improvements to the Table Rock Road/Airport Road intersection are scheduled in year 2017 a5 part of Table Rock Road widening, In addition to widening Table Rock Road at the intersection, a signal will be added to the intersectlon. The details of the signalized intersection have not vet been finalized; therefore, mitigated assumptions were based on the project description of Project\% B21 in the RVMPO RTP. The signalized intersection has the following impact:
- With the addition of a signal, the level of service and delay improves signiflcantly during toth the weekday \(p . m\). and weekend midday peak hour. Based on a 60 second cycle length the intersection operates at LOS A with an average delay of 9.7 seconds per vehkle and a \(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}\) ratio of 0.51 during the weekday p.m. peak hour.
- The westhound approach improves to a LOS \(B\) with an approach delay of 15.4 seconds per vehicle with the signal, compared to LOS \(F\) and an appraach delay over 100 seconds without a signal during the weekday p.m. peak hour under bulld-year (2016) total traffic conditions.

This intersection is an existing deficiency; however, given that this improvement is not currently scheduled until 2017, Jackson County and the City of Central Point are currently in discussions to determine an appropriate contribution to this improvement as mitigation in the interlm for the Costco project.

\section*{TABLE ROCK ROAD ACCESS ALTERNATIVES}

Even though the build year (2016) analysis showed that all of the site accesses will be able to operate as proposed upon site opening before the Table Rock Road improvements are constructed, an evaluation of access alternatives for Table Rock Road was also completed to compare how temporary improvements would impact the access operations in the interim untll the Table Rack Road widening is completed in 2017. The access scenarios compared were:
- Bulld Year (2016) Total Traffic Conditions (i.e., Full Access to Table Rock Road) with No Table Rock Road improvements (as summarized above)
- Bulld-Year (2016) Total Traffic Conditions with Temporary Table Rack Road Improvements (i.e., temporary widening of Table Rock Road along the site frontage to provide a center leftturn lane until the ultimate widening project is constructed)
- Build-Year (2016) Total Traffic Conditions with Restricted Right-In/Right-Out Site Accesses (restrict Table Rock Road access to right-In/right-out only until the ultimate widening project Is constructed)

\section*{Operational Comparison}

Tabie 10 compares the access operational results for these three scenarios. Also Included for comparison are the operational results for the Table Rock Road/Hamrick Road intersection which does change depending on how the site's Table Rack Road accesses are configured.

Tuble 10. Table Rock Roed Access Aiternative Comparison



 midday prak hour.

The following conclusions can be drawn from the comparison in Table 10:
- Assuming full movement access and no improvements to Table Rock Road, the eastbound leftturns at the northeast access to Table Rock will experience relatively long delay (resulting in LOS F) but the access will stIII operate well under capacity and meet the County's operational standard with a volume-to-capacity ratio of 0.52 during the criticml time period.
- Providing temporary widening along the site frontage to provide a temporary center turn lane will allow all Table Rock Road accesses to operate acceptably as full movements until the ultimate Table Rock Road widening Improvements are constructed in 2017.
- Restricting the site's Table Rock Road accesses to right-in/right-out only will allow those accesses to operate at acceptabie levels of service and volume-to-capacity ratios. However, it will add additional left-turn movements at the Table Rock Road/Hamrick Road intersection thus resulting in over-capacity and LOS F conditions at that locatlon. This impact could be reduced by adding temporary widening around the intersection to provide a northbound left-turn lane as well as a center refuge area north of Hamrick to allow vehicles turning left from Hamrick to make a two stage gap acceptance maneuver for the left-turn (will improve operations to LOS E and \(v / c=0.58\) in the weekday p.m. peak hour and LOS \(F\) and \(v / c=0.80\) in the weekend midday peak hour.

As requested by the City of Central Point, the operations of the site accesses to Table Rack Road in the year 2017 once the Table Rock Road widening improvements are constructed were also evaluated. These were evaluated to compare operations with the accesses as full movement accesses and as right-in/right-out only accesses. Table 11 summarizes the operations of the Table Rock Road site accesses in the year \(\mathbf{2 0 1 7}\) once the Table Rock Road improvements are in place.

Table 11. Telie Rock Roed Accese Operations in 2017
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{.'} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{. .. . r . .. .} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{} \\
\hline & Iecksor & MM Pm* &  & E & 15.0 & 0.18 \\
\hline & County & Mo Pat & Eathouther & - & 29.3 & 6. 21 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{ Orventy} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
hatron \\
County
\end{tabular}} &  & Ergourdut & C & 148 & 0.17 \\
\hline & &  & Entumbet & \(\dot{C}\) & 11.7 & 0.17 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{iv. Table Rock thond I Soutivent Orineway} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{fackion Counky} & Patpot & frocund & E & 12.1 & 0.97 \\
\hline & & MIDPeak & Estremut & - & 11.2 & 0.05 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{} \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{factuon County} & Mar Fech & Esxboundicat & 0 & 35.0 & 0.31 \\
\hline & & Mon Path & Eathomind lat & F & 35.6 & 0.75 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{ Divenay. \(\qquad\)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{mateon Countr} & PMA Patis & eathount lef & E & 124 & 0.19 \\
\hline & & MWD P解 & Endeund Lit & - & 11.8 & 0.17 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{18. Then Rock Rom B Soutmert Divenemy} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { madoen } \\
& \text { County }
\end{aligned}
\]} & Mapprat & Exapound & - & 12.3 & 0.95 \\
\hline & & MNOPeak & Earthound & 3 & 10.7 & 0.05 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The following conclusions can be drawn from the comparison in Table 11:
- Once the utimate Table Rock Road widening improvement is tonstructed in 2017, all site accesses to Table Rock Road will operate a good levels of service (LOS C or better) and volume-to-capactity ratios ( \(v / c=0.21\) or better) during the peah hour periods assuming they are full wccess movements.
- The accesses will also operate acceptably as right-in/right-out only accesses once the utimate Table Rock Road improvements are canstructed, however, restrleting those access will add additional left-turn moverients at the Table Rock Road/Hemrick Road intersection. The additional left-turn demand will cause the critical eastbound tef-turn movement to go from LOS C and \(v / \mathrm{c}=0.18\) to LOS D and \(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}=0.54\) in the weekday p.m. peak hour and LOS B and \(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.21\) to LOS F and \(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.75\) in the weekend midday peak hour.

\section*{Safety Comparison}

In addition to the access operations comparison outlined above, the predicted safety performance of the accesses under the various alternatives was revlewed. A safety analysis was performed for the Table Rock Road accesses using the predictive crash methodology from Chapter 12 of the Highwory Safety Manual, with adjusted crash calibration factors from ODCT's, Callbrating the Highway Safety Manual Predictive Methods for Oregon Nighwavs. The accesses were evaluated as unsignalized Intersections (gince no specific safety predictive functions are provided for accesses). The analysis looked at five scenarios:
- Table Rock Road as Two Lanes with Full Movement Access
- Table Rock Road as Two Lanes with RI/RO Only Access
- Table Rock Road as Three Lanes with Full Movement Access
- Table Rock Road as Five Lenes with Full Movement Access
- Table Rock Road as Five Lanes with RI/RO Oniy Access

In order to predict crashes at right-in/right-out intersections, head-on collisions and angle crashes were amitted from the prediction methodology to represent a RIRO driveway.

Table 12 summarizes the results of this evaluation and safety comparison.
Table 12. Tabie Rack Roed Accasa Praditive Selery Connparison


Interpretation of the predictive safety results is complex. These are not absolute numbers and instead represent more of the probability for crashes to occur. In addition, the agencies must weigh the results of the safety predictive results with those of the traffic operational results as there are tradeoffs to each.

Providing full movement accesses to Table Rock Road in the near-term with its current two lane configuration shows the probability for 1.2 crashes per year to occur combined at the two access points. If these were restricted to right-in/right-aut only driveways, the safety prediction lowers to a probability of 0.83 crashes per year (about a \(30 \%\) decrease in probability). If temporary widening was provided in the interim for a two-way left-turn lane along the site's frontage, the probability would lower to 0.76 crashes per year (about a \(\mathbf{3 0 \%}\) decrease in probability).

The safety predictive analysis also shows that once the ultimate Table Rock Road widening improvements are in place the safety prediction lowers as well to 0.77 crashes per year even with maintaining full movement accesses at both locations.

\section*{FUTURE YEAR (2030) BACKGROUND TRAFFIC CONDITIONS}

The future year (2030) background scenario analyzed how the study area's transportation system will operate without the site-generated fraffic in year 2030, representing a 15 year long-term future condition at the study intersections. Future year traffic conditions were anaigzed for both the weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hours. The future year (2030) background scenario includes the planned roadway improvements and land use developments previously mentioned for the build vear as well as other planned improvements that are expected to be in plece by the year 2030 such as the Table Rock Road widening and the Table Rock Road/Alrport Road intersection signal. Appendix " 1 " contains the future year (2030) background traffic operation worksheets for the intersections outlined below.

\section*{Traffic Volumes}

The 2030 background traffic volumes reflect existing traffic counts plus 15 years of annual background growth and in-process development traffic. Volumes along and accessing to and from OR 62 (Crater Lake Highway) were not grown by the annual growth rate due to the expected completion of the OR 62 Expressway project. The future year (2038) model provided by ODOT shows that dally volumes along OR 62 da not increase when compared to the base year (2008) volumes. The 2030 background conditions traffic volumes are summarized in Figure 11.



\section*{Intersection Operations}

Figure 11 and Table 13 present the future year（2030）background conditions operational results at each study intersection．All of study intersections operate at acceptable levels of service and volume－to－ capacity ratios during the weekday p．m．and weekend midday peak hours with the following exceptions：
－Hamrick Rond Enst Pine Street operates with a v／c ratio of \(>1.0\) during the weekday p．m． peak hour
－Blddle Rowd a Airport Rowd（as under the build year conditions）has a critical movement which operates at LOS f during the weckday p．m．peak hour although the movement is still operating under capacity with a \(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}\) ratio of 0.55

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1．＇r，••••－•• &  & \(\cdots \cdot, \quad\) ．．\({ }^{\prime}\) & \[
\begin{gathered}
\quad \cdot \quad \prime \\
\because \quad \text { ". }
\end{gathered}
\] & A．．
\(\therefore\)
\(\therefore\) ， & i．\({ }^{\text {F }}\) &  \\
\hline & & PMAP鱽 & & E & 10.5 & 0.77 \\
\hline  & CODT & MIDPET & & E & 11.5 & 0.54 \\
\hline & & PMA Punt & & C & 312． 2 & 0， 0 \\
\hline 2．－5 NB RHolv E East Pine stued & DDOT & Nova Pat & ， & － & 17.5 & 0.55 \\
\hline & 0 OCHF & PMA Pegk & & C & 27.2 & 0.80 \\
\hline 3．Prninger load（twat Plne Stredy & Cowinty，City & M1D Pask & & C & \(\underline{121}\) & 0.75 \\
\hline & &  & & 0 & － 4 & 1．71 \\
\hline 4．Harwhek Rowd mask Pin Streat & Coumty，Diy & MIOPenk & & 8 & 15．1 & 0.79 \\
\hline & &  & Aronthourd & A & T8 & 0.08 \\
\hline 5．Federal Why \＆Haminck Road & Cownty，City & M1D Pratx & Noathbound &  & 75 & 0.91 \\
\hline & & PM Peak & & \(C\) & 34，4 & 0.5 \\
\hline 6．Table Reck fioud ef Mhay Rond & County & Microsax & & C & 21.3 & 0.65 \\
\hline & & PMP Pank & & D & 35.2 & 0.05 \\
\hline 7．Table Rock Road 8 Efodele Road & County & M10 Payk & & C & 21.4 & 0.60 \\
\hline & County & PM Peak & Exiboung Latt & B & 14.0 & 0.01 \\
\hline 8．Tubre Rock Rogd e Himmick Rond & county & MiD Pathk & Exstbound ceft & B & 11，4 & 0.02 \\
\hline & & PMPan & － & E & 177 & 0.00 \\
\hline 9．Table Rock Aowd sayrport fiond & County & MWD Peain & & A & 6.7 & 0.55 \\
\hline & Clty of &  & Whemeram & \(F\) & 気 & 4．81 \\
\hline 10．Brddle Road a Airport Romd & Medford & M10 Pok & Westbound & 悬 & 14．5 & 0.15 \\
\hline & ODOT， & FMP PECk & & 0 & 38.1 & 0.89 \\
\hline 11．Table Rrack Rowd \％OR 99 & County & M1D Pamic & & C & 30.5 & 0.75 \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{12，OR 62 E East Vlas Road} & ODOT， & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{PM Peah} & \(\underline{\square}\) & 40.5 & 0.41 \\
\hline & County & Mropget & － & C & 29.9 & 0.32 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\section*{FUTURE YEAR (2030) TOTAL TRAFFIC CONDITIONS}

The future year (2030) total traffic scenario analyzed how the study area's transportation system will operate with Costco's site-generated trips in year 2030, representing a \(\mathbf{1 5}\) year future condition with the addition of sitegenerated trafic at each of the study intersectlons. Future vaar traffic conditions were aralyzed for both the weekday p.rn. and weekend midday peak hours. The future year (2030) total scenaria also includes the planned roadway improvements and land use developments previously mentioned. Appendix "j" contains the future year (2030) total traffic operation worksheets for the intersections out/lined below.

\section*{Traffic Volumes}

Traffic volumes for the future year (2030) total traffic scenario reflect the 2030 background scenario volumes plus the addition of site generated traffic. The future year 2030 total traffic volumes are summarized in Figure 12 for the off site study intersections.

\section*{Intersection Operations}

The intersection operations for the 2030 total traffic scenario are also summarized in Figure 12 and in Table 14. As can be seen from the figure and table, the future year (2030) total scenarlo determined that site-generated trips did not impact any study intersections not previcusly identified in the future year (2030) background scenario. As in the 2030 background scenario, the Hamrick Road/East Pine Street intersection operates with a \(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}\) ratio of \(>1.0\) during the weekday p.m. peak hour and the critical movement at the Biddle Road/Airport Road operates at LOS F during the weekday p.m. peak hour.


Tabla 14，Future Year（2030）Total Trafic Opermions
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Stury－！r ． \(1 .\). &  &  & Viris in ：リ & ．\(\quad 1\)
\(\ldots\) & \[
16: 1 \cdot 1]^{\prime}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{lll}
1 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1．1－5 SQ Rainp A Eart plne Street} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{QDDT} & PM Peatk & & 8 & 11.4 & 0.79 \\
\hline & & MID Peak & － & B & 121 & 0．58 \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{ODOT} & FM Fnk & & \(C\) & 31.8 & 0.4 \\
\hline & & MIO Pagk & ． & \(C\) & 20.6 & 0.6 \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{3．Peninger Road \＆Enst Pine Streat} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
OOOT， \\
County clity
\end{tabular}} & PMPrak & & \(C\) & 27.8 & d， \\
\hline & & MiD Peak & －－ & C & 225 & 0．\({ }^{\text {¢ }}\) \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{4．Marrold Rand E East P｜ne Streat} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Countr，Clity} & Firam & － & D & －12 & 15 \\
\hline & &  & ， & B & 18.7 & 0,78 \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{5．Federal Way 是 Hatulck Roard} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Coumit，Clty} & PM P的k & Naxthound & 0 & 11.1 & 0.9 \\
\hline & & MDP Pat & Northbound & 5 & 12.0 & 0.4 \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Countr} & FwPatik & & \(\underline{\square}\) & 35.9 & 0.5 \\
\hline & & MiD Pask & & \(\underline{C}\) & 21，7 & ［18 \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{7．Table Rock Road M Bidde Road} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{County} & PNif Pak & & 0 & 310 & 0.90 \\
\hline & & MID Nat & ＊ & \(\dot{C}\) & 240 & 自自 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{E．Table Roxt Rotad Hemrict Rond} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Courty} &  & Eastbound left & C & 17.3 & 0.21 \\
\hline & & MAID Puz & Eastound Lir & B & 14.4 & 0.33 \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{9．Table Fonk Ruad in Auport rond} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{County} & PM Preth & ． & C & 214 & 0.81 \\
\hline & & Mra Peat & － & \％ & 115 & 0.67 \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{10．Brdal e fond of Airport hond} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Clyof } \\
& \text { Mediford }
\end{aligned}
\]} & H0 & Whernay & F & P1900 & W上 \\
\hline & &  &  & 1 & 3 &  \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{11．Table Rock loud th Oit 99} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{CDOT， Cowny} & Pwin max & & D & 40.9 & 0.93 \\
\hline & & Mio Prak & ． & c & 33.7 & 0.79 \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{12．OA 62 Eant Yine Road} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
00 OT, \\
Courty
\end{tabular}} & P男 Fexs & & D & 43.0 & 0.93 \\
\hline & & MTD Para & 1 & C & 31.4 & 0.74 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\section*{Year 2030 Site Access Operations}

Figure 13 and Table 15 presents the year 2030 traffic conditions at each of the site accesses．All of the proposed site accesses operate at acceptable levels of service during the weekday p．m．and weekend midday peak hours．Because of the planned roadway improvements along Table Rock Road，there is a significant benefit to the traffic operations at the site accesses along Table Rock Road when compared to the bulld－year（2016）total traffic scenario．


Teble 15. Future Year (2030) Total Traflic Operntione at Site Accerses
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{" \(\cdot\).} & & . ., & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} \\
\hline & - : . . & \(\therefore\), 1-...... & ', . . . & . & 1. & \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{13. Federal Way th Aorthwest Crivaway} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Cly of Cuntral Polnt} & 7 M P4th & Wethend & A & 寊 & 0.10 \\
\hline & & Mip Pew & Weterund & m &  & 0.14 \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{14. Federal Why A Sauthwest Driveway} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{city of Central Polnt} & PM Pask & Wartuand & A & B. 9 & 0.01 \\
\hline & & MID Peak & Werthound & - & 1 B & 0.01 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{15. Wast Hamrick Raad Dikeway 2 Humrick Rotd} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{CHy of Central Polnt} & PMMPEs & Narthhourd Litt & - & 103 & 0.15 \\
\hline & &  & Marthround left & B & 10.5 & 3.19 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{16. East Hemukis Road (nitht-In/RightOrt) \& Hamrick Rand} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Clty of Central Pcint} & PM Fontik & Northbound Dinirs & A & 9.3 & 0.12 \\
\hline & & Miop Peak & Northbaund \(\mathrm{R}^{\text {r- }}\) Nt & 4 & 9.4 & 0.25 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{17. Tabue Roxk Road H Northeast Dtumpay} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Iocken Councy} & PM Penk & Enitbound Leit & C & 21.3 & 0.20 \\
\hline & & MDPenk & Enstbamid Left & C & 20.3 & 0.19 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{18. Twile Rock Road an Southeast Drvewny} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Jacken County} & PM Peok & Etrithound & - & 13.0 & 0.0.0. \\
\hline & &  & Emrthaund & 日 & 11.7 & 0.08 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\section*{FUTURE YEAR (2030) MITIGATIONS}

This section includes the mitigations to the intersections identified as not meeting operational standards in the year 2030. As outilned previously, there are two locations found to not meet standards in the year 2030 background conditions. The additlonal of site generated traffic did not trigger any additional lacations to not meet standards in the year 2030 scenarios. The two lacations found to not meet standards in the year 2030 background conditions are:
- Hamrick Road \& East Pine
- Blddle Roand \& Airport Road

The mitigated result for each impacted intersection is outlined below. Appendix " \(K\) " contains the future year (2030) mitigated traffic operation worksheets for the intersections outlined below.

\section*{Homrick Road E East Pine Street Mitigations}

The Intersection of Hamrick Road/East Pine Street experiences a heaw volume of vehicies making a southbound right-turn at the intersection, with a v/c ratio for that movement of above 1.0 during the p.m. peak hour of the future year (2030) background traffic conditions. There have no improvements identified beyond Project \#216 stated in the City Central Point's transportation system plan. In order to mitigate the intersection, there are several options:
- The addition of a southbound right-turn lane would improve intersection operations to LOS C. with an overall v/c ratio of 0.76 and average delay of 25.2 seconds per vehicle. The \(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}\) ratio of the southbound right-turn movement would decrease from 1.27 to 0.70 with the addition of an additional turn lene.
- The addition of a second eastbound left-turn lane would also mitigate the intersection to a volume-to-capacity ratio of < 0.95 (currently under review as one option in the Jackson County TSP)
- The conversion of the intersection to a roundabout would also mitigate the intersection to a volume-to-capacity ratio of \(<0.95\) (currently under review as one option in the jackson County TSP)

\section*{Avddle Road E A/rport Road Mhigations}

Thls intersection operates at LOS F during both the 2030 background and 2030 total traffic conditions. The project is not addlng any traffic to the critical westbound approach. There are no knows plans for improvements at this location by the city of Medford but the need for mitlation is not triggered by the project.

\section*{PARKING ASSESSMENT}

City of Central Point Municipal Code \(\mathbf{1 7 . 6 4 . 0 4 0}\) states that all land uses shall comply with the number off-street parking requirements. These requirements for non-residential land uses are stated in Table 27,64.023. Retail store was assumed as the general commercial use for the propased Costco development. This use states that no more and no kess than 1 parking space per 200 square-feet of net floor area (excluding storage and other non-sales or non-display areas) be provided.

Based on the proposed 160,000 square-foot warehouse, of which 134,000 is usable sales space, thls would equate to a minimum and maximum requirement of 670 parking spaces for the Costco development. Municipal Code \(17.64 .040 . \mathrm{B}, 2\) states that the off-street requirements may be increased based on a parking demand analysis prepared by the applicant as part of the site plan and architectural review. The parking demand analysis shall demonstrate and documents justification for the proposed increase.

\section*{Parking Demand Analysis}

The proposed sise plan as illustrated in Flgure 1 provides a total of 782 parking spaces which is \(30 \%\) more spaces than the maximum allowed besed on Central Polnt's Municipal Code. Based on the nature of Costco sales and operations, the proposed parking has been carefully considered and is proposed given known parking demand characteristics for Costco sites. Costco is a unique use that demonstrates the need for a particular amount of parking to accommodate typical and peak demands. In fact, one of the reasons for relocating the existing Medford Costco to Central Point is to build on a site that can provide sufficient parking supply.

Table 16 provides a summary of the documented parking supply and demand at existing Costco warehouses in Oregon (including the current Medford location).

Table 16. Typical Peak Parking Demand at Other Costco Warehousel In Oregon
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline : :r \% . 1 . . . 1 -1 & \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{} \\
\hline Clackamms, Oregon & 137,000 & 653 & 670 & 4.89 & 74 & 5.43 \\
\hline Medford, Oragon & 136,297 & 654 & 579 & 4.25 & 644 & 4.72 \\
\hline Aloha (Easwerton), Orason & 148,030 & 682 & 528 & 3.57 & 587 & 3.96 \\
\hline Avorat & 109,442 & 576 & 192 & 4.24 & A & 4.71 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

As shown in Table 16, these three other Costco locations demonstrate a typical peak parking demand of 4.24 spaces \(/ 1,000 \mathrm{sq}-\mathrm{ft}\). Guidelines from the Institute of Transportation Engineer's Parking Generation, 4th Edition (Reference 11) recommend that users perceive a parking lot to be "full" once utilization reaches \(90 \%\) of capacity, noting that increases in illegal parking and repeafing circulation occur beyond thls level. Given this guidance, our recommendation is to provide sufficient parking to maintain a utilization of below \(90 \%\) during the typical peak periods. Table 16 shows that, based on data from other Costco developments, the parking ratio required to maintain \(90 \%\) utilization during the peak or less is a minimum of 4.71 spaces \(/ 1,000 \mathrm{sq}-\mathrm{ft}\).

Applying the demonstrated minimum parking supply of 4.71 spaces \(/ 1,000 \mathrm{sq}-\mathrm{ft}\) to the proposed Central Point Costco development equates to a minimum recommended parking supply as summarized in Table 17.

Teble 17. Central Point Costco Recommended Parkine Supply


The table shows that a minimum of 753 parking spaces should be supplied in order to provide sufficient capacity for the likely parking demand on site. This indicates that the proposed parking supply of 782 is slightly higher than this minimum amount but within a reasonable range and will provide an appropriate parking supply to accommodate typical peak periods as well as additional spaces for seasonal peaks as well.

In addition to parkines space totals, accessible parking requirements are presented in Table 17.64 .03 of the City's Municipal Code. For land uses providing a total number of parking spaces between 501 and 1,000, which applies the proposed Central Paint Costca site, \(2 \%\) of total parkine provided is required to be accessible. Costco has planned to Include approximately \(\mathbf{2 . 2 \%}\) or 17 of lts total parking spaces to be accessible parking, based on total parking spaces equaling 782. The site plan shows that this requirement is being met.

\section*{Section 5 \\ Conclusions \& Findings}

\section*{CONCLUSIONS \& FINDINGS}

The analysis and evaluation completed for the Central Point Costco development resulted in the following conclusions and findings:

\section*{Project Description}
- Costco Wholesale is proposing to develop a new warehouse and fuel station located in the southwest quadrant of the Table Rock Road/Hamrick Road intersection in Central Point, Oregon.
o The development plan includes a \(\mathbf{1 6 0 , 0 0 0}\) square-foot Costco warehouse and a 24 fueling position Costco Gasoline fuel station. This new Central Point Costco will replace the existing Medford Costco located at 3639 Crater Lake Hwy in Medford, Oregon.
- The parcels of land that in which the proposed Costco would occupy are zoned as M-1 (industrial) which allows the development of the Costco warehouse and fuel station with a conditional use permit (no land use or zoning changes are required).
- In arder to best evaluate the anticipated transportation characteristics of the proposed Central Point Costco development, it was agreed that the Costco-specific data be used to most accurately represent the anticipated traffic characteristics of the unique development type.
- The proposed Costco development is estimated to generate a total of approximately 10,670 net new trips on a daily basis, 900 net new trlp ends during the weekday p.m. peak hour and approximately 1,365 net new trip ends during the weekend midday peak hour.
- The distribution pattern for site generated trips was developed using zip code data from current memberships at the existing Costco warehause located on OR 62 (Crater Lake Highway) in Medford, Oregon, as well as from the existing traffic pattems and major trip origins and destinations within the study area and the regional travel demand model.

\section*{Existing Conditions}
- The study evaluated 12 off site intersections in addition to site access points.
- The study evaluated two time periods for each evaluation scenario: weekday p.m. peak hour and weekend midday peak hour.
- Based on recent traffic counts coliected in May and July 2015, all of the study intersections were found to operate at acceptable operating standards during the existing weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hours except for the Table Rock Road/Alrport Road intersection during weekday p.m. peak.
- The Table Rock Road/Airport Road intersection is stop controlled in the westbound direction. Under existing conditions in the weekday p.m. peak hour, there is high delay for the critical movement (westhound left-turn) resulting in LOS F.
- Crash data the most recent five years (2009 - 2013) at all of the study Intersections was reviewed to identify historical safety trends.
- Turning movement and rear-end crashes were the most common crash type at the intersections, accounting for approximately \(82 \%\) of all crashes.
o There were no fatality crashes.
- Four study intersections were found to be in the goth percentle and in compliance ODOT's SPIS: I-5 SB Ramps/E Pine Street, Table Rock Road/W VIlas Road, OR 62 (Crater Lake Highway)/W Vilas Road, and Table Rock Hoad/OR 99.

\section*{Bufld Year 2016 Analysls}
- The transportallan impact arralysis evaluated two different future year scenarlos: year 2016, the assumed build out year of the development, and year 2030 a long-term planning year.
- The 2016 build-year background traffic analysis (without inclusion of the project traffic) found that all of the study intersections are forecast to operate at acceptable levels of service and volume-fo-capacity ratios during the weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hours except for the Table Rock Road/Airport Road intersection during weekday p.m. peak hour.
- As under existing conditions, during the weekday p.m. peak hour there is high delay for the critical movenent (westbound left-turn) resulting in LOS F. In addition, the critical movement is aliso operating with a volume-to-capacity ratio of greater than 0.95 in the build year (2016) background conditions (with no traffic from the proposed Costco development).
- The build-year (2016) total traffic analys is (with inclusion of the project traffic) found that all study intersections will conthue to operate at acceptable levels of service during the weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hours with the exception of:
- I-5 NB Ramps \& East Pine Street exceeds ODOT standards \{lane group v/e ratio s 0.85 ] with the northbound right-turn lane group's v/c ratlo of 0.87 during the weekday p.m. peak hour. The need for addtional capacity for this northbound rightturn movement has been previously identified in the Final Draft IAMP: Exit 33 study which calls for the widening of the l-5 narthbound aff-ramp to add a second riahtturn lane at the northbound approach to East Pine Street. ODOT and the City of Central Point are currently in discussions to determine Costco's appropriate proportional falr share contributlon to this Improvement as mitgation for the site generated trip impacts.
- Table Rock Road \& Airport Road, as under existing and 2016 background conditions, continues to operate at a LOS F during the weekend p.m. peak hour. Improvements to the Table Rock Road/Airport Road intersection are scheduled in year 2047 as part of Table Rock Road widening and a signal will be added to the intersection. This Intersection is an existing deficiency; however, given that this improvement is not currently scheduied until 2017, Jackson County and the City of Central Point are currently in discussions to determine an appropriate contribution to this improvement as mitigation in the interim for the Costco project.
- Biddle Road \& Airport Road experiences a higher delay for the critical movement of the westbound approach, dropping from LOS C to E during the weekday p.m. peak period due to site-generated traffic. Even with the site generated traffic, the intersection is operating at a very low volume-to-capacity ratio of 0.45 in the weekday p.m. peak hour and 0.14 in the weekday midday peak hour.

\section*{Site Access Analysis}
- In the build year 2016 scenario, all site access intersections are projected to operate at acceptable levels-of-service and volume-to-capacity ratios during both the weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hours, with the exception of the Table Rock Road/Northeast access. Note this is assuming this access is a full movement access and no improvements to Table Rock Road are completed. Under this scenario, the critical eastbound left-turn movements at the Table Rock Road/Northeast access is projected to operate at LOS F during the weekday p.m. peak hour, however, it is still projected to operate well under capacity and meet the County's operational standard.
- Even though the build year (2016) analysis showed that all of the site accesses will be able to operate as proposed upon site opening before the Table Rock Road Improvements are constructed, an evaluation of access alternatives for Table Rock Road was also completed to compare how temporary improvements would impact the access operations in the interim.
* The access scenarios compared were:
- Build Year (2016) Total Traffic Conditions (ī.e., Full Access to Table Rock Road) with Na Table Rack Road Improvements \{as summarized above)
- Buld-Year (2016) Total Traffic Conditions with Temporary Table Rock Road Improvements <i.e., temporary widening of Table Rock Road along the site frontage to provide a center left-turn lane until the ultimate widening project is constructed)
- Bulld-Year (2016) Total Traffic Conditions with Restricted Right-In/Right-Out Site Accesses (restrict Table Rock Road access ta right-in/right-out anly until the ultimate widening project is constructed\}
- The access alternatives evaluation found that:
- Assuming full movement access and no improvements to Table Rock Raad, the eastbound left-turns at the northeast access to Table Rock will experience relatively long delay (resulting in LOS F) but the access will still operate well under capacity and meet the County's operational standard during the critical time period.
- Providing temporary widening along the site frontage to provide a temporary center turn lane will allow all Table Rock Road accesses to operate acceptably as full movements until the ultimate Table Rock Road widening improvements are constructed in 2017.
- Restricting the site's Table Rock Road accesses to right-in/right-out only will allow those accesses to operate at acceptable levels of service and volume-to-capacity ratios. However, it will add additional left-turn movements at the Table Rock Road/Hamrick Road intersection thus resulting in over-capacity and LOS f conditions at that location. This impact could be reduced by adding temporary widening around the intersection to provide a northbound left-turn lane as well as a center refuge area north of Hamick to allow vehicles turning left from Harnick to make a two stage gap acceptance manauver for the left-turn.
o Once the ultimate Table Rock Road widening improvement is constructed in 2017, all site accesses to Table Rock Road will aperate a good levels of service (LOS C or better) and volume-to-capacity ratios ( \(v / c=0.21\) or better) durine the peak hour perlods assuming they are full access movements.
- From a safety perspective, a predictive safety analysis found that:
o Providing full movement accesses to Table Rock Road in the near-term with its current two lane configuration shows the probability far 1.2 crashes per year to occur combined at the two access points.
o If these were restricted to right-in/right-out only driveways, the safety prediction lowers to a probabllity of 0.83 crashes per year (about a \(30 \%\) decrease in probabllity).
- If temporary widening was provided in the Interim for a two-way left-turn lane alone the site's frontage, the probablity would lower to 0.76 crashes per year (about a \(30 \%\) decrease in prabability).
o The safety predictive analysis also shows that once the ultimate Table Rock Road widening improvements are in place the safety prediction lowers as well to 0.77 crashes per year even with maintaining full movement accesses at both locations.

\section*{Future Year 2030 Anolysis}
- The future year (2030) background conditions analysis (without the project traffic) found that all study intersections will continue to operate at acceptable levels of service and volume-to-capacity ratios during the weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hours with the following exceptions:
o Hamrick Road \& East Pine Street operates with a v/c ratio of \(\mathbf{> 1 . 0}\) during the weekday p.m. peak haur
- Biddle Road \& Airport Road (as under the build vear conditions) has a critical movement which operates at LOS F during the weekday p.m. peak hour although the movement is still operating under capacity with a \(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}\) ratio of 0.55
- The future year (2030) total traffic analys's (with the project traffic) found that the sitegenerated trips did not impact any study intersections not previously identified in the 2030 background scenario.
- Alt of the proposed site accesses operate at acceptable levels of service during the weekday p.m. and weekend midday peak hours under the future year 2030 total traffic scenario. Because of the planned roadway improvements along Table Rock Road, there is a significant benefit to the traffic operations at the site accesses along Table Rock Road when compared to the build-year (2016) total traffic scenario.

\section*{Parking Assessment}
- City of Central Point Municipal Code directs that a parking supply of 670 parking spaces be provided for the Costco development \{assuming retail land usel.
- The project is proposing to provide a total of 782 parking spaces on site.
- As part of this report, a parking demand analysis was completed to demonstrate and documents justification for the proposed increase in parking supply.
- Actual parking supply and demand data from other Costco sites in Oregon indicates that a minimum parking ratio of 4.71 spaces \(/ 1,000 \mathrm{sq}\)-ft be provided in order to supply enough parking to meet Costco specific demands.
- Applying the demonstrated minimum parking supply of 4.71 spaces \(/ 1,000\) sq-ft to the proposed Central Point Costco development equates to a minimum recommended parking supply of 753 spaces.
- This indicates that the proposed parking supply of 7B2 is slightly higher than this minimum amount but within a reasonable range and will provide an appropriate parkine supply to accommodate typlcal peak periods as well as additional spaces for seasonal peaks as well.
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\section*{MEMORANDUM}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Date: & November 10, 2015 \\
Ta: & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Mr. Matt Samitore \\
\\
\\
\\
\\
\\
\\
\\
\\
\\
City of Central Point, Oregon 97502
\end{tabular} \\
From: \(\quad\) & Brett Korporaal, Julia Kuhn and Sonia Daleiden \\
Project: & Central Point Costco TIA \\
Subject: \(\quad\) & Response to Comments - Central Point Costco TIA
\end{tabular}

This memorandum responds to comments submitted by staff from the City of Central Point (via Southern Oregon Transportation Engineering, LLC), related to the Central Point Costco Transportation Impact Analysis (TIA), Each comment is summarized below followed by our response.

\section*{COMMENT 1 - SUBMITTED BY CITY OF CENTRAL POINT}
"Page 30 of the study in the last paragraph, KAI assumes that planned roadways in the IAMP as well as Tier 1 improvements listed in the City's TSP have been financlally constrained and can be reasonably funded within the next twenty years. Many of the projects and/or improvements identified are not funded and there is no current mechanism for funding at this time. These include:
- Widening East Pine Straet to add a second WBL and widening the l-5 SB on-ramp for two receiving lanes ( \(\$ 1.7\) million)
- Widening the \(1-5\) NB off ramp at East Pine Street to include an additional NBR (\$1.3 million)
- Widening west and north approaches at Hamrick/Pine Street Intersection
- Widening to include a dual eastbound left at Table Rock/Biddle Road intersection"

\section*{KAI RESPONSE}

As part of the scoping process, KAI received confirmation from each of the jurisdictions that the Tier 1 projects identified in the IAMP and RVMPO's RTP should be included in the TIA analyses. As communicated in an email from Wei Wang, ODOT, on Thursday, July 2, 2015, "Page 8 of TIA, Planned Transportation Improvements - This should reference the RVMPO RTP Tier 1 projects and also
consider improvements/mitigations identified in IAMP 33. It is possible that some of them could be triggered earlier or may by mitigation for this development. Please review the Interchange Area Management Plan i-5 Exit 33 (IAMP 33). The proposed Costco TIA should be consistent with IAMP 33."

Based on this email, we submitted a "Scoping Memo Response to Comment" memorandum to the City of Central Point, Jackson County and ODOT that stated, "We will include any planned transportation improvements referenced in RVMPO RTP Tier 1 and IAMP 33 that will be completed during or prior to the proposed build out of the site."

Additionally, on Tuesday, September 29, 2015 KA held a telephane conference with representing members from each agency to review and discuss the initial findings from the TIA. During this call, we verified with agency staff the funded Tier 1 projects from the RTP and IAMP to include in our analyses.

Our TIA is consistent with all of our previous correspondences from staff. We are unclear as to the change in direction about those projects to include in the analyses and would appreciate additional insights from agency staff.

\section*{COMMENT 2 - SUBMITTED BY CITY OF CENTRAL POINT}
"The TIS doesn't include a queuing analysis, which is a requirement in the scoping letter. A queuing analysis should be performed in SimTraffic and follow the methodology outlined in ODOT's Analysis Procedures Manual (APM)."

\section*{KAI RESPONSE 2}

KAI analyzed queuing for all site access points, the i-5 NB Ramps/E Pine Street and Table Rock Road/Hamrick Road intersections based on scoping direction from the City, Caunty, and ODOT. Queuing was reviewed for the impact of the site-generated trips on 95 th percentile queue lengths. Per the TIA, queues were calculated for the 2016 and 2030 scenarios during the weekday p.m. and weekend mid-day peak hours. For comparison purposes, the build-year (2016) total scenario also identifies queues lengths assuming an interim three lane configuration along Table Rock Road. The 2030 scenario provides the queuing assuming the planned and programed Improvements to Table Rock Road are in place.

The queuing analysis was completed using SimTraffic within Synchro 8 software, which implements the 2000 Highway Capacity Manual methodology and is in compliance with ODOT's APM. In order to provide a conservative analysis and reflect the worst-case conditions, queues were reported for a peak \(\mathbf{1 5}\)-minute analysis. Vehicle queue lengths were rounded to the next \(\mathbf{2 5}{ }^{\text {th }}\) foot (assuming \(\mathbf{2 5}\)-feet of storage per vehicie).

\section*{Build-Year (2016) Jotal Traffic Condhion Queue Lengths}

Table 1 presents the queue lengths for the build-year (2015) total traffic scenario. As documented in the TIA, the northbound right-turn at the l-5 NB Ramps/E Pine Street intersection exceeds capacity with the inclusion of site-generated trips. With the inclusion of site-generated trips, the queue lengths increase from approximately 125 feet under background conditions to 350 feet under total conditions. However, with site-generated trips the queue is still maintained within the right-turn lane storage and does not spillback into deceleration area of the northbound off-ramp during the weekday p.m. peak hour.

No queueing impacts were identified at the other intersections analyzed. In addition, a three-lane roadway along Table Rock Road does not change the estimated queue iengths northbound and southbound. However, it is important to note that the absence of a left-turn lane can cause delays to through traveiers along Table Rock Road. Further, the absence of a left-turn lane also Increases queue lengths and delay for vehicles making left-turns out of the site. The Table Rock Road widening is completed in year 2017 and will provide benefits to the overall transportation system.

Table 1. 95th Percenille Queuing - 2016 Canditions
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{Sth. ins..} & \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{} \\
\hline & 1 .11. . ' '18.c' & - 4 & ; mir & A13 & ASR & vit & ditr & 1? & 11 \\
\hline & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{} \\
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{2. I-5 NB Ramps/E Pline St} & Stornat Length \({ }^{12}\) & 150 & - & - & 265 & 335 & 380 & - & - \\
\hline & PM Peak & 25 & - & - & 200 & 200 & 350 & . & . \\
\hline & M1D Peak & 50 & . & \(\pm\) & 150 & 125 & 225 & - & . \\
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{8. Table Ruck Rd/Hamrick Rd} & Storara Length & 160 & - & . & - & . & . & - & - \\
\hline & PM Peak & 75 & 25 & \(\cdot\) & - & 25 & \(\cdot\) & , & 0 \\
\hline & M|D Peak & 50 & 25 & \(\cdot\) & - & 25 & - & \(\cdot\) & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{13. Federal Woy/Northwest Dwy} & PM Pgak & . & , & 0 & 25 & . & 0 & 25 & . \\
\hline & MID Peak & . & - & 0 & 25 & . & 0 & 25 & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{14. Federal Wa/5outhwest Dwy} & PM Peak & \(\pm\) & . & 0 & 0 & . & 0 & 25 & - \\
\hline & MlD Peak & . & - & 0 & 0 & - & 0 & 25 & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{15. West Hamrlek Rd Dwy/hamrick Rd} & PM Peak & - & 0 & 25 & . & 25 & 25 & . & . \\
\hline & MID Peak & . & 0 & 25 & - & 25 & 25 & : & - \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{16. East Hamrick Rd (nIRO) Dwr/Hamrtck Rd} & PM Pbak & - & 0 & . & - & - & 25 & + & \(\cdot\) \\
\hline & M PD Praz & - & 0 & - & . & . & 25 & . & - \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{17. Table Rock Rd/Northeast Dwy} & PM Peak & 75 & 50 & - & - & 25 & . & . & 0 \\
\hline & MID Peak. & 50 & 50 & - & - & 50 & - & , & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{18. Tabe Rock Rd/Southeast Dwy} & PM Peak & 25 & 0 & \(\cdot\) & . & 2.5 & - & \(\stackrel{ }{ }\) & 0 \\
\hline & Mio Peak & 25. & 0 & - & \(\cdot\) & 25 & F & , & 0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{} \\
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{E. Tab'e Rack Rd/Hamrick Rd} & Storape Lameth & 150 & - & - & - & - & - & , & - \\
\hline & PM Peak & 25 & 25 & . & - & 25 & - & - & 0 \\
\hline & MID Paak & 25 & 25 & - & - & 25 & - & - & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{17. Table Rock Rd/Nartheast Dwy} & PM Peak & 25 & 50 & - & - & 25 & - & - & 0 \\
\hline & MID Peak & 25 & 50 & \(\cdots\) & \(\cdot\) & 25 & \(\cdot\) & \(\cdot\) & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{18. Table Rock Ro/Southeast Owy} & PMPeak & 25 & 0 & - & . & 25 & - & \(\cdot\) & 0 \\
\hline & MIDPeak & 25 & 0 & - & - & 25 & . & - & 0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
 reported where applicable at the respactlve Intersectian. Starage lanes for laft and risht turns into the site sre not included in the bulid-ypar (2016) toted scarmio with the exception of the West Howrick Ad Dwy/H morrick Rd site arcess where there is presently a two-way ifft-turn lane. 'A two-way left-zum lane would be provided along Table Rack Road far access Into and of the site drivewrys; Bold Indicates \(95^{\text {th }}\) percentlle queues exceeding storage langth.

\section*{Future Year (2030) Tatal Traffic Condition Queue Lengths}

Table presents queue lengths for the future (2030) total traffic scenario. As shown, all estimated queues can be accommodated within the storage provided during both peak hours analyzed.

Table 2. 95th Percentile Queuing - 2030 Conditians
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{\(\because\) ¢! * 41} & & & & & ' 1 & It & & & \\
\hline & 1, 31 3-1.f.el & if. & + 31 & Nall: & - ¢. \(\mathrm{i} \times 1\) & . 315. & - r rint & \(\dot{r}\) & \(\therefore 1\) \\
\hline & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{} \\
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{2, 1-5 NE Ramps/E Flne 5 t} & Stotatatersth & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & PM Peak & 50 & - & \(\cdot\) & 125 & 325 & 200 & - & , \\
\hline & MID Peak & 25 & - & \(=\) & 200 & 150 & 150 & * & - \\
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{8. Table Rock Ad/Hart rick Rd} & Starse Lent \({ }^{2}\) & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & PM Peak & 25 & 25 & - & - & 25 & * & - & 0 \\
\hline & M10 Payk & 25 & 25 & - & - & 25 & - & - & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{13. Federal Way/Northwest Dwy} & PM Pegk & - & - & 0 & 25 & - & 0 & 25 & - \\
\hline & MiD Peak & - & * & 0 & 25 & . & 0 & 25 & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{14. Federal Way/Southwest Dwy} & TM Peak & - & - & 0 & 0 & - & 0 & 25 & . \\
\hline & MIO Pax & \(\cdots\) & \(\cdot\) & 25 & 0 & \(\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}\) & 0 & 25 & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{25. West Hamrick Rd Dwy/Hamrick Rd} & PM P4ak & . & 0 & 25 & . & 25 & 25 & - & - \\
\hline & MID Peak & - & 0 & 25. & - & 25 & 25 & * & - \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{16. East Hamrick Rd (RIRO) Owy/Hamrlck Rd} & PM Peak & - & 0 & \(\cdots\) & - & - & 25 & - & - \\
\hline & MrD Peak & \(\cdot\) & 0 & - & - & \(\cdot\) & 25 & - & . \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{17. Table Rock RJ/Northeast Dwy} & PM Peak & 25 & 50 & - & - & 50 & - & - & 0 \\
\hline & MIDPatr & 25 & 50 & - & - & 50 & . & - & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{18. Table Rock Rd/Southeast Cwy} & PM Pesk & 25 & 0 & - & * & 25 & * & - & 0 \\
\hline & MADPeak & 25 & 0 & - & - & 25 & - & - & 0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
 reported whers app katile at the respective intersection. Storage langs alang Table Rack Road will be inciudes within the two-way left-turn lane when the Table Rock Road Improvemencs are compleked in year 2017, At the West Hamrick Rd DwyiHamrick Rd site access there is presently a two-way left-turn lane. Future year scenarlo does not include starage lanes to accesses the site on Fedaral Way because of low volume of traffic and turning movements into and out of the site; Foldindicates \(95^{\text {mo }}\) percentilequeues excending storage length.

\section*{COMMENT 3 - SUBMITTED BY CITY OF CENTRAL POINT}
"If multiple access points are being proposed on Table Rock Road and S Hamrick Road then City and County access spacing standards should be taken into consideration and shown to be in compliance or otherwise justified."

\section*{KAI RESPONSE}

The City's Transportation System Plan (T5P) Identifies Table Rock Road as a major arterial. Based on Table 5.2 in Central Point's \(T S^{\rho}\) a minimum spacing standard of 500 feet applies given the 45 mph posted speed. The Table Rock Road/Northeast Driveway is approximately 420 feet south of the Table Rock Road/S Hamrick Road unsignalized intersection. This drlveway serves as the site's main driveway. The Table Rock Road/Southeast Driveway is located at the very southern edge of the site boundary. The spacing between the two site driveways is 500 feet, meeting City access management standards.

Although distance between the main driveway and the \(S\) Hamrick Road intersection does not meet the City's standards, there are no queue conflicts or operational issues associated with the spacing.

Further, we have worked with the project team to maximize the spacing of access points and to optimize internal circulation for both the warehouse and fuel station.

We can work with the City to seek a design exception to the 500 feet standard between the main driveway and 5 Hamrick Road intersection with Table Rock Road.

Per Table 5.2 of the TSP, the applicable access spacing standard along S Hamrick Road is 300 feet. The East Hamrick Road Driveway/S Hamrick Road stte access meets the spacing requirement between the driveway and the unsignalized intersection of Table Rock Road/S Hamrick Road intersection. The distance between the west and east driveways along S Hamrick Road is roughly 520 feet, also meeting the City's access spacing standards. The West Hamrick Road Driveway/S Hamrick Road site access is located approximately 200 feet west of the Hamrick Road/Federal Way unsignalized intersection, not meeting the City's spacing guidelines. While the spacing does not meet City guidelines, our analyses demonstrated that no operational or queuing conflicts are anticipated between this driveway and the S Hamrick Road/Federal Way unsignalized intersection.

We will also work with City staff to seek a design exception for the spacing between the west driveway and the S Hamrick Road/Federal Way intersection.

\section*{COMMENT 4 - SUBMITTED BY CITY OF CENTRAL POINT}
"The proportional share for impacts to facilities such as the I-S NB off ramp can be determined by a volume comparison. The 2016 no-build right turn volume is 310 PM trips. Proposed development in 2016 adds 90 PM trips. Adding 90 trips is approximately a \(\mathbf{2 3 \%}\) impact. The same methodology can be used for other facilitics."

\section*{KAI RESPONSE}

Thank you for clarifying the applicable methodology for proportionate share impacts. We will work with Costco and the agencles in determining the proportional share for projects which Costco will be responsible based on feedback from the agencies.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Lane Configurations & \% & \(F\) & \% & 4 & ち & & \\
\hline Volums (veht) & 71 & 79 & 19 & 484 & 569 & 26 & \\
\hline Sign Control & Stop & & & Free & Free & & \\
\hline Grade & 0\% & & & 0\% & 0\% & & \\
\hline Paak Hour Factor & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & \\
\hline Hourly flow rate (vph) & 77 & 86 & 21 & 526 & 618 & 28 & \\
\hline Pedestidans & 1 & & & & & & \\
\hline Lane Whath ( A ) & 12.0 & & & & & & \\
\hline Walking Spoed (1/9) & 3.5 & & & & & & \\
\hline Porcent Elackuge & 0 & & & & & & \\
\hline Right turt flare (ven) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Medsen type & & & & TWLTL & WLTL & & \\
\hline Median storaga veh) & & & & 2 & 2 & & \\
\hline Upstreem signal (fi) & & & & & 1076 & & \\
\hline pX , platoon unblocked & 0.70 & 070 & 0.70 & & & & \\
\hline VC, contileting volume & 1201 & 634 & 848 & & & & \\
\hline VC1, stage 1 cont vol & 634 & & & & & & \\
\hline \(v \mathrm{C}\), , tege 2 conif vol & 567 & & & & & & \\
\hline rCu, unblocked wol & 1075 & 268 & 286 & & & & \\
\hline (C, single (b) & 6.4 & 6:2 & 4.3 & & & & \\
\hline IC, 2 stage (s) & 5.4 & & & & & & \\
\hline tr (s) & 3.5 & \(3: 3\) & 2.4 & & & & \\
\hline po queus tree \% & 11 & 84 & 97 & & & & \\
\hline cita cmpaciy (with) & 415 & 8 & 824 & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & \\
\hline Voltime fatial & 77 & 76 & \(\underline{V}\) & 砤 & 847 & & \\
\hline Volurne Let & 77 & 0 & 21 & 0 & 0 & & \\
\hline Vohume Right & . & (6) & 0 & 0 & 28 & & \\
\hline cSH & 446 & 545 & 824 & 1700 & 1700 & & \\
\hline Volume to Cepecity & 0.18 & 048 & 0.33 & 0.31 & 0.38 & & \\
\hline Queve Lengith 95ih (f) & 17 & 14 & 2 & 0 & 0 & & \\
\hline Controd Deliay (b) & 35.7 & 12:8 & 9.3 & 0.0 & 0.0 & & \\
\hline Lane L.OS & 0 & B & A & & & & \\
\hline Appromeh Dolay (s) & 14,2 & & 0.4 & & 0.0 & & \\
\hline Approsch LOS & 8 & & & & & & \\
\hline Average Delay & & & 1.8 & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{\multirow{3}{*}{cutren of emom}} & \\
\hline  & & & 46:\% & & & & A \\
\hline Analyais Period (min) & & & 16 & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \(\checkmark\) & \(\checkmark\) & 9 & \(\dagger\) & \(\downarrow\) & \(\downarrow\) & \\
\hline Lume Configurations & \% & \% & \% & 4 & \% & & \\
\hline Volume (wehti) & 50 & 141 & 161 & 453 & 578 & 72 & \\
\hline Stgn Contral & Stop & & & Free & Free & & \\
\hline Grode & \(0 \%\) & & & 0\% & 0\% & & \\
\hline Pask Hour Factor & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & \\
\hline Hounly fow rate (vaph) & 54 & 153 & 175 & 492 & 826 & 78 & \\
\hline Podestrimis & 1 & & & & & & \\
\hline Lenawloth ( t ) & 12.0 & & & & & & \\
\hline Walking Speed (tio) & 3.5 & & & & & & \\
\hline Peremen tiockege & 0 & & & & & & \\
\hline Heght tur flare (wah) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Madlain type & & & & mir & WLTL & & \\
\hline Medear storaya veh) & & & & & 2 & & \\
\hline Upstroam mignal (n) pX, platwon unblocked & & & & & & & \\
\hline uc, conneting yotume & 1529 & 606 & 705 & & & & \\
\hline CC1, stage 1 conf vel & \({ }^{686}\) & & & & & & \\
\hline VC2; stuge 2 conf vol & 842 & & & & & & \\
\hline KCu, unblocked wol & 1509 & 808 & 705 & & & & \\
\hline 1c, wingli (s) & 6.4 & 6.2 & 4.3 & & & & \\
\hline tC, 2 encese (a) & 5.4 & & & & & & \\
\hline IF \({ }^{(8)}\) & 3.5 & 3.3 & 2.4 & & & & \\
\hline po queve free \% & 81 & 67 & 78 & & & & \\
\hline  & 268 & 462. & 810 & & & & \\
\hline volimetotal & 8 & 行 & 176 & 492 & 76 & & \\
\hline Voiunt Left & 54 & 0 & 175 & 0 & 0 & & \\
\hline Voluime Reight & 0 & 153 & 0 & 0 & 78 & & \\
\hline cSH & 289 & 462 & 810 & 1700 & 1700 & & \\
\hline Volurine to Cepocity & 0.19 & 0.39 & \(0: 22\) & 0.28 & 0.44 & & \\
\hline Quewe Lengith 95th (tit) & 17 & 38 & 20 & & 0 & & \\
\hline Contruid Diliy (0) & 20.3 & 18.6 & 10.7 & 0.0 & 0.0 & & \\
\hline Lama LOS & c & C & 8 & & & & \\
\hline Approech Doay (3) & 17.6 & & 2.8 & & 0.0 & & \\
\hline Appromet Los & C & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Average Dolay \\
 Analyslo Porlod (min)
\end{tabular}} & & \[
\begin{array}{r}
3.5 \\
80.7 \% \\
15
\end{array}
\] & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{CUS Law or enime} & - \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{lr} 
Kitteison 8 Associates, \({ }^{\prime}\) nc. & Synchro 8 Raport \\
\(11 / 12 / 2015\) & Page 3
\end{tabular}

Queuing and Blocking Report
BuildYear (Mitlgated) Weekday PM Peak Hour
intersection: B: Table Rock Rd \& Hamrick Rd
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Drectlons Sarved & L & R & L \\
\hline Maximum Queve (f) & 180 & 7 & 65 \\
\hline Avernge Queve (f) & 43 & 34 & 9 \\
\hline 85th Queve (fit & 83 & 57 & 37 \\
\hline Limk Distance (t) & & 248 & \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Uputream 日 \({ }^{\text {\% }}\) Tume (\%)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Queuing Pernalty (veh)} \\
\hline Storege Bey 0 /it \({ }^{\text {(1) }}\) & 160 & & 450 \\
\hline Storage Bik Yime (\%) & 0 & & \\
\hline Queuling Penatis, (wht) & 0 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Intersection: 17: Table Rock Rd \& Northeast Dwy
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Diseclions Served & L & R & L & T & TR \\
\hline Maximum Ouna. (ti) & 74 & 82 & 218 & 173 & 50 \\
\hline Avarge Queve (t) & 33 & 44 & 67 & 6 & 8 \\
\hline 9fen Cueus (it) & 58 & 70 & 142 & 57 & 31 \\
\hline  & 181 & 191 & & 671 & 364 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{UFinetin Ble tmie (\%)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Queung Penaity (veh)} \\
\hline  & & & 150 & & \\
\hline Storage Elk The (\%) & & & 1 & 0 & \\
\hline Quaving Pentity \({ }^{(1)}\) & & & 3 & 0 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Intersection: 18: Table Rock Rd \& Southeast Dwy
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Dintections Served & LR & 1 & TR \\
\hline Maxtimum Cuers (f) & 53 & 53 & 18 \\
\hline Averge Qumun (n) & 28 & 13 & \\
\hline 954t mutus (t) & 44 & 40 & 6 \\
\hline Link Ointunce ( t ) & 141 & & 871 \\
\hline  & & & \\
\hline Quouing Penalty (wht) & & & \\
\hline Sbing Bay (tan (ii) & & 10 & \\
\hline  & & & \\
\hline cououng forimy \({ }^{\text {a }}\) (wh) & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Zone Summary
Zone whd Queuling Fenerity: 3

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \(\theta\) & \(\geqslant\) & 4 & \(\uparrow\) & \(\downarrow\) & \(\checkmark\) & \\
\hline Lane Confguretions & * & 7 & \% & 4 & \({ }^{\text {b }}\) & & \\
\hline Volume (iotio) & 49 & 183 & 224 & 295 & 403 & 85 & \\
\hline Sign Contral & Stop & & & Frise & Froo & & \\
\hline Grase & 0\% & & & \(0 \%\) & 0\% & & \\
\hline Peakk Hour Factor & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.82 & 0.92 & \\
\hline Hounty fow rate (vot) & 53 & 199 & 243 & 321 & 438 & 92 & \\
\hline Pedeatimens & 1 & & & & & & \\
\hline Lens Whath ( \(n\) ) & 12.0 & & & & & & \\
\hline Waiking Speod (this) & 3.5 & & & & & & \\
\hline Parcent Alockego & 0 & & & & & & \\
\hline Right tum fiere (wh) Modertinpe & & & & W2TL & WLTL & & \\
\hline Mediem tierage weth) & & & & 2 & 2 & & \\
\hline Upetesem sigisis (m) & & & & & & & \\
\hline pX, plimpon unblocked WC, sonflicting woivme & 1293 & 485 & 831 & & & & \\
\hline vci, seme 1 conf vol & 485 & & & & & & \\
\hline W2, whion 2 conivol & 808 & & & & & & \\
\hline Cu, unblocked wol & 1283 & 485 & 531 & & & & \\
\hline (c, dingo (a) & 6.4 & 6.2 & 4.3 & & & & \\
\hline tc, 2 stage (8) & 5.4 & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 F (6) & 3.5 & 3.3 & 2.4 & & & & \\
\hline po quasus tree\% & 82 & 68 & 74 & & & & \\
\hline  & 301 & 685 & 48 & & & & \\
\hline Veluriofictial & 63 & 199 & 162 & 402 & 530 & & \\
\hline Vodurne left & 53 & 0 & 182 & 81 & 0 & & \\
\hline Volumaferit & 0 & 198 & 0 & 0 & 82 & & \\
\hline cSH & 301 & 685 & 946 & 946 & 1700 & & \\
\hline Vorme to Cepacity & 0.18 & 0.34 & 0.26 & 0.28 & 0.31 & & \\
\hline Oweve Length 96in (t) & 16 & 37 & 28 & 26 & 0 & & \\
\hline Control Dolay (0) & 19.5 & 14.3 & 10.9 & 4.5 & 0.0 & & \\
\hline Lema LOS & \({ }^{6}\) & 8 & 8 & A & & & \\
\hline Apprieon Delay (b) & 15.4 & & 6.2 & & 0.0 & & \\
\hline Approech LOS & c & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Avergege Delay interwoclón Capicity Ufiteation Andylis Period (min)} & & \[
\begin{array}{r}
5.5 \\
58.8 \% \\
15
\end{array}
\] & & low & Sento & - \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \(\theta\) & & 4 & \(\dagger\) & 1 & \(\downarrow\) & \\
\hline Lane Conflgurations & Y' & & 7 & 4 & \% & & \\
\hline Volure (wohn) & 5 & 39 & 75 & 514 & 545 & 41 & \\
\hline Sign Control & Stop & & & Free & Free & & \\
\hline Grave & 0\% & & & 0\% & 0\% & & \\
\hline Peakk Hour Factor & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.82 & 0.92 & \\
\hline Hounty flow rate (vph) & 5 & 42 & 82 & 558 & 592 & 45 & \\
\hline Pedestrians & 1 & & & & & & \\
\hline Lame With (i) & 12.0 & & & & & & \\
\hline Waking Speed (t/s) & 3.5 & & & & & & \\
\hline Perconl Blockuge & 0 & & & & & & \\
\hline Right tum flare (voh) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Mestan ype & & & & TWLTL & MLTL & & \\
\hline Median storage veh) & & & & 2 & 2 & & \\
\hline Upatremesignel (t) & & & & & & & \\
\hline pX, platcon umblocked & & & & & & & \\
\hline \(v^{\text {c, connicting vatume }}\) & 1337 & 616 & 636 & & & & \\
\hline VC1, stage 1 cont vol & 016 & & & & & & \\
\hline WC2. ntage 2 conf wol & 722 & & & & & & \\
\hline WCu, unbiocked vol & 1337 & 618 & 638 & & & & \\
\hline tC, single (9) & 6.4 & 6.2 & 4.3 & & & & \\
\hline (C, 2 stage (s) & 5.4 & & & & & & \\
\hline (5) (0) & 3.5 & 3.3 & 2.4 & & & & \\
\hline po queve free\% & 99 & 91 & 91 & & & & \\
\hline chapacty (vah/h) & 363 & 49 & 860 & & & & \\
\hline - 1 & : 1 & & & & & & \\
\hline Volume Tolai & 48 & 82 & 559 & 637 & & & \\
\hline Votume Left & 5 & 82 & 0 & 0 & & & \\
\hline Votume fright & 42 & 0 & 0 & 45 & & & \\
\hline SH & 474 & 860 & 1700 & 1700 & & & \\
\hline Volume to Cepacity & 0.10 & 0.09 & 0.33 & 0:37 & & & \\
\hline Queve Length 95th (f) & 8 & 8 & 0 & 0 & & & \\
\hline Control Doley (s) & 13.4 & 9.6 & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & \\
\hline Lane LOS & B & A & & & & & \\
\hline Appromet Dealay (s) & 13.4 & 1,2 & & 0.0 & & & \\
\hline Approuch LOS & B & & & & & & \\
\hline . & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{3}{*}{Avermpa Delay intersootion Capmecty Uullization Analysis Pariod (m/n)}} & & 1.1
\(51.7 \%\) & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{\multirow[t]{3}{*}{ICU Levid of tonte}} & \\
\hline & & & 51.7\% & & & & A \\
\hline & & & 15 & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Queuing and Blocking Report
Build Year (Mitigated) Weekend Midday Peak Hour
Intersection: 8: Table Rock Rd \& Hamrick Rd
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Dinctione Served & L & L \\
\hline Maximum Quave (in) & 93 & 51 \\
\hline Avernge Quesie ( n ) & 40 & 9 \\
\hline Geth Queve (t) & 70 & 36 \\
\hline Link Distance (ik) & & \\
\hline Ujatream Blk Time (\%) & & \\
\hline Queving Fanaly (veti) & & \\
\hline Steringe Bay Ant (ti) & 160 & 150 \\
\hline Storge 日la Time (\%) Qusuikt Portity (veh) & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Intersection: 17: Table Rock Rd \& Northeast Dwy
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline . & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{.1:} \\
\hline Directions Served & L & R & [ & LT & TR \\
\hline Mesimin Oreve (fi) & 74 & 119 & 03 & 312 & 22 \\
\hline Averape Queve (t) & 36 & 55 & 17 & 93 & 3 \\
\hline gets Cume (tit) & 70 & 68 & 51 & 187 & 15 \\
\hline Link Diatence (n) & 181 & 491 & & 671 & 352 \\
\hline Upstinetek time (\%) & & & & & \\
\hline Queuing Penatity (wh) & & & & & \\
\hline  & & & 150 & & \\
\hline Storapo Blk Time (\%) & & & & 1 & \\
\hline  & & & & 2 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Intersection: 18: Table Rock Rd \& Southeast Dwy
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Dractions Served & LR & 1 & TR \\
\hline Mextrum nívere (h) & 52 & 77 & 22 \\
\hline Avesipe Queue (i) & 25 & 29 & 1 \\
\hline 95thalioue.(t) & 48 & 65 & 7 \\
\hline Link Distance (ti) & 158 & & 871 \\
\hline  & & & \\
\hline Queuing Ponaly (veh) & & & \\
\hline  & & 150 & \\
\hline Storequ BK Thne (\%) Quwulin: Ponatit' (whi) & & & \\
\hline Zone Summary & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Zone wide Queaing Pariliy; 2
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \(\checkmark\) & \(\rightarrow\) & & & 4 & \(\dagger\) & \(p\) \\
\hline Lane Group Flow (vph) & 68 & 998 & 1292 & 413 & 229 & 231 & 433 \\
\hline vic Ratio & 0.32 & 0.49 & 0.71 & 0.42 & 0.54 & 0.54 & 0.60 \\
\hline Control Dalay & 9.7 & 11.4 & 28.2 & 9.9 & 33.7 & 33.8 & 46.1 \\
\hline Queve Dalay & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline Total Delay & 9.7 & 11.4 & 28.7 & 9.9 & 33.7 & 33.8 & 46.1 \\
\hline Quvere Length 504h (f) & 20 & 202 & 44 & 103 & 117 & 118 & 178 \\
\hline Queva Length 95th ( ft ) & 22 & 258 & 513 & 194 & 191 & 193 & *340 \\
\hline  & & 1110 & 401 & & & 860 & \\
\hline Tum Bay Lengt (ti) & 150 & & & 285 & 335 & & 3 AD \\
\hline Buse Cupacty (uph) & 211 & 2030 & 1808 & 978 & 489 & 400 & 537 \\
\hline Starvation Cap Raduchn & 0 & 0 & 168 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Spluank Cap Reductn & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Storige Cup Reductn & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Reduced vic Refo & 0.31 & 0.49 & 0.70 & 0.42 & 0.47 & 0.47 & 0.81 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{. . ... .} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
8: Table Rock Rd \& Harnrick Rd
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \(\theta\) & \(\nabla\) & 4 & 4 & \(\downarrow\) & \(\checkmark\) & \\
\hline & & & . 1. & 1 & & & \\
\hline Lane Configurations & 1 & \(F\) & & 7 & \% & & \\
\hline Volume (vehth) & 71 & 79 & 19 & 484 & 569 & 26 & \\
\hline Sign Control & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Stop } \\
0 \%
\end{gathered}
\] & & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Free } \\
& 0 \%
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Froe } \\
0 \%
\end{gathered}
\] & & \\
\hline Peask Hour Factor & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.82 & 0.92 & 0.92 & \\
\hline Hourty flow rato (yph) & 77 & 86 & 21 & 526 & 618 & 28 & \\
\hline Pedeetrians & 1 & & & & & & \\
\hline Lere Width (fi) & 12.0 & & & & & & \\
\hline Waking Speod (t/5) & 3.5 & & & & & & \\
\hline Perotni Blockecy & 0 & & & & & & \\
\hline Right tum flere (veh) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Madien-ype & & & & Nons & None & & \\
\hline Medien storage veh) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Upitumaligil ( \(n\) ) & & & & & 1076 & & \\
\hline pX , pratoon unblacked & 0.70 & 0.70 & 0.70 & & & & \\
\hline vC, conficitigy voturne & 1201 & 634 & 848 & & & & \\
\hline VCi, stage 1 conf vol VC2, stage 2 conf yol & & & & & & & \\
\hline VCu, unblocked vol & 1075 & 268 & 288 & & & & \\
\hline (C, stingle (s) & 8.4 & 6.2 & 4.3 & & & & \\
\hline KC, 2 etrge (9) & & & & & & & \\
\hline if ( \({ }_{\text {B }}\) ) & 3.5 & 3.3 & 2.4 & & & & \\
\hline po queue free \% & 54 & 84 & 97 & & & & \\
\hline CAM capaotly (vehim) & 168 & 545 & 824 & & & & \\
\hline \(\because\) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Voiuma Totel & 7 & 86 & 547 & 647 & & & \\
\hline Volume Left & 77 & 0 & 21 & 0 & & & \\
\hline Volume Right & 0 & 86 & 0 & 28 & & & \\
\hline cSH & 168 & 545 & 824 & 1700 & & & \\
\hline Voluma to Capacity & 0.46 & 0.16 & 0.03 & 0.38 & & & \\
\hline Queve Lenglh 95th (tt) & 54 & 14 & \(?\) & 0 & & & \\
\hline Controd Deley (B) & 43.4 & 12.8 & 0.7 & 0.0 & & & \\
\hline Lune LOS & E & B & A & & & & \\
\hline Approsch Delay (b) & 27.3 & & 0.7 & 0.0 & & & \\
\hline Approsch LOS & 0 & & & & & & \\
\hline Averaga Delay & & & 3,6 & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{\multirow{3}{*}{ICU Lawl of Serves}} & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Intersation Cupacity Utilizerion} & & 55.3\% & & & & - \\
\hline Analysis Pariod (min) & & & 15 & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

HCM Unsignalized intersection Capacity Analysis
Central Point Costco TIA 13: Federal Way \& Northwest Dwy


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
Central Point Costco TIA
14: Federal Way \& Southwest Dwy
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \(\checkmark\) & 4 & \(\dagger\) & \(p\) & \(\downarrow\) & \(\downarrow\) & \\
\hline Lane Conifgurations & Y & & \({ }^{\circ}\) & & & ศ & \\
\hline Vokurn (wehth) & 2 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 22 & 2 & \\
\hline Sian Contol & Stop & & Fres & & & Frow & \\
\hline Grado & 0\% & & 0\% & & & 0\% & \\
\hline Pagk Hour Factor & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & \\
\hline Houly flow ruts (wht) & 2 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 24 & 2 & \\
\hline Podestilims & & & & & & & \\
\hline Lene Width (t) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Walking Speed (tis) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Percont Btackage & & & & & & & \\
\hline RIght tum flare (vat) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Madien type & & & None & & & Hone & \\
\hline Madion storrge wh) Upatrean sigued ( f ) & & & & & & & \\
\hline px , plateon unblecked & & & & & & & \\
\hline w, contilathig volume & 61 & 1 & & & 2 & & \\
\hline VC1, stapo 1 cont vol & & & & & & & \\
\hline VC2, ation 2 conf vol & & & & & & & \\
\hline wa, untiocked vol & 51 & 1 & & & 2 & & \\
\hline K, İngly (0) & 6.7 & 6.2 & & & 4.4 & & \\
\hline CC, 2 stage (b) & & & & & & & \\
\hline \(\mathrm{FF}^{\text {( }}\) ( \({ }^{\text {c }}\) & 3.8 & 3.3 & & & 2.2 & & \\
\hline po queue tree \% & 100 & 100 & & & 99 & & \\
\hline  & 12. & 4680 & & & 1833 & & \\
\hline Votumis Totil & 4 & 2 & 28 & & & & \\
\hline Volume Left & 2 & 0 & 24 & & & & \\
\hline Volume Right & 2 & 2 & 0 & & & & \\
\hline c.SH & 998 & 1700 & 1633 & & & & \\
\hline Vohme to Gupacty & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.01 & & & & \\
\hline Queses Length 95th (fit) & 0 & 0 & 1 & & & & \\
\hline Contei Dosey (s) & 8.7 & 0.0 & 6.6 & & & & \\
\hline Lane LoS & A & & A & & & & \\
\hline Approch Doliey (s) & 8.7 & 0.0 & 6.6 & & & & \\
\hline Approsech LOS & A & & & & & & \\
\hline Averge Dellay intersection Gapacily 4 Analygis Poriod (min) & & & \[
\begin{array}{r}
8.5 \\
18.1 \% \\
15
\end{array}
\] & & Ulen & Tinter & \(\wedge\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
Central Point Costco TIA 15: West Hamrick Dwy \& Hamrick Rd


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \(\checkmark\) & \(\checkmark\) & 4 & \(\dagger\) & \(\downarrow\) & \(\downarrow\) & \\
\hline Lane Configuralions & \(\dagger\) & P & & \({ }^{*}\) & 5 & & \\
\hline Voiume (vethi) & 50 & 141 & 161 & 453 & 576 & 72 & \\
\hline Sign Control & Stop & & & Free & Frea & & \\
\hline Grade & 0\% & & & 0\% & 0\% & & \\
\hline Peak Hour Factor & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & \\
\hline Houry flow rite (yph) & 54 & 153 & 175 & 482 & 826 & 78 & \\
\hline Pedestirins & 1 & & & & & & \\
\hline Lene Witith (fi) & 12.0 & & & & & & \\
\hline Waking Speed (tis) & 3.5 & & & & & & \\
\hline Percent Blockege & 0 & & & & & & \\
\hline Right turn fare (veh) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Mecien hype & & & & None & None & & \\
\hline Medien storage veh) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Upstream Algnal (it) pX , pillwon undlecked & & & & & & & \\
\hline vC, conticting volume & 1509 & 666 & 705 & & & & \\
\hline VC1, stage 1 conf vol rce, atice 2 conf vol & & & & & & & \\
\hline vcu, unbiocked vol & 1509 & 686 & 705 & & & & \\
\hline K. single (E) & 6.4 & 6.2 & 4.3 & & & & \\
\hline K, 2 stage (s) & & & & & & & \\
\hline f (8) & 35 & 3.3 & 2.4 & & & & \\
\hline po queue lee \% & 48 & 67 & 78 & & & & \\
\hline cm capacty (weh/m) & 105 & 482 & 810 & & & & \\
\hline & & & & 1 & & & \\
\hline Votume Totial & 64 & 153 & 687 & 704 & & & \\
\hline Volume Lot & 54 & 0 & 175 & 0 & & & \\
\hline Velume Right & 0 & 153 & 0 & 78 & & & \\
\hline cSH & 105 & 462 & 810 & 1700 & & & \\
\hline Veturne to Cepercity & 0.52 & 0.33 & 0.22 & 0.41 & & & \\
\hline Queve Length 95in (t) & 59 & 38 & 20 & 0 & & & \\
\hline Control Duay (s) & 71.2 & 16.6 & 5.2 & 0.0 & & & \\
\hline -ane LOS & F & c & , & & & & \\
\hline Approset Doway (s) & 30.9 & & 6.2 & 0.0 & & & \\
\hline Approuch LOS & D & & & & & & \\
\hline Average Delay inlursection Cepposiny ut Analysis Period (min) & & & \[
\begin{array}{r}
6.3 \\
86.8 \% \\
45
\end{array}
\] & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{ICULent of 8enve} & E \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis


Queuing and Blocking Report
Build Year (Total) Weekday PM Peak Hour
Intersection: 2: 1-5 NB Ramps \& E Pine St
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Directions Served & L & \(\dagger\) & T & T & T & R & L & LT & R \\
\hline Maximum Queue (ti) & 99 & 288 & 200 & 350 & 406 & 340 & 200 & 228 & 208 \\
\hline Avarage Queue (ti) & 32 & 96 & 82 & 199 & 202 & 81 & 125 & 166 & 38 \\
\hline 35th Queve (fi) & 70 & 166 & 157 & 331 & 347 & 220 & 190 & 231 & 140 \\
\hline Link Distance (t) & & 1153 & 1153 & 503 & 503 & & & 682 & 682 \\
\hline Upstream Blk Time (\%) & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Quouing Pernaty (wah) & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Storage Bay Dist (f) & 150 & & & & & 265 & 335 & & \\
\hline Storuge Blk Time (\%) & & 2 & & & 3 & & & & \\
\hline Queuing Penally (why) & & 1 & & & 11 & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Intersection: 8: Table Rock Rd \& Hamrick Rd
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Directiong Served & L & \(R\) & LT & TR \\
\hline Maximum Quave (ti) & 91 & 72 & 1.15 & 22 \\
\hline Average Queue ( t ) & 45 & 38 & 27 & 1 \\
\hline Q5th Munue (t) & 80 & 6 & 91 & 7 \\
\hline Link Distanca ( f ) & & 249 & 384 & 985 \\
\hline  & & & & \\
\hline Queung Penerity (veh) & & & & \\
\hline Storage Bay Diod (t) & 160 & & & \\
\hline Stornge Bik The (\%) & & & & \\
\hline Queuing Penaly (veh) & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Intersection: 13: Federal Way \& Northwest Dwy
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Oimections Smand & LR \\
\hline Maximum Curue (t) & 57 \\
\hline Average Queve (ti) & 32 \\
\hline QSth Qume ( (t) & 51 \\
\hline Link Diatance (t) & 150 \\
\hline Upstamem Eik The (\%) & \\
\hline Queving Penatly (veh) & \\
\hline Storese Bry Dist (t) & \\
\hline Storage Bik Tima (\%) & \\
\hline Queuling Pernaty (wah) & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Queuing and Blocking Report
Build Year (Total) Weekday PM Peak Hour
Intersection: 14: Federal Way \& Southwest Dwy
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Drections Sarved & LR \\
\hline Maximum Qupue (i) & 30 \\
\hline Averige queus (it) & 2 \\
\hline 98th Cuove ( \({ }^{\text {a }}\) ) & 14 \\
\hline Link Dlatence (if) & 163 \\
\hline Upitream Eik Thno (\%) & \\
\hline Qusung Penvel (weh) & \\
\hline  & \\
\hline Starage Elk Tima (\%) & \\
\hline Queuing Pondiry (wh) & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Intersection: 15: West Hamrick Dwy \& Hamrick Rd
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Difections Served & L & L & R \\
\hline Madmun quex (i) & 60 & 68 & 68 \\
\hline Averige Queun (i) & 4 & 30 & 21 \\
\hline Souncume (f) & 26 & 4 & 48 \\
\hline Link Distences (ti) & & 154 & 154 \\
\hline Upetmin Pe Trie (\%) & & & \\
\hline Quouing Penaty (val) & & & \\
\hline Storice Bay \({ }^{\text {an }}\) (ti) & 150 & & \\
\hline Storme Plk Time (\%) & & & \\
\hline Quoung Perrily (weh) & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Intersection: 16: East Hamrick Dwy (RIRO) \& Hamrick Rd


Intersection: 17: Table Rock Rd \& Northeast Dwy
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Directione Servad & L & R & LT & TR \\
\hline Maxtimum Gunua (i) & 162 & 78 & 285 & 41 \\
\hline Avernge Quaue ( n ) & 54 & 41 & 127 & 6 \\
\hline 95th Qutue (i) & 118 & 63 & 242 & 25 \\
\hline Lin Dintanco (it) & 197 & 197 & 671 & 364 \\
\hline  & & & & \\
\hline Qumuing Penaty (vat) & & & & \\
\hline Storage Bay Diun (in) & & & & \\
\hline Storage Blk Time (\%) & & & & \\
\hline Queuling Penmity (roh) & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Intersection: 18: Table Rock Rd \& Southeast Dwy
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Dinctionin Senved & LR & LT & TR \\
\hline  & 86 & 174 & 21 \\
\hline Averepe Queve (ti) & 20 & 4 & 1 \\
\hline gen Cumen (i) & 47 & 135 & 7 \\
\hline Link Dietence (t) & 162 & 682 & 871 \\
\hline Uptrumente The (\%) & & & \\
\hline Queuding Penally (weh) & & & \\
\hline Swinge Ery Dit (m) & & & \\
\hline  & & & \\
\hline Queuing Pondily (veh) & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Zone Summary
Zons with Quouing Porelly. 12

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Lene Group Flow (uph) & 85 & 890 & 1027 & 297 & 118 & 117 & 351 \\
\hline W/C R \({ }^{\text {miono }}\) & 0.20 & 0.45 & 0.52 & 0.30 & 0.34 & 0.34 & 0.84 \\
\hline Controd Delay & 6.2 & 8.2 & 22.1 & 10.0 & 31.8 & 31.7 & 40.2 \\
\hline Queue Daliy & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline Totar Dalay & 6.2 & 9.2 & 22.1 & 10.0 & 31.8 & 31.7 & 40.2 \\
\hline Oupus Length 50hn (t) & 17 & 176 & 288 & 65 & 63 & 62 & 134 \\
\hline Queva Lergth 95th ( t ) & 27 & 257 & 387 & m134 & 102 & 102 & 219 \\
\hline Intwnd Eink Oint (i) & & 1110 & 49 & & & 650 & \\
\hline Tum Bay Length (in) & 150 & & & 265 & 335 & & 380 \\
\hline Buse Cepeeily (viph] & 321 & 2100 & 1884 & 980 & 489 & 481 & 531 \\
\hline Stirivaton Cap Reductn & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Splibleak Cup neductn & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Storige Cap reductn & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Ruducod viondio & 0.20 & 0.45 & \(0: 82\) & 0.30 & 0.24 & 0.24 & 0.85 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
in Vowidne for Foth perceritio queve is maned by uptream algnad.
}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \(\boldsymbol{r}\) & & 9 & 4 & \(\downarrow\) & \(\downarrow\) & \\
\hline Lune Configurations & \% & 7 & & 4 & b & & \\
\hline Voluna (whin) & 104 & 77 & 8 & 344 & 440 & 36 & \\
\hline Sign Control & Stop & & & Freo & Freo & & \\
\hline Gruda & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & 092 & \\
\hline Hounly flow rat (yph) & 113 & 84 & - & 374 & 446 & 39 & \\
\hline Pedestians & 1 & & & & & & \\
\hline Lano Wlath (i) & 120 & & & & & & \\
\hline Watuing Spoed (tis) & 3.5 & & & & & & \\
\hline Percent Blockege & 0 & & & & & & \\
\hline Right tum fiere (veh) & & & & None & None & & \\
\hline Medime slorage veh) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Upstemmedin (m) & & & & & 1076 & & \\
\hline pX, plation unblecked & 0.18 & 0.81 & 0.81
488 & & & & \\
\hline V, conilitung volume & 858 & 488 & 488 & & & & \\
\hline WCi, stage 1 conf vol vC2, stace 2 conivet & & & & & & & \\
\hline VCu, unblocked vol & 705 & 222 & 246 & & & & \\
\hline K, singio (d) & 6.4 & 6.2 & 4.3 & & & & \\
\hline K, 2 atege ( 8 ) & & & & & & & \\
\hline \({ }_{\text {if }}(\mathrm{s})\) & 3.5 & 3.3 & 2.4 & & & & \\
\hline pogueve froe \% & 65 & 87 & 99 & & & & \\
\hline cMa coperty (whit) & 325 & 685 & 983 & & & & \\
\hline i. & & & & - & & & \\
\hline Vobumia tobe & 113 & 8 & 383 & 4815 & & & \\
\hline Volume Lett & 113 & 0 & 9 & 0 & & & \\
\hline Volume Right & 0 & 4 & 0 & 39 & & & \\
\hline cSH & 325 & 685 & 983 & 1700 & & & \\
\hline Volume to Cepacily & 0.35 & 0.13 & 0.01 & 0.29 & & & \\
\hline Queve Length 95 mh ( t ) & 38 & 11 & 1 & 0 & & & \\
\hline Control Datay (8) & 21.9 & 11.2 & 0.3 & 0.0 & & & \\
\hline Lane LOS & C & B & A & & & & \\
\hline Appromet Dotay (s) & 17.3 & & 0.3 & 0.0 & & & \\
\hline Approech LOS & C & & & & & & \\
\hline - 1. & & & & & & & \\
\hline Avertye Delay intertivetion Cupactity U Anelywis Poriod (min) & & & \[
\begin{array}{r}
3.3 \\
39.8 \% \\
15
\end{array}
\] & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{ICU Levil of Servico} & A \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \(\checkmark\) & 4 & \(\dagger\) & \(P\) & \(\checkmark\) & \(\downarrow\) & \\
\hline Lre Conforations & N & & t & & & * & \\
\hline Volums (voth) & 2 & 134 & 0 & 2 & 35 & \({ }^{36}\) & \\
\hline Sign Control & Stop & & Free & & & Free & \\
\hline Grade & 0\% & & 0\% & & & 0\% & \\
\hline Peak Hour Faclor & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.82 & 0.92 & \\
\hline Houlty fow rat (vah) & 2 & 146 & 0 & 2 & 36 & 39 & \\
\hline Pedestians & & & & & & & \\
\hline Luma Whath (ti) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Walkng Spead (tis) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Percent Blicokcye & & & & & & & \\
\hline Rloght tum fire (wet) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Mectan type & & & Now & & & None & \\
\hline Moder storcege wh) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Upriotin slgid (ti) & & & & & & & \\
\hline pX, plawoon unblecked rc. confledray volume & 116 & 1 & & & 2 & & \\
\hline VC1, ruage 1 conivol & & & & & & & \\
\hline VC2, Hege 2 comf vol & & & & & & & \\
\hline WCu, unblacked vol & 116 & 1 & & & 2 & & \\
\hline E, tungt (9). & 6.7 & B. 2 & & & 4.1 & & \\
\hline CC, 2 stage (8) & & & & & & & \\
\hline \(15(0)\) & 3.8 & 3.3 & & & 2.2 & & \\
\hline po quave free \% & 100 & 87 & & & \({ }^{98}\) & & \\
\hline  & 792 & 1080 & & & 1833 & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & \\
\hline  & '78' & \(?\) & 77 & & & & \\
\hline Volume Lot & 2 & 0 & 38 & & & & \\
\hline Voiume Fright & 146 & 2 & 0 & & & & \\
\hline cSH & 1083 & 1700 & 1633 & & & & \\
\hline Vatume to Cupucily & 0.14 & 0.00 & 0.02 & & & & \\
\hline Queve Length 95th (f) & 12 & 0 & 2 & & & & \\
\hline controlotioy (0) & 8.8 & 0.0 & 3.7 & & & & \\
\hline Lanolos & A & & A & & & & \\
\hline Apprach Diay (s) & 8.8 & 0.0 & 3.7 & & & & \\
\hline Approach LOS & A & & & & & & \\
\hline - & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Averipe Dolay \\
 Andygis Period (min)
\end{tabular}} & & \[
\begin{array}{r}
7.0 \\
26.8 \% \\
15
\end{array}
\] & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{CCULouderante} & A \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 14: Federal Way \& Southwest Dwy

Central Point Costco TIA Build Year (Total) Weekend Midday Paak Hour

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \(\rightarrow\) & \(\rangle\) & \(\checkmark\) & * & 9 & \(P\) & \\
\hline Lane Conifgurations & \({ }^{\text {f }}\) & & \% & \(\uparrow\) & \% & \(\overline{ }\) & \\
\hline Volume (vehth) & 78 & 14 & 40 & 4 & 139 & 39 & \\
\hline Sign Control & Freeg & & & Free & Slop & & \\
\hline & 0\% & & & 0\% & 0\% & & \\
\hline Peekh Hour Fector & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & \\
\hline Houly fow rem (ypt) & 85 & 157 & 43 & 4 & 151 & 42 & \\
\hline Pedestrinans & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 mow With ( n ) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Wering Speed (Tis) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Preont Biockep* & & & & & & & \\
\hline Right turn flre (veh) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Moclan ype & TMR & & & WLT & & & \\
\hline Madar mitace whi) & 2 & & & 2 & & & \\
\hline Uporean wigua (t) & & & & & & & \\
\hline pX, piatoon unblockad c. contitino matime & & & 24 & & 254 & 183 & \\
\hline vCl, stume 1 conf vol & & & & & 183 & & \\
\hline vel, trios 2 contivat & & & & & 91 & & \\
\hline vCu, unblocked vol & & & 241 & & 254 & 163 & \\
\hline KC; single (s) & & & 4.4 & & 6.4 & 6.2 & \\
\hline tC. 2 stage (s) & & & & & 5.4 & & \\
\hline \(\mathrm{FF}(\mathrm{l})\) & & & 2.5 & & 3.5 & 3.3 & \\
\hline po queve tron \% & & & 96 & & 81 & 95 & \\
\hline  & & & 1163 & & 807 & 67 & \\
\hline  & 241 & 43 & 4 & (13) & 42 & & \\
\hline Valume Lon & 0 & 43 & 0 & 151 & 0 & & \\
\hline Vokitime fight & 157 & & 0 & 0 & 42 & & \\
\hline ©SH & 1700 & 1163 & 1700 & 807 & 887 & & \\
\hline Volume 6 Capeetly & 0.14 & 0.04 & 0.00 & 0.18 & 0.05 & & \\
\hline Queve Length 95th (t) & 0 & 3 & - & 17 & 4 & & \\
\hline Cositut Divey (a) & 0.0 & 8.2 & 0.0 & 10.5 & 9.3 & & \\
\hline Lano LOS & & A & & B & A & & \\
\hline Approwidioney (s) & 0.0 & 7.5 & & 10.2 & & & \\
\hline Approch LOS & & & & B & & & \\
\hline Average Delvy Inibericilenterpmoky Ut Andygls Period (min) & & & \[
\begin{array}{r}
4.8 \\
35.7 \% \\
15
\end{array}
\] & & Lend & H0x+0 & A \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 17: Table Rock Rd \& Northeast Dwy


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 18: Table Rock Rd \& Southeast Dwy
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \(\lambda\) & \(v\) & 4 & \(\dagger\) & 1 & \(\checkmark\) & \\
\hline Lane Conflgurations & Y & & & * & F & & \\
\hline Volume ( \(\mathrm{mah} / \mathrm{h}\) ) & 5 & 39 & 75 & 514 & 545 & 41 & \\
\hline Sign Control & Stop & & & Fres & Free & & \\
\hline Grado & 0\% & & & 0\% & 0\% & & \\
\hline Pazk Hour Factor & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & \\
\hline Hourity fow rate (vph) & 5 & 42 & 82 & 559 & 592 & 45 & \\
\hline Pedestrizas & 1 & & & & & & \\
\hline Lans Widith (f) & 12.0 & & & & & & \\
\hline Welking Speod (t/s) & 3.5 & & & & & & \\
\hline Percent Eiockege & 0 & & & & & & \\
\hline Right turn flare (veh) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Modientype & & & & None & None & & \\
\hline Moditim alorage whi) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Upituen riquer (\%) & & & & & & & \\
\hline pX , platoon untlocked & 4337 & 616 & 838 & & & & \\
\hline \[
\text { VC1, stape } 1 \text { conf voc }
\] & TST & 6 & 03 & & & & \\
\hline Ve2, ceme 2 conf vol & & & & & & & \\
\hline VCu, unblocked vol & 1337 & 816 & 638 & & & & \\
\hline tc, iningin (s) & 0.4 & 6.2 & 4.3 & & & & \\
\hline (C, 2 atage (s) & & & & & & & \\
\hline \({ }^{4}\) (8) & 3.5 & 3.3 & 2.4 & & & & \\
\hline po queue free \% & 86 & 91 & 91 & & & & \\
\hline  & 154 & 4 4 & 860 & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & \\
\hline Voture total & 48 & 640 & 637 & & & & \\
\hline Voluma Left & 5 & 82 & 0 & & & & \\
\hline Voluminiogit & 42 & 0 & 45 & & & & \\
\hline cSH & 395 & 860 & 1700 & & & & \\
\hline Votumi to Cupecity & 0.12 & 0.09 & 0.37 & & & & \\
\hline Queue Length 95th (t) & 10 & 8 & 0 & & & & \\
\hline Combitil Datiy (i) & 18.4 & 2.4 & 0.0 & & & & \\
\hline Lane LOS & C & A & & & & & \\
\hline Approtren Dety (9) & 45.4 & 2.4 & 0.0 & & & & \\
\hline Approech LOS & C & & & & & & \\
\hline Averaga Dalay & & & 1.7 & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{\multirow{3}{*}{\(10 \cup 5\) Levil of Sente}} & \\
\hline intorsiction Cisperity it & & & 81.1\% & & & & 0 \\
\hline Anslyais Period (min) & & & 15 & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Queuing and Blocking Report
Build Year (Total) Weekend Midday Peak Hour
Intersection: 2: I-5 NB Ramps \& E Pine St
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Directions Servad & L & T & T & T & T & \(R\) & L & LT & R \\
\hline Meximum Cuane (t) & 73 & 244 & 180 & 221 & 200 & 81 & 149 & 184 & 235 \\
\hline Avorage Queve (ti) & 31 & 73 & 56 & 114 & 105 & 30 & 53 & 76 & 39 \\
\hline 95\% Oume (ti) & 64 & 188 & 147 & 205 & 197 & 61 & 114 & 134 & 151 \\
\hline Link Distance ( t ) & & 1153 & 1153 & 503 & 503 & & & 682 & 682 \\
\hline Upatremm Bik Time (\%) & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Queuing Penaly (wh) & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Storage Eey- Prisk ( & 160 & & & & & 265 & 336 & & \\
\hline Storage Blik Tirio (\%) & & 2 & & & & & & & \\
\hline Queuling Pencliy (wh) & & , & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Intersection: 8: Table Rock Rd \& Hamrlck Rd
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Directions Served & L & R & LT \\
\hline Maxinum Auruo (t) & 69 & 55 & 136 \\
\hline Averape Queve (i) & 40 & 3 & 5 \\
\hline 955t Cusiotio (ti) & 63 & 58 & 40 \\
\hline Lakk Dintanco (f) & & 248 & 364 \\
\hline  & & & \\
\hline Queuing Penaty (wh) & & & \\
\hline  & 160 & & \\
\hline Storico Br: Tine (\%) & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Intersection: 13: Federal Way \& Northwest Dwy
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Difsciona Sarved & LR & LT \\
\hline  & 82 & 31 \\
\hline Average Quoue (洨) & 36 & 1 \\
\hline  & 57 & 10 \\
\hline Link Diatance ( \({ }^{\text {d }}\) ) & 150 & 177 \\
\hline  & & \\
\hline Quouing Pennty (wh) & & \\
\hline Staringiney Dind (iv) & & \\
\hline Storuga Bk Time (\%) & & \\
\hline Quoung Peruty (wht) & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Queuing and Blocking Report
Build Year (Total) Weokend Midday Peak Hour
Intersection: 14: Federal Way \& Southwest Dwy
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Dractions Servad & LR \\
\hline Meximenm queve (i) & 67 \\
\hline Avarage Quaue (n) & 5 \\
\hline 96th Cuase ( t ) & 28 \\
\hline Link Distance ( \({ }^{\text {( })}\) & 163 \\
\hline Upstream Blk \(\operatorname{line}\) (\%) & \\
\hline Queuing Penalty (veh) & \\
\hline Stornat Bay Dist (4) & \\
\hline Storage Bik Time (\%) & \\
\hline Quouing Penalty (ven) & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Intersection: 15: West Mamrick Rd \& Hamrick Rd
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{.} \\
\hline Dinctiona Saved & TR & 1 & L. & R \\
\hline Maxifium Quese (m) & 46 & 65 & 66 & 56 \\
\hline Average Queva (n) & 2 & 17 & 42 & 26 \\
\hline gith oupue (t) & 15 & 49 & 82 & 49 \\
\hline Link Distence ( n ) & 222 & & 154 & 154 \\
\hline Upidiveri Elk Thite (\%) & & & & \\
\hline Quauing Penaty (vel) & & & & \\
\hline Stofegatmy Dix (i) & & 150 & & \\
\hline Storage Bik Timm (\%) & & & & \\
\hline Queuting Pencily (whi) & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Intersection: 16: East Hamrick Rd (RIRO) \& Hamrick Rd
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Directions Semed & R \\
\hline Maximum queve (i) & 107 \\
\hline Average Quaue (t) & 38 \\
\hline geth Gume \({ }^{\text {(1) }}\) & 69 \\
\hline Link Disturas (ti) & 112 \\
\hline Upetreemerk fame (\%) & 0 \\
\hline Quauing Penaly (wet) & 0 \\
\hline Staraperay Phatin) & \\
\hline Storeque Blk Tine (\%) Gueuling Ferality (wh) & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Queuing and Blocking Report
Build Year (Total) Weekend Midday Peak Hour
Intersection: 17: Table Rock Rd \& Northeast Dwy
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Directions Served & L & R & LT & TR \\
\hline Meximum Outue (n) & 86 & 85 & 208 & 50 \\
\hline Averege Queue ( n ) & 43 & 51 & 98 & 4 \\
\hline gent Queue (ti) & 81 & 72 & 188 & 23 \\
\hline Link Distence (t) & 197 & 497 & 671 & 364 \\
\hline Upatsem 眇 True (\%) & & & & \\
\hline Queuing Penaty (weh) & & & & \\
\hline  & & & & \\
\hline Stornge Elk Time (\%) & & & & \\
\hline Qumung Pernity (wh) & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Intersection: 18: Table Rock Rd \& Southeast Dwy
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Dinecions Served & LR & LT & TR \\
\hline Maximum Quane (ti) & 31 & 188 & 22 \\
\hline Averayo Quaue (ti) & 28 & 39 & 1 \\
\hline BSth Cuma ( 0 ) & 48 & 112 & 10 \\
\hline LInk Diotence ( m ) & 162 & 682 & 671 \\
\hline  & & & \\
\hline Queuing Penaly (wh) & & & \\
\hline Stonteg 8ity Ditut & & & \\
\hline Storige Blk Time (\%) & & & \\
\hline Guveing Penaty (voh) & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Zone Summary

\section*{}

Queves
Central Point Costco TIA
2: I-5 NB Ramps \& E Pine St Future Year (Total) Weekend Midday Peak Hour
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Lane Group Flow (vph) & 84 & 1289 & 1289 & 377 & 151 & 150 & 416 \\
\hline Vic Ratio & 0.30 & 0.54 & 0.80 & 0.35 & 0.59 & 0.59 & 0.76 \\
\hline Control Delay & 4.3 & 6.7 & 20.2 & 7.4 & 45.7 & 45.4 & 31.9 \\
\hline Queue Dolay & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.7 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.5 \\
\hline Totar Dolay & 4.3 & 6.7 & 20.8 & 7.4 & 45.7 & 45.4 & 32.4 \\
\hline Queus Length 50m (t) & 11 & 223 & 373 & 83 & 89 & 88 & 84 \\
\hline Queue Length 95th (ft) & m11 & 257 & m462 & m159 & 147 & 148 & 134 \\
\hline Intemal Unk Dist ( (t) & & 1110 & 494 & & & 650 & \\
\hline Tum Bay Length (fi) & 150 & & & 265 & 335 & & 380 \\
\hline Base Cspadty (yph) & 250 & 2376 & 2146 & 1071 & 340 & 341 & 676 \\
\hline Starvation Cipp Reductm & 0 & 0 & 488 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Spithreck Cap Reductn & 0 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 58 \\
\hline Storage Cap Reduoth & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & ) \\
\hline Retuced wic Retio & 0.29 & 0.54 & 0.77 & 0.35 & 0.44 & 0.44 & 0.67 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

In Volume for 95 th perceritle aqueve is metared by upariem aiginal.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \(\checkmark\) & 1 & \(\uparrow\) & \(\rho\) & \(\checkmark\) & \(\downarrow\) & \\
\hline &  & & 2 & & & \(\stackrel{ }{*}\) & \\
\hline Lans Conflguratons & 1 & 134 &  & 2 & 36 & 38 & \\
\hline Sign Control & Stop & & From & & & Fiow & \\
\hline Grate & 0\% & & 0\% & & & 0\% & \\
\hline Pead Hour Fuctor & 0.82 & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.82 & 0.92 & \\
\hline Hourty low nit (wh) & 2 & 148 & 0 & 2 & 33 & 39 & \\
\hline Pedoetrime & & & & & & & \\
\hline Lano With (ti) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Wating Spood (th/s) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Peromit Biokega & & & & & & & \\
\hline Right tum five (vort) & & & None & & & Nont & \\
\hline  & & & & & & & \\
\hline Upitiomin ietum & & & & & & & \\
\hline pX, platoen undocked & & & & & & & \\
\hline VC, crnmiditig voijume & 116 & 1 & & & 2 & & \\
\hline VC1, atage 1 conf vol & & & & & & & \\
\hline VC2, \(\operatorname{sichat} 2\) conf wol & & & & & & & \\
\hline Ku, unblocked val & 116 & 1 & & & 2 & & \\
\hline  & 6.7 & 8.2 & & & 4.1 & & \\
\hline CC, 2 stimg (8) & & & & & & & \\
\hline \(\mathrm{fF}_{5}(\mathrm{~B})\) & 3.8 & 3.3 & & & 2.2 & & \\
\hline po quave fiee \% & 100 & 87 & & & 98 & & \\
\hline ancaperity (whth) & 792 & 1069 & & & 1833 & & \\
\hline \(\cdots\) & & \({ }^{*}\) & & & & & \\
\hline Voiume Total & 148 & 2 & 7 & & & & \\
\hline Volume Lot & 相 & 0 & 38 & & & & \\
\hline Volurne Right & 146 & 2 & , & & & & \\
\hline csh & 1083 & 1700 & 1633 & & & & \\
\hline Volume to Cementy & 0.14 & 0.00 & 0.02 & & & & \\
\hline Queus Length 95th (t) & 12 & 0 & 2 & & & & \\
\hline Contol Doim (s) & 8.8 & 0.0 & 3.7 & & & & \\
\hline Lane Los & A & & A & & & & \\
\hline Appromen Delay (3) & 8.8 & 0.0 & 3.7 & & & & \\
\hline Apprach LOS & A & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{3}{*}{Average Delay interrection Capacity Ufiluztion Anelysis Period (min)}} & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & 7.0
26.6\% & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{ICU Lowe of Servies}} & A \\
\hline & & & 15 & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Aralysis
14: Federal Way \& Southwest Dwy



HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
Central Point Costco TIA
16: East Hamrick Rd (RIRO) \& Hamrick Rd


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
17: Table Rock Rd \& Northeast Dwy

Central Point Costco TIA Future Year (Total) Weekend Midday Peak Hour


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
18: Table Rock Rd \& Southeast Dwy



Queuing and Blocking Report
Future Year (Total) Weakend Midday Peak Hour
Intersection: 2: I-5 NB Ramps \& E Pine St
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Directions Served & L & T & T & \(T\) & T & R & L & 17 & R & R \\
\hline Maximum Queve (f) & 73 & 203 & 198 & 300 & 292 & 145 & 214 & 270 & 231 & 202 \\
\hline Averige Queve (ti) & 35 & 71 & 401 & 180 & 174 & 73 & 84 & 124 & 100 & 23 \\
\hline  & 67 & 153 & 185 & 303 & 288 & 131 & 176 & 219 & 235 & 120 \\
\hline Link Dietence ( fi ) & & 1152 & 1152 & 486 & 486 & & & 876 & 676 & \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Uptrome Ek Tino (\%)} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Queving Penally (wor) \\

\end{tabular} & 150 & & & & & 285 & 335 & & & 300 \\
\hline Storigs Blk Time (\%) & & 0 & & & 1 & & & & & \\
\hline Queito Penmity (wh) & & 0 & & & 2 & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Intersection: 13: Federal Way \& Northwest Dwy


Queuing and Blacking Report
Future Year (Total) Weekend Midday Peak Hour
Intersection: 15: West Hamrick Rd \& Hamrick Rd
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Directiona Sonved & TR & L & L & R \\
\hline Maxhmam Queue (n) & 40 & 70 & 120 & 30 \\
\hline Avercipe Quave (t) & 1 & 12 & 43 & 24 \\
\hline 95\% Cume (ti) & 13 & 43 & 83 & 42 \\
\hline Link Diatence (t) & 222 & & 454 & 154 \\
\hline Upuaven eik The (\%) & & & & \\
\hline Queuing Paralily (veh) & & & & \\
\hline Storage Exy Dist (ti) & & 150 & & \\
\hline Storage Blik Tine (\%) Queuling Panality (veh) & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Intersection: 16: East Hamrick Rd (RiRO) \& Hamrlck Rd
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Difectiont Sanved & R \\
\hline Mreximum Gueve (in) & 77 \\
\hline Avercge Queve (f) & 38 \\
\hline 96th Oueve (ti) & 55 \\
\hline Link Dintance ( f ) & 112 \\
\hline Upurem Ba, Tine (\%) & \\
\hline Oreuing Penelty (woh) & \\
\hline Storage Bay Ditat (in) & \\
\hline  & \\
\hline Quouling Punity (wel) & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Intersection: 17: Table Rock Rd \& Northeast Dwy
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Dinctons Served & L & R & TR \\
\hline Meximum Mumu (i) & 98 & 75 & 104 \\
\hline Avericep Queve (it) & 45 & 41 & 14 \\
\hline 25th Queue ( n ) & 88 & 65 & 55 \\
\hline Lhk Distence (fi) & 178 & 179 & 364 \\
\hline Upsturan Bla Time (\%) & & & \\
\hline Queukig Pandy (ver) & & & \\
\hline Storape Eay Dit (k) & & & \\
\hline Storape Blk Time (\%) Quering Penciny (wat) & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Quoving and Blocking Raport
Future Yeur (Total) Weakend Midday Peak Hour
intersection: 18: Table Rock Rd \& Southeast Dwy
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Dinections Served & LR & L & TR \\
\hline Mexfinum qugue (fi) & 64 & 102 & 51 \\
\hline Average Queus (t) & 24 & 30 & 2 \\
\hline 95th Quave (t) & 47 & 72 & 17 \\
\hline Link Ditumes (t) & 144 & & 872 \\
\hline Upalveam 8ik Thre (\%) & & & \\
\hline Queving Penalty (veh) & & & \\
\hline Slorage Bay DIsI ( t ) & & 250 & \\
\hline Storage Bik Thite (\%) & & & \\
\hline Oueuling Penalty (weh) & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Zone Summary}

Zone wite Qubetng Fenally: 2

2: 1-5 NB Ramps \& E Pine St


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Iame Configurations & 7 & F & 7 & & 44 & & & \\
\hline Volume (verth) & 72 & 88 & 2 & 615 & 708 & 27 & & \\
\hline Sign Control & Stop & & & Froe & Froe & & & \\
\hline Grado & 0\% & & & 0\% & 0\% & & & \\
\hline Paak Mour Factor & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.82 & 0.82 & 0.82 & 0.92 & & \\
\hline Houty flow rave (wh) & 78 & 97 & 27 & 888 & 771 & 29 & & \\
\hline Pedeatrius & 1 & & & & & & & \\
\hline Lave Widh (t) & 12.0 & & & & & & & \\
\hline Waikling Spoed (t/s) & 3.5 & & & & & & & \\
\hline Parcant Elacksge & 0 & & & & & & & \\
\hline Right tum tire (veh) & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Madien type & & & & WT. & MLT & & & \\
\hline Madian alorege vent & & & & 2 & 2 & & & \\
\hline Upitrembigrian (it) & & & & & 1078 & & & \\
\hline PX, pimoont unblocked & & & & & & & & \\
\hline V. conifleting volumis & 1175 & 401 & 801 & & & & & \\
\hline W1, atege 1 conf vol & 786 & & & & & & & \\
\hline vC2, stage 2 conlv vol & 388 & & & & & & & \\
\hline \(\checkmark \mathrm{Cu}\), unblocked wo) & 1175 & 401 & 801 & & & & & \\
\hline (C, ingrie (s) & 6.8 & 8.8 & 4.5 & & & & & \\
\hline tC, 2 stege (s) & 5.8 & & & & & & & \\
\hline tF (b) & 3.5 & 3.3 & 2.4 & & & & & \\
\hline po quesue fre \% & 79 & 84 & 96 & & & & & \\
\hline chaterecty (voth) & 370 & 664 & 705 & & & & & \\
\hline - & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Volume Totod & 78 & 87 & 27 & 334 & 334 & 514 & 286 & \\
\hline Volume Left & 78 & 0 & 27 & 0 & 0 & - & 0 & \\
\hline Votume Right & 0 & 97 & 0 & 0 & 0 & - & 29 & \\
\hline cSH & 370 & 604 & 705 & 1700 & 1700 & 1700 & 1700 & \\
\hline Voturne to Capscly & 0.21 & 0.16 & 0.04 & 0.20 & 0.20 & 0.30 & 0.17 & \\
\hline Queve Lengith 95th (t) & 20 & 14 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\
\hline Contro' Dway (a) & 17.3 & 12.1 & 10.3 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & \\
\hline Lane LOS & C & 日 & B & & & & & \\
\hline Appromet Dolay (9) & 14.4 & & 0.4 & & & 0.0 & & \\
\hline Approach LOS & 日 & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Averige Delay Intarnaction Capacily Litilization Andysis Pariod (min)}} & & 1.7
\(34.8 \%\) & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{ICU Level of Bervioe}} & & A \\
\hline & & & 15 & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\(\rightarrow\)} & \(\checkmark\) & \(\checkmark\) & & 4 & \(p\) & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Lane Conforurations}} \\
\hline & & & & & & & \\
\hline Volume (vehth) & 87 & 94 & 27 & 25 & 96 & 28 & \\
\hline Sign Control & Fras & & & Free & Stop & & \\
\hline Grade & 0\% & & & 0\% & 0\% & & \\
\hline Peak Haur Factar & 0.80 & 0.80 & 0.80 & 0.80 & 0.80 & 0.80 & \\
\hline Houry flow rate (upt) & 109 & 118 & 34 & 31 & 120 & 35 & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Pedestrians} \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Lemo With (if)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Waiking Spoed ( \(\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{s}\) )} \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Percent Blockege} \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{RIght turn flare (veh)} \\
\hline Medan type & TWLTL & & & WLT & & & \\
\hline Median storage veht & 2 & & & 2 & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Upsiream signal (ti)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{pX, platoon untlocked} \\
\hline VC, conlicing volume & & & 228 & & 286 & 160 & \\
\hline VC1, stage 1 canf vol & & & & & 188 & & \\
\hline \(V C 2\), stage 2 conf vol & & & & & 99 & & \\
\hline WCu, undilocked vol & & & 226 & & 288 & 168 & \\
\hline tC, singe (s) & & & 4.4 & & 6.4 & 6.2 & \\
\hline tC, 2 stage (s) & & & & & 5.4 & & \\
\hline tF (3) & & & 2,5 & & 3.5 & 3.3 & \\
\hline p0 queve trea \% & & & 97 & & 85 & 88 & \\
\hline CM capacity (ven/h) & & & 1178 & & 804 & 882 & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{} \\
\hline Volume totat & 228 & 34 & 87 & \(12{ }^{\circ}\) & 30 & & \\
\hline Volume Lett & 0 & 34 & 0 & 120 & 0 & & \\
\hline Volume Right & 118 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 35 & & \\
\hline cSH & 1700 & 1179 & 1700 & 804 & 882 & & \\
\hline Volume to Capacity & 0.13 & 0.03 & 0.02 & 0.15 & 0.04 & & \\
\hline Ocreus Length 85th (t) & 0 & 2 & 0 & 13 & 3 & & \\
\hline Contoi Delay (s) & 0.0 & 8.1 & 0.0 & 10.3 & 8.3 & & \\
\hline Lane LOS & & A & & B & A & & \\
\hline Approach Dolay (s) & 0.0 & 4.2 & & 10.0 & & & \\
\hline Approwch LOS & & & & B & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Average Delay} & 4.1 & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{intrasoction Capacity Ustization} & 30:3\% & 1 & Lnd & nateo & A \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Analysis Period (min)} & & 15 & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Lane Conifurations & \% & & & 4 & & \(\overline{ }\) & \\
\hline Volume (wethis) & 70 & 45 & 0 & 52 & 0 & 81 & \\
\hline Sign Control & Free & & & Free & Stop & & \\
\hline Grade & 0\% & & & 0\% & 0\% & & \\
\hline Peok Hour Factor & 0.80 & 0.80 & 0.80 & 0.80 & 0.80 & 0.80 & \\
\hline Hourly fow rate (wht) & 88 & 58 & 0 & 65 & 0 & 114 & \\
\hline Pedestians & & & & & & & \\
\hline Lene With (ti) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Walking Speed (ths) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Promen Ilockuge & & & & & & & \\
\hline Right uim time (veh) & & & & & & & \\
\hline maden yype & TWLTL & & & WLTL & & & \\
\hline Median storage veh) & 2 & & & 2 & & & \\
\hline Upatream eigne (i) & & & & & & & \\
\hline pX plation unblocked C. conflothing volume & & & 144 & & 181 & 116 & \\
\hline VC1, stage 1 conival & & & & & 116 & & \\
\hline cci, ultage 2 comi vol & & & & & 65 & & \\
\hline WCu, untrocked vol & & & 144 & & 181 & 116 & \\
\hline EC, dngle (i) & & & 4.4 & & 8.4 & 8.2 & \\
\hline \(\mathrm{C}, 2\) ctage (8) & & & & & 5.4 & & \\
\hline F(s) & & & 2.5 & & 3.5 & 3.3 & \\
\hline PO queve foen \% & & & 100 & & 100 & 88 & \\
\hline cw omerely (what) & & & 1200 & & 872 & \[
92
\] & \\
\hline Voume Tolai & 14 & 85 & 144 & & & & \\
\hline Vouine Left & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & \\
\hline Vedume Rlght & 56 & 0 & 414 & & & & \\
\hline CSH & 1700 & 1700 & 942 & & & & \\
\hline Volume to Coppedy & 0.00 & 0.04 & 0.12 & & & & \\
\hline Queve Length 95th (t) & 0 & , & 10 & & & & \\
\hline Controi Delay (s) & 0.0 & 0.0 & 8.9 & & & & \\
\hline Lane LOS & & & A & & & & \\
\hline Appromit Oeday (s) & 0.0 & 0.0 & 9.3 & & & & \\
\hline Approuch LOS & & & A & & & & \\
\hline . & & & & & & & \\
\hline Average Delay intiarsoction Cepactiy U Annygis Portad (min) & & & \[
\begin{array}{r}
3.3 \\
19.8 \% \\
15
\end{array}
\] & & Cu Lemut & 80ntio & \(A\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
Central Point Costco TIA
17: Table Rock Rd\& Northeast Dwy
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \(\dagger\) & \(\checkmark\) & \(\checkmark\) & \(\dagger\) & \(\downarrow\) & \(\checkmark\) & & \\
\hline Lane Conflourations & , & P & \% & 44 & 45 & & & \\
\hline Voiume (verm) & 50 & 141 & 161 & 590 & 726 & 72 & & \\
\hline Sign Contral & Stop & & & Free & Free & & & \\
\hline Grade & 0\% & & & 0\% & 0\% & & & \\
\hline Paak Hour Factor & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & & \\
\hline Hourty fow rate (vph) & 54 & 153 & 175 & 641 & 799 & 78 & & \\
\hline Podestrians & 1 & & & & & & & \\
\hline Lane Wath (ti) & 12.0 & & & & & & & \\
\hline Waking Speod (tis) & 3.5 & & & & & & & \\
\hline Percent Blockuge & 0 & & & & & & & \\
\hline Rght ( Uum flare (veh) & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Medan typo & & & & TWLT & W,TL & & & \\
\hline Median storege vef) & & & & 2 & 2 & & & \\
\hline Upuream slone (m) & & & & & & & & \\
\hline DX, plawoon unblocked & 1500 & 435 & 888 & & & & & \\
\hline VCI, stuge 1 conf yol & 829 & & & & & & & \\
\hline vC2, stage 2 conivoi & 671 & & & & & & & \\
\hline vCu, entlocked yol & 1500 & 435 & 888 & & & & & \\
\hline ic, sinde (s) & 6.8 & 6.9 & 4.5 & & & & & \\
\hline c, 2 2 arase (s) & 5.8 & & & & & & & \\
\hline FF (s) & 3.5 & 3.3 & 2.4 & & & & & \\
\hline po queve foen \% & 80 & 73 & 74 & & & & & \\
\hline call capacty (vetM) & 288 & 574 & 661 & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & 1 & & & & \\
\hline Voluni Total & 34 & 153 & 178 & 327 & 321 & 528 & 34 & \\
\hline Volume Left & 54 & 0 & 175 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\
\hline Vokime Right & 0 & 153 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 78 & \\
\hline cSH & 268 & 574 & 661 & 1700 & 1700 & 1700 & 1700 & \\
\hline Voture to Cepactiy & 0.20 & 0.27 & 0.26 & 0.18 & 0.19 & 0.31 & 0.20 & \\
\hline Quesue Length 95th (t) & 19 & 27 & 27 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\
\hline Contral Dolay (s) & 21.8 & 13.5 & 12.4 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & \\
\hline Lme LOS & C & - & 7 & & & & & \\
\hline Approact Dolay (9) & 15.7 & & 2.7 & & & 0.0 & & \\
\hline Approach LOS & C & & & & & & & \\
\hline Average Derlay interrection Capacity Uutization Analyals Pariod (min) & & & \[
\begin{array}{r}
27.9 \\
47.9 \\
15
\end{array}
\] & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{LCU Levil of Eenties} & A \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Lana Conlfgurators & I & & \(\square\) & 44 & 称 & & \\
\hline Volume (veth) & 5 & 29 & 50 & 745 & 838 & 28 & \\
\hline \(5 / \mathrm{gn} \mathrm{Control}\) & Stop & & & Frise & Free & & \\
\hline Gracte & 0\% & & & 0\% & 0\% & & \\
\hline Peak Hour factor & 0.82 & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.32 & 0.92 & \\
\hline Hounty flow rat (wh) & 5 & 32 & 5 & 810 & 911 & 32 & \\
\hline Peodestilant & 1 & & & & & & \\
\hline Lano With (in) & 12.0 & & & & & & \\
\hline Wowking Speod (tis) & 3.5 & & & & & & \\
\hline Percent liockepe & 0 & & & & & & \\
\hline Right tum fare (vah)
Mefin tyo & & & & WLT & & & \\
\hline & & & & 2 & 2 & & \\
\hline Madian slorape wh) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Upstream eignd (it) pX , pletoon unblocked & & & & 728 & & & \\
\hline WC, confileting volums & 1441 & 472 & 943 & & & & \\
\hline VC1, stage 1 conf vol & 928 & & & & & & \\
\hline C62, etate 2 conf xol & 514 & & & & & & \\
\hline vCu, untlacked vod & 1441 & 472 & 943 & & & & \\
\hline tC, singie (a) & 8.B & 6.9 & 4.5 & & & & \\
\hline  & 5.8 & & & & & & \\
\hline IF (3) & 3.5 & 3.3 & 2.4 & & & & \\
\hline pO quaus fras \% chemeiny & \[
\begin{array}{r}
9806 \\
206
\end{array}
\] & \[
\underset{H}{9}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 91 \\
& 816
\end{aligned}
\] & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & \\
\hline Volune Totat & 37 & B4 & 405 & 403 & 607 & 335 & \\
\hline Volume Lett & 5 & 54 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\
\hline Volume Right & 32 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 32 & \\
\hline CSH & 486 & 616 & 1700 & 1700 & 1700 & 1700 & \\
\hline Vourme to crpueity & 0.08 & 0.09 & 0.4 & 0.24 & 0.36 & 0.20 & \\
\hline Quave Length 95th (i) & & 7 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\
\hline Contor Dowiry (i) & 13.0 & 11.4 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & \\
\hline Lane LOS & 8 & B & & & & & \\
\hline Approecti Oolay (s) & 13.0 & 0.7 & & & 0.0 & & \\
\hline Approemt LOS & B & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Avertage Dolay Internection Gupacity Ufilization Anatyois Period (min)} & & \[
\begin{gathered}
0.6 \\
42.8 \% \\
45
\end{gathered}
\] & & CU Leva & Sontes & \(A\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Queuing and Blocking Report
Future Year (Total) Weekday PM Peak Hour
Intersection: 2: 1-5 NB Ramps \& E Pine St
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Dirsections Served & L & T & \(T\) & T & \(\top\) & R & L & LT & R & R \\
\hline Maximum Queve (ii) & 96 & 191 & 239 & 377 & 376 & 340 & 410 & 536 & 562 & 230 \\
\hline Average Queve ( t ) & 47 & 108 & 126 & 245 & 235 & 123 & 239 & 283 & 179 & 81 \\
\hline 95th Queus (t) & 90 & 190 & 213 & 396 & 386 & 280 & 422 & 508 & 34 & 236 \\
\hline Link Distanca (t) & & 1152 & 1152 & 488 & 486 & & & 676 & 676 & \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Upstreem Blk Time (\%)} \\
\hline Queuing Penaty (veh) & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Storage Bay Dist (t) & 150 & & & & & 265 & 335 & & & 380 \\
\hline Storuge Bik Tine (\%) & & 2 & & & 5 & 0 & 7 & 15 & & \\
\hline Queuing Panalty (veh) & & 2 & & & 24 & 0 & 18 & 40 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Intersection: 8: Table Rock Rd \& Hamrick Rd
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Dinections Served & L & \(R\) & L & TR \\
\hline Meximum Queve ( \({ }_{\text {( }}\) ) & 92 & 69 & 58 & 22 \\
\hline Averrge Queve ( t ) & 47 & 38 & 10 & 1 \\
\hline 95th Queve ( f ) & 88 & 58 & 38 & 10 \\
\hline Link Distance (t) & & 236 & & 976 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Upstreme 棌 Tine (\%)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Quautin Penaliy (worl)} \\
\hline Storay Bay Dist (k) & 160 & & 150 & \\
\hline Storage Blk Thme (\%) & & & & \\
\hline Queung Pernaty (weh) & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Intersection: 13: Federal Way \& Northwest Dwy
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Dinuctions Serwed & LR \\
\hline  & 85 \\
\hline Average Queve (n) & 32 \\
\hline Sfth Queve (t) & 41 \\
\hline Link Distance (i) & 150 \\
\hline Upitroun Blk The (\%) & \\
\hline Queuing Penally (veh) & \\
\hline Stornge Bay Dion (f) & \\
\hline Storage Blk Time (\%) & \\
\hline Queuing Penalty (wh) & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Queuing and Blocking Report Future Year (Total) Weekday PM Peak Hour

Intersection: 14: Federal Way \& Southwest Dwy
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Directiona Sorved & LR & LT \\
\hline Maximumm Queve (fi) & 30 & 31 \\
\hline Average Queus ( f ) & 4 & 1 \\
\hline 95\% Ouevo (t) & 20 & 10 \\
\hline Link Distanca (tt) & 462 & 514 \\
\hline Upstrame B \({ }^{\text {a }}\) Timm (\%) & & \\
\hline Queung Penaliy (wh) & & \\
\hline Storage Bay Diss (t) & & \\
\hline Storage Elk Time (\%) & & \\
\hline Queuing Paraty (weh) & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Intersection: 15: West Hamrick Dwy \& Hamrick Rd
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Dinctione Served & L & L & R \\
\hline Maximuma Cume (i) & 88 & 70 & 51 \\
\hline Averege Queve (i) & 8 & 32 & 19 \\
\hline Soth Cuvie ( \({ }^{\text {a }}\) ) & 36 & 48 & 44 \\
\hline Link Ditatanca (if) & & 154 & 154 \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Upureion ak Trin (\%)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Queving Pentily (wot)} \\
\hline Storage Bay Dite (f) & 150 & & \\
\hline Stornge Bik Trne (\%) & & & \\
\hline Queutig Penaty (wh) & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Intersection: 16: East Hamrick Dwy (RIRO) \& Hamrick Rd
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Dinectiona Sarved & R \\
\hline Madmum Quese (i) & 64 \\
\hline Average Queut (f) & 33 \\
\hline  & 44 \\
\hline Lirk Distence (ti) & \(\$ 12\) \\
\hline Upatream Elk Time (\%) & \\
\hline Queuling Peraity (voh) & \\
\hline Starage Bey Ont (t) & \\
\hline Storage Blk Time (\%) & \\
\hline Quauing Penatity (vah) & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Queuing and Blocking Report
Future Year (Total) Weekday PM Peak Hour
Intersection: 17: Table Rock Rd \& Northeast Dwy
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Drections Served & L & R & L & T & TR \\
\hline Maximum Cuena (it) & 74 & 97 & 154 & 22 & 22 \\
\hline Average Queue ( n ) & 35 & 44 & 82 & 1 & 5 \\
\hline 95th Queve (fi) & 70 & 73 & 108 & 10 & 20 \\
\hline Link Distance (ti) & 179 & 179 & & 364 & 364 \\
\hline Updreanm Blk Time (\%) & & & & & \\
\hline Queuling Penaty (veh) & & & & & \\
\hline Stonge Bry Dist (f) & & & 200 & & \\
\hline Storinge B* Time (\%) & & & & & \\
\hline Queuing Peratty (weh) & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Intersection: 18: Table Rock Rd \& Southeast Dwy
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Directions Served & LR & L \\
\hline Madmum Quoue (i) & 52 & 78 \\
\hline Averace Queve (ti) & 19 & 27 \\
\hline 85\% Cume (t) & 47 & 65 \\
\hline Link Divtanca (t) & 14. & \\
\hline Upatream ork Tine (\%) & & \\
\hline Quouing Penmily (vah) & & \\
\hline Stornac Exy Dist (t) & & 250 \\
\hline Storage Eik Time (\%) & & \\
\hline Quouing Ponity (ven) & & \\
\hline Zone Summary & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
Zore wide Oveing Penaik: 83
}

REVISED PUBLIC WORKS STAFF REPORT
January 5, 2016

\section*{AGENDA ITEM(S):}

Costco Membership Warchouse and Four (4) Island Fuel Facility
Applicant: Costeo Wholesale; Agent: Steve Bullock, MG2

\section*{BACKGROUND:}

The applicant is requesting a Conditional L'se Permit (File No. 15022) and Site Plan \& Architectural Review (File No. 15028) approval for the construction of a Costco Wholesale membership warehouse, including a four (4) island fuel facility, with a scheduled opening date Fall 2016. The 18.28 acre project site is located on four (4) undeveloped lots within the Federal Way Business Park Subdivision. As a previously platted subdivision all utilities, with the exception of transportation infrastructure, are available and adequate to service the project.

The applicant has prepared a Transportation Impact Analysis (TLA) \({ }^{\prime}\) identifying and addressing transportation impacts and mitigation mcasures. The TLA was prepared in accordance with input from the City of Central Point, City of Medford, Jackson County and the Oregon Department of Transportation. The TIA took into account the County's Table Rock widening project (four travel lanes, a center turn lane, bike lanes and sidewalks, and signalization of Table Rock Road and Airport Road) scheduled to begin construction one year (2017) after the opening of the Coste project.

\section*{EXISTING INFRASTRUCTURE:}

Water: There are 8-inch waterlines that exist in Hamrick Road and Federal Way.
Streets: Hamrick Road is a City Collcetor Street. The right-of-way in front of the subject property varies from 72-76 feet, which is adequate to serve the proposed project.
Stormwater: There is a 36 -inch storm line in Hamrick Road.

\section*{TRAFFIC IMPACTS \& MITIGATION:}

The TIA evaluated twelve (12) intersections deemed to be affected by the project. Four of the intersections have issues at the opening of Costco (Build Year Fall 2016). Those intersections are:
1. Table Rock Road \& Airport Road (Jackson County). Currently, this intersection operates at an unacceptable Level of Service (LOS F). This status persists at Build Year and will be resolved upon completion of the Table Rock Road Improvement project in 2017. Because of the timing between Build Year and completion of the Table Rock Road project no mitigation has been proposed or required by the County.
2. Table Rock Road \& Hamrick Road (Jackson County/City of Central Point). The applicant has requested full access movements on the two access driveways on Table Rock Road. Per the County,

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Transportation Impact Analysis Central Point Costco Development, Kittelson \& Associates, Inc., October 2015
}
3. access on Table Rock Road will be limited as follows:
a. Prior to completion of the Table Rock Road project, both access drives will be limited to right-in/right-out movements. Median islands will need to be installed by the applicant to restrict access movements.
b. Prior to the completion of the Table Rock Road project, for the Table Rock Road/Hamrick Road intersection the applicant will be required to construct a center turn lane and refuge lane within the existing Table Rock Road right-of-way.
c. Upon completion of the Table Rock Road Improvement project, access movements will be limited to right-in/right-out, and left-in movements (no signalization) for the two access driveways on Table Rock Road.
4. Northbound I-5 Off-Ramp (ODOT). On the opening date for Costco, the NB I-5 off-ramp will exceed the allowable volume to capacity (v/c) ratio, triggering the need for dual right turn lanes (IAMP 33 Project No. 9). The estimated project cost is \(\$ 1.3 \mathrm{M}\). The project cost sharing shall be as follows:
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { ODOT: } & \$ 800,000 \\
\text { Costco: } & \$ 377,000 \text { (Not to exceed) } \\
\text { City: } & \$ 123,000 \text { (Not to exceed) }
\end{array}
\]

Per ODOT, construction will commence at the carliest possible date. The applicant's proportional share will be payable to the City of Central Point prior to issuance of a building permit and is not SDC eligible.
5. Airport Road \& Biddle Road (City of Medford). The TIA indicates that the westbound approach of Airpurt and Biddle Road exceeds the level of service standard for the City of Medford. Mitigation measures were not addressed in the TIA. Based on comments from the City of Medford, the Rogue Valley International Airport is opposed to a median at the intersection of Biddle Rd and Airport Road. The City of Medford recommends a condition that requires the developer to pay a proportional share toward a future traffic signal at this intersection. The estimated project cost for a traftic signal at this location is \(\$ 450,000\) including design, construction, and inspection. The development's contribution is \(10 \%\) based on additional traffic at this intersection per the Traffic Impact Analysis, dated October 2015, prepared by Kittelson and Associates, Inc. This results in a \(\$ 45,000\) contribution from the developer to this future project.
6. Table Rock Road \& Morningside Street (City of Medford). At the interscction of Table Rock Road and Morningside Street the City of Medford recommends a condition that requires the developer to pay a proportional share towards a future left turn lane at this intersection. Per the City of Medford letter dated December 24, 2015, this development will increase PM peak hour trips through the intersection by \(20 \%\). The City of Medford estimates the total cost for a left turn lane at this location to be \(\$ 300,000\) including design, construction and inspection. A \(20 \%\) contribution would result in a \(\$ 60,000\) contribution from the developer to this future project.

It should be noted that the T 1 A indicates that by 2020 additional lane configurations will be needed for the intersection of East Pine Strect/Hamrick Road. The City of Central Point is tentatively scheduled to complete these improvements by 2018, including improvements to the North-South Traffic to include a receiving lane, a thru lane, and designated right and left turn lanes on Hamrick Road North and South of the intersection. No additional improvements will be made on E. Pine Street/Biddle Road as part of this improvement project.

\section*{CONDITIONS OF APPROVAI:}
1. Oregon Department of Transportation. Priot to issuance of a building permit Costco shall enter into a Cooperative Improvement Agreement with the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT), or a similar agreement acceptable to the City and ODOT ("Agreement"), to fund development and construction of a dual right turn lane at the I-5 Exit 33 northbound off-ramp. The estimated project cost is \(\$ 1.3 \mathrm{M}\). The Agrecment shall distribute costs as follows:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
ODOT & \(\$ 800,000\) \\
Costco: & \(\$ 377,000\) (Not to exceed) \\
City: & \(\$ 123,000\) (Not to exceed) \\
Total & \(\$ 1,300,000\)
\end{tabular}

Costco's contribution shall not exceed \(\$ 377,000\) and shall be paid prior to issuance of a building permit.
2. Transportation Conditions, Jackson Counly Roads. The following addresses .lackson County Roads conditions of development only. See Jackson County Roads memo for general comments not imposed as conditions of development.
A. Jackson County Roads, Condition 1 Prior to issuance of a Certiticate of Occupancy, the applicant shall construct a left turn and left receiving lane on Table Rock at Hamrick Road. The turn and receiving lanes shall have adequate queuing to cnsute safe and efficient operation of the intersection during the first year of opening. Applicants Engineers shall prepare plans identifying the length of improvements. Plans shall be approved by Jackson County Roads and City of Central Point prior to issuance of a building permit. This improvement is not System Development Charges (SDC) eligible as it is in exchange for the required frontage improvements. This work will require a Minor Road Improvement Permit from Jackson County.
B. Jackson County Roads, Condition 2 - Prior to issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy the applicant shall construct median islands in Table Rock Road in front of the two Table Rock Road approaches. Until completion of the County's Table Rock Road project these two Table Rock Road approaches will be limited to right-in/right-out. This work may be included in either the Minor Road Improvement Permit or the Commercial Approach Permit.
C. Jackson County Roads, Condition 3 - \(\Lambda\) s part of the Table Rock Road Project, the Table Rock Road approaches will be constructed as right-in/left-in/right-out movements. The Table Rock Road Project will install the medians as part of the Table Rock Road Project's expenses.

140 South \(3^{r d}\) Street * Central Point, OR 97502 • 541.664.3321 * Fax 541.664.6384
D. Jackson County Roads, Condition 4 - At the County's Table Rock Road Project's expense the County will install a new signal at Airport Road and Table Rock Road.
E. Jackson County Roads, Condition 9 - The applicant shall submit construction drawings to Jackson County Roads and obtain county permits as required.
F. Jackson County Roads, Condition 10 Prior to the issuance of a Building Permit the applicant shall obtain Commercial Approach permits from Jackson County Roads for any new approaches or improved approaches to Hamrick Road and Table Rock Road. The paved approaches shall have a 30' radii and a \(40^{\prime}\) width. Jackson County Roads requires the removal of any existing driveways not being used on Hamrick Road and Table Rock Road.
G. Jackson County Roads, Condition 13 - Utility permits are required from Jackson County Roads for any utility work within the county road right-of-way.
H. Jackson County Roads, Condition 16 - Prior to issuance of a Building Permit if drainage is directed to Hamrick Road and/or Table Rock Road, plans shall be submitted to Jackson County Roads for review and comment on the hydraulic report including the calculations and drainage plan. Capacity improvements or on-site detention shall be installed at the expense of the applicant. Upon completion of the project the developer's engineer shall certify that construction of the drainage system was constructed per plan and a copy of the certification shall be sent to Jackson County Roads.

\section*{3. City of Central Point}
A. Hamrick Road and Federal Way Improvements - Prior to Public Works Final Inspection, the applicant shall install sidewalks and street trees per the Public Works Department Standards and Specifications.
B. Public Works Standard Specifications - The applicant shall use the 2014 revised Public Works Standards and Specifications for all new construction drawings.

\section*{4. City of Medford}
A. Per the City of Medford letter dated January 5, 2016, prior to issuance of a building permit, the applicant shall provide evidence that \(\mathfrak{i t}\) has contributed toward the construction of signalization improvements at the intersection of Airport and Biddle Road. The applicant's share of the signalization improvement shall not exceed \(\$ 45 \mathrm{~K}\), which shall be payable to the City of Medford.
B. Per the City of Medford letter dated January 5, 2016, prior to issuance of a building permit, the applicant shall provide evidence that it has contributed toward the construction of left turn lane improvements at the intersection of Table Rock Road and Morningside Street. The applicant's share of the left turn lane improvement shall not exceed \(\$ 60 \mathrm{~K}\), which shall be payable to the City of Medford.

Roads ATTACHMENT "E"

December 10, 2015

Attention: Stephanie Holtey
City of Central Point Planning
140 South Third Street
Central Point, OR 97502
RE: Conditional Use Permit and Site Plan \& Architectural Review for construction of a 161,992 square foot membership warehouse and four island fuel facility, including 783 parking spaces and site landscaping off Hamrick Road and Table Rock Road - county-maintained roads.

Planning File: 15022 and 15028; 37-2W-12B Tax Lots 213, 214, 215, and 216.
Dear Stepharrie:
Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this Conditional Use Permit and Site Plan \& Architectural Review for construction of a 161,992 square foot membership warehouse and four island fued facility, including 783 parking spaces and site landscaping on a 18.28 acre site in the Industrial \(\mathbf{M - 1}\)-zoning district. The project site is adjacent to Hamrick Road and Table Rock Road. Jackson County Roads has the following comments:
1. Prior to opening, Jackson County requests construction of a left turn and left receiving lane on Table Rock Road at Hamrick Road. The turn and receiving lanes shall have adequate queuing to ensure safe and efficient operation of the intersection during the first year of opening. This work will requite a Minor Road Improvement Permit from Jackson County.
2. Prior to opening, Jackson County requests construction of median islands in Table Rock Road in front of the wo Table Rock Road approaches. Until the County's Table Rock Road improvement project is complete, the Table Rock Road approaches will be limited to right-in/right-out. This work may be included in elther the Minor Road Improvement Permilt or the Commercial Approach Permit.
3. As part of the County's Table Rock Road Improvement Project, the Table Rock Road approaches will be constructed as right-In/left-in/right-out movements. The County's project will install these medians at the project's expense.
4. The County's Table Rock Road Improvement Project will install a new traffic signal at Airport Road at the project's expense.
5. The East Pine/Hamrick intersection will likely fail approximately one year after opening. Central Point should construct improvements to this intersection prior to fallure.
6. Construction of the fourth leg of the Table Rock/Airport Road intersection, with Airport Road Connecting to Federal Way, will significantly improve traffic circulation in the project area. Jackson County would support any efforts which facilitate this improvement.
7. Once the fourth ieg of the Airport intersection is complete and connected to Federal Way, the Federal Way access point will become a significant access for the project. The current site plan utilizes Table Rock and Hamrick Roads as the front of the project and for primary public access. Federal Way is primarily utilized for delivery access and as a minor public access. The site plan should perhaps be modifed to make Hamrick Road and Federal Way the front of the project to recognize the lang term circulation. Regardless of the final "front" of the project, the public access to Federal Wey should receive a major upgrade to encourage public use of this access and improve long term circulation.
8. Jackson County estimates the value of the frontage improvements on Table Rock Road that will not be constructed by the applicent at \(\$ 480,000\).
9. The applicant shall submit construction drawings to Jackson County Roads and obtain county permits if required.
10. The applicant shall obtain Commercial Approach permits from Roads for any new or improved approaches to Hamrick Road and Table Rock Road. The paved approaches shall have \(30^{\prime}\) radii and a \(40^{\prime}\) width. Roads requests the removal of any existing driveways not being used on Hamrick Road and Table Rock Road.
11. The posted speed zone for Table Rock Road is 45 mph , requiring an approach sight distance minimum of 325 '.
12. Hamrick Road is a Basic Speed Rule road. The required approach sight distance is 450'.
13. Utility Permits are required from Roads for any utility work within the county road right-of-way.
14. Piease note Hamrick Road is a local road but the soon to be revised County TSP will designate it as a Minor Collector and is county-maintained with an Average Dally Traffic count of 799 as of \(\mathbf{8 / 2 0 1 4}, 150^{\prime}\) west of Table Rock Road.
15. Please note Table Rock Road is an Arterial Road with an Average Daily Traffic count of approximately \(13,000 \mathrm{in}\) the project area.
16. If drainage is directed to Hamrick Road and/or Table Rock Road, Jackson County Raads would like to review and comment on the hydraulic report including the calculations and drainage plan. Capacity improvements or on site detention, if necessary, shall be instalied at the expense of the applicant. Upon completion of the project, the developer's engineer shall certify that construction of the drainage systern was constructed per plan and a copy of the certfication shall be sent to Jackson County Roads.
17. We would like ta be notified of future development proposals, as county permits may be required.
18. We concur with any right-of-way dedicated.

\section*{Sincerely,}


Mike Kuntz, P.E.
County Englneer

Kate Brown, Governor

December 14, 2015
STEPHANIE HOLTEY, PLANNER
GITY OF CENTRAL POINT PLANNING DEPARTMENT
140 SOUTH THIRD STREET
CENTRAL POINT, OR 97502
Re: Castco Wholesale Conditional Use Permit: 15022 and Site Plan/Architectural Review: 15028.

Thank you for the opportunity to reyiew the Conditional Use Permit (CUP) application, Site Plan/Architectural Review application and associated traffic impact analysis (TlA) for the construction of a 161,992 square foot mombership warehouse and four (4) island fuel facility, including 783 parking spaces and site landscaping. The 18,028 acre property is located at the southwest corner of the Table Rock Road and Harnrick Road intersection. 37-2W-12B Tax I,ots 213, 214, 215, and 216.

ODOT is requesting that the City of Central Point include the following condition for CUP 15022:
- Costco shall enter into a Cooperative Improvement Agreement with the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) to fund development and construction of a dual right turn lane at the I-5 Exit 33 northbound off-ramp. Costco's share of the estimated \(\$ 1.3\) million improvenent shall be limited to \(\$ 500,000\), with ODOT funding the remaining cost of the improvement.

You may contact me at 541-774-6399 if you have any further questions or require additional information.
Thank you,

\section*{Don Morehouse}

Senior Transportation Planner, Development Review
Cc: Ron Hughes, Michael Wang, Cathy Harshman, Jeremiah Griffin

\section*{Stephanie Holtey}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
From: & Kelly A. Akin <Kelly.Akin@cityofmedfordorg> \\
Sent: & Thursday, December 03, 2015 12:11 PM \\
To: & Stephanie Haltey \\
Subject: & RE: Action Needed: Request for Agency Comments on Land Use Applications \\
& \\
& \\
Stephanie - &
\end{tabular}

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Costco applications. The City of Medford Planning Department has no comments.

Kelly Akin
Principal Planner
City of Medford
Planning Department
\(411 \mathrm{~W}^{\text {h }}\) Street
Medford OR 97501

sant: Monday, Nowember 16, 2015 3:51 PM
To: Kelly A. Akin
Subject: Action Needed: Request for Agency Comments on Land Use Applications
Importmen: High

Kelly,

The City has received the following applications for Costco Wholesale:
- Conditional Use Permit (File No. 15022)
- Site Pian \& Architectural Revlew (File No. 15028)

This request for agency comments (attached) was also sent to Alex Georgevitch in Public Works. Due to the size of the application, the site exhibits, findings and traffic information analysls have been posted on the City's website at the following lacation: htto://www.centralpointoregon.sov/cd/project/costco-conditional-use-permitsite-plan-architecturah-review.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Stephanio Holtoy, CFM
Community Planner II
City of Contral Point
140 South \(3^{\text {rd }}\) Streot
Central Point, OR 97502
Desk: (541) 864-7602, Ext. 244
Fax: (541) 664-6384
www.cantredpointoregon.a0y

\section*{ROGUE VALLEY SEWER SERVICES}

Location: If\& West Villas Road, Central Point, OR - Mailing Address: P.O. Box 3130, Cental Point, OR 7Sn2-00c5 Tel. (S41) 664-6300, Fax (541) 664-7171 www.RVSS.us

November 16, 2015
Stephanie Holley
City of Central Point Planning Department
155 South Second Street
Central Point, Oregon 97502
Re: File 15022 CUP and 15028 SPR - Costco Wholesale, 'Tax Lots 213, 214, 215, and 216, Map 372W12R
Sanitary sewer service to the proposed development can be had by connecting to the existing 8 inch sewer main on Federal Way. The connection can be done either as a private service lateral or a public main line extension. There is an 8 inch pipe extended to the property at the Northwest comer that would facilitate this connection.

A private service lateral connection will require a permit from RVSS, which will be issued upon payment of related development fees.

A public sewer extension must be designed by a licensed engineer and constructed in accordance with RVSS standards.

The project is within the Phase 2 stormwater quality area and must comply with stormwater quality requirements outlined in the Regional! Stormwater Design Manual. 'The proposed development does not involve any sewer construction.

The project does have stormwater quality impacts and trust comply with the standards established in the regional Stormwater Quality Design Manual.

Rogue Valley Sewer Services requests that approval of this development be subject to the following conditions:
1. Applicant must submit sanitary sewer plans to RVSS for review and approval demonstrating compliance with RVSS standards prior to the start of construction.
2. Applicant must submit a stornwater management plan demonstrating compliance with the regional Stormwater Design Manual for review and approval by RVSS prior to the start of construction.
3. Applicant must obtain a construction site erosion and sediment control permit from RVSS prior to any ground disturbing activities.

Feel free to call the if you have any questions.


Carl Tappers, PE
Manager

Continuous Improvement Custamer Service

January 5, 2016
Stephanie Holtey
City of Central Point
Planning Department
140 So. Third St.
Central Point, OR. 97502
Dear Ms. Hoitey:
We have reviewed the Central Point Staff Report, dated January 5, 2016, for the proposed Costco Conditional Use Permit and have the following comments:
1. We understand the Rogue Valley International Airport is opposed to a median at the intersection of Biddie Rd and Airport Rd, as described in Condition No. 3. We recommend a condition that requires the developer to pay a proportional share towards a future traffic signal at this intersection. The City of Medford estimates the total cost for a traffic signal at this location to be \(\$ 450,000\) including design, construction, and inspection. We estimate the development's contribution at \(10 \%\) from the additional traffic at this intersection shown in the Traffic Impact Analysis, dated October 2015, prepared by Kittelson and Associates, Inc. This results in a \(\$ 45,000\) contribution from the developer to this future project.
2. At the intersection of Table Rock Rd. and Morningside St. we recommend a condition that requires the developer to pay a proportional share towards a future left turn lane at this intersection. See attached accident history showing an existing pattern of northbound rear-end collisions at this intersection. Per our Decamber 24, 2015 letter, this development will increase PM peak hour trips through the intersection by 20\%. The City of Medford estimates the total cost for a left turn lane at this location to be \(\$ 300,000\) including design, construction, and inspection. A \(20 \%\) contribution would result in a \(\$ 60,000\) contribution from the developer to this future project.

The City of Medford is open to discussing alternate mitigation designs, costs, and/or methodologies to calculate the developer's share of the cost of mitigating these traffic impacts to those as described above. These values are the best estimate we can make at this time with the information available. If you have questions, please contact me at (541) 774-2115.

Sincerely
Pon电屏
Karl MacNair, PE
Transportation Manager
CC: Alex Georgevitch
File

\section*{Morningside St \& Table Rock Rd 29 Accidents (rate:1.32) 01/01/10-12/04/14 \\ }



\section*{hirersection mipic ven 6.725 \\ Why of Merition，OR 01／05／2016}

\section*{crident tistive \\ 1／01／2010－11／04／2014 \\ Sored by contyirantincis}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline CSE © & OATE & TME & OSTA 0 a 9 & Strest 1 & STREET 2 & The Of COLL & VEH： & ver 2 & veh 1 move & veh 2 MOVE & VEH 1 TTE & ven 2 TYE & LAEE PDS & many & SEVEVITY & Fatal & Emfoncimest & At FNATIT & SECCAUSE \\
\hline 1002341 & 1／23／2010 & 15：28 & 0 East & TARLENOCX R9 & MOnNmGSTCC 5 & Shtasalipe & Herth & Horih & stright & Tuming Leth & Mavins dut & Mondrg Aed & & 口 & & 0 & O Foturmin too clase & Ven 1 & \\
\hline 3001951 & \(212 / 2010\) & 16：21 & \(\bigcirc\) & Thele mock mo & MORHWESTDE ST & fear end & North & Nerth & Stimigh & Sireimt & Morens mat & Moneng Aut & & 2 & & 1 D & D following tioctres & Veh 1 & \\
\hline 21004405 & 3／11／2010 & 17：21 & 75 sourd & Thulf mocx mo & momunisbe 5 & Rear end & Nouth & Horth & 5 trime & Stapped in fird & Mavolay Aut & Mosenem mut & & 0 & & 0 & 0 Follomin 100 ctose & Vet & \\
\hline jometes & 6／2／2019 & 15：23 & 200 \％orch & tablerock mo & HORAMISSLEt 51 & Rear end & South & Srueh & 5 traight & Tumbinteetr & Maring Aut & Mondim Mut & & 0 & 0 & 0 － & －Carcere Diting & voh 1 & Did not zomp \\
\hline 100asal & 6／3／2010 & 2060 & 500 Marth & table eocx mo & MOhencsiot st & Heat end & South & Sown & stright & Stoppeta in Tras & Mnvncaut & Mrowing Aut & & 0 & 0 & 0 O & － O H Alcahol & Veh1 & \\
\hline 200F15s & 5／12／2010 & 12.54 & 0 & table modx mp & MORNENGSIEES & Recrered & Nerth & North & 51 medt & Tumbers ieht & Movireaut & MOM & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 Fosowns 100 dose & Yet 1 & \\
\hline 1010063 & 7／1／2010 & \＄537 & 0 & TABE ECOX RO & MOREMNGSDEST & Stdeswpe & South & Sowit & Deveramy & Tumbug Rift & Mexat Aut & Movintent & Pentr Shous & 0 & & 0 & 0 umprop passind & veh 1 & \\
\hline 1016501 & 10f18／2018 & 16.26 & 0 & necimmesilis & TALLE ROCK MD & Anst & Worth & Ens： & Strayt & Slopped in Trea & Blerctic & Movind Aut & & ： & & 20 & －Careless Ditaing & vehs & \\
\hline 102月99 & 12／2／2010 & 0；44 & 1000 Moreh & TABLE MOCX \({ }^{\text {a }}\) D & Sclanitarale 5 & sidermpe & North & South & Slpipt & Stright & Memins aut & Mavine 4 & Offrad & 0 & & 0 &  & vepr & Henand fun \\
\hline 1107 ycs & 4／1／2011 & 16．36 & 0 & TABLE HOCK 8 S & MORPMESSIDEST & Rear end & Morth & North & Stramte & Tumminisf & Mcorim mut & Naving Act & & 1 & & 1 a & a banyer Move of spp／pit ve & vel 1 & \\
\hline 13 desta & 5／20／2011 & 1159 & morts & TMAL mock ra & mornmisidef 5 t & Rear and & Morth & Horth & straime & Stapper in Trat & nowing tut & Mavtrs ali & & 2 & & 1 0 & 0 Fritowing tua disse & vela & Nrimat \\
\hline 1110451 & 6／13／2011 & 16.11 & 50500 mh & TABLE ROCK RD & mopmanasiof st & Rear tend & North & North & Stratiof & Stopperin Trit & Masrandive & Maving Aus & & 2 & & 1 O & 0 followirs too clase & Vat 1 & \\
\hline 2110560 & 616／2011 & 19：37 & 50 Sowth & Thele mocx & Mornivestit 5 & Near end & Hornh & Narth & Strizbe & Scopped in Tral & & & & 0 & & 0 & 0 Foldowhereme diose & velt & Voined Tra \\
\hline 11240st & 8／212014 & 10．31 & 0 & TABE ROCX \({ }_{\text {St }}\) & ankmendes & Head on & South & & Turneserate & Stationay & Momine aut & Toper & Offrada & d & & 0 & 0 comess Diving & veh & \\
\hline 2115253 & 2／35／2011 & 16：40 & 521 Mawth & tane nixi mb & MOMNMESLCEST & Solemac & South & Menth & Leartiral hatif & Strant & Maxinulal & Mances Ans & & 0 & & 0 & a crubess Orioine & Veh 1 & ald ner come \\
\hline \(11166{ }^{1}\) & 9／15／2011 & 17：17 & 30500 b & vabl mocx mo & momamestice st & \(\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{~m}\) end & Noan & Nath & Strsidn & Stopped in Tras & & & & 0 & & 0 － & 0 Fallowis toc dose & Veh 1 & \\
\hline 111950 & 11／1／2011 & 18：18 & 0 & tabli nock me & MONPMSSCESI & newend & Noush & Noth & Stremit & Twnhes left & Munenemat & Mosisf Aut & & 1 & & 1 d & 0 Mone & ved 1 & Hitand Mus： \\
\hline 128976 & 2／28／2012 & 1557 & 0 & TAELE ROCX \({ }^{\text {mo }}\) & MONK．ESSDE 5 & nerend & Morth & Morth & Strimeth & Stopped in Traf & & & & 0 & & 0 & 0 followisut tex cisse & Vent & \\
\hline 12011818 & 3／2／2012 & 14：9） & 40 savth & taple mocr mo &  & Mear med & Morth & Nath & Straptr & Tumbictetr & Moserst Aut & Mosizask & & 1 & & 1 & －Fatowigh too dase & veh 2 & \\
\hline 1212435 & 7／6／2012 & 1614 & 0 & tiAl & Momamesioe sit & Node & No & ort & 5trabit & Tominticat & Maving Aus & Afoment & & 0 & & 0 & －Foflowiry teo ciss & vell & Did not comp \\
\hline 1212855 & 7／10／20n2 & 13：29 & 0 & TAU4E RECK mo & MOMmencrice 5 IT & Anye & fax & Nonk & Tunsere leth & Strajor & Motaysar & Movry Ans & & 1 & & \(\geq\) & O FAP to obey STOP SIGN & veh 1 & Did met mant \\
\hline 121583 & W／1／2012 & 1ELI0 & 0 & Thay moct mo & MONTEMSDES ST & Bearcod & morth & Morts & Stumb & Stopped in Trat & mowint An & morimant & & － &  & 0 － & 0 Fellowing too closa & Werl 1 & \\
\hline 1221590 & 11／5／2012 & 15：4 & \％ 500 & TA & morevenespips & Non crixio & Narth & Nomm & sonitu & Stupondin Tres & Moring aut & Moskith Aut & & 。 & 。 & 0 & \(a\) Falliming tion dine & Veti 1 & \\
\hline 131600 & 1／26／2013 & 1：54 & 509 North & tame mocy mo & MCRMMESDEST & Satsupe & North & & tabytrivin & sexpenery & Mawingat & Oblert & Off maxd & 0 & － & － & Q Hene & veh 1 & Hexand mun \\
\hline 1317715 & 7／12／2013 & \(1 \mathrm{H1}\) & 0 & MCAMUETOES & Thele mocira & ande & East & North & Tumbay lett & Tarnimg lat & Moxnsxul & Mowing Aut & & 1 & 1 & 1 10 & 0 Faito mbey Stiof Sxin & veh1 & \\
\hline 1315032 & 9／20／8013 & 11：11 & 3 Nemb & TARE MOCL ma & Momancider 5 & Rear end & South & South & sirayht & Stoppedim Trif & Mrovingur & Movers & & 1 & & 1 d & 10 following lue dase & van 1 & Vidmed Tr \\
\hline 132177 & 11／1／2013 & 14844 & 1000 Nuth & TAME MOCX \({ }^{\text {d }}\) & MDANAESTOE 51 & Hespend & Narth & Nomh & Straint & Stopged in Tral & Moskryant & Monder Aut & Offroad n & 0 & 0 & 0 & a Following too daye & Ven 1 & \\
\hline 1374605 & 12／4／2013 & 10111 & 0 & MORHIMESIDES & TAMEICHOCK \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & An¢： & South & East & Tumins cipm & Scoppedin Trd & 1 & Morimit Aut & & 0 & & 0 & 0 ORher & vet & Weather \\
\hline 1413180 & 5／8／2014 & 16：71 & 3050 & tanf rock mo &  & Preay mit & North & Nornn & Strisfl & Slapper in Tr & Meotral Aur & Mowny Aus & & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 Fallowing coa clase & Vent & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Continuous Improvement Customer Service

December 24, 2015
Stephanie Holtey
City of Central Point
Planning Department
140 So. Third St.
Central Point, OR. 97502
Dear Ms. Holtey:
We have reviewed the Traffic impact Analysis, dated October 2015, for the proposed Costco Conditional Use Permit and have the following comments:
1. Mitigation is required at the intersection of Biddle Rd and Airport Rd due to project traffic degrading the level of service on the westbound approach below acceptable standards. The increase in traffic volume will increase competition for gaps in traffic for permissive movements resulting in the acceptance of smaller gaps and increase collision potential at the intersection.
2. The intersection of Table Rock Rd. and Morningside St. needs to be studied to mitigate safety effects of project trips on a decrease in safety at the intersection. The proposed increase in traffic will increase rear end pressure on northbound left turning motorists and decrease available gaps in southbound traffic. This will induce them to choose smaller gaps and increase collision potential at the intersection. The 90 P.M. peak hour project trips each way north and southbound represent a \(20 \%\) increase over the 450 peak hour through trips each way counted on Table Rock in 2015. The development should contribute to a project to construct a northbound left turn lane at Morningside St and Table Rock Rd.

If you have questions, please contact me at (541) 774-2121.
Sincerely,

Peter Mackprang
Associate Traffic Engineer
CC: Kim Parducci
Don Burt
Dan O'Connor

\title{
FINDINGS OF FACT \& CONCLUSIONS OF LAW \\ Costco Wholesale Conditional Use Permit \\ File No. 15022
}

January 5, 2016

\section*{Applicant:}

Costco Wholesale
999 Lake Drive
Issaquah, WA 98027

Findings of Fact
and
Conclusion of Law

\section*{PART 1 - INTRODUCTION}

Costco Wholesale is requesting a Conditional Cse Permit to develop 18.28 acres of vacant industrial land (M-1) zone with a membership warehouse and associated four (4) island fuel facility. The 161,992 square foot membership warehouse will be located on the southwest property boundary and the fuel facility on the southeast property boundary. It is the applicant's intent to relocate its existing facility to the proposed site with a scheduled opening date Fall 2016.

The project site is located on the eastern edge of the Central Point city limits at the southeast comer of Hamrick and Table Rock Road (Figure 1). The site also has frontage on Federal Way, a local street. Suirrounding properties include developed and undeveloped industrial lands, including the M-1 and M-2 zoning districts.

In accordance with Table 17.05.01, the Costco Conditional Use Permit application has been processed using Type IIl procedures as set forth in Section 17.05 .400 of the Central Point Municipal Code.

Including this introduction, these findings will be presented in three (3) parts as follows:
1. Introduction
2. Section 17.76.040, Conditional Use Findings \& Conclusions
3. Summary Conclusion


\section*{PART 2 - CONDITIONAL USE FINDINGS \& CONCLISIONS}
17.48.040 Conditional Uses.

The following uses and their accessory uses may be permitted in an M-l district when autnorized in accordance with Chapter 17.76:
A. Business offices and commercial uses that are compatible with and closely related in their nature of busincss to permitted uses in the M-1 district, or that would be established to serve primarily the uses, employees, or customers of the M-1 district;
B. Rail and trucking distribution facilities.

Finding 17.48.040(A): The City, by Planning Commission Resolution 764 and City Council Resolution 1217, determined that membership warehouses are a commercial use compatible with and closely related to permitted uses in the M-1 zone.

Conclusion 17.48.040(A): Costco Wholesale, a membership warehouse that includes wholesale automobile fuel sales, is specifically allowed as a Conditional Use.

Finding 17.48.040(B): There are no rail or trucking distribution facilities associated with the proposed use.

Conclusion 17.48.040(B): Not applicable.

\subsection*{17.76.040 Findings and Conditions}

The planning commission in granting a conditional use penmit shall find as follows:
A. That the site for the proposed use is adequate in size and shape to accommodate the use and to meet all other development and lot requirements of the subject zoning district and all other provisions of this code.

Finding 17.76.040(A): As evidenced in the applicant's site plan, the 18.28 acre project site is adequately sized to accommodate the proposed structures and off-street parking as follows:
1) Setback Requirements (CPMC 17.48.060). The proposed structures meet the setback requirements of the M-1 zoning district as set forth in Table 1 below:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Tahte 1 Prop Yard & rrl Sethacks Miromum Suthar:k & Waratrouse & Fuel C anopy \\
\hline Front (North) & 20-ft & 275-ft & 950-ft \\
\hline Side (West) & 10-ft & 60-ft, 3-in & 51-At \\
\hline Side (East) & 10-ft & 395-ft & 35-ft \\
\hline Rear (South) & 10-ft & 60-f, 10-in. & 160-ft 1 -in. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) File No. 09027-M-1 Code Amendment
}
2) Off-Street Parking Requirements (CPMC 17.64.040). The applicant's parking plan proposes 783 parking spaces, which is 85 spaces in excess of the maximum 698 spaces allowed (Table 2).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Proposed Costco Floor Area by Use & Building Area (Sq. Ft.) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Min./Max. \\
Parking \\
Standard
\end{tabular} & Parking Supply Ratio & \begin{tabular}{l}
Required Parking (No. \\
Spaces)
\end{tabular} & Proposed Parking & Surplus/Deficit \\
\hline Retail & 134,064 & 1/200 s.f. & 5.00 & 670 & 783 & 113 \\
\hline Warehouse & 27,928 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1 / 1,000 \\
& \text { s.f. }
\end{aligned}
\] & 1.00 & 28 & & (28) \\
\hline TOTAL & 161.992 & 1/232 s.f. & 4.31 & 698 & 783 & 85 \\
\hline Propased Adjustment & 161,992 & 1/207 s.f. & 4.83 & 783 & 783 & - \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

In accordance with Section \(17.64 .040(B)(2)\), the applicant is requesting an increase to the maximum parking standard for the proposed use. Table 3 summarizes the data provided in the applicant's parking demand analysis, which is based upon the following:
- Documented parking supply and demand at existing Costco Wholesale warehouses in Oregon; and,
- The Institute of Iransportation Engineers (ITE) Parking Generation, \(4^{\text {th }}\) Edition recommendation to maintain a maximum parking utilization of \(90 \%\) during the typical peak periods to avoid illegal parking and repeating circulation. \({ }^{2}\)

Table 3. Costco Parking Demand Analysis Summary
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Costeothe Locetion & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Wordhos } \\
& \text { se She } \\
& \text { (Sq. Ft.) }
\end{aligned}
\] & Fowing supply & Pank Perfod Perking Domand & Perlitig Domand per 1,000 Sq. Fit. & \begin{tabular}{l}
Fuxting \\
Supply to \\
Malntaln \\
20\% \\
animatmon \\

\end{tabular} & Fanimum Anconminend ed Parking: Aedo \\
\hline Clackamas & 137,000 & 693 & 670 & 4.89 & 744 & 5.43 \\
\hline Medford & 136,297 & 654 & 579 & 4.25 & 644 & 4.72 \\
\hline Aloha & 148,030 & 682 & 528 & 3.57 & 587 & 3.96 \\
\hline Average & 140,442 & 676 & 592 & 4.24 & 658 & 4.71 \\
\hline Central Point, Proposed & 161.992 & 782 & & & 753 & 4.83 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The applicant's parking proposal for the Central Point location is slightly higher than the average minimum recommended parking ratio (Table 3) at 4.83 parking spaces per 1,000 s.f. GFA. Since the difference between the minimum recommendation and the proposed adjustment is within the range of acceptable statistical error (less than 5\%) and is consistent with the ITE

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{2}\) ITE Parking Generation, \(4^{\text {th }}\) Edition, 2010.
}
recommendation to stay below \(90 \%\) utilization for typical and seasonal peaks, the request to increase the panking standard is warranted and can be accommodated as demonotrated by the applicant's site plan.
3) Louding Requirements (Section 17. 64.040). Loading required for retail buildings greater than 100,000 s.f. GFd includes 3 bays plus 1 bay for each additional 80,000 s. \(f\). On this basis the proposed 161,992 s.f. warehouse requires four (4) loading bays, which are provided on applicant 's site plan and architectural elevations (north and west elevations). Additionally, the plans show three (3) loading areas for smaller truck/van deliveries.

Conclusion 17.76.040(A): The site is sufficient in size and shaple to accommodate the use and meei the development and lot requirements of the \(M-1\) zone.
B. That the site has adequate access to a public street or highway and that the street or highway is adecuate in size and condition to effectively accormodate the traffic that is cxpected to be generated by the proposed use.

Finding 17.76.040(B): The proposed Costco membership warehouse and fuel facility wilf generate approximately 10,670 new daily trips. In accordance with Section 17.05.900(A)(2)(c), the applicant prepared a Traffic Impact Analysis (IIA) for the proposed Costco membership warehouse and fuel facility. The scope of work for the TIA was based on scoping sessions held on June 2. 2015 and August 13, 2015 with affected transportation agencies (i.e. Oregon Depurtment of Transportation, Jackson County, City of Medford \({ }^{3}\), and City of Central Point). It was agreed that the TIA would evaluate twelve (12) intersections and all proposed site access driveways.

Per the TIA Costco membership data was utilized in conjunction with area-wide population, land use, employment and transportation information to determine how the transportation system will operate under build year (2016) and future year (2030) conditions with and without the proposed Costco development in place. The TlA accounted for Jackson County's Table Rock Road widening project, which is scheduled to begin construction one year (2017) after opening of the propesed Costco project. Upon completion of the project, Table Rock Road will include four travel lanes, continuous center turn lane, bicycle lanes and sidewalks on both sides of the roadway from Biddle Road to Airport Road. The roadway will then narrow to two (2) travel lanes with a continuous center turn lane, bicycle lanes and sidewalks on both sides of the roadway from Airport Road to the 1-5 crossing. Signalization of the Table Rock/Airport Road intersection will be completed as part of this project. As a result of the planned improvements, traffic impacts on Table Rock Road (i.e. Intersections of Table Rock and Hamrick Road and Table Ruck and Airport Road) will be resolved.

Based on the TIA and comments received from affected agencies, there are traffic impacts to the following six (6) roadways:

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{3}\) City of Medford did not provide any input into the TIA's scope of work.
}
1. Northbound 1-5 Off-Ramp (ODOT).
2. Table Rock Road and Hamrick Road Intersection (Jackson County).
3. Table Rock Road and Airport Road Intersection (Jackson County).
4. Airport Road and Biddle Road Intersection (City of Medford
5. Hamrick Road/East Pine Street/Biddle Road (City of Central Point).

Subsequent to completion of the TLA the City of Medford noted that a sixth intersection, the intersection of Table Rock Road and Morningside Street, would also be impacted by the project. The impacts and proposed mitigation for each of the above intersections are:
1. Northbound 1-5 Off-Ramp. On the date of opening, the TIA indicates that the volume to capacity (v/c) ratio on the NB I-5 Off-Ramp will be exceeded trigging the need for implementation of IAMP 33 Project No. 9 (dual right turn lanes from the off-ramp to East Pine Street). To mitigate this condition ODOT required that Project No. 9 of LAMP 33 be required as a condition of development. Prior to commencement of construction of the applicant's project ODOT's Project No. 9 must be fully funded and schetuted for construction. Recognizing that the applicant's project was not responsibie for the total impact it was agreed that the applicant will pay a prorated share of the costs.
2. Table Rock Read and Hamrick Road Intersection. Droring the interim (period between completion of the applicant's project and cumpletion of the County's Table Rock Road Project) site access on Table Rock Road will be limited to right-in/right-out. As a result of the access restrictions, left turn delays at Harmrick Road and Table Rock will result unacceptable interim levels of service (LOS F). The TIA demonstrates that the identified interim impucts to the right-in/right-out access restrictions on the Hamrick/Table Rock Road intersection (non-signalized) are resolved upon completion of the Table Rock Widening project. To limit access and resolve the identified interim impact to Flamrick/Tuble Rock Road, the County is requiring the following conditions:
a. Until the County's Table Rock Road project is complete, the private Table Rock Road approaches will be limited to right-in/right-out only. To assure this movement the applicant shall construct median islands in Table Rock Road in front of the two Table Rock Road private approaches.
b. Prior to certficate of occupancy, the upplicant shall construct a left turn and left receiving lane on I'able Rock Road at Hamrick Road. The turn and receiving lanes shall have adequate queuing to ensure sufe and efficient operation of the intersection during the first year of opening.
c. As part of the County's Table Rock Improvement Project, the Table Rock Road approaches will be constructed as right-in/left-in/right-out movements. The County's project will install these medians at the project's expense.
3. Table Rock and Airport Roud Intersection. This intersection is currently at LOS F. With the applicant's project and the pending improvements to the intersection scheduted for 2017 as part of the Table Rock Road Widening Project the level of service will be improved to LOS A. During the interim it is agreed that a lower level of service is acceplable.
4. Airport Road and Biddle Road. This intersection curvently operates at a IOS C. At build year, the intersection will operate at a LOS E. The City of Medford's revien of the TIA, per a letter dated January 5, 2016, indicated that the preferred mitigation would be the eventual signalization of this intersection and recommended that the applicant pay their proportional share ( \(10 \%\) ) of the fiture (no planned date) signalization cost prior to comnencement of constriction of the applicant's project.
5. Ifconrick Road and East.Pine Street/Biddle Road Intersection. With completion of the applicart's project the intersection of Hamrick Road and East Pine Street/Biddle Road is not expected to exceed LOS D. However, the TIA confirmed that by 2020 the City's TSP Project \#213 will be needed at this intersection to avoid an unacceptable level of service. The city of Central Point is tentatively scheduled to complete the necessary improvements as a Capital Improvement Project by 2018, inchiding north-south trajfic receiving lanes', a thru lane, and designated right and left turn lanes on Hamrick Road north and south of the intersection. The City is not requiving interim mitigation, since the identified impacts do not occur at the build year.

Table Rock Road and Morningside Street Intersection. Although not studied in the TIA the City of Medford, in letters dated December 24, 2015 and Jonuary 5, 2016, stated that increased project related traffic volume on Tahle Rock Road would increase collision potential to turning movements at the intersection. The City of Medford recommends that prior to commencement of construction of the applicont's project that the applicant contribute its proportional share ( \(20 \%\) ) toward future (no scheduled date; construction of left turn improvements at this intersection.

Conctusion 17.76.040(B): Yer the Applicant's IIA and the recommentations of the affected agencies, traffic impacts of the proposed use on public streets and highways have been identified and will be mitigated as noted in the above findings and as conditioned in the Rerrised Staff Report dated January 5, 2016.
C. That the proposed use will have no significant adverse cifect on abutting property or the permitted use thereor. In making this determination, the commission shall consider the proposed location of improvements on the site; vehicular ingress, egress and internal circulation; setjacks; height of buildings and structures; walls and fences; landscaping; outdoor lighting; and signs.

Finding 17.76.040(C): The following chavacteristics were evaluated in consideration of the proposal's impacts to abutting properties:
1. Proposed Location of Site Improvements. As illustrated in the Site Plan the location of the proposed varehouse, fuel facility, parking and landscape improvements are consistent with the site design and development requirements of the M-I zoning district (See Finding 17.76.040(A)).
2. Vehicular Ingress, Egress and Internal Circulation. The project site proposes two atcess drives on each of the frontage roads (i.e. Federal Way, Hamrick Road and Table Rock Road). Per the TIA, access restrictions to private approaches on Table Rock Road, prior to completion of the Table Rock Road widening project, cause operational and safety issues at Hamrick Road. As demonstruted in Finding 17.76.04J(B), access restrictions and mitigation measures resolve traffic impacts associated with ingress and egress as conditioned per the Revised Staff Report dated January 5, 2016.
3. Setbacks. The applicant's site plan identifies the location of structures and off-street parking areas consistent with the setback requirements in the M-I zoning district (See Finding 17.76.040(A).
4. Building Height. Per the Architectural Elevations submitted by the applicant, the warehouse will have a varied roofline with a maximum height of \(34-\mathrm{fl}\) at the top of the highest parapet. The proposed building height is lypical of surrounding warehouse development and within the nuximum 60 -ft building height allowed in the M-I zone. The top of ihe fuel canopy is 17 \(f t\)-inches within the maximum height requirements of the \(M-1\) zone.
5. Walls and Fences: Due to the nature of the proposed use as bulk retail sales, the applicant's proposal does not include site obscuring walls or fences. This proposal is typical of other commercialiretail development in the city, and is consistent with other permitted uses in the M-1 zone, As such, the no adverse impacts to adjacent properties or their permitted uses will result from the absence of fences and walls.
6. Landscaping. The applicant's Landscape Plan illustrates proposed street frontage and offstreet parking area landscape improvements consistent with site clevelopment requirements in the M-I zone. This is considered to be adequate and effective in avoiding adverse visual impacts to adjaining properties.
7. Outdoor Iighting. The applicant submilted a Site Photometric Plan that shows perimeter and interior lighting throughout the site. Lighting is oriented toward the interior site and is not deemed to cause an adverse impact to adjoining properties.
8. Signs. The Applicant has submitted a Class "C" Variance (File No. 15032) from the sign area standard of CPMC' 17.48.080(A)(1). The signage variance request would allow wall signs that are proportional to the building scale and dimension consistent with signage permitted in other commercial ( \(C\) ) districts in the City. Based upon the applicant's proportionality rationaie for the proposal, the variance request is deemed reasonable. However, if the variance is not approved, the applicant will be required to demonstrate compliance with the M-1 sign area standards prior to building permit issuance.

Conclusion 17.76.040(C): The applicant's project is typical of site development within the M-I zone. As such, the site development standards for permitted uses in combination with the
conditions of approwal relative to vehicle ingress und egress (Finding 17.76.040(B); are deemed sufficient to avoid adverse impacts to athutting properties or permitted uses thereof.
D. That the establishment, maintenance or operation of the use applied for will comply with local, state and federal heal:h and safety regulations and therefore will not be detrimental to the heallh, safety or geteral welfare of persons residing or working in the surrounding neighborhoods and will not be detrimental or injurious to the property and improvements in the neighborhood or to the general welfare of the community based on the review of those factors listed in subsection \(C\) of this section.

Finding 17.76.040(1)): The issue of safety is regulated through the building code and in comjunction with the fire district. The proposed fueling sfution must be constructed and operated in compliance with all Federal, State and local regtitation and shall be reviewed during the building permit process and prior to issuance of a building permit. The Applicant's findings affirm their commioment to complying with all Federal, State and local regulations.

Conclusion 17.76.040(D): The proposed Costco Wholesale is consistcnt with this criterion.
E. That any conditions required for approval of the permit are demed recessary to protect the public health, safety and genceal welfare and may include:
1. Adjustments to lot size or yard areas as needed to best accommodate the proposed use; provided the lots or yard areas conforn to the stated minimum dimensions for tae subject zoning district, unless a variance is also granted as provided for in Chapter 17.13,

Finding 17.76.040(E)(1): The site is adequate to accommotate the proposed development as demonstrated in Finding 17.76.040(A). However, as a condition of approval, legal lot consolidation of the four (4) lots comprising the site will be reguired prior to buitding pernit issuance to eliminate property boundury confliots with the proposed straciures.

Conclusion 17.76.040(E)(I): As conditioned, the required lot consolidation is suffictent to resolve the identified property boundary conflicts with proposed structures.
2. Increasing street widths, modifications in street designs or addition of street signs or traffic signals to accommodate the traffic generated by the proposed use,

Finding 17.76.040(E)(2): See Finding 17.76.040(R).
Conclusion 17.76.040(E)(2): As demonstrated in \(17.76 .040(B)\), the transportation system is sufficient to accommodate traffic generated by the proposed use ar conditioned.
3. Adjustments to off-street parking requirements in accordance with any unique characteristics of the proposed use,

Finding 17.76.040(E)(3): Per the Parking Demand Assessment inchuded in the Applicant's TIA, the propnsed use has parking demands, unique to Costco, that necessitate an increase in allowable parking The applicant has proposed an increase to the City's off-street parking standard to allow 783 parking spaces, which is consistent with the minimum recommended parking for Costco and maintains a utilization rate less \(90 \%\) utilization per the ITE's recommendation for off-street parking areas.

Conclusion 17.76.040(E)(3): Per Finding 17.76.040(A), the requested parking increase for the proposed use is jusified.
4. Regulation of points of vehicular ingress and egress,

Finding 17.76.040(E)(4): See Finding 17.76.040(C).
Conclusion 17.76.040(E)(4): Per Finding 17.76.040r(C), the limitation of access and interim mitigation at the intersection of Table Rock Road is necessary to maintain operational standards and safety at the intersection.
5. Requiring landscaping, irrigation systems, lighting and a property maintenance program,

Finding 17.76.040(E)(5): The applicant's project is typical of other uses/structures permitted in the M-I district and as such the site development standards for permitted uses in the M-I zoning district ure deemed adequate to integrate the applicant's project into the surrounding neightorhood. Bused upon evaluation of other Costoo Wholesale locations being in good condition, no additional conditions are deemed necessary relative to maintenarce.

Conclusion 17.76.040(E)(5): Not applicable.
6. Regulation of signs and their locations,

Finding I7.76.040(E)(6): The applicant's proposal for signs includes wall signage that exceeds the maximum area allowable in the \(M-1\) zone.

Conctusion 17.76.040(E)(6): As a condition of approval, the applicant's Class "C" Variance request (File No. 15032) shall be approved prior to issuance of a building permit. If the variance is not approved, the applicant will be required to demonstrate compliance with the M-I sign area standards prior to building permit issuance.
7. Requiring fences, berms, walls, landscaping or other devices of organic or artificial composition to eliminate or reduce the effects of noise, vibrations, odors, visual incompatibility or other undesirable effects on surrounding properties,

Finding 17.76.040(E)(7): The project proprosal is for bulk retail sales. With the exception of the automohile fuel sales, an outiright permitted wse per CPMC 17.48.020 (G), all business operations (i.e. retail sates, food preparation, tire installation) will occur within an entirely enclosed structure. Given the characteristics of the proposed use and the compatibility of the site development (See Finding 17.76.040(A) and (C)), there are no noises, odors, or other adverse impacts from the proposed structures or use that woula necessitate fences, berms, walls or additional landscaping.

Conclusion 17.76.040(E)(7): Not applicabie.
8. Regulation of time of operations for certain types of uses if their operations may adversely affect privacy of sleep of persons residing nearby or otherwise conflict with other community or neighborhood functions,

Finding 17.76.040(E)(8): The project site is within the M-I indhutrial zone. Surrounding properies are zoned M-I Industrial and M-2 Indistrial General. Costco wes suandard business hours, normally between loum and 9 pm Monday through Friday and loam to 5 pm or 6 pm on weekends, and its fuel station from 6 am to 10 pm daily.

Conclusion 17.76.049(E)(8): Based on the proposed operating hours and the zoning of surrounding properties no further regulation of operating hours is deemed necessary.
9. Establish a time period within which the subject land use must be developed,

Finding 17.76.040(E)(9): Per Section 17.76 .060 the applicant has one year to vbtuin a building permit and diligently pursue construction to completion. The scheduled opening date for the proposed Costoo Wholesale is Fat 2016 per the Applicant's findings.

Conclusion 17.76.040( \(E\) )(9): Aside from the building permit reguirement per Section 17.76.060, there are no issues with ihe proposed development timing.
10. Requirement of a bond or other adequate assurance within a specified period of time,

Finding 17.76.040(E)(10): Per the Applicant's TIA and the recommendations of the affected agencies, traffic impacts of the proposed use on public streets ond highways have been identifted, will be mitigated, and applicant will be required to warran: improvements noted in the Findings \(17.76 .040(B)\) and as conditioned in the Revised Staff Report dated January 5, 2016.

Conclusion 17.76.040(E)(10): As conditioned in the Revised Staff Report dated January 5. 2016, timely complotion of warranted improvements is assured.
11. Such other conditions that are found to be necessary to protect the public health, safety and general welfare,

Finding 17.76.040(E)(11): Aside from the previously discussed conditions related to the development of a membership warehouse, there are no additional conditions.

Conclusion 17.76.040(E)(11): Not applicable.
12. In considering an appeal of an application for a conditional use permit for a home occupation, the planning commission shall review the criteria listed in Section 17.60.190.

Finding 17.76.040(E)(12): There is no home occupation associated with the proposed Costco Wholesale.

Conclusion 17.76.040(E)(12); Not applicable.

\section*{PART 3 - SUMMARY CONCLUSION}

As conditioned, the proposed Costco Wholesale has been found to comply with the criteria set forth in Scction 17.76.040 for Conditional Use Permits.

\section*{PLANNING COMMISSION RESOIUTION NO. 827}

\section*{A RESOLUTION APPROVING A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT FOR A COSTCO WHOLESALE ON LANDS WITHIN THE M-1, INDUSTRIAL ZONE}
(FILE NO. 15022)
WHEREAS, the City, by Planning Commission Resolution No. 764 and City Council Resolution No. 1217, determined that membership warehouses are a commercial use compatible with and closely related to permitted uses in the M-1 zone and therefore authorized them as a conditional use.

WHEREAS, the applicant has submitted an application for approval a Conditional Use Permit to develop an 18.28 acre site within the M-1, Industrial zone with a 161,992 square foot Costco Wholesale membership warehouse and four (4) island fuel facility; and

WHEREAS, on January 5, 2016, the City of Central Point Planning Commission conducted a dulynoticed public hearing on the application, at which time it reviewed the Staff Report and heard testimony and comments on the application; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission's consideration of the application is based on the standards and criteria applicable to Conditional Use Permits in accordance with Section 17.76 of the CentraI Point Municipal Code; and

WHEREAS, after duly considering the proposed use, it is the Planning Commission's determination that, subject to compliance with conditions as set forth in the Staff Report (Exhibit "A") dated Jamuary 5,2016 , the application does comply with applicable standards and criteria for approval of a conditional use permit.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the City of Central Point Planning Commission, by this Resolution No. 827, does hereby approve the Conditional lise Permit application for Costco Wholesale. This approval is based on the findings and conditions of approval as set forth on Exhibit " \(A\) ", the Planning Department Staff Report dated January 5, 2016 and the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of \(I\) aw as set forth in Fxhibit " \(B\)," including attachments incorporated herein by reference.

PASSED by the Planning Commission and signed by me in authentication of its passage this \(5^{\text {th }}\) day of January, 2016.

\section*{ATTEST:}

\footnotetext{
City Representative
}


Tom Humphrey, AICP
Community Development Director

\section*{STAFF REPORT}

February 2, 2016

\section*{ITEM}

Consideration of a Conditional Use Permit application for the construction of a 161,992 square foot Costeo membership warehouse and fuel facility on an 18.28 acre site at the southwest corner of Hamrick and Table Rock Road. The project site is within the Federal Way Business Park subdivision in the Industrial (M-1) zoning district, and is identified on the Jackson County Assessor's map as 37S 2W 1213, Tax I ots 213, 214, 215, and 216. Applicant: Costco Wholesale; Agent: Steve Bullock, MG2

\section*{STAFF SOURCE}

Stephanic Holtey, Community Planner II

\section*{BACKGROUND}

Costco Wholesale ("Applicant") is requesting a Conditional Use Permit to develop a 161,992 square foot membership warchouse on 18.28 acres in the M-1, Industrial zone.

The Conditional Use Permit application was considered at the January 5, 2016 Planning Commission meeting. At that time staff presented an evaluation of the proposal relative to its compliance with the conditional use approval criteria set forth in CPMC 17.76.040. Based on the evidence submitted, the proposal was found to comply with the applicable review criteria as conditioned.

The Planning Commission heard testimony from the applicant, and proponents and opponents of the application. Due to the timing of revised comments and conditions from the City of Medford on January 5, 2016, the applicant requested that the record remain open for seven (7) days following closure of the public hearing; including a seven (7) day rebuttal period. The public hearing was closed and, per a duly scconded motion, the request to leave the record open was granted with written comments to be submitted as follows:
- Open record period - January 12, 2016 at 4:30 p.m.;
- Applicant's rebuttal period - January 19, 2016 at 4:30 p.m.

On January 19, 2016 the applicant submitted their written rebuttal (Exhibit " 14 ") to the City of Medford's January 5, 2016 revised conditions and comments. Staff has reviewed the applicant's rebuttal and finds that the previously written conditions 3 and 4 in the Revised Staff Report dated January 5, 2016 adequately address the situation and do not need to be modified.

\section*{ISSUES}

During the open record period, thirteen (13) letters were received with six (6) in favor, five (5) in opposition, and
two (2) neutral. The applicant responded to opposing testimony with timely rebuttal. It should be noted that some of the issues were anecdotal and beyond the scope of the conditional use approval criteria in CPMC 17.76.040 (i.e. Costco's business policies, impacts to local businesses and associated internship and scholarship opportunities). A summary of the written comments received during the open record are:
1. Opposition. Testimony received in opposition to the proposed use primarily focused on traffic issucs and includes three broad categories: 1) concerns about the l'IA assumptions; 2) operations/safety; and 3) mitigation sufficiency/cost allocation. Due to the volume of the traffic generated by Costco, there is a perception that additional mitigation actions are necessary to ease operational and safety concerns. Emphasis was given to lable Rock Road widening, impacts of heavy truck traffic, and the need for the applicant to bear the cost of improvements (Sec Exhibits " 1 " through " 5 ").

The Applicant's Rebuttal (lixhibit " 14 ") and the TIA (İxhibit " 15 ") address the testimony opposing the proposed use on the following basis:
a. The TIA was prepared and reviewed by affected agencies and Southern Oregon Transportation Engineers, LLC. The analysis relied on assumptions including Costco's market demographics, area-wide population, employment, land use, and transportation system information including heavy trucks/ vehicles (See TIA, Exhibit "15");
b. Per the conditions of approval, mitigation measure address safety and operational impacts necessary to provide adequate transportation services.
c. Costco's cost share for the improvements are proportional to the impact generated by the proposed use and therefore sufficient to mitigate the financial cost of off-site impacts.
2. Support. Testimony in support of the proposed use counters concerns about adverse impacts to local business and further asserted support for the proposed location due to community benefits associated with economic growth stimulus and improved properly values. It is further emphasized that traffic impacts have been adequately addressed and that the concerns about heavy truck traffic conflicts and congestion on Biddle/Pine Street have been adequately addressed and mitigated (See Exhibits " 6 " through "11").

Based on evidence in the record and the applicant's rebuttal, the testimony received during the open record period has been adequately addressed (See Exhibits " 14 " and " 15 ").

\section*{EXHIBITS}

Exhibit "1" - Letter from I. Calvin Martin, received January 12, 2016
l:xhibit "2" - letter from I)avid Smith, received January 12, 2016.
Exhibit "3" letter from Tanya Wilkerson, received January 12, 2016
Exhibit "4" - Letter from Kathy and Ray Wilkerson
Exhibit " 5 " - Letter from Dennis Burt, received January 12, 2016
Exhibit " 6 " - letter from Wayne and Hattic King, received January 12, 2016
Exhibit "7" Letter from Kimberly Little, received January 11, 2016
Exhibit "8" - Letter from John Batzer, received January 11, 2016
Exhibit "9" - Letter from Glen Finley, received January 11, 2016

Exhibit "10" - Letter from Laura Vaughn, reccived January 8, 2016
Exhibit "11" - Letter from Pulver \& Leever, reccived January 8, 2016
Exhibit " 12 " - L.etter from Vic Agnifili, received January 12, 2016
Exhibit " 13 " Letter from Vic Agnifili, received January 12, 2016
Exhibit " 14 " - Applicant's Rebuttal, received January 19, 2016
Exhibit "15" - Revised Staff Report dated January 5, 2016
ACTION
Consider the Conditional Use Permit application and either 1) approve; 2) approve with conditions; or 3) deny the application.

\section*{RECOMMENDATION}

Approve the Conditional Use Permit per the Revised Staff Report dated January 5, 2016 and all conditions therein.

\title{
LCalvin Martin. Developer's Agent P. O. Box 442, Jacksonville, Oregon, 97530 \\ (541) 778-6638 Office, (541) 227-4262 Cell calmartin1@msn.com \\ Design, Construction Management, Construction Cost Estimates, Contract Negotiations Feasibility Studies, Quantity Surveys, Contract Management, Contract Dispute Resolutions City and Regional Plonning, Land Development, Lobbying, Wastewater Technologies \\ \(1 / 11 / 2016\) \\ Response to the Conditional Use-Permit Application of Costco to the City of Central Point, Oregon
}

\author{
City of Central Point Oregon \\ Planning Department and Planning Commission \\ 155 South \(2^{\text {nd }}\) Street \\ Central Point, Oregon \\ 97502
}

Via Hand Delivery

Having listened to the applicant's presentation and their consultants, it became clear that no matter the difficulty with the site and its related traffic applicants would force a fit. Certainly the most significant problem relates to the traffic it generates and the assumptions made by the applicant's traffic consultants.

The traffic consultants were hired to make it work in whatever way can produce an approval. The consultants (Kittleson and Associates) are essentially a hired gun that represents the applicant and land owners, putting together a plan that they believe will convince the City to approve the plan. It is obvious that the City and Planning Commission look favorably on the locating of Costco in Central Point. Costco is an amazing retailer/wholesaler that is loved by its customers and admired by its competitors. The problem is that this site and this business are not an appropriate fit.

Costco is a "warehouse" sales/membership store. This is true but Costco generates more traffic to its "warehouse" of 130,000 square foot store in Medford than the nearly 700,000 square foot Rogue Valley Mall. Costco parking is almost always near full and traffic is very difficult and congested, hence the reason for their desire to build a new store. A leaking roof is not the reason for their move. The reason is that they are losing potential business due to older persons and busy people not being willing to fight the traffic and congestion. They are smart retailers and they fieed a larger store to service the market and loyal customers that they have.


Page two of three

Kittleson Consultants have made many assumptions that can and should be examined, at the very least, by a neutral consultant. Clearly without questioning deeply their assumptions the T.I.A. reveals many problems that require expensive and time consuming mitigation. The cost numbers are very liberal and favor the applicant. They desperately need to be reviewed in detail by another neutral consultant.

The use of Table Rock Road is necessary and that arterial is scheduled for major improvements but the acquisition of land for widening and funding of the cost has not even started. Land must be acquired, engineering completed, bids advertised for and then, depending on weather, construction begun. Based on other projects the construction could easily take a year and the other issues could take that much time or even more.

The widening of Table Rock Road will only be done to just south of its intersection with Airport Road, The road to be effective needs to be widened all the way to its intersection with Merriman Road. The bridge crossing the \([-5\) is old and very narrow. The increased traffic and stress on this "elderly" bridge will require that it be replaced with a stronger and wider (at least four lanes) bridge. The cost of this bridge is far more substantial than any of the other proposed mitigation measures and likely more than all of then combined.

Table Rock Road continues south into a fairly dense housing area with homes fronting directly onto the road and serves two large multifamily projects exiting only onto Table Rock Road.

The proposed location of Costco will create a very dangerous mix of large heavy trucks (including triples) from adjacent and nearby businesses (Knife River Concrete and Aggregates, FedEx Ground and others). Table Rock Road to the north of East Pine Street and Biddle Roads serves many trucking companies and they will mix with the Costco traffic. This will create a very high likelihood of accidents and driver stress for all of East Pine Street, Hamrick Road and Table Rock Road. There is no plan, apparent or in consideration, that will eliminate or diminish this condition.

If you consider the age make-up of Costco customers it becomes apparent that large portions are seniors. The mix of senior drivers with congestion and heavy trucks is not appropriate or desirable and could have disastrous results.

The land around the proposed Costco has not been developed to its potential, particularly to the North and West. When that land develops the intersections will be even more stressed. The mitigation measures proposed create difficult driving and congestion all through Central Point's downtown area as well.

Traffic to Costco from western Medford, western Central Point, Jacksonville and the Applegate Valley as well as Grants Pass, Rogue River and Gold Hill will pass through Central Point. The Jacksonville and Applegate Valley traffic will come all the way through the downtown area of Central Point. If that traffic, through Central Point, becomes a problem and it is somewhat congested even now, then the traffic will reroute to the Table Rock Road option as the route of choice. The problems with that option have been previously addressed.

The expenses, of this first set of mitigations, are likely to be much more than what has been projected by the applicant's consultant and others. There are no actual hard numbers for the projected work based on design drawings and completed land acquisition. The time frames for completing these improvements are very liberal and delays will add extra costs.

In summary, this proposed conditional use-permit application, though it can legally accommodate this facility, it is a very poor and troublesome location that will affect Central Point, Medford and Jackson County in a negative way both due to traffic and expense.

My clients would urge you to not approve this application or require, at the very least, another independent traffic study.

Sincerely,

L. Calvin Martin, Agent
C.c. Garvey, Shubert, Barer Attomeys. Portland, Oregon

January 12, 2016
Summary of January 5,2016 comments on application of .Costco Wholesale


David Smith 241 Saginaw Drive, Medford, OR 97504
1. Co-owner of business on S. Front Street in Central Point and several apartments.
2. Long time member of Costco and shop at present store at least twice a week.
3. Research of official records of ODOT and Central Point reveal that the proposed site for Costco is located on an official freight route system within the city and in the midst of freight terminals.(Reddaway, Conway, Fed Ex.\} One, Reddaway Trucking already account for 600 truck trips per day. According to ODOT materials Gordon Trucking owns a large parcel directly across from the proposed entrance to Costco, and intends to build a freight terminal, adding a significant increase in trucks using the area.

4 Costco's traffic study indicates its store will add 10,670 new trips per day, the majority of which will come from Medford on Biddle and Table Rock.
5. Costco traffic will add several thousand more cars per day using Biddle and adding congestion at the entrance to the airport.
6...ODOT's Freight Profile identifies Table Rock Road as one of the local roads that "experience high volumes of freight traffic."
7. Costco's traffic study is flawed in that it does not identify the routes its members use to access the existing Medford store; information which is necessary to accurately predict how those members will reraute to the proposed location.
8. Costco tries to identify its operation as a warehouse, but indicates in its November 3, 20125 memo to the city staff (at page 14) that its Parking Demand Study requires 783 parking stalls which is more than the city requires for a retail store the size of the proposed Costco.

CONCLUSION: While the "member Warehouse" description of the Costco proposal may distinguish it from other retail stores as far as the variety of merchandise and profit margins, its impact on traffic will be greater than other retails stores of the same size. One need only note how fast the Costco parking lot fills up and remains full during store hours; much more so than other retail stores which are open longer hours which results in less traffic in any given hour. Even the entire Medford Mall appears to have less parked cars. Costco and the city staff both indicate the intention to have the store open in 2016, before the widening project on Table Rock even begins. It makes no sense to add 10,670 more vehicles traveling through a construction zone, for an undetermined period, which will create an additional problem which has not been addressed.

January 12, 2016

City of Central Point
Attn: Commissioners and Planning Department
1405 . Front Street
Centra Point, OR 97502

To Whom This May Concern:
I afn writing this 'enter to share my strong desire to keep Central Point a small, family-friendly town. I understand that bringing a large business, such as Costco would at first appear to increase revenue flow in the town of Central Point; however, I think upon further examination, it would be detrimental to the efforts that Mayor, Hank Williams, has recently put into our town's downtown and local business appeal.

My thoughts go immediately to the small businesses that make up the backbone of this community businesses that would inevitably be losing some of their customer base. In turn, some local businesses ones that faithfully give back to our schools and community, would possibly be faced with the layoff of staff, or in extreme cases, closure of facilities. This could change the dynamics in Central Point affecting revenue, Crater Foundation Scholarships, local internships for high school students, and housing. People pay a prime price to live in Central Point, yet one has to wonder, ' \(f\) the traffic appeal is similar to that of Medford, if prices will eventually drop.

I am confident that: when reviewing this proposal, you wild realize the detriment effect of this decision. My hope is that Central Point will continue to be a little oasis in the valley, with local bus nesses that are booming, strong schools educating our children, safe parks to play in, and a strong housing demand.

Thank you for your time.

Tanya Wilkerson

\section*{Kathy Land Wilkerson}

Dear Central Point Planning commission,

This is regarding the plans for the New Costco on Table Rock Rd, and Biddle.
I live at 2524 Beebe Rd, in Centrai Point and I am very concerned of the traffic around my home.
It's already bad to try to get out of my Central Point East subdivision.
This seems to be a pretty big building they are planning and with the Pilot close by with all the trucks already from that this will be a complete nightmare.
I assume that the roads around it will be widened somehow and traffic lights will be added. Who will be paying for this? I hope not us property tax players as it's already \(\$ 3800\) a year which is higher than any city near Central Point. I am also concerned that my hause value will go dawn in price with no one wanting to live next to this nightmare of traffic.
This will make it a low desirable area to live in we believe.

Thanks for your time
Kathy and Ray Wikerson
5416640533
Central Point East


\section*{1/11/16}

To whom it may concerr:
This letter is in regards to the consideration of allowing Costco to build at the corner of Hamrick and Table Rock roads. My only request is that you read this letter and consider the negative impact that this would cause to the current families of Villas and Table Rock roads.

Three years ago my family moved to a home located on Table Rock Rd. near Ore Rd. At the time the traffic was heavy but bearable. Since then, a sizeable increase in traffic has made us regret our decision. This increase, in my opinion, was caused from the increase in business activity and growth on the Crater Lake Hwy such as the new Lithia Auto Mall. People, trying to avoid the traffic congestion on Crater Lake Hwy have begun to use alternate routes to get to the Freeway, Rogue Valley Mall, Central Point, and others via Table Rock Rd. as well as Villas Rd.

Several years ago, the Jackson County Roads Dept. did a traffic count study to determine the amount of traffic using Table Rock Rd. I don't have, nor remember, the exact numbers but it was somewhere in the realm of 13,000 cars a day, on average, were passing by the intersection of Wilson and Table Rack Rd. Based on several factors, one can assume that the number has significantly increased. Getting out of my driveway and on to Table Rock Rd. has become a nightmare. Crossing to get the mail, which lies on the opposite side of Table Rock Rd. is no longer a safe option. ['ve approached USPS, with the numbers and hazards, asking about having the mail boxes moved and was told to that it would not be a financially feasible decision. Thus not taking the safety of the residents in to account. Two people have died, within several hundred yards of my horne, within the last 3 years while walking or crossing Table Rock Rd. and countless accidents have happened, making it, in my opinion, one of the most dangerous roads in the county.

If you allow the placement of Costco, at the proposed site, Table Rock Rd. and Villas Rd. will become, more than ever, a safety and logistics nightmare for the people who live there. Not to mention the possible devaluing of our property. People traveling from North of the current location will be forced to use Table Rock Rd. and Villas Rd to get to the new location. These two roads will be, undoubtedly, overwhelmed with traffic. Please give careful consideration to this when making your decision on the placement of the new Costco. Please don't be another USPS and discount the safety of the residents.

Sincerely,
Dennis Burt
5969 Table Rock Rd.
Central Point, OR 97502
541-226-6715



\section*{Centrat Point Clity Councli}
\(1403^{\text {rad }}\) Street
Central Point, OR 97502

Dear Members of the Clity Council.

With respect and appreciation for you as the council of Central Point, my wife and I submit to you for an approval of the proposed Costco store.

Weve been members of the Costco Stores since 1985. Their products are of high quality and within reason. Their attitude and help has always been above reproach. If you have a problem with their products, they are very quick to refund or replace the product with few exceptions (some electronic items).
As property owners in Jackson County and Curry County, Costco has always been an asset in heiping us with our needs. Also, their assistance in helping small and large businesses in the Rogue Valley in so many ways. The number of jobs have increased in the surrounding area along with other businesses.

As retired teachers, we do encourage the approval of the costco store in Central Point.

Thank you for your time.


1909 Regal Ave.
Medford, OR 97501a
P. S. Please don't forget the business coming in from Callfornia. Washington and other neighboring states from \(\mathrm{I}-5\). This produces grouth with jobs.

Kimberly Little

\section*{Planning Commission}

City of Central Point
140 S. \(3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{St}\).
Central Point, OR 97502

January 7, 2016
To whom it may concern:

I am writing to express my support of the proposed location of a new Costco Wholesale store at the corner of Table Rock Rd. and Hamrick Rd. Although I live in Medford, my daily place of employment is very near this location.

I believe this proposed location has been well-chosen and would benefit the community of Central Point. It is just far enough away from the main part of town as to not affect traffic in the busiest areas, while at the same time, bringing people into the community who might not otherwise conduct their business in the area. I do not foresee any negative impact on traffic in the immediate area, when handled with proper planning for traffic control lights on the intersections around the facility.

Thank you for your consideration of this proposal, and I hope that you will be able to approve their request to build this new store.

Thank you,


Kimberly Little


Jamuary 7, 2016

City of Central Point
Planning Commission
140 S. Third Stree:
Sentral Puint, OR 97502

RE: CCSTCO Conditional Use Permit

Dear Planning Commission,

1, along with various parmers, own property in the area that Costco proposes to re locate. We are all in faver of the Costen develapment.

This Costco development will help stimulate properties that have been stagnate since the recession and will give a needed boost to the surrounding properties. It will help to get the necessary infrastructure needed to develop these properties. In addition. it will help the tax base.

The neighboring area was zoned so that it could be a focal point for commerce. We think Costco will be great for the neighborhood.

We hope the City will approve the Costco conditional use permit


January 8, 2016

Costco comments
Central Point Planning Commission
140 S. \(3^{\text {rd }}\) St
Central Point, OR 97502

To Whom It May Concern:
I am definitely in favor of approving the Costco store in Central Point near Table Rock Road and Hamrick.

I reside in the Central Point East neighborhood, about 4 blocks north of the proposed Costco site. I am semi-retired and make numerous trips in the area that would be affected by traffic generated by the proposed Costco store. From my frequent observations, the comments I have heard about the truck traffic and other alleged problems on Biddle/Pine must be from persons who have no direct knowledge of the situation or must have some other agenda. Traffic on Biddle/Pine between Table Kock and Hamrick is some of the lightest in the area.

Central Point needs more opportunities for shopping and employment. I think the opponents of development in Central Foint, particularly in the area east of \(1-5\), are not thinking of the best interests of the community as a whole.

I would be glad to give you any more information you may need in this matter,
Sincerely,

\author{
Glen Finley
}

358 Meadowbrook Dr.
Central Point, OR 97502
541-840-9484


January 8, 2016
City of Central Point
Planning Commission
140 South \(3^{\text {rd }}\) Street
Central Point, OR 97502

Re: Planned Costco in Central Point

Vy name is Laura Vaughr; I live at 5085 Dobrot Way, Central Point, Oregon. I attended and spoke quickly to the meeting last weck on January 5, 2016.

I am following up my nervous statements with the following:
- I have been a member of Costco, Executive Member, since 1993. I am a loyal and avid fan of the quality/price of items they offer to members.
- I have lived in the Rogue Valley since 1996 - I have been at my present location in Central Point since 2001. I do not plan on moving anytime in the foreseeable future. I love Central Point.
- I have been at just about all the Costco locations up and down 1.5 from Fresno, California all the way to Abbottsford, British Columbia, Canada....including the original focation in Kirkland, Washington.
- All Costco locations generate a buzz and demand - As a traveler, you search Costco out for the best gas prices! I can truthfully say the only Costco that is a bear to get in and out of is the one in Eugene on Cobourg road - did it stop me from visiting it - NO! I am aware that to shop at Costco means you need to have patience. And with the fugene location - it is very similar to the proposed area - you go a half mile north and it's country roads. \(\qquad\)
- I am in favor of relocating the Medford Costco warehouse and fuel facility to the proposed focation on Hamirck and Table Rock Roads in Central Point.
- i will look forward to being able to avoid the tangle and mess of highway 62 and Delta Waters Roads in Medford. As stated, the new locations will be within bicycling distance for us, but we will bring the car for the days when we stock up........Ray's and Albertson's will still be our iocal grocery stores for the quick in and out purchases!

As I finished my nervous statement I mentioned - IT IS ONLY THROUGH CHANGE THAT YOU GROW....Any change is well worth the hassle and delays that the coristruction will cause....Loyal Costco members will appreciate a new facility, larger parking lot, larger warehouse and of course, more lines for the fueling station. The yearly property taxes received from Costco will be a boost to Central Point's operating budget, as well as the prospect of the additional jobs that Central Point residents could apply for.... \(\qquad\)
Thank you for letting me put in writing what I was too excited to say in person at vour meeting. PLEASE APPROVE THIS CONSTRUCTION AND LET COSTCO BEGIN TO HELP CENTRAL POINT GROW!! COSTCO WILL relocate, please let it be here at hamirck and table rock road!


City of Central Point
January 6, 2015
Planning Commission
140 S. Third Street
Central Point, Oregon 97502

Re: COSTCO Conditional Use Permit

Dear Sirs:

A number of us own properties in the vicinity of the proposed development and are in favor of your approval of this development.

This area of Central Point has been stagnate for almost 10 years since the beginning of the recession and needs a shot in the arm to get it going again. We think the Costco development will provide that stimulus and will be beneficial to surrounding commercial and industrial properties. It will also enable some of the infrastructure improvements to get completed which would be necessary for other development.

This area of the valley was once characterized as the logistical center of commerce. This is probably why it was chosen by COSTCO. We think a good choice.

We hope the City will work hard to make the proposed development a reality.

Sincerely,


Dear Pumulag
Compiss/0N,
AS A CONDITION ROR ADPROVNL, CosTco SHJuLD SHOWdOSE VO icos THiAN 12 LocAl skle's (our of 3,500, Rouiotter). AVPINO,N puck :ANY LOCAL REGRONAC BUSCNESS "SHOULO BE GRONTED A PRESENDRTION .. EESSION WITH THES CEADREL
\(\therefore\) Point costco.
ITHIS. SHOULD BE PONE ON THE
 I. Disfupts cocac. Supply Denowd, ANR TAUS SHOUCD MAFE REASOubra - Accommargneqns - COMPENSiTE OFESET THS phter ovienon. (costedsousi STuples wirt consulvinuts vepifers THES iplspuptoin to locitik Nipprets.
fopipichalyo.



Dear Peannias Comnis ston.

COSTCO HAS ITS SHORTEST PaY of opCfatuen. On SUnPot, VET ITS HIbHEST PER HOUR SOC. of ANY DAY. DOSTCO UNERSNTLK PECO6NIzES TPROMGL ITS POCCI ITIE IMRORTANCE OF Sundays.
yer, costeo Has no Raw For PROMOTING THE VAST MA TOREY \(10 F\) comm urity Menbees Titrom focus on sundA operations.

A's A CONDITON PSR APPROUAL, ANO TO BE PAIR TO ALL GENTDA POINT COM MUNIDY MEMBERS, I RESPEAFपLCY SU6GEST सRTATT cospco fare insng ues a MeSSAG boarl at THE EXIT no LeSS THN \(5^{\prime} \times 5\) ' POR MANCY SUNBAY OPERAN busiperses To promote tifexp liso WHICH ARE ALOOST ALWMYS FREE of CHARGE.

Reqpeotull: fostox 2ik

January 19, 2016

Planning Commission
City of Central Point
155 S. 2nd Street
Central Point, OR 97502

\section*{RE: Conditional Use Permit - Costco Rebuttal}

Dear Chairman Piland and members of the Planning Commission:

Costco would like to thank you for your thoughtful consideration of our Conditional Use Permit application.

As you are aware, holding the public record open for an additional week to allow Costco to review comments submitted by the City of Medford on the day of our hearing has allowed some additional comments to be submitted into the record. Aside from the letters of support from the community for our proposed move, the overwhelming majority of testimony received at the hearing and subsequently submitted in written comments are related to traffic. Costco's traffic consultants, Kittelson \& Associates, Inc., were directed to collaborate with all the agencies. Additional traffic counts and intersections were collected and analyzed to ensure the project could operate at acceptable levels of service and any safety issues could be mitigated. We are pleased to report that ODOT, Jackson Co. Roads and the City of Medford have reviewed and are in agreement with Costco's Conditional Use Permit as conditioned in the staff report.

The attached letter from Kittelson \& Associates is our response to each of the traffic related comments. It is our belief that the analysis provided adequately addresses the issues raised in the hearing and in written comments. Thank you for your consideration.


Costco Vice-President of Real Estate

Planning Commission
City of Central Point
155 S. 2nd Street
Central Point, OR 97502

\section*{RE: Conditional Use Permit Application}

Dear Chairman Piland and members of the Planning Commission:

This letter responds to transportation-related issues raised in written comments submitted to the City of Central Point on or before January 12, 2016 and referencing the Conditional Use Permit Application by Costco Wholesale for a warehouse and fuel facility near the Hamrick Road/Table Rock Road intersection in Central Point, Oregon.
1. Comment: "Costco's traffic study is flawed in that it does not identify the routes its members use to access the existing Medford store; information which is necessary to accurately predict how those members will re-route to the proposed locotion." January 12, 2016 letter from David Smith.

Response: To assure that the traffic analysis was based on reasonably worst-case conditions, none of the traffic associated with the existing Costco warehouse on Crater Lake Highway was subtracted from the estimated existing and future background traffic volumes, nor was any of it re-routed to the proposed new warehouse location. Instead, current market demographics were used along with area-wide population, employment, land use, and transportation system information to estimate the likely trip distribution and routing characteristics of the entirely new vehicle traffic that was assumed to be generated by the proposed new Costco warehouse. This additional traffic was then superimposed on existing and anticipated future background traffic volumes, resulting in a conservatively high estimate of the total amount of traffic the transportation system will need to be able to accommodate at all key intersections.
2. Comment: "It makes no sense to add 10,670 more vehicles traveling through a construction zone, for an undetermined period, which will create an additional problem which has not been addressed." January 12, 2016 letter from David Smith.
Response: As is normal practice in almost all road construction projects, a traffic management plan will be established and implemented for the duration of the road construction period for the benefit of both vehicles and workers.
3. Comment: "Costco generates more traffic to its 'warehouse' of 130,000 square foot store in Medford than the nearly 700,000 square foot Rogue Valley Mall." January 11, 2016 letter from L. Calvin Martin.

Response: We are not aware of recent traffic counts at the Rogue Valley Mall. However, a traffic analysis of a shopping center containing 700,000 square feet would be based on an assumption of near-full occupancy and would result in an estimate of about 25,000 average weekday trip ends.
4. Comment: "[sic] Kittleson Consultants have made many assumptions that can and should be examined, at the very least, by a neutral consultant." January 11, 2016 letter from L. Calvin Martin.
Response: The traffic analysis and associated report that was initially prepared by Kittelson \& Associates has been critically reviewed by transportation planning and engineering staff at the City of Central Point; Jackson County; City of Medford; and Oregon Department of Transportation. Additionally, the City of Central Point hired Southern Oregon Transportation Engineers, LLC to conduct a thorough review and provide comments of the transportation impact analysis. Staff from the public review agencies also participated from the outset in defining the scope and scale of the traffic analysis that was ultimately performed. The results of the critical reviews conducted by these agencies have been incorporated into the final report and the followup letters and technical memoranda that are part of the record.
5. Comment: "Table Rock Road...needs to be widened all the way to its intersection with Merriman Road." January 11, 2016 letter from L. Calvin Martin.
Response: Jackson County has jurisdiction over Table Rock Road in this area and has made the decision on the begin and end points of this particular widening project based on a multitude of factors that fall beyond the scope of this Conditional Use Permit Application. With respect to the adequacy of the transportation system to accommodate the proposed new Costco warehouse, the traffic analysis demonstrates that adequate transportation services can be provided without extending the Table Rock Road improvement project beyond its current boundary limits.
6. Comment: "The bridge crossing the 1 -5 [on Table Rock Road] is old and very narrow. The increased traffic... will require that it be replaced with a stronger and wider (at least four lanes) bridge." January 11, 2016 letter from L. Calvin Martin.
Response: The proposed new Costco warehouse does not cause the need for this bridge to be replaced or widened in order to maintain adequate transportation services.
7. Comment: "The proposed location of Costco will create a very dangerous mix of large heavy trucks (including triples) from adjacent and nearby businesses (Knife River Concrete and Aggregates, FedEx Ground and others)." January 11, 2016 letter from L. Calvin Martin. Response: The traffic analysis conducted by Kittelson \& Associates was reviewed by appropriate City, County, and State agencies and explicitly considered the safety as well as the operational effects of the proposed new Costco warehouse. The mitigation measures incorporated into the conditions of approval have been found sufficient to maintain adequate transportation services with respect to both operations and safety.
8. Comment: "The mix of senior drivers with congestion and heavy trucks is not appropriate or desirable and could have disastrous results." January 11, 2016 letter from L. Calvin Martin.
Response: The traffic analysis conducted by Kittelson \& Associates was reviewed by appropriate City, County, and State agencies and explicitly considered the safety as well as the operational effects of the proposed new Costco warehouse. The mitigation measures incorporated into the conditions of approval have been found sufficient to maintain adequate transportation services with respect to both operations and safety.
9. Comment: "The land around the proposed Costco has not been developed to its potential, particularly to the North and West. When that land develops the intersections will be even more stressed." January 11, 2016 letter from L. Calvin Martin.
Response: The projected future background traffic volumes used in the traffic analysis are based on estimates of future population and employment for Central Point and the surrounding urban areas, and therefore anticipate that growth will continue to occur throughout the urban area. Additionally, separate traffic analyses will be required of all nearby specific development applications that are expected to generate significant volumes of additional vehicular traffic. All such development applications will need to provide, as part of their own approval process, whatever mitigation is identified as being necessary to assure continued adequate transportation services in the area.
10. Comment: "The mitigation measures proposed create difficult driving and congestion all through Central Point's downtown area." January 11, 2016 letter from L. Calvin Martin.
Response: The mitigation measures set forth as conditions of approval were developed in collaboration with City, County, and State engineers and planners. They have been found to adequately mitigate the off-site transportation impacts associated with the proposed new Costco warehouse without creating unacceptable side-effects elsewhere.
11. Comment: "The expenses, of this first set of mitigations, are likely to be much more than what has been projected by the applicant's consultant and others." January 11, 2016 letter from L. Calvin Martin.
Response: The construction cost estimates associated with each condition of approval were developed and reviewed by registered professional engineers and are based on the most recent available unit prices from similar and/or nearby construction projects.
12. Comment: "I assume that the roads around it will be widened somehow and traffic lights will be added. Who will be paying for this?" January 12, 2016 printed email letter from Kathy and Ray Wilkerson.
Response: Costco has agreed to pay its proportionate share of each mitigation measure identified in the conditions of approval, which means it will fully mitigate the financial costs of the off-site impacts associated with the proposed new Costco warehouse. See also the response to Comment \#1 above: the fact that Costco's traffic analysis has conservatively overestimated the
facility's off-site traffic impacts gives further assurance that Costco is fully contributing its proportionate financial share of each mitigation measure identified in the conditions of approval.
13. Comment: "I don't have, nor remember, the exact numbers but it was somewhere in the realm of 13,000 cars a day, on average, were passing by the intersection of Wilson and Table Rock Road". January 11, 2016 letter from Dennis Burt.
Response: Traffic data available from Jackson County indicates that in 2008 the average daily traffic volume on Table Rock Road in the vicinity of Wilson Road was about 17,000 vehicles per day. The traffic analysis estimates the proposed new Costco warehouse will generate about 90 additional vehicle trips (45 in each direction) on Table Rock Road in the vicinity of Wilson Road during a typical weekday evening peak hour.
14. Comment: "Table Rock Rd. and Villas Rd. will become, more than ever, a safety and logistics nightmare for the people who live there."January 11, 2016 letter from Dennis Burt.
Response: The traffic analysis conducted by Kittelson \& Associates was reviewed by appropriate City, County, and State agencies and explicitly considered the safety as well as the operational effects of the proposed new Costco warehouse. The mitigation measures incorporated into the conditions of approval have been found sufficient to maintain adequate transportation services with respect to both operations and safety.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these responses.

Sincerely,

Wayne Kittelson, P.E. Principal


Brett Korporaal
Associate

\section*{MEMORANDUM}
\begin{tabular}{lll}
\hline Date: & January 19, 2016 & Project \#: 19046.0 \\
& & \\
To: & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Stephanie Holtey \\
\\
City of Central Point \\
\\
\\
\\
\\
\\
Central Point, Oregon 97502
\end{tabular} \\
From: & Brett Korporaal and Wayne Kittelson, PE & \\
Project: & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Central Point Costco TIA \\
Response to City of Medford Comments dated January 5, 2015
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

This memorandum responds to comments submitted by staff from the City of Medford related to the Central Point Costco Transportation Impact Analysis (TIA). Each comment from the letter dated January 5, 2016 is summarized below and then followed by Kittelson \& Associates, Inc.'s (KAl) response.

\section*{COMMENT 1 FROM CITY OF MEDFORD}

We understand the Rogue Valley International Airport is opposed to a median at the intersection of Biddle Rd and Airport Rd, as described in Condition No. 3. We recommend a condition that requires the developer to pay a proportional share towards a future traffic signal at this intersection. The City of Medford estimates the total cost for a traffic sign at this location to be \(\$ 450,000\) including design, construction, and inspection. We estimate the development's contribution at \(10 \%\) from the additional traffic at this intersection shown in the Traffic Impact Analysis, dated October 2015, prepared by Kittelson and Associates, Inc. This results in a \(\$ 45,000\) contribution from the developer to this future project.

\section*{RESPONSE 1 FROM KAI}

Costco Wholesale and the traffic engineers it has retained respectfully disagree that signalization is the most appropriate mitigation at the Airport Road/Biddle Road intersection. We believe there are good reasons to route trucks to the airport and Airport Road via Biddle Road. Biddle Road is currently a five lane road with a jug handle connection from interstate 5, SR 62, SR 99, and SR 238 to the south of the airport. Biddle Road also provides a direct connection to E Pine Street, which provides access to Table Rock Road and l-5 for trucks access north of the airport. KAI would need truck origin and
destination data to determine optimum truck routing into and out of the airport. However, it is clear from information already available that a raised median can be constructed that will still allow trucks to make left- and right-turns onto Airport Road via Biddle Road. With a median at the Airport Road/Biddle Road intersection trucks would be able to make right-outs onto Biddle Road or a leftturn out of the airport can be accommodated at the O'Hare Parkway/Biddle Road intersection, which is roughly a quarter-mile south of the Airport Road/Biddle Road intersection and therefore does not require out-of-direction travel.

Based on the low volumes on Airport Road, Costco's traffic engineers also question whether a traffic signal would be able to meet official installation warrants. A traffic signal will disrupt and add delay to all vehicles approaching the intersection whereas a raised median will re-route only a small number of vehicles - and it will re-route them in a way that does not add travel distance or create additional safety or operational problems. For these reasons, we believe that a raised median is the most effective and most appropriate measure to mitigate the effects of the proposed new Costco warehouse at this location. A planning level cost estimate has been prepared for a raised median at this intersection, resulting in an estimated total construction cost of \(\$ 35,000\). Attachment A contains KAl's planning level cost estimation spreadsheet for a raised median at the Airport Road/Biddle Road intersection.

\section*{COMMENT 2 FROM CITY OF MEDFORD}

At the intersection of Table Rock Rd and Morningside St we recommend a condition that requires the developer to pay a proportional share towards a future left turn lane at this intersection. See attached accident history showing an existing pattern of northbound rear-end collisions at this intersection. Per our December 24, 2015 letter, this development will increase PM peak hour trips through the intersection by \(20 \%\). The City of Medford estimates the total cost for a left-turn lane at this location to be \(\$ 300,000\) including design, construction, and inspection. A \(20 \%\) contribution would result in a \(\$ 60,000\) contribution from the developer to this future project.

\section*{RESPONSE 2 FROM KAI}

The City of Medford provided KAl with crash data at the Table Rock Road/Morningside Street intersection dated 2010 through 2014. In the City of Medford's letter to the City of Central Point dated December 24, 2015, the noted concerns were focused specifically on northbound rear-end crashes. However, a review of the crash data provided by the City reveals that the total number of reported crashes has declined each year since 2010, and only one rear-end northbound crash has been reported in the past three years at this location. These observations cause KAl to question whether there is an ongoing significant northbound rear-end crash problem that warrants mitigation.

Even if the City of Medford believes an intersection improvement is needed at this location, neither KAI nor Costco sees a nexus between the relatively small amount of net new site-generated traffic expected to travel through the intersection and the concern about northbound rear-end crashes:
- To the extent a problem requiring correction exists, it was created by a combination of traffic volume, roadway design, and environmental factors that Costco did not affect.
- To the extent a problem requiring correction exists at this intersection, it is created by northbound vehicles on Table Rock Road turning left onto Morningside Street, and this is a movement to which Costco is expected to add no additional traffic.
- The City of Medford has thus far provided insufficient information to confirm the northbound rear-end crash problem, to the extent such crashes are an ongoing significant problem, can be attributed primarily to the volume of through traffic on Table Rock Road. More specifically, it is impossible at this time to rule out the possibilities that approach speed and/or intersection visibility are the primary contributing factors to a northbound rear-end crash problem.
- Given that the vehicle fleet is rapidly evolving into vehicles with forward-looking radar and automated braking capabilities, it is likely that the rear-end crash problem at this intersection (to the extent it exists) will naturally decline in significance and incidence over time, simply because of the new vehicle technology that is already being deployed.

The City of Medford states that Costco's proportional share for constructing a separate left turn lane should be \(20 \%\), based on the volume of additional traffic that is expected to pass through the intersection because of the presence of the Costco warehouse during a typical weekday evening peak hour. However, the City's computations do not recognize that when analyzing crash data transportation engineers use average daily traffic (ADT) data on the approaches at the intersection rather than peak hour volumes. The City of Medford provided 2014 ADT data at this location, which totals 15,416 vehicles per day. Daily site-generated trips accessing the proposed site via Table Rock Road from the south is estimated to equal \(20 \%\) of total site-generated traffic. This would add an additional 2,134 daily trips to Table Rock Road south of the site for a total of 17,550 ADT when the site opens in 2016. When performed on the basis of average daily traffic, therefore, the City's computations would result in a proportionate share estimate of \(12 \%\) and not \(20 \%\).

In summary, neither Costco nor the traffic engineers it has retained sees a nexus between the site's generated trips and the possible need for a northbound left-turn lane on Table Rock Road at its intersection with Morningside Street. As well, Costco and its traffic engineers believe the need for and appropriateness of a northbound left-turn lane at this intersection has not yet been established, and that further investigation could reasonably conclude that traffic volume on Table Rock Road, while a contributing factor, is not the primary or the only factor contributing to northbound rear-end crashes at this intersection. Attachment B includes the crash data summary and ADT at the Table Rock Road/Morningside Road intersection.

\section*{SUMMARY}

Costco Wholesale believes that a contribution of \(\$ 35,000\) will allow construction and implementation of roadway improvements in Medford appropriate to mitigate the off-site transportation impacts anticipated within the City of Medford and attributable to the proposed new Central Point Costco warehouse. Even so, Costco Wholesale is prepared to contribute up to \(\$ 70,000\) to the City of Medford in order to assure that sufficient funds are provided to fully mitigate the proposed Costco warehouse's off-site transportation impacts within the Medford's jurisdictional boundaries.

\section*{Attachment A Raised Median Cost Estimate at Airport Rd/Biddle Rd}

Central Point Costco Public Improvements
Airport Rd. \& Biddle Rd. Intersection
Costco Wholesale


\section*{Central Point Costco Public Improvements}

Airport Rd. \& Biddle Rd. intersection
KITTELSON \& ASSOCIATES INE Costco Wholesale

Engineer's Estimate - Conteptisaf


\section*{Scope Accuracy:}

Level 1: Project scope well understood and well defined.
Level 2: Praject scope canceptual. Scope lacks detail due to potential permit requirements; Unknown project conditions; limited knowledge of external impacts
Level 3: Praject scope is a "wision" with limited detail

\section*{Engineering Effort:}

Level A: Pretiminary engineering performed Technical information is available, engineering calculations have been performed; clear understanding of the materials size and quantities needed to execute job. Schedule understood; staff and permitting is fairly clear. (hawever this element may still need refining). Project Development \& Construction Contingencies ranges between 10\%-20\%
Leval 日: Conceptual engineering performed. Technical information is available, rough engineering calculations may have been performed, or similar infarmation from previous similar work is compared and used. Project Develapment Contingencies ranges between \(15 \%\) to \(25 \%\) and Construction Contingencies ranges between \(20 \%\) to \(30 \%\).
Level C: Na engineering perfarmed Educated guesstimating. Limited technical information available and/or analysis performed Project Development and Construction Contingencies should be selected appropriately by Project Manager Contingency may range up to \(50 \%\).

\section*{Attachment B Crash Data Summary \& ADT at Table Rock Rd/Morningside St}

\section*{Morningside St \& Table Rock Rd 29 Accidents (rate:1.32) 01/01/10-12/04/14 \\ }


Acrident tuliret
01/01/2010-12/04/2014
Morningside Si A Tatiz Rock Rod
Sorted by CDATE;TMMEAECDS
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Case id & Date & TIME & OESTA DAR F & Street 1 & StREET 2 & TYPE OF COU & VEH 2 & VEH & VEH 1 Move & VEH 2 MOVE & VEH 1 TYPE & H2TYPE & lane pos & muxy & SEvehity & f plat & ENFORCEMENT & Af Fautt & sec cause \\
\hline 1001341 & 1/23/2010 & 15:26 & 0 East & TABEIE ROCK RD & mbanmagide 5 & Sidesmipe & Horth & North & Straight & Turuing leht & Moviry Aut & Meving Aut & & 1 & - & 0 - & - Followning too close & Vehl & \\
\hline 1001958 & 2/2/2010 & 16:21 & 0 & TAELE ROCX RD & MOMNWGGSDES \(5 T\) & Rear end & North & North & Straight & Straight & Mancing Aut & Moving Aut & & 2 & 2 & 10 & 0 Follawing toa clase & Veh 1 & \\
\hline 1004405 & 3/18/2010 & 17:21 & 75 Souch & TABLE ROCX FD & moanameste st & Resar end & Horth & North & Stright & Stopper in Tral & Moving Aux & Mowing Aut & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 Fsilaming toe close & Veh 1 & \\
\hline 1008465 & 6/2/2010 & 15:23 & 200 North & TABE ROCK RD & MORNLNGSIDE ST & Rear end & South & South & 5 traight & Tuming lett & Mawing Ale & Mowing Aut & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 Careless Diving & veh 1 & Did not comp \\
\hline 3009541 & 6/3/2010 & 20:02 & 500 Narth & tasle riod ro & MORNINGSIDE ST & Rear end & Sourt & South & Straight & Stopped in Tral & Moving Aut & Mosins Aut & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 00 DUll Atcohol & Veh 1 & \\
\hline 1009155 & 6/25/2010 & 12:54 & 0 & TAELE ROCK RD & MDANINGSIDE ST & Rear end & North & North & 5 traight & Turning left & Moving Aut & Moving Aut & & & 00 & 0 & 0 following tooclese & Yeh 1 & \\
\hline 1010062 & 7/1/2010 & 5:37 & 0 & TAEEE ROCX RD & MTRANINGSDEE ST & steswipe & South & South & Overtabing & Turming Right & Ntaving Aut & Moving Aut & aight 5hou & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 Improp Passing & veh 1 & \\
\hline 1016542 & 10/18/2010 & 16:26 & 0 & MORHINGSIDEST & table rock ro & Ande & North & Enst & Stratist & Stoppred in Traf & Bkyde & Moviry Aut & & 1 & 1 & 20 & 0 Careless Diving & veh: & \\
\hline 1016598 & 12/2/2010 & 0:44 & 1000 Nerth & TABLE ROCX FO & mathingide st & sideswipe & North & South & 5 Sraigh & Stright & Moving Aut & Moving Aut & Off Rasd \(R\) & 0 & d & 0 & - DUII Atcohor & veh 1 & Hit and Rums \\
\hline 1207065 & 4/19/2011 & 16.36 & 0 & TABLE ROCK AD & MORHINGSIDES \(T\) & Rear end & North & North & Strayth & Turning left & Moving Aut & Moring Aut & & & 1 & 10 & 0 Darger Move of Sip/Pici ve & veh 1 & \\
\hline 1108914 & 5/20/2011 & 11:59 & 35 Herth & TAale rock po & MORNMWESIDE ST & Hear end & Horth & North & Straight & Slopped in 7 at & Moving Aut & Moving Aut & & 2 & 2 & 10 & 0 foblowing too ciese & Veh 1 & Animax \\
\hline 1110451 & 6/13/2011 & 16:11 & 505 sourth & tagle rock mo & MORNDEGSIDE ST & Rear end & Narth & North & Straidth & Stopped in Trat & Moring Allt & Hownif Aut & & 2 & 2 & 1 D & D Following too close & veh 1 & \\
\hline 1110650 & 6/16/2011 & 19:37 & 50 5outh & table rcick ma & MORNINGSidy 5 S & Hear end & North & North & 5 traigh & Stopped in Tras & & & & & 0 & 0 O & 0 Foltowning tox close & Veh 1 & Vlolated tra \\
\hline 1114855 & 8/21/2011 & 10,31 & 0 & TAGLE ROCX ROI & MORNINGSDE 5 & Head on & South & & Tuming Pight & Statisnary & Mosing Aur & Object & Off Road R & & 00 & 0 & a Careless Diving & veh 1 & \\
\hline 1115253 & 1/26/2011 & 16:40 & 528 Namh & TAELE ROCX RD & MORNINGSIDE ST & 5ideswipe & Sauth & North & Leav Traf Ln Lef & 5 traidht & Maving Aut & moviry Aul & & & 0 & 0 O & 0 Careless Orivins & Veh 1 & Did nat comp \\
\hline 1116541 & 9/16/2011 & 17:12 & 30 South & TABLE FOCO RD & MCPRNNGSIDES & Rear end & North & North & 5 straight & Stopped in Traf & & & & & 0 & 0 O & 0 fallowing toa close & veh 1 & \\
\hline 1119563 & 1/1/2011 & 14:00 & 0 & TABLE ROCK AD & MDRAENGSDES ST & Rezar end & North & North & 5 traight & Turning lett & Macring Aut & Moving Aut & & & 1 & 10 & 0 Nore & Veh 2 & Hita and fum \\
\hline 1203776 & 2/28/2012 & 15:57 & 0 & table hocer mid & MORAINGSLDE ST & Rearend & North & North & stralght & Stoppedin Trat & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 F fillowins too close & veh 1 & \\
\hline 1203878 & 3/1/2012 & 14:47 & 40 South & table rock mot & MORNINGSIDE S & Rear and & Neorth & North & Straight & Tuming teft & Moving aut & Moving Aut & & & 1 & 1 O & 0 Following too clase & veh! & \\
\hline 1212435 & 7/6/2012 & 16:14 & D & TABLE ROCK RD & MOANMGESLE & Angle & Horth & North & Straight & Turning teft & Moving Aut & Moving Aut & & & 0 & 0 & - Following too dase & veh: & Did not camp \\
\hline 1212696 & 7/10/2012 & 13:29 & 0 & TABLE ROCK RD & MORNINGSIDE 5 & Ande & East & North & Tumstry Left & Straight & Mcving Aut & Moving Aut & & & 1 & 10 & 0 Falto obey STOP SIGN & Veh 1 & nid not comp \\
\hline 1215893 & 1/21/2012 & 16:10 & 0 & TABLE ROCK RD & MORNIHESIDE ST & Rear end & Nerth & Narth & Straight & Stopped in Trat & Mcring Aut & Maving Aut & & & \(\bigcirc\) & 0 O & 0 Foilowing too close & Veh 1 & \\
\hline 1221590 & 11/5/2012 & 15:43 & 75 5outh & TABLE ROCK RD & MORALIMSIDE 5 & Hon colisio & North & North & Stramer & Stopped in Trat & Mcoving Aut & Moving Lut & & & 0 & 0 - & - Forkamin toa dose & Veth 1 & \\
\hline 23 D 6 E0 & 1/26/2013 & 7:54 & 500 North & TABLE ROCX Rod & mothingime st & Sticeswpe & North & & Leay Trifin lef & Stationary & Mowing Aut & Coject & Off Roxa 1 & & 0 & 0 & 0 None & veh 1 & Hitand Run \\
\hline 1313745 & 7/12/2013 & \(11: 11\) & 0 & mornagsidest & TABLE ROCK RD & Anyle & East & North & Turning Lerte & Tunnioy Left & Moving Aut & Mowing Aut & & & 1 & 1 O & O Fail to obey STOP SKiN & veh 1 & \\
\hline 1319092 & 9/20/201 & 11:11 & 38 North & TASLE ROCX RO & MOANANGSLDE ST & flear end & Stuth & South & Straight & Stopped in Irat & Mrowing Aut & Moving Aut & & & 1 & 10 & 0 Following toctere & vet 1 & Violated Tra \\
\hline 1322177 & 11/1/2013 & 14:44 & 1000 North & TABLE ROCX RD & MORNIEGSDEST & hear end & North & North & Straigh & Stopped in Traf & Moving Aut & Maviry Aut & Off Road R & & 0 & D 0 & 0 Following too clase & Veh 1 & \\
\hline 1324605 & 12/9/2013 & 14:18 & 0 & MORNINGSIDEST & TABLE ROCK RD & Ante & Scuth & East & Tumman Rizht & Stopped lo Traf & Movine Aut & Moving Aut & & & 0 & 0 & 0 Other & veh 1 & Weather \\
\hline 1413090 & 5/25/2014 & 16:21 & 30 South & TABLE ROCK RD & mornmgsidest & Hear end & North & Herth & Strajalt & Stopped in Tral & Mownt Aut & Moving Aut & & & 2 & 10 & 0 Following toa dlase & Veh 1 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TRAFFIC ENGINEERING DIVISION
24 Hr Average Weekday
TRAFFIC VOLUME

Latitude: 0' 0.0000 South
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Start & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{28-Jul-14} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Tue} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Wed} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Thu} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Fri} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Sat} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Sun} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Week Average} \\
\hline Tirne & Direction 1 & Direction & Direction & Direction & Direction & Direction & Direction & Direction & Direction & Direction & Direction & Direction & Direction & Direction & Direction & Direction \\
\hline 12:00 AM & & - & 33 & 52 & 31 & 69 & * & * & * & * & - & - & - & * & 32 & 60 \\
\hline 01:00 & , & - & 30 & 50 & 26 & 37 & * & * & * & * & - & - & * & * & 28 & 44 \\
\hline 02:00 & * & * & 16 & 19 & 13 & 39 & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & 14 & 29 \\
\hline 03:00 & * & - & 53 & 34 & 40 & 32 & * & * & * & * & - & * & - & + & 46 & 33 \\
\hline 04:00 & * & - & 136 & 33 & 127 & 54 & * & * & * & * & - & , & * & * & 132 & 44 \\
\hline 05:00 & * & - & 258 & 118 & 208 & 177 & * & * & * & * & * & - & - & * & 233 & 148 \\
\hline 06:00 & * & - & 287 & 197 & 199 & 280 & * & * & * & * & * & - & , & * & 243 & 238 \\
\hline 07:00 & - & * & 414 & 244 & 253 & 407 & - & * & * & * & * & - & - & * & 334 & 326 \\
\hline 08:00 & * & * & 323 & 280 & 194 & 400 & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & 258 & 340 \\
\hline 09:00 & 252 & 277 & 284 & 273 & 188 & 337 & * & * & * & * & * & * & - & * & 241 & 296 \\
\hline 10:00 & 318 & 271 & 259 & 310 & 194 & 399 & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & 257 & 327 \\
\hline 11:00 & 275 & 332 & 314 & 357 & 186 & 363 & * & * & * & * & * & * & - & * & 258 & 351 \\
\hline 12:00 PM & 293 & 368 & 367 & 402 & - & * & - & - & * & * & * & * & * & * & 330 & 385 \\
\hline 01:00 & 364 & 387 & 456 & 439 & * & * & - & * & * & * & * & - & * & - & 410 & 413 \\
\hline 02:00 & 311 & 417 & 345 & 476 & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & + & 328 & 446 \\
\hline 03:00 & 376 & 519 & 295 & 569 & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & - & * & 336 & 544 \\
\hline 04:00 & 372 & 542 & 259 & 625 & - & - & * & * & * & * & * & * & - & * & 316 & 584 \\
\hline 05:00 & 370 & 520 & 298 & 587 & - & * & * & * & * & * & - & * & - & * & 334 & 554 \\
\hline 06:00 & 295 & 304 & 205 & 341 & - & - & * & * & * & * & * & - & - & * & 250 & 322 \\
\hline 07:00 & 197 & 249 & 159 & 260 & - & - & * & * & * & * & * & * & - & * & 178 & 254 \\
\hline 08:00 & 176 & 180 & 115 & 232 & - & * & - & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & 146 & 206 \\
\hline 09:00 & 142 & 175 & 111 & 205 & - & - & * & * & * & * & - & - & , & * & 126 & 190 \\
\hline 10:00 & 100 & 135 & 84 & 134 & * & - & * & * & * & * & - & - & - & * & 92 & 134 \\
\hline 11:00 & 46 & 71 & 58 & 101 & * & - & * & * & * & \(\cdots\) & * & * & * & * 1 & 52 & 86 \\
\hline Lane & 3887 & 4747 & 5159 & 6338 & 1659 & 2594 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4974 & 6354 \\
\hline Day & & & 114 & & 42 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 113 & \\
\hline AM Peak & 10.00 & 11:00 & 07:00 & 11:00 & 07:00 & 07:00 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 07:00 & 11:00 \\
\hline Vol. & 318 & 332 & 414 & 357 & 253 & 407 & - & - & - & \(\bullet\) & - & - & - & - & 334 & 351 \\
\hline PM Peak & \(15: 00\) & 16:00 & 13:00 & 16:00 & - & - & - & \(\checkmark\) & - & - & \(\cdot\) & - & \(\bullet\) & - & 13:00 & 16:00 \\
\hline Vol. & 376 & 542 & 456 & 62.5 & - & - & * & - & - & - & - & - & - & \(\bullet\) & 410 & 584 \\
\hline Comb Total & & & & 497 & & 253 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 1328 \\
\hline ADT & & T 11,327 & AAD & 11,327 & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TRAFFIC ENGINEERING DIVISION
24 Hr Average Weekday
TRAFFIC VOLUME

Latitude: 0 0 0.0000 South
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Start & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{28-Jul-14} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Tue} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Wed} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Thu} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Fri} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Sat} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Sun} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Week Average} \\
\hline Time & Direction 1 & Direction & Direction & Direction & Direction & Direction & Direction & Direction & Direction & Direction & Direction & Direction & Direction & Direction & Direction & Direction \\
\hline 12:00 AM & , & & 10 & 17 & 9 & 15 & & & & & * & - & - & + & 10 & 16 \\
\hline 01:00 & * & * & 9 & 23 & 12 & 23 & * & * & - & * & * & * & - & * & 10 & 23 \\
\hline 02:00 & * & * & 3 & 9 & 3 & 6 & , & - & * & * & * & - & - & * & 3 & 8 \\
\hline 03:00 & * & * & 18 & 12 & 13 & 9 & * & - & * & * & * & - & - & * & 16 & 10 \\
\hline 04:00 & * & * & 44 & 11 & 48 & 11 & - & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & 46 & 11 \\
\hline 05:00 & * & * & 97 & 29 & 100 & 30 & , & * 1 & * & * & - & - & - & * & 98 & 30 \\
\hline 06:00 & * & * & 124 & 39 & 133 & 33 & * & * & * & * & ' & - & - & * & 128 & 36 \\
\hline 07:00 & * & * & 191 & 59 & 175 & 60 & - & - & * & * & * & * & * & * & 183 & 60 \\
\hline 08:00 & * & * & 146 & 53 & 128 & 65 & * & * & * & * & , & - & * & * & 137 & 59 \\
\hline 09:00 & * & * & 112 & 77 & 98 & 95 & * & - & * & * & - & * & * & * & 105 & 86 \\
\hline 10:00 & * & * & 95 & 88 & 121 & 92 & * & - & * & * & * & - & * & * & 108 & 90 \\
\hline 11:00 & * & * & 905 & 117 & 106 & 120 & - & - & * & * & * & * & * & * & 106 & 118 \\
\hline 12:00 PM & * & * & 114 & 140 & 102 & 140 & - & * & * & * & - & * & * & * & 108 & 140 \\
\hline 01:00 & * & * & 98 & 141 & 126 & 138 & * & \(\cdot\) & * & * & - & - & * & * & 112 & 140 \\
\hline 02:00 & * & - & 111 & 138 & 108 & 158 & * & - & * & * & * & - & * & * & 110 & 148 \\
\hline 03:00 & * & * & 114 & 198 & 109 & 222 & - & * & * & * & - & * & * & * & 112 & 210 \\
\hline 04:00 & 111 & 217 & 111 & 236 & 116 & 248 & * & * & * & * & - & - & * & * & 113 & 234 \\
\hline 05:00 & 127 & 260 & 124 & 243 & 133 & 253 & * & * & * & * & - & - & * & * & 128 & 252 \\
\hline 06:00 & 102 & 171 & 91 & 158 & 2 & 5 & * & * & * & - & - & * & * & * & 65 & 111 \\
\hline 07:00 & 78 & 139 & 89 & 139 & 0 & 0 & - & * & * & * & - & * & * & * & 56 & 93 \\
\hline 08:00 & 67 & 100 & 54 & 103 & , & * & * & * & * & * & - & - & * & * & 60 & 102 \\
\hline 09:00 & 52 & 95 & 49 & 102 & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & 50 & 98 \\
\hline 10:00 & 33 & 77 & 28 & 55 & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & 30 & 66 \\
\hline 11:00 & 19 & 30 & 17 & 44 & * & * & * & * & * & - & * & * & * & *. & 18 & 37 \\
\hline Lane Day & 5891678 & 1089 & 1954 & 52231 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1642 \\
& 33
\end{aligned}
\] & \(5^{1723}\) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \[
\begin{gathered}
1912 \\
409
\end{gathered}
\] & 2178 \\
\hline AM Peak & - & - & 07:00 & 11:00 & 07:00 & 11:00 & - & - & . & - & - & - & - & \(\checkmark\) & 07:00 & 11:00 \\
\hline Val. & - & - & 191 & 117 & 175 & 120 & . & . & - & - & - & - & - & - & 183 & 118 \\
\hline PM Peak & 17:00 & 17:00 & 17:00 & 17:00 & 17:00 & 17:00 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 17:00 & 17:00 \\
\hline vol. & 127 & 260 & 124 & 243 & 133 & 253 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & \(\cdot\) & 128 & 252 \\
\hline Comb. Total & 1678 & & & 185 & & 3365 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 090 \\
\hline ADT & ADT & 4,089 & & T 4.089 & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\title{
L. Calvin Martin, Consultant
}

\author{
P. O. Box 442
}


Jacksonville, Oregon, 97530

\section*{Appeal to the City Council of Central Point, Oregon}

\section*{Regarding: Costco Store Conditional-Use Permit your file \#15022}

\section*{This appeal is pursuant to your Municipal Code Section 17.05.400(F)}

\section*{Members of the Council,}

I am submitting this appeal in an effort demonstrate to you that the City of Central Point Planning Dept. and Planning Commission have committed an error in their approval of the Conditional-Use Permit for the Costco Store to be located in the City of Central Point in the Table Rock Road Industrial Park. I have standing in that I spoke at the original hearing on January \(6^{\text {th }}, 2016\) and appealed the decision to the Planning Commission subsequently.

It is easy to understand the city's desire to have such a vibrant retail store in your City. Costco is an arnazing marketer of goods and services. They have a loyal customer base and provide terrific praducts to their customers. The City Planning Commission has abused their discretion in allowing such a use in the industrial zone and at this location.

In my previous appeal to the Planning Commission | discussed the impact of the traffic that Costco generates at any location. I demonstrated the traffic generated on a day to day basis is substantialiy more than is generated by the entire Rogue Valley Mall in Medford. This fact should be an occasion for pause and contemplation. You are required to follow the rules laid out in your Development Ordinance when approving such an application. It is interesting that in your STATEMENT OF VALUES regarding growth it is stated that "We value planned growth that will retain our small town atmosphere". This project does not fit that statement.

One of the issues is that the zone, that the store is to be located in, is industrial. The areas all around the store are zoned industrial and much is heavy industrial. The reason that retail/commercial activities are allowed in those zones with restrictions, and not outright, and that they go through the ConditionalUse permit process is that they are not the primary use but an accessory use to the primary. It is the intent that these ancillary and non-primary uses are to allow for complimentary services and uses in the zone. These non-primary uses are allowed for convenience and efficiency. Uses such as restaurants and supply stores are allowed to keep people from leaving the general area to obtain needed services

When a store like Costco is placed in that zone you have to play, and indeed did so, a very significant semantic game with what you call the store. In calling it a warehouse store the project might just seem like a fit. With that said, it is certain that the management of Costco is under no illusions, whatsoever,
that they are the largest retailer in the area. As I previously stated, Costco generates more traffic than the Rogue Valley Mall and they are about one fifth of their building footprint. Just consider the number of parking spaces that they are illustrating in their plan. It is obvious that they don't fit in this zone. They are not the complimentary service and supply provider that is allawed in the zone. A store of this size and magnitude should not be in an industrial zone. If they are allowed to develop on this site it will become a traffic disaster.

The traffic issues have far reaching effects of congestion and cost on Central Point, Jackson County, and the City of Medford as well as the Oregon Department of Transportation. Of course, that means the tax payers who support those entities. In other words, all of us will be paying a huge price for the location of a store that is not an appropriate fit. It is not a code fit and it is not a size and traffic fit all in one. Once Costco goes in there will be no way to fix this problem.

The area of this store and the road servicing it are plan designated as a Freight Traffic Route. It currently experiences very heavy truck traffic and will experience more as the balance of the lands near and adjacent to the Costco site develap. It is unwise and dangerous to mix high volume heavy truck traffic with a daily vehicle traffic load approaching 11,000 .

Traffic congestion around the Costco store will be a mix of heavy trucks and light vehicles. Table Rock Road will see the bulk of the traffic and the measures that have been suggested will only assist but not solve the problems related to this location. The additional traffic on Table Rock Road will soon find that it is not designed to handle the load further south of this store and people will soon move their preferred approach to Biddle Road and Table Rock Road north of the site. They will start using the freeway to enter Central Point from the North and the South. This will add congestion on the freeway off-ramps north and south and a loading on Pine Street all the way to the intersection with Hamrick and Pine and Table Rock Road and Pine/Biddle Road. Anyone who travels these roads now, knows that congestion in the morning and afternoon is already critical. Many people going to Costco do so on their way home. It will definitely be more of snarl than it already is.

The improvements required in the Traffic Impact Study indicate a resultant congestion from construction that is not to be completed for as much as two years. In reality, some of these items, such as an \(1-5\) off-ramp improvement, are not scheduled by ODOT until 2023. There is no definitive evidence that the schedule has been modified. There are no engineering studies or drawings that would support a timely upgrade of that facility. There is no indication, other than verbal at the hearing of January \(6^{\text {th }}\), 2016 that some concession might be made. There is no evidence that the improvements along Table Rock Road are funded or that the requisite imminent domain takings of additional land for widening and intersections have been done. There have been no bids advertised for and only estimates by the applicants traffic consultants. In fact, ali of the items that should be in place for public safety and efficient road service are only ideas and suggestions at this point. It is possible that some may be completed within two years but at this point it is far from certain.

An additional issue that has not been fully vetted is the intersection of Biddfe and Airport Road. This intersection is important as persons traveling to the airport are often on short time frames and congestion creates difficulties for them. Considering the fact that Table Rock Road to the south of the site will not be able to handle the traffic

The impact on all of these roads is significant and not easily solved if at all. The argument can be made that the costs for all of the improvements needed to place this major retailer in an industrial zone along Table Rock Road more than eclipses the cost of the store itself by a factor of five. Estimates for the widening of the freeway overpass on Table Rock Road exceed 20 million dollars. Further south of the overpass are single family residences that need to back out onto Table Rock Road to exit their properties. The estimate for acquiring these properties for road widening does not exist, but would be very high.

Table Rock Road is designated as a freight route in the overall traffic master plan and this enormous retailer with its accompanying vehicle traffic is not an appropriate mix with the truck traffic in that area. This traffic is well documented and I am certain that all of you are more than aware of the significant truck traffic that occurs on Table Rock Road to the North and South of this site and the truck traffic that enters and exits Central Point onto Pine Street from the interstate 5. This type of traffic mix is difficult in small amounts that will always be present but to introduce vehicle traffic that is more than the Rogue Valley Mall to these roads even with the proposed improvements should give you pause. Vehicle and truck accidents will undoubtedly increase and serious injuries will occur when Costco's large number of senior drivers are forced to mix with large truck traffic. The intersection of Vilas Road and Crater Lake Highway has been the scene of horrendous accidents and many deaths and this location and traffic load creates at least four intersections with that type of potential.

It was not long ago that the City of Central Point decided to pass on a "big box" store proposed by Walmart in this general vicinity. In fact, Walmart's proposed site created fewer problems than this site. Some of the same reasons that are expressed here were used to discourage the development of the Walmart store. It should be noted that the super-sized Waimart does not generate anything close to the traffic that this Costco site will generate on a day to day / hour to hour basis. If it was not a fit for Walmart at a more appropriate site, then this location and store is certainly not a fit.

I am urging you to reconsider and overturn the decision of the Planning Commission on merit.
Thank you for your time.
Respectfully submitted this \(16^{\text {th }}\) day of February, 2016

L. Calvin Martin

\title{
Costco Wifolesale Conditional Use Permit Draft Findings of Fact \& Conclusions of Law
}
L. Calvin Martin

Filing Date: February 16, 2016
File No. 15022

\author{
City Council Appcal Hearing \\ March 10, 2016
}

\section*{Part 1 - Introduction}

On February 2, 2016, the Planning Commission in accordance with CPMC 17.76 approved a Conditional Use Permit authorizing the development of a Costco Wholesale membership warchouse and fuel facility on 18.28 acres within the M-1 zoning district ("Costco Application"). The project site is located on the eastern edge of Central Point city limits at the southwest corner of Hamrick and Table Rock road. The site also has frontage on Federal Way, a local street. Surrounding properties include developed and undeveloped industrial lands, including the M-1 and M-2 zoning districts.

On February 16, 2016, L.. Calvin Martin filed an appeal contesting the Planning Commission's decision on the basis that the City of Central Point Planning Department and Planning Commission committed an error when approving the Conditional Ijse Permit for the Costco Store ("Martin Appeal"). The Martin Appeal addressed several issues that focused on legitimacy of a commercial/retail use in the M-1 zone and traffic related concerns, which are addressed below.

The Council's scope of review on this appeal is limited to the issues and evidence presented in the record before the Planning Commission as per CPMC. 17.05.400(F)(3). As this appeal is on the record the City Council may not consider new evidence or issues that were not preserved in the record below. Council review is limited to determining whether there is substantial evidence in the record to support the findings of the Planning Commission; or whether errors of law were committed.

Membership warehouses are permitted as a conditional use per the City's 2009 similar use determination under CPMC 17.48.020(W) and 17.60.140, which was adopted by the Planning Commission as Resolution No.764, and affirmed by the Council on Appeal as Resolution No. 1217. The applicable approval criteria for a Conditional Lise Permit are set forth under CPMC 17.76.040, Findings and Conditions for Conditional Use Permits.

\section*{Part 2 - Appenl Issces}

There were seventeen (17) issues raised in the Martin Appeal. Though some of these issues overlap, staff has attempted to lay each issue out separately for Council consideration. The following is a summary of each issue presented in the Martin \(\Lambda\) ppeal including the draft findings and conclusion pertinent to each issue.
1. Planning Commission Abuse of Discretion. "The City Planning Commission has abused their discretion in allowing such a use in the industrial zone and at this location."

Finding 1: Membership warehouses, per Section 17.48.020(W) and 17.60.140, are allowed as a conditional use in the M-I district per Planning Commission Resolution No. 764 and City Council Resolution No. 1217. As such, the Planning Commission considered and approved the Costco Conditional lise Permit (CUP) application in accordance with the conditional use permit standards and criteria set forth in CPMC 17.76. Per the Applicant's Findings ("Applicant's Findings" and the Planning Department Supplemental Findings ("Supplemental Findings") and testimony provided in the record, evidence was submitted into the record as to each criterion under 17.76.040 and was found to comply with all such standards and criteria.

Conclusion 1: The Council concludes that the Planning Commission did not err in finding that membership warehouses are permitted subject to a conditional use application under CPMC 17.76 and/or that there was substantial evidence in the record to find that all such standards and criteria were met under 17.76.040..
2. Traffic Impacts. Traffic generated by Costco on a daily basis is substantially more than is generated by the entire Rogue Valley Mall.

Finding 2: The Applicant submitted a traffic impact analysis ("TIA") into the record prepared by Kittelson and Associates for the subject property. City staff reviewed the TIA and there is testimony in the record as to the substance of the TIA. No other traffic reports or analysis were prepared or submitted into the record by traffic engineers or other traffic experts. Testimony from opponents was limited to opinions as to the amount of traffic that would be generated by the subject application. There was no other traffic analysis in the record to contradict the Applicant's TIA, nor does the record contain any traffic analysis as to the amount of traffic at the Rogue Valley Mall.

Conclusion 2: The Council concludes there is not substantial evidence in the record to find that traffic at the Rogue Valley Mall is relevant to this application, \(n\) or is there sufficient evidence in the record to substantiate the amount of traffic generated by the mall.
3. Development Ordinance. - "You are required to follow the rules laid out in your development ordinance when approving such an application."

Finding 3: The Planning Commission's considered the subject application as a CUP under the City's authorization of membership warchouses as a conditional use in the M-I zone as discussed in Finding 1 above, and Finding 17.48.040(A) of the Planning Department Supplemental Findings in the record. The Planning Commission considered and approved the Conditional Use Permit for Costco Wholesale based on the application's demonstrated compliance with the standards and criteria for conditional use permits per CPMC 17.76 as set forth in the Applicant's Findings and the Planning Department Supplemental Findings (See Resolution No. 827 and attachments thereto.).

Conclusion 3: The Council concludes that there is substantial evidence in the record to find that the Planning Commission applied the relevant standards and criteria under Resolution Nos. 764 and 1217, and CPMC 17.76 to approve a membership warehouse and fuel facility and applied the evidence in the record to find such standards and criteria were met.
4. Statement of Values. - "Your SIATEMENT OF VALUES regarding growth...stated that, "We value planned growth that will retain our small town atmosphere." This project does not fit that statement."

Finding 4: In considering the Conditional Use Application for the proposed Membership Warehouse and fuel facility, the Planning Commission was required to render a decision based on the proposal's demonstrated compliance with Standards and Criteria for Conditional Use Permits set forth in Central Point Municipal Code Chapter 17.76.

Conclusion 4: The mission statement is not part of the Municipal Code nor Comprehensive Plan. It does not serve as a standard or criteria and is merely aspirational language under the City's general Mission Statement. The Council finds the Planning Commission did not err applying the Standards and Criteria of CPMC 17.76.
5. Accessory Use. - "One of the issues is that the zone that the store is to be located in is Industrial. The reason that retail/commercial activities are allowed in those zones with restrictions, and not outright, and that they go through the Conditional Use Permit process is that they are not the primary use but an accessory use to the primary."

Finding 5: The Planning Commission found that membership warehouses were adopted by the City as conditional uses in 2009 as set forth in Finding 17.48.040(A) of the Planning Department Supplemental Findings adopted by the Planning Commission. The Planning Commission found that as a result of the similar use determination, membership warehouses are not considered accessory uses but are permitted subject to the standards and criteria for Conditional Use Permits per CPMC 17.76.

Conclusion 5: The Council concludes that the Planning Commission's consideration of membership warehouses as a conditional use and not as an accessory use is in conformance with the City's similar use authorization per CPMC 17.48.020(W) and CPMC: 17.60.140. See also Planning Commission Resolution 764 and City Council Resolution 1217.
6. Semantics. - "When a store like Costco is placed in that zone you have to play, and indeed did so, a very significant semantic game with what you call the store. In calling it a warehouse store the project might just seem like a fit. With that said, it is certain that the management of Costco is under no illusions, whatsoever, that they are the largest retailer in the area."

Finding 6: The Planning Commission's consideration of the Costco CUP to develop a membership warehouse and fuel facility is based on the City's similar use authorization for
membership warehouses in the M-I zoning district per Finding 17.48.040(A) in the Planning Department Supplemental Findings and Finding 1 above..

Conclusion 6: Membership warehouse clubs, such as Costco Wholesale, are allowed in the M-I district subject to the standards and criteria set forth in CPMC 17.76, Conditional Use Permit.
7. Not a Fit in the Zone. - "As I previously stated, Costco generates more traffic than the Rogue Valley Mall and they are about one fifth of their building footprint. Just consider the number of parking spaces that they are illustrating in their plan. It is obvious that they don't fit this zone. They are not a complimentary service and supply provider that is allowed in this zonc. A store of this size and magnitude should not be in an industrial zone. If they are allowed to develop on this site it will become a traffic disaster."

Finding 7: As noted in findings 5 and 6, membership warehouses were approved in 2009 as a conditional use in the M-I zone. With regard to traffic, CPMC 17.76 requires consideration of the following:
A. That the site for the proposed use is adequate in size and shape to accommodate the use and to meet all other development and lot requirements of the subject zoning district and all other provisions of this code;
B. That the site has adequate access to a public strect or highway and that the street or highway is adequate in size and condition to effectively accommodate the traffic that is expected to be generated by the proposed use;
C. That the proposed use will have no signilicant adverse effect on abutting property or the permitted use thereof. In making this determination, the commission shall consider the proposed location of improvements on the site; vehicular ingress, egress and internal circulation; sctbacks; height of buildings and structures; walls and fences; landscaping; outdoor lighting; and signs;
D. That the establishment, maintenance or operation of the use applied for will comply with local, state and federal health and safety regulations and therefore will not be detrimental to the health, safety or general welfare of persons residing or working in the surrounding neighborhoods and will not be detrimental or injurious to the property and improvements in the neighborhood or to the general welfare of the community based on the review of those factors listed in subsection C of this section;
F. That any conditions required for approval of the permit are deemed necessary to protect the public health, safety and general welfare and may include:
2. Increasing strect widths, modifications in street designs or addition of street signs or traffic signals to accommodate the traffic generated by the proposed use,
3. Adjustments to off-street parking requirements in accordance with any unique characteristics of the proposed use,
4. Regulation of points of vehicular ingress and egress,

\section*{***}
11. Such other conditions that are found to be necessary to protect the public health, safety and general welfare,

The findings adopted by the Planning Commission reviewed the criteria for Conditional Use Permits set forth in CPMC 17.76 and made findings that the site is adequate in size and shape to accommodate the use; the site has adequate access to a public street or highway; the proposed use will not have adverse effects to abutting properties or permitted uses thereof, that the use will not be detrimental to the health safety or general welfare of persons residing or working in the surrounding area or the community; and that the conditions imposed are deemed necessary and sufficient to protect the public health, safety and general welfare. See the Supplemental and Applicant's findings in the record below.

More particularly, the only traffic impact analysis or other expert evidence submitted into the record is the TIA submitted by the Applicant, and comments from the City, City of Medford, ODOT'and the Airport. The Ilanning Commission imposed conditions of approval pursuant to the TIA and comments from other jurisdictions for traffic impact mitigation. No expert testimony was received into the record countering the TIA or agency recommendations for traffic impact mittgations. (See Finding 2 addressing traffic generated by Costco compared to the Rogue Valley Mall). All other evidence as to traffic was conjecture or speculation.

As demonstrated in Finding 17.76.040(C) in the record below, the Planning Commission considered the proposal's impacts to abutting properties, including an evaluation of the location of proposed site improvement; vehicular ingress, egress and internal circulation; setbacks; building height; walls and fences; landscaping; outdoor lighting and signs. The Planning Commission found that the proposed project is typical of site development within the M-1 zone and that the site development standards for permitted uses in combination with the conditions of approval relative to ingress and egress per Finding \(17.76 .040(B)\) in the record below are sufficient to avoid adverse impacts to abutting properties or permitted uses thereof.

As demonstrated in Finding 17.76.040(1) in the record below, the Planning Commission considered the issue of safety and found that there is sufficient evidence in the Applicant's findings to demonstrate, in conjunction with the building code and fire district regulations, that the proposed use will comply with local, state and federal health and safety regulations and therefore not be a detriment to the health, safety and general welfare of the community or persons residing or working in the surrounding neighborhoods.

Conclusion 7: The proposed membership warehouse and fuel facility was evaluated against the standards and criteria for conditional use permits and found to comply. There is substantial
evidence in the record for the Planning commission to find that the use is compatible with the zone.
8. Traffic Effects Far Reaching. - "The traffic issues have far reaching effects of congestion and cost on Central Point, Jackson County, and the City of Medford as well as the Oregon Department of Transportation. Of course, that means the tax payers who support those entities. In other words, all of us will be paying a huge price for the location of a store that is not an appropriate fit. It is not a code fit and it is not a size and traffic fit all in one. Once Costco goes in there will be no way to fix this problem."

Finding 8: I'er the TIA, the proposed Costco Wholesale and fuel facility is expected to generate 10,670 new daily trips. Heavy vehicles were evaluated in the TLA and no problems were identified with the mix of light and heavy vehicles based on volume and impacts to LOS/VC. On the day of opening traffic impacts were identified at four (4) intersections: I) Interstate 5 Northbound Off-Ramp; 2) Table Rock and Hamrick Road; 3) Table Rock and Airport Road; and 4) Airport and Biddle Road. Although not identified in the TIA, the City of Medford provided crash data and comments in a letter dated January 5, 2016 indicating that traffic generated by Costco would negatively impact the intersection of Table Rock Road and Morningside Street south of the project site. In accordance with the TIA and comments received from affected agencies, the Planning Commission imposed conditions of approval requiring mitigation of the traffic impacts caused by the proposed Costco Wholesale (See Table I).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Tahle l. Traff Intersection & mpact Mitigation St Impuet & Mitisution & Timing \\
\hline Interstate 5 NB Off-Ramp & Volume to Capacity (v/c) Ratio is exceeded. & Enter into a Cooperative Improvement Agreement with ODOT and the City to develop and construct dual right turn lanes per IAMP Project No. 9. & Prior to building permit issuance \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Table \\
Rock/Hamrick \\
Road
\end{tabular} & Intersection Failure due to left turn delays & Construct center turn lane and refuge within existing Table Rock Road right-ofway at Hamrick Road. & Prior to certificate of occupancy. \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Table \\
Rock/Airport \\
Road
\end{tabular} & Existing left turn delays cause the intersection to operate at a Level of Service (LOS) \(F\). The existing status is aggravated by additional traffic generated by the proposed use. & Jackson County has funding to construct improvements on Table Rock Road that includes signalization of the intersection. The County has indicated that construction of the improvements will begin in 2017; therefore, no interim mitigation is necessary. & \begin{tabular}{l}
Jackson \\
County Table \\
Rock Road \\
Improvement \\
Project \\
commences in
\[
2017 .
\]
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Airport/Biddle Road & Traffic generated by Costco causes left turn delays which & Per the City of Medford in a letter dated January 5 & Proof of payment \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l} 
results in a decline in the \\
LOS from C to E.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
2016, the applicant shall \\
contribute its pro-rata \\
share toward construction \\
of a signal at the \\
intersection.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Table Rock Road \\
at Morningside \\
Street
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Traffic generated by Costco \\
aggravates an existing left \\
turn delay at the intersection.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Per the City of Medford in \\
a letter dated January 5, \\
2016, the applicant shall \\
contribute its pro-rata \\
share toward construction \\
of a center left turn lane \\
anding \\
and refuge on Table Rock \\
Road at Morningside Street
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
issance.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

As demonstrated in the Applicant's Findings and the Planning Department Findings, the Planning Commission found the project as conditioned is adequate to accommodate the use (See Planning Commission Findings for 17.76 .040 in the record below).

Conclusion 8: There is substantial evidence in the record for the Planning Commission to find that as conditioned, the application complies with CPMC 17.76 and that traffic impacts from the project will funded or constructed at the time of development.
9. Heavy Vehicle Conflicts. - "Traffic congestion around the Costco store will be a mix of heavy trucks and light vehicles. Table Rock Road will see the bulk of traffic and the measures that have been suggested will only assist but not solve the problems related to this location."

Finding 9: The Council incorporates Finding 8 as if fully set forth herein.
Conclusion 9: There is substantial evidence in the record for the Planning Commission to find traffic mitigation required by this project has been satisfied as conditioned.
10. Additional Traffic Impacts on Table Rock Road. - "Additional traffic on Table Rock Road will soon find that it is not designed to handle the load further south of this store and people will soon move their preferred approach to Biddle Road and Table Rock Road north of the site. They will start using the freeway to enter Central Point from the North and the South. This will add congestion on the freeway off-ramps north and south and a loading on Pine Strect all the way to the intersection with Hamrick and Pine and Table Rock Road and Pine/Biddle Road."

Finding 10: The 7TA did not identify any issuey south of the project site on Table Rock Road. Although not identified in the TM, the City of Medford submitted comments on December 24, 2016 and January 5, 2016 indicating that the intersection of Table Rock Road at Morningside Street would be adversely impacted due to left turn delays and associated safety concerns. Per the City of Medford's request, the Planning Commission imposed a condition requiring financial contribution for the applicant's proportional share of traffic mitigation to the intersection prior to building permit issuance.

Conclusion 10: There is substantial evidence in the record for the Planning Commission to find that the Applicant will improve, or make financial contributions toward traffic mitigation, that is roughly proportional to the impacts of this development.
11. Improvement Timing. - "The improvements required in the Traffic Impact Study indicate a resultant congestion from construction that is not to be completed for as much as two years. In reality, some of these items, such as an I-5 off-ramp improvement, are not scheduled by OIDOI' until 2023. There is no dcfinitive evidence that the schedule has been modified. There are no enginecring studies or drawings that would support a timely upgrade of that facility. There is no indication, other than verbal at the hearing of January \(6^{\text {th }}, 2016\) that some concession might be made. There is no evidence that the improvements along Table Rock Road are funded or that the requisite imminent domain takings of additional land for widening and intersections have been done."

Finding 11. There was evidence in the record that identifies traffic impacts and mitigation measures and the feasibility of imposing conditions for such traffic mitigation which includes the TIA prepared by Kittelson \& Associates, as well as comments received from affected agencies, including the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT), Jackson County Roads, City of Central Point Public Works Department, and City of Medford. Evidence in the record addressing traffic impacts and the timing of improvements is as follows:
- Table Rock Road Improvement Project. The traffic impact analysis prepared by Kittelson \& Associates took into account planned roadway improvements, including the Jackson County Table Rock Road Improvement project, which is scheduled to be constructed in 2017 (See TLA, Page 32).
- Interstate 5 Northbound Off-Ramp, Exit 33 Improvements. As conditioned, Costco will be required to enter into a Cooperative Improvement Agreement with ODOT and pay its proportional share of the improvement cost prior to building permit issuance (See Revised Public Works Staff Report dated January 5, 2016, Condition No. 1). Evidence in the record establishes that the improvements are planned to be expedited such that they will be constructed as close to opening day of the suhject development as possible:
a. The Revised Public Works Staff Report dated January 5, 2016 states that, "Per ODOT, construction will commence at the carliest possible date." (See Traffic Impacts and Mitigation Item No. 4)
b. During staff's presentation at the .January 5, 2016 Planning Commission Meeting, during a discussion of traffic impacts and mitigation (26:05), staff stated that ODOT agreed to expedite construction of the off-ramp improvements as close to opening day as possible. Don Morehouse, ODOT Planner, concurred with the staff presentation and stated that he had nothing further to add. (Audio Recording. at 1:26:15).

Conclusion 11: There is substantial evidence in the record to support the Planning Commission's decision as to the feasibility and timing of the traffic mitigation conditions.
12. Biddle Road and Airport Road Intersection. - "The intersection of Biddle Road and Airport Road has not been fully vetted. This intersection is important for travelers using the airport. Congestion will create difficulties for them."

Finding 12: The applicant's TIA indicates that the westbound approach of Airport and Biddle Road exceeds the level of service standard for the City of Medford. In a letter dated January 5 , 2016, the City of Medford requested a condition that requires the developer to pay a proportional share toward a future traffic signal at this intersection. The estimated project cost is \(\$ 450,000\), including design, construction and inspection. Per the TIA, Costco contributes \(10 \%\) of the traffic at this intersection. As conditioned, Costco shall provide evidence it has contributed its proportionate share of the construction of signalization improvements in an amount not to exceed \(\$ 45,000\), which shall be payable to the City of Medford prior to building permit issuance.

Conclusion 12: As conditioned, facility adequacy at this intersection is met by the Planning Commission's requirement that the Applicant contribute its pro rata share of the signalization improvements per the City of Medford Comments dated January 5, 2016.
13. Traffic Impacts Not Easily Solved, if at all. - "The impact on all these roads is significant and not easily solved if at all."

Finding 13: Traffic impacts and mitigations are identified in the TIA and by the City of Medford, ODOT relative to the intersections of Biddle and Airport Road and Table Rock and Morningside Street. The Planning Commission's decision to approve the CUP is subject to conditions of approval assuring timely completion of the mitigation actions outlined in the 7lA and requested by the affected agencies. See also Finding 8 incorporated herein by reference.

Conclusion 13: There is substantial evidence in the record that as conditioned, the project will mitigate its proportionate traffic impacts caused by this project and that such conditions are feasible.
14. Cost of Improvements. - "The costs for all of the improvements needed to place this major retailer in an industrial zone along Table Rock Road more than cclipses the cost of the store itself by a factor of five. Estimates for the widening of the freeway overpass on Table Rock Road exceeds 20 million dollars. Further south of the overpass are single family residences that need to back out onto Table rock Road to exit their properties. The estimate for acquiring these properties for road widening does not exist, but would be very high."

Finding 14: There is no evidence in the record from affected agencies or traffic experts or engineers to demonstrate that the Interstate 5 overpass on Table Rock Road warrants replacement or that Table Rock Road improvements south of Interstate 5 are required, other than
required mitigation at the intersection of Table Rock Road and Morningside Street, which was addressed. See also Finding No. 8, incorporated herein by reference.

Conclusion 14: There is substantial evidence in the record for the Planning Commission to find traffic mitigation required by this project has been satisfied as conditioned and that such conditions are feasible.
15. Freight Route, Traffic Conflicts. - "Table Rock Road is designated as a freight route in the overall traffic master plan and this enormous retailer with its accompanying vehicle traffic is not an appropriate mix with the truck traffic in that area."

Finding 15: Heavy vehicle impacts were evaluated in the TIA and no problems were identified with the mix of light and heavy vehicles based on volume and LOS/VC ratios See Synchro Reports in the TIA Appendices). No other expert testimony was presented as to the mix of vehicle traffic.

Conclusion 15: There is substantial evidence in the record for the Planning Commission to find that the mix of light and heavy vehicles does not create problems that would warrant further traffic mitigation or denial of this application.
16. Vehicle and Truck Accidents. - "Vehicle and truck accidents will undoubtedly increase and serious injuries will occur when Costco's large number of senior drivers are forced to mix with large truck traffic."

Finding 16: Heavy vehicle impacts were evaluated in the TIA and no problems were identified with the mix of light and heavy vehicles based on volume (See Synchro Reports in the TIA Appendices) nor was there evidence in the record from experts as to the "large number of senior drivers. "

Conctusion 16: There is substantial evidence in the record for the Planning Commission to find traffic mitigation required by this project has been satisfied as conditioned and safety concerns do not exist as a result of this project.
17. Vilas and Crater Lake Highway. - "The intersection of Vilas and Crater Lake Highway has been the seene of horrendous accidents and many deaths and this location and traffic load creates at least four intersections with that type of potential."

Finding 14: A crash analysis was conducted as part of the TIA at all study area intersections (IIA Page 28) to document crash types, trends and severity. The TIA found that there were no fatality crashes and the most common crashes were turning movement and rear-end crashes accounting for approximately \(82 \%\) of all crashes. There is no expert evidence in the record substantiating this allegation.

Conclusion 14: There is suhstantial evidence in the record for the Planning Commission to find
traffic miltgation required by this project has been satisfied as conditioned and safety concerns do not exist as a result of this project.

\section*{Part 3 - Summary Conclusion}

Council has revicwed the evidence and issues in the record and the issues raised in the Martin appeal. The Council concludes that there was substantial evidence in the record for the Planning Commission to approve the application, and the Commission did not commit errors of law. This Conclusion is based upon the findings herein, and the evidence in the record including the Applicant's findings and the Planning Staff Supplemental Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law.

\title{
BEFORE THE CITY COUNCIL FOR THE CITY OF CENTRAL POINT, OREGON
}

\author{
NOTICE OF APPEAL
}

Re: Costco Conditional Use Permit (File NO. 15022)
Date of Decision: February 2, 2016
Pursuant to Section 17.05.400(F) of the Central Point Municipal Code David 1. Smith files this Notice of Appeal and states the following:

Appellant has standing to bring this appeal in that he appeared and testified before the Central Point Planning Commission on January 6, 2016 and filed written comments on January 12, 2016 within the comment period (written comments are attached hereto).

The specific issues raised on appeal which were raised during the comment period are as follows:
1. Costco's traffic study is flawed in that it does not identify the present traffic patterns for Costco's members to the present store, and, without that information it is impossible to predict the route choices of Costco members to the proposed site. The use of general population statistics is inadequate to assign predicted distribution of the 10,670 daily trips by Costco members.
2. Costco's traffic study indicates that there will be heavy traffic added to Biddle Road at the entrances to the Rogue Valley International-Medford Airport, but does not indicate that the airport master plan has been taken into consideration in the traffic study.
3. The access points for the proposed Costco site are on roads which ODOT has master planned as a Freight corridor.. ODOT's Freight Profile identifies Table Rock Road as one of the local roads that "experience high volumes and of freight traffic". The proposed location is in the midst of existing freight terminals, with more planned for the future, which will mix high volume truck traffic with 10,670 costco member daily automobile trips.
4. There is nothing in the record to verify that ODOT has funded and scheduled construction of the improvements relied upon to support the Costco application. Without that confirmation that the improvements will be completed soon after the store opens is total speculation.

Considering the above issues the approval of the conditional use permit without further study has the potential to create immediate and future traffic congestion and hazards, and, the placement of Costco in the proposed location is contrary to (the attached) Central Point Statement of Values: "Growth: We value planned growth that will retain our small town atmosphere", and, "Transportation: We value a system of transportation and infrastructure that is modern, efficient and sensitive to the environment."

Respectfully submitted this 16th day of February, 2016.


Summary of January 6,2016 comments on application of Costco Wholesale


David Smith 241 Saginaw Drive, Medford, OR 97504
1. Co-owner of business on S . Front Street in Central Point and several apartments.
2. Long time member of Costco and shop at present store at least twite a week.
3. Research of official records of ODOT and Central Point reveal that the proposed site for Costco is located on an official freight route system within the city and in the midst of freight terminals.(Reddaway, Conway, Fed Ex.) One, Reddaway Trucking already account for 600 truck trips per day. According to ODOT materials Gordon Trucking owns a large parcel directly across from the proposed entrance to Costco, and intends to build a freight terminal, adding a significant increase in trucks using the area.

4 Costco's traffic study indicates its store will add 10,670 new trips per day, the majority of which will come from Medford on Biddle and Table Rock.
5. Costco traffic will add several thousand more cars per day using Biddle and adding congestion at the entrance to the airport.
6...ODOT's Freight Profile identifies Table Rock Road as one of the local roads that "experience high valumes of freight traffic."
7. Costco's traffic study is flawed in that it does not identify the routes its members use to access the existing Medford store; information which is necessary to accurately predict how those members will reroute to the proposed location.
8. Costco tries to idently its operation as a warehouse, but indicates in its November 3, 20titimemo to the city staff (at page 14) that its Parking Demand Study requires 783 parking stalis which is more than the city requires for a retail store the size of the proposed Costco.

CONCLUSION: While the "member Warehouse" description of the Costco proposal may distinguish it from other retail stores as far as the variety of merchandise and profit margins, its impact on traffic will be greater than other retails stores of the same size. One need only note how fast the Costco parking tot fills up and remains full during store hours; much more so than other retail stores which are open longer hours which results in less traffic in any glven hour. Even the entire Medford Mall appears to have less parked cars. Costco and the city staff both indicate the intention to have the store open in 2016, before the widening project on Table Rock even begins. It makes no sense to add 10,670 more vehicles traveling through a construction zone, for an undetermined period, which will create an additlonal problem which has not been addressed.


It is the mission of the City Mission Statement
livable community by working in poin to build and maintain a highly partnership with all the membing in harmony and being a catalyst for

\section*{Statement of Values}

Growth: We value planned growth that will retain our small town atmosphere.
Public Safety: We value a professional, service-oriented public safety policy that promotes a sense of safety and security in our city.
Transportation: We value a system of transportation and infrastructure
that is modern, efficient and sensitive to the environment.
Community: We value a clean and attractive city with parks, open space and recreational opportunities.
Service: We provide the highest level of service possible in the most efficient and responsible manner.

City Hall
140 S. \(3^{\text {rd }}\) Street
Central Point, OR 97502
(541) 664-3321

\title{
Costco Wholesale Conditional Use Permit Draft Findings of fact and conclusions of Law
}

Appellant: David J. Smith
Notice of Appeal Date: February 16, 2016
File No. 15022

\author{
City Council Appeal Hearing
}

March 10, 2016

\section*{PART 1 - Introduction}

On February 2, 2016, the Planning Commission in accordance with CPMC 17.76 approved a Conditional Use Permit authorizing the development of a Costco Wholesale membership warchouse and fuel facility on 18.28 acres within the \(\mathrm{M}-1\) zoning district ("Costco Application"). The project site is located on the eastern edge of Central Point city limits at the southwest corner of Hamrick and Table Rock road. The site also has frontage on Federal Way, a local street. Surrounding properties include developed and undeveloped industrial lands, including the \(\mathrm{M}-1\) and \(\mathrm{M}-2\) zoning districts.

On February 16, 2016, David J. Smith filed an appeal ("Smith Appeal") contesting the Planning Commission's decision on grounds that the Planning Commission erred in approving the application as:
1. Costco's traffic study is flawed because it does not accurately identify trip distribution patterns.
2. Costco's traffic study does not indicate that the airport master plan has been taken into consideration.
3. Site access on an ODOT designated Fright Corridor will mix existing and futurc high volume truck traffic with Costco generated traffic.
4. There is nothing in the record to verify that ODOT has funded and scheduled construction of the improvements relied upon to support the Costco application.
5. As a result of specific issues identified above, approval of the Costco CUP without further study will create immediate and future traffic congestion and hazards.
6. Approval of the CUP is contrary to the Central Point Statement of Values relative to growth and transportation.

The Council's scope of review on this appeal is limited to the issues and evidence presented in the record before the Planning Commission as per CPMC \(17.05 .400(\mathrm{~F})(3)\). As this appeal is on the record the City Council may not consider new cvidence or issues that were not prescrved in the record below. Council
review is limited to determining whether there is substantial evidence in the record to support the findings of the Planning Commission; or whether errors of law were committed.

Membership warehouses are permitted as a conditional use per the City's 2009 similar use determination under CPMC 17.48.020(W) and 17.60.140, which was adopted by the Planning Commission as Resolution No.764, and affirmed by the Council on Appcal as Resolution No. 1217. The applicable approval criteria for a Conditional Use Permit are set forth under CPMC 17.76.040, Findings and Conditions for Conditional Cse Permits.

\section*{Part 2 - Appeal IssLes}

There were six (6) issucs raised in the Smith Appeal. The following is a summary of each issue in the Smith Appeal, including the findings and conclusions pertinent to each issue.
1. Traffic Study Flawed. - "Costco's traffic study is flawed in that it does not identify the present traffic patterns for Costco's members to the present store and, without that information, it is impossible to predict the route choices of Costeo members to the proposed site. The use of general population statistics in inadequate to assign predicted distribution of the 10,670 daily trips by Costco Members."

Finding 1: The Applicant submitted a traffic impact analysis ("7lA") by Kittelson and Associates for the subject property into the record. City staff reviewed the TIA and there is testimony in the record as to the substance of the TIA from City staff. No other traffic reports or analysis were prepared or submitted into the record, by traffic engineers or other traffic experts. According to the TIA, "the trip distribution pattern for site generated trips was developed using zip code data from current memberships at the existing Costco warehouse located on OR 62 (Crater Lake Highway) in Medford, Oregon, as well as from the existing traffic patterns and major trip origins and destinations within the study area."' Trip distribution was verified by regional travel demand models provided by ODOT for the base year (2006) and future year (2038). There was no traffic analysis supporting the allegation that the trip distribution methodology utilized in the TIA is flawed.

Conclusion 1: The City Council concludes there is substantial evidence in the record to find that the TIA is valid and contained adequate trip distribution methodology for the subject property.
2. Costco's traffic study indicates that there will be heavy traffic added to Biddle Road at the entrances to the Rogue Valley International-Medford Airport, but does not indicate that the airport master plan has been taken into consideration in the traffic study.

Finding 2: The Planning Commission's consideration of the Costco CUP application relied upon the Applicant's TIA and agency comments (i.e. the City of Medford) relative to the identified traffic impacts and mitigation measures at the intersection of Biddle Road and Airport Road (See

\footnotetext{
Traffic Impact Analysis: Central Point Costco Development, Central Point, Oregon. Kittelson \& Associates. October 2015. Page 37.
}

Finding 17.76.040(B)(4) in the Planning Department Supplemental Findings ("Supplemental Findings" in the record below.) The airport was identified as an agency entitled to notice, but did not submit comments into the record requiring additional traffic mitigation. The TIA identified impacts to the intersection. The City of Medford recommended a condition of approval to include a median to resolve the impact. In a letter dated January 5, 2016, the City of Medford indicated that the airport was opposed to the proposed mitigation measure because it was in conflict with the airport master plan. As an alternative, the City of Medford requested a condition that requires the applicant to pay a proportional share toward a future traffic signal at this intersection in conformance with the airport master plan. The City of Medford indicated this contribution would be sufficient to mitigate the impacts of this project. The estimated project cost is \(\$ 450,000\), including design, construction and inspection. Per the T1A, Costco contributes \(10 \%\) of the traffic at this intersection. As conditioned, Costco shall provide evidence it has contributed its proportionate share of the construction of signalization improvements in an amount not to exceed \(\$ 45,000\), which shall be payable to the City of Medford prior to building permit issuance. No other traffic reports or analysis were prepared or submitted into the record by traffic engineers or other traffic experts.

Conclusion 2: The City Council concludes there is substantial evidence in the record to find that, as conditioned, the application complies with CPMC 17.76 and that the applicant will have contributed its proportional share toward traffic mitigation to the intersection of Biddle Road and Airport Road at the time of development. The Council further concludes there is sufficient evidence in the record to determine that the airport did not request any mitigation for the airport master plan, but that consideration to such master plan was given.
3. The access points for the proposed Costco site are on roads which ODOT has master planned as a freight corridor. OIOT's freight Profile identifics Table Rock Road as one of the local roads that "experience high volumes and of freight traffic." The proposed location is in the midst of existing freight terminals, with more planned for the future, which will mix high volume truck traffic with 10,670 Costco member daily automobile trips.

Finding 3: Heavy vehicles were evaluated in the Applicant's TM at all study intersection and site driveways, including Table Rock Road. No problems were identified with the mix of light and heavy vehicle traffic based on volume and impacts to LOS/VC. An ODOT representative was present at the January 5,2016 hearing and did not identify safety concerns during his testimony. (Audio Recording at 1:26).

The Planning Commission considered in Finding 17.76.040(B) and 17.76.040(C)(2) the operational and safety conditions of ingress and egress on Table Rock Road, as well as all study intersections. Per the TIA and agency comments, the Ilanning Commission imposed conditions of approval requiring access restriction and mitigation measures to resolve traffic impacts associated with ingress and egress on Table Rock Road. No other traffic reports or analysis was prepared or submitted into the record by traffic engineers or other traffic experts.

Conclusion 3: The Council concludes there is sufficient evidence in the record for the Planning

Commission to find traffic mitigation required by this project has been satisfied as conditioned and that the mix of truck traffic with the proposed development will not create additional safety concerns requiring further mitigation, except at identified and conditioned herein..
4. There is nothing in the record to verify that ODOT has funded and scheduled construction of the improvements relied upon to support the Costco application. Without that confirmation that the improvements will be completed soon after the store opens is total speculation.

Finding 4: Evidence in the record addressing traffic impacts and the timing of the Interstate 5 Northbound Off-Ramp, Exit 33 Improvements, and the feasibility of imposing conditions for such traffic mitigation includes the TlA prepared by Kittelson \& Associates, as well as comments received from the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT). As conditioned, Costco will be required to enter into a Cooperative Improvement Agreement with ODOT and pay its proportional share of the improvement cost prior to building permit issuance (See Revised Public Works Staff Report dated January 5, 2016, Condition No. 1). Evidence in the record establishes that the improvements are planned to be expedited such that they will be constructed as close to opening day of the subject development as possible:
a. The Revised Public Works Staff Report dated January 5, 2016 states that, "Per ODOT, construction will commence at the earliest possible date." (See Traffic Impacts and Mitigation ltem No. 4)
b. During staff's presentation at the January 5, 2016 Planning Commission Meeting, during a discussion of traffic impacts and mitigation (26:05), staff stated that ODOT agreed to expedite construction of the off-ramp improvements as close to opening day as possible. Don Morehouse, ODOT Planner, concurred with the staff presentation and stated that he had nothing further to add. (Audio Recording. at l:26:15).

Conclusion 4: There is substantial evidence in the record to support the Planning Commission's decision as to the feasibility and timing of the traffic mitigation conditions.
5. Without further study, Costco has the potential to create immediate and future traffic congestion and hazards.

Finding 5: Per the TIA, the proposed Costco Wholesale and fuel facility is expected to generate 10,670 new daily trips. Heavy vehicles were evaluated in the TIA and no problems were identified with the mix of light and heavy vehicles based on volume and impacts to LOS/VC. On the day of opening traffic impacts were identified at four (4) intersections: I) Interstate 5 Northbound Off-Ramp; 2) Table Rock and Hamrick Road; 3) Table Rock and Airport Road; and 4) Airport and Biddle Road. Although not identified in the TIA, the City of Medford provided crash data and comments in a letter dated January 5, 2016 indicating that traffic generated by Costco would negatively impact the intersection of Table Rock Road and Morningside Street south of the project site. In accordance with the TIA and comments received from affected agencies, the Planning Commission imposed conditions of approval requiring mitigation of the traffic impacts caused by the proposed Costco Wholesale (See Table I below).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Table 1. Truffic Impact Mitigation Summary Intersection Impact Vitigation} & Timing \\
\hline Interstate 5 NB Off-Ramp & Volume to Capacity (v/c) Ratio is exceeded. & Enter into a Cooperative Improvement Agreement with ODOT and the City to develop and construct dual right turn lanes per IAMP Project No. 9. & Prior to building permit issuance \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Table \\
Rock/Hamrick \\
Road
\end{tabular} & Intersection Failure due to left turn delays & Construct center turn lane and refuge within existing Table Rock Road right-ofway at Hamrick Road. & Prior to cerificate of occupancy. \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Table \\
Rock/Airport \\
Road
\end{tabular} & Existing left turn delays cause the intersection to operate at a Level of Service (LOS) \(F\). The existing status is aggravated by additional traffic generated by the proposed use. & Jackson County has funding to construct improvements on Table Rock Road that includes signalization of the intersection. The County has indicated that construction of the improvements will hegin in 2017; therefore, no interim mitigation is necessary. & \begin{tabular}{l}
Jackson \\
County Table \\
Rock Road \\
Improvement \\
Project \\
commences in 2017.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Airport/Biddle Road & Traffic generated by Costco causes left turn delays which results in a decline in the LOS from \(C\) to \(E\). & Per the City of Medford in a letter dated January 5, 2016, the applicant shall contribute its pro-rata share toward construction of a signal at the intersection. & Proof of payment prior to building permit issuance. \\
\hline Table Rock Road at Morningside Street & Traffic generated by Costco aggravates an existing left turn delay at the intersection & Per the City of Medford in a letter dated January 5 , 2016, the applicant shall contribute its pro-rata share toward construction of a center left turn lane and refuge on Table Rock Road at Morningside Street & Proof of payment prior to building permit issuance. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Per the TIA, conditions of approval were established to address identified traffic impacts as set forth in Finding 17.76.040(B), 17.76.040(C)(2), and 17.76.040(E)(2) in the record below. No other traffic studies or testimony from a traffic engineer or other traffic expert was received as to the substance of the TIA.

As demonstrated in the Applicant's Findings and the Planning Department Findings, the Planning Commission found the project as conditioned is adequate to accommodate the use (See Planning Commission Findings for 17.76.040 in the record below).

Conclusion 5: The City Council concludes that there is substantial evidence in the record to support the Planning Commission's decision that, as conditioned, the project will mitigate the traffic impacts generated by this project.
6. The placement of Costco in the proposed location is contrary to the Central Point Statement of Values: "Growth: We Value planned growth that will retain our small town atmospherc," and "Transportation: We value a system of transportation and infrastructure that is modern, efficient and sensitive to the environment."

Finding 6: In considering the Conditional Use Application for the proposed Membership Warehouse and fuel facility, the Planning Commission was required to render a decision based on the proposal's demonstrated compliance with Standards and Criteria for Conditional Use Permits set forth in Central Puint Municipal Code Chapter 17.76.

Conclusion 6: The mission statement is not part of the Municipal Code nor Comprehensive Plan. It does not serve as a standard or criteria and is merely aspirational language under the City's general Mission Statement. The Planning Commission did not err in applying the Standards and Criteria set forth in CPMC. 17.76. \(t\).

\section*{PART 3 - SUMMARY CONCLLSION}

Council has revicwed the evidence and issues in the record and the issues raised in the Smith appeal. The Council concludes that there was substantial evidence in the record for the Planning Commission to approve the application, and the Commission did not commit errors of law. This Conclusion is based upon the findings herein, and the evidence in the record including the Applicant's findings and the Planning Staff Supplemental Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law.```

